



High need for recovery from work and sleep problems are associated with workers' unhealthy dietary habits

Katri Hemio^{1,*}, Jaana Lindström¹, Markku Pelttonen¹, Mikko Härmä², Katriina Viitasalo³ and Sampsa Puttonen²

¹Department of Public Health Solutions, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, FI-00271 Helsinki, Finland: ²Research and Service Centre of Occupational Health, Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Työterveyslaitos, Finland: ³Finnair Health Services, Finnair, Finland

Submitted 12 September 2019: Final revision received 13 December 2019: Accepted 2 January 2020: First published online 14 May 2020

Abstract

Objective: We aimed to examine the association of recovery from work and sleep with workers' dietary habits.

Design: Cross-sectional study. Need for recovery (NFR) from work was assessed with a validated questionnaire. Sleep was assessed with five questions from the Nordic Sleep Questionnaire and sleep quality question. Dietary habits were estimated using a validated sixteen food groups-containing questionnaire. Ordered logistic regression was used to explore the associations of NFR and sleep with dietary habits adjusted for age, education, marital status, work schedule, working full or part time and occupation.

Setting: Follow-up visits of type 2 diabetes prevention study cohort in a Finnish airline company

Participants: The study included 737 men and 605 women.

Results: Poor recovery from work was associated with a higher eating frequency (OR = 1.03, 95 % CI 1.00, 1.06), higher intake of fast food (OR = 1.05, 95 % CI 1.02, 1.08) and sweets (OR = 1.05, 95 % CI 1.02, 1.08) as well as lower intake of vegetables (OR = 0.96, 95 % CI 0.93, 0.98) and fruits (OR = 0.96, 95 % CI 0.93, 0.98) among men. In women, poor recovery from work was associated with higher fast food (OR = 1.06, 95 % CI 1.02, 1.09) and desserts consumption (OR = 1.04, 95 % CI 1.00, 1.07). Among men and women, sleep problems were associated with higher eating frequency (men: OR = 1.04, 95 % CI 1.00, 1.07, women: OR = 1.06, 95 % CI 1.02, 1.11), consumption of fast food (men: OR = 1.07, 95 % CI 1.04, 1.11, women: OR = 1.06, 95 % CI 1.02, 1.10) and sweets (men: OR = 1.05, 95 % CI 1.01, 1.08, women: OR = 1.04, 95 % CI 1.00, 1.08).

Conclusions: Poor recovery from work and sleep problems were associated with unfavourable dietary habits especially in men.

Keywords
Recovery from work
Sleep
Dietary habits
Shift work

In most European countries, working hours have decreased over the past two decades but work intensity has increased⁽¹⁾. Tight deadlines and high work demands have been reported by 60 % and difficulties in combining work and personal life by 18 % of workers in Europe⁽¹⁾. At the same time, a study conducted in twenty-three European countries showed that sleep problems were common, with prevalence rates varying from 16.6 % in Denmark and Italy to 31.2 % in Poland⁽²⁾. A Finnish population study showed that sleep duration had decreased and sleep complaints increased between 1972 and 2005^(3,4) and that 21 % of women and 17 % of men

reported frequent sleep problems which were associated with sickness absence spells⁽⁵⁾. Increased sleep complaints and work stress have been shown to associate with a higher need for recovery (NFR) from work^(6,7).

During the last working hours or after a workday, workers may recognise feelings of overload, irritability, social withdrawal, lack of energy for new effort and reduced performance⁽⁸⁾. These feelings refer to workers' insufficient recuperation from work^(8,9). In principle, workers recuperate from work-induced fatigue between their work shifts. When recovery from work has been optimal, the worker has no feelings of work-related stress when

*Corresponding author. Email katri.hemio@thl.fi

starting a new workday. Various factors, such as demanding work, age, gender, education, working time and perceived health status, have been identified as factors that affect workers' ability to recover from work^(10,11). High NFR from work has been associated with occupationally induced fatigue, meaning that an increased NFR measures the early symptoms of work related fatigue^(8,12).

Workers' NFR from work has predicted workers' health complaints⁽¹³⁾. Emotional exhaustion and sleep problems were more prevalent when recuperation from work was insufficient⁽¹²⁾. Sleep disturbances and long-term incomplete recovery from work were associated with the duration of sickness absence, and incomplete recovery from work was associated with CVD over the course of a few years and with mortality a few decades later^(14–17).

Insufficient recovery from work and sleep problems may influence workers' lifestyle habits. In a study of construction workers, workplace stress and fatigue affected workers' dietary habits, decreasing fruit and vegetable intake⁽¹⁸⁾. Recent review articles concluded that insufficient sleep increases food consumption, the risk for poor dietary habits, total energy intake, total fat intake and snacking. Some studies have suggested that insufficient sleep relates to lower fruit intake and to otherwise lower-quality diets^(19–21). Studies on sleep and nutrition have mostly focused on sleep duration, but there is some evidence that among female workers, poor sleep quality may be associated with unhealthy food choices such as low intake of vegetables⁽²²⁾.

Studies have shown that insufficient recovery from work and sleep problems predispose workers to worse health outcomes. It is possible that workers' lifestyle habits may mediate the association between recover from work, sleep problems and health. Only a few studies have investigated the association of recuperation from work and sleep problems with dietary habits. Therefore, our aim was to determine the associations of workers' NFR from work and sleep problems with their dietary habits in a shift-work intensive working place.

Methods

Study design and participants

The occupational health care provider for a Finnish airline company implemented a screening and prevention programme for chronic diseases in 2006⁽²³⁾. Of 4169 invited employees, 2312 participated in the health check-up, which consisted of diabetes risk screening, laboratory tests, physical measurements and a questionnaire on lifestyle, work and sleeping habits. At an average of 2.5 years later, the participants were invited to a follow-up health check-up which was similar to the baseline except that participants were asked to fill in a sixteen-item food intake questionnaire⁽²⁴⁾ and a measure of NFR score⁽⁸⁾. The participants' responses to the web questionnaire were saved on

the research institute database server, and only the researchers had access to the data. People with known diabetes were excluded from the study at baseline and were not invited to the follow-up study. For the present analyses, we used cross-sectional data collected at this follow-up visit. Altogether 1523 employees participated in the follow-up study and completed the questionnaires. We excluded 172 employees who had retired or stopped working for the company, six employees who had reported unreliable amounts of food, one employee with an incomplete food intake questionnaire and two employees with incomplete NFR questionnaires. The final study sample consisted of 1342 employees.

Need for recovery

We measured participants' ability to recover from work with the validated NFR Scale⁽