

## DISCRETE SUBSETS OF PROXIMITY SPACES

DON A. MATTSON

The distinct Hausdorff compactifications  $\delta X$  of a completely regular (Hausdorff) space  $X$  are in one-one correspondence with the admissible proximity relations  $\delta$  on  $X$ , or alternatively, with the admissible totally bounded uniform structures for  $X$ . (See [1], [2].) Thus,  $\delta X$  is the Smirnov compactification of  $(X, \delta)$ . Generalized uniform structures  $\mathcal{U}$  for  $X$  will be described by means of pseudometrics on  $X$  (cf. [5], [7], [13]). Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{U}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is in the proximity class  $\pi(\delta)$  associated with  $(X, \delta)$ . Then a subset  $S$  of  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete of gauge  $\epsilon > 0$  if  $\sigma(x, y) \geq \epsilon$ , for all  $x, y \in S$ , where  $x \neq y$ .

In this paper we show that if  $(X, \delta)$  contains an infinite  $\sigma$ -discrete subset of positive gauge, then  $\text{card}(\delta X - X) \geq 2^c$ , where  $c$  is the cardinal of the continuum. Results concerning zero-sets of  $\delta X$  in  $\delta X - X$  and the  $Q$ -closure of  $(X, \delta)$  are also obtained.

Let  $v_\delta X$  be the real-completion of  $(X, \delta)$  (see [8], [11]). Then if  $\text{card}(\delta X - X) < 2^c$ , it follows that  $v_\delta X = \delta X$  and  $\pi(\delta)$  contains only the unique totally bounded uniform structure compatible with  $\delta$ . Also, if  $v_\delta X \neq \delta X$ , then  $\text{card}(\delta X - v_\delta X) \geq 2^c$ .

In (4) we establish that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are realcomplete metric proximity spaces, then  $X$  and  $Y$  are uniformly isomorphic if and only if their respective algebras of bounded uniformly continuous real-valued functions are isomorphic.

**2. Realcompletions and the  $Q$ -closure.** Let  $P(X)$  be the collection of real-valued proximity functions defined on  $(X, \delta)$  and  $P^*(X)$  be the algebra of bounded members of  $P(X)$ . Recall that the realcompletion  $v_\delta X$  of  $(X, \delta)$  is the set of all points in  $\delta X$  to which every member of  $P(X)$  can be extended with real values as a  $p$ -function. Denote the Smirnov extension of  $f \in P(X)$  to  $\delta X$  by  $f^\delta$ . Throughout this paper the proximity and uniform structures on the real numbers  $R$  will be those associated with the standard metric. Definitions and results concerning round filters may be found in [13] and notation and terminology for rings of continuous functions will follow that of [5].

A proximity space will be called  $p$ -pseudocompact if  $P(X) = P^*(X)$ . The theory of  $p$ -systems in  $P(X)$  is developed in [10]. A realcomplete proximity space is realcompact, but a realcompact space need not be realcomplete for every compatible proximity (cf. [11] or Example 2.3).

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *A proximity space  $(X, \delta)$  is compact if and only if  $(X, \delta)$  is realcomplete and  $p$ -pseudocompact.*

---

Received February 3, 1977.

*Proof.* Necessity is obvious. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a maximal round filter in  $(X, \delta)$ , then  $P(X) = P^*(X)$  implies that  $\mathcal{F}$  is real (see [8]). Since  $(X, \delta)$  is realcomplete,  $\mathcal{F}$  is fixed. Thus  $X$  is compact and the proof is complete.

D. Harris in [6] has defined the  $Q$ -closure of  $(X, \delta)$  to be the set of all points  $p$  in  $\delta X$  with the property that whenever  $f \in C(\delta X)$  and  $f(p) = 0$  there exists  $q \in X$  such that  $f(q) = 0$ . Let  $Q_\delta(X)$  denote the  $Q$ -closure of  $(X, \delta)$ . By Theorem F of [6],  $p \in Q_\delta(X)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}^p$  has the countable intersection property, where  $\mathcal{F}^p$  is the unique maximal round filter in  $(X, \delta)$  which converges to  $p$ .

The following theorem shows that if  $(X, \delta)$  is not  $Q$ -closed, then  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  cannot be complete for all  $\mathcal{U} \in \pi(\delta)$ . In particular,  $(X, \delta)$  cannot be realcomplete.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{U} \in \pi(\delta)$  and  $\text{card}X$  be non-measurable. If  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is complete, then  $X$  is  $Q$ -closed.*

The proof of Theorem 2.2 consists of showing that each maximal round filter in  $(X, \delta)$  with the countable intersection property must be a Cauchy filter in  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  and is analogous to the proof that (B) implies (C) of Theorem 4.1 of [9].

The converse of Theorem 2.2 is false. If  $X = (0, 1)$  with the standard metric proximity, then  $(X, \delta)$  is  $Q$ -closed but  $\pi(\delta)$  contains only the metric uniform structure  $\mathcal{U}_d$  and  $(X, \mathcal{U}_d)$  is not complete.

*Example 2.3.* Let  $X$  be the unit ball in  $l_2$ , the space of square summable real sequences, and let  $\delta$  be the proximity associated with the standard metric for  $X$ . Then, as is the case for any metric proximity,  $P(X)$  is just the collection of real-valued uniformly continuous functions. Thus,  $(X, \delta)$  is  $p$ -pseudocompact (cf. problem 15 D of [5]). Now  $X$  is complete in its metric uniform structure so that  $(X, \delta)$  is  $Q$ -closed.

If  $\beta$  is the proximity associated with the Stone-Ćech compactification  $\beta X$  of  $X$ , then  $X$  is pseudocompact if and only if every maximal round filter in  $(X, \beta)$  has the countable intersection property. The analogous result for  $p$ -pseudocompactness does not necessarily hold if  $\delta \neq \beta$ , however, as is evident from Example 2.3.

**3. Cardinals of sets in  $\delta X - X$ .** We say that a pseudometric  $\sigma$  for  $X$  is compatible with a proximity  $\delta$  if  $\sigma(A, B) = 0$  whenever  $A\delta B$ , where  $A, B$  are subsets of  $X$ . If  $S$  is a  $\sigma$ -discrete subset of  $(X, \delta)$  having positive gauge, then  $S$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $X$ . However, an example of [4] (p. 157) shows that we need not have  $P(S) = P(X)|_S$ , where  $S$  has the discrete proximity, and that not every continuous pseudometric on  $S$  can be extended to a pseudometric on  $X$  compatible with  $\delta$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $(X, \delta)$  contains an infinite  $\sigma$ -discrete subspace  $S$  of positive gauge, where  $\sigma$  is compatible with  $\delta$ , then  $\text{card}(\delta X - X) \geq 2^e$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\delta_s$  be the proximity for  $S$  inherited from  $(X, \delta)$  so that  $(S, \delta_s)$  is discrete. Since the gauge of  $S$  is positive,  $(S, \delta_s)$  is  $p$ -homeomorphic with  $(N, \beta)$ , where  $N$  denotes the natural numbers. Moreover,  $P^*(S) = C^*(S)$ .

Since  $S$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$ ,  $P^*(S) = P^*(X)|_S$  implies that  $S$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\delta X$ , hence in  $Cl_{\delta X}S$ . Thus  $Cl_{\delta X}S = \delta_s S$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be any free maximal round filter in  $(S, \delta_s)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to a point  $x$  of  $\delta_s S - S$ . If  $2\epsilon$  is the gauge of  $S$  and if  $x \in X$ , then the  $\epsilon$ -ball about  $x$  determined by  $\sigma$  contains at most one point of  $S$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  cannot converge to  $x$ , therefore the limit points of the free maximal round filters in  $(S, \delta_s)$  are in  $\delta X - X$ . Now  $\delta_s S - S \subseteq \delta X - X$  and  $\text{card} \delta_s S = \text{card} \beta N = 2^c$  implies that  $\text{card} \delta X - X \geq 2^c$ .

This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *If  $(X, \delta)$  satisfies  $\text{card}(\delta X - X) < 2^c$ , then  $(X, \delta)$  is  $p$ -pseudocompact and  $v_\delta X = \delta X$ .*

*Proof.* If  $P(X) \neq P^*(X)$  choose  $f \in P(X)$ , where  $f$  is unbounded on  $X$ . Set  $\sigma_f(x, y) = |f(x) - f(y)|$ , so that  $\sigma_f$  is a pseudometric for  $X$  compatible with  $\delta$ . Since  $f$  is unbounded,  $X$  contains an infinite subset  $S$  which is  $\sigma_f$ -discrete of gauge 1. Theorem 3.1 now yields a contradiction. Thus  $(X, \delta)$  is  $p$ -pseudocompact and the proof is complete.

For metric proximity spaces, the following result applies.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space with associated proximity  $\delta$ . Then  $\text{card}(\delta X - X) < 2^c$  if and only if  $d$  is totally bounded.*

*Proof.* Necessity is immediate from Theorem 3.1 and sufficiency follows from the fact that  $\delta X$  is the completion of a totally bounded, therefore separable, metric space.

*Example 3.4.* The converse of Corollary 3.2 is false since the space  $(X, \delta)$  of Example 2.3 is  $p$ -pseudocompact but is a non-totally bounded metric proximity space so that  $\text{card}(\delta X - X) \geq 2^c$ . Since  $X$  is separable  $\delta X$  must be a continuous image of  $\beta N$ , the Stone-Ćech compactification of the natural numbers (cf. 9.A. [5]). Thus  $\text{card}(\delta X - X) = \text{card}(\beta X - X) = 2^c$ . Yet  $X$  is not pseudocompact so that any unbounded member of  $C(X)$  is a proximity function with respect to  $(X, \beta)$  but not with respect to  $(X, \delta)$ . Thus  $\beta X \neq \delta X$ . We further observe that since the proximity class  $\pi(\delta)$  contains the metric uniform structure which is not totally bounded, then it follows from results of Reed and Thron (cf. Corollary 2.1.3 of [12]) that  $\pi(\delta)$  has at least  $c$  members.

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *If  $\text{card}(\delta X - X) < 2^c$ , then the proximity class  $\pi(\delta)$  contains only the unique totally bounded uniform structure.*

The following example shows that the converse of Corollary 3.5 is false.

*Example 3.6.* Let  $\Lambda = \beta R - (\beta N - N)$  (see [5]) and take  $\delta = \beta$ . If  $\sigma$  is any continuous pseudometric for  $(\Lambda, \beta)$  which is not totally bounded, then  $\Lambda$  contains an infinite  $\sigma$ -discrete subset  $S$  of positive gauge. Since  $S$  must be

$C$ -embedded in  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda$  is pseudocompact, no such  $\sigma$  can exist. Thus the proximity class  $\pi(\beta)$  contains only  $\mathcal{C}^*$ , the uniform structure determined by  $C^*(\Lambda)$ . But  $\beta\Lambda = \beta R$  and  $\text{card}(\beta\Lambda - \Lambda) = 2^c$ .

Unlike zero-sets of  $\beta X - X$ , zero-sets  $Z$  of  $\delta X$  contained in  $\delta X - X$  may have  $\text{card } Z < 2^c$ . If  $X = (0, 1)$  with the usual metric proximity,  $\delta X = [0, 1]$  and  $Z = \{0, 1\}$  is a zero set of  $\delta X$ . Also, while the realcompactification  $vX$  of  $X$  contains no  $G_\delta$ -points of  $vX$ , we note that in this example 0 and 1 are  $G_\delta$ -points of the realcompletion  $v_\delta X = \delta X$  of  $(X, \delta)$ . Clearly, no zero set of  $\delta X$  contained in  $\delta X - X$  can meet  $Q_\delta(X)$ , however.

**THEOREM 3.7.** *If  $Z$  is a zero-set of  $\delta X$  contained in  $\delta X - v_\delta X$ , then  $\text{card } Z \geq 2^c$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Z$  be a zero-set of some  $f^\delta \in C^*(\delta X)$ , where  $Z \subseteq \delta X - v_\delta X$ . Let  $f = f^\delta|X$ . We can assume  $f > 0$  on  $X$  and set  $g = f^{-1}$ . Then  $g$  is unbounded on  $X$  and  $X$  contains a copy  $S$  of  $N$  on which  $g$  approaches infinity (see Corollary 1.20 [5]). Now  $g$  has a continuous extension to a function  $g_1$  on  $\delta X - Z$ . Thus, for each point  $x$  of  $\delta X - Z$ , the neighborhood  $\{y \in \delta X - Z \mid |g_1(x) - g_1(y)| < 1\}$  of  $x$  contains only finitely many points of  $S$ . Thus, all limit points of  $S$  lie in  $Z$ .

Let  $p$  be a limit point of  $S$ . Since  $p \in Z$ ,  $p \notin v_\delta X$  and there exists  $h \in P(X)$  such that  $h^\delta(p)$  is not real. Thus,  $h$  is not bounded on  $S$ . It follows that  $S$  contains a countably infinite subset  $T$  such that  $T$  is  $\sigma_h$ -discrete of positive gauge, where  $\sigma_h$  is the pseudometric for  $X$  determined by  $h$ . Now  $T$  is  $p$ -homeomorphic with  $N$  so that  $\delta T$  is homeomorphic with  $\beta N$ . Since  $h \in P(X)$ ,  $\text{Cl}_{\delta X} T = \delta T$  and  $\text{Cl}_{\delta X} T - T \subseteq Z$ . Thus  $Z$  contains a copy of  $\beta N - N$  so that  $\text{card } Z \geq 2^c$  and the proof is complete.

**COROLLARY 3.8.** *If  $p$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point of  $\delta X$ , where  $p \in \delta X - X$ , then  $p \in v_\delta X - Q_\delta X$ .*

Thus no realcomplete and non-compact  $(X, \delta)$  can have a Smirnov compactification which satisfies the first countability axiom.

**THEOREM 3.9.** *If  $(X, \delta)$  is not  $p$ -pseudocompact, then  $\text{card}(\delta X - v_\delta X) \geq 2^c$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be an unbounded member of  $P(X)$  and let  $\sigma_f$  be the pseudometric for  $(X, \delta)$  determined by  $f$ . Since  $f$  is unbounded,  $(X, \delta)$  contains a countably infinite  $\sigma_f$ -discrete subset  $S$  of gauge 1. Now  $\sigma_f$  is compatible with  $\delta$  so that  $\text{Cl}_{\delta X} S = \delta S$ . Let  $p \in \delta S - S$ . The Smirnov extension  $f^\delta$  of  $f$  is real-valued on  $v_\delta X$ , hence if  $p \in v_\delta X$  the neighborhood  $\{x \in v_\delta X \mid |f^\delta(x) - f^\delta(p)| < 1/2\}$  contains at most one point of  $S$ . Thus  $p \notin v_\delta X$ . Since  $\text{card}(\delta S - S) \geq 2^c$  and  $\delta S - S \subseteq \delta X - v_\delta X$ , the proof is complete.

Example 2.3 shows that  $\text{card}(\delta X - X) \geq 2^c$  can occur when  $X$  is  $p$ -pseudocompact so that  $\delta X = v_\delta X$ . We recall that the non-real maximal  $p$ -systems of  $P(X)$  are in one-one correspondence with the points of  $\delta X - v_\delta X$ .

**COROLLARY 3.10.** *If  $(X, \delta)$  is not  $p$ -pseudocompact, then  $P(X)$  contains at least  $2^c$  non-real maximal  $p$ -systems.*

*Example 3.11.* Let  $\delta$  be the standard metric proximity for  $R$ , the real numbers. The Smirnov extension of the identity function on  $R$  has no real values at any point of  $\delta R - R$ , hence  $(R, \delta)$  is realcomplete. Thus  $\text{card}(\delta R - R) = 2^c$  and  $P(R)$  contains  $2^c$  non-real maximal  $p$ -systems. Let  $A = \{n|n \in N\}$  and  $B = \{n - 1/n|n \in N\}$ . Then  $\text{Cl}_{\beta R}A \cap \text{Cl}_{\beta R}B = \emptyset$  but  $\text{Cl}_{\delta R}A \cap \text{Cl}_{\delta R}B \neq \emptyset$  so that  $\beta R \neq \delta R$ .

Take  $f \in C^*(X)$  and let  $K(f)$  be the collection of all compactifications  $\delta X$  to which  $f$  has a continuous, real-valued extension  $f^\delta$ . Chandler and Geller have shown in [3] that  $\delta X$  is a minimal element of  $K(f)$  if and only if  $f^\delta$  is  $1 - 1$  on  $\delta X - X$ . Moreover, the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 of [3] apply to any  $\delta X$ , so that if  $\text{card } \delta X - X$  is countable, there exists  $f \in P^*(X)$  for which  $\delta X$  is a minimal element of  $K(f)$ .

**COROLLARY 3.12.** *If  $\delta X$  is a minimal element in  $K(f)$ , for some  $f \in C^*(X)$ , then  $(X, \delta)$  is  $p$ -pseudocompact and  $X$  contains no  $\sigma$ -discrete infinite subset of positive gauge, for all compatible pseudometrics  $\sigma$  on  $(X, \delta)$ .*

The converse of Corollary 3.12 is false. For, if  $\Lambda = \beta R - (\beta N - N)$  and  $\delta = \beta$ , then  $(\Lambda, \beta)$  is  $(\beta-)$  pseudocompact and contains no infinite  $\sigma$ -discrete subset of positive gauge for all continuous  $\sigma$  on  $(\Lambda, \beta)$ . But  $\text{card}(\beta \Lambda - \Lambda) = 2^c$  so that no  $f^\beta$  can be  $1 - 1$  on  $\beta \Lambda - \Lambda$ .

**4. A characterization of uniformly isomorphic spaces.** We observe that metric space  $(X, d)$  may be complete relative to the metric uniform structure but the associated metric proximity space may not be realcomplete (cf. Example 2.3). Let  $U^*(X, d)$  be the algebra of bounded real-valued uniformly continuous functions on  $(X, d)$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, d_1)$  be metric spaces where the associated proximity spaces  $(X, \delta)$  and  $(Y, \delta_1)$  are realcomplete. Then  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, d_1)$  are uniformly isomorphic if and only if  $U^*(X, d)$  and  $U^*(Y, d_1)$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Necessity is immediate. Conversely, if  $U^*(X, d)$  and  $U^*(Y, d_1)$  are isomorphic, then  $P^*(X) = U^*(X, d)$  and  $P^*(Y) = U^*(Y, d_1)$  implies that  $C^*(\delta X)$  and  $C^*(\delta_1 Y)$  are isomorphic. Thus  $\delta X$  and  $\delta_1 Y$  are homeomorphic under a mapping  $t$ . But  $t$  carries  $G_\delta$ -points of  $\delta X$  onto  $G_{\delta_1}$ -points of  $\delta_1 Y$  and by Corollary 3.8 no point of  $\delta X - X$  or  $\delta_1 Y - Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point. Moreover, each point  $X$  of the metric space  $(X, d)$  has a countable base of neighborhoods in  $\delta X$ . Hence each point of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point of  $\delta X$  and similarly each point of  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point of  $\delta_1 Y$ . Thus  $t$  carries  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Moreover,  $t$  is a  $p$ -homeomorphism of  $\delta X$  onto  $\delta_1 Y$  hence the restriction  $t_1$  of  $t$  to  $X$  is a  $p$ -homeomorphism of  $(X, \delta)$

onto  $(Y, \delta_1)$ . Since  $\delta$  and  $\delta_1$  are metric proximities it follows that  $t_1$  is a uniform isomorphism of  $(X, \delta)$  onto  $(Y, \delta_1)$ .

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.1 remains true if “metric” is replaced by the condition that  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfy the first countability axiom and the uniform isomorphism is taken with respect to the unique totally bounded uniform structures in the respective proximity classes of  $\delta$  and  $\delta_1$ .

“Realcomplete” cannot be replaced by “realcompact” in Theorem 4.1. Take  $X = R$  and  $Y = R - \{0\}$  and let  $d$  and  $d_1$  be the standard metrics for  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Then  $U^*(X, d)$  is isomorphic to  $U^*(Y, d_1)$ , but  $X$  and  $Y$  are not homeomorphic. Evidently,  $X$  and  $Y$  are realcompact but  $(Y, \delta_1)$  is not realcomplete.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. M. Alfsen and J. E. Fenstad, *A note on completion and compactification*, Math. Scand. 8 (1960), 97–104.
2. E. M. Alfsen and O. Njastad, *Proximity and generalized uniformity*, Fund. Math. 52 (1963), 235–252.
3. R. E. Chandler and R. Gellar, *The compactifications to which an element of  $C^*(X)$  extends*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1973), 637–639.
4. T. Gantner, *Extensions of uniformly continuous pseudometrics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (1968), 147–157.
5. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, (The University Series in Higher Math., Princeton, N.J., 1960).
6. D. Harris, *An internal characterization of realcompactness*, Can. J. Math. 23 (1971), 439–444.
7. S. Leader, *On pseudometrics for generalized uniform structures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 493–495.
8. D. Mattson, *Real maximal round filters in proximity spaces*, Fund. Math. 78 (1973), 183–188.
9. ———, *On completions of proximity and uniform spaces*, Coll. Math. 38 (1977), 55–62.
10. O. Njastad, *On  $p$ -systems and  $p$ -functions*, Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. 1 (1968), 1–10.
11. ———, *On real-valued proximity mappings*, Math. Annalen 154 (1964), 413–419.
12. E. E. Reed and W. J. Thron,  *$n$ -bounded uniformities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (1969), 71–77.
13. W. S. Thron, *Topological structures*. (Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, New York, 1966).

Moorhead State University,  
Moorhead, Minnesota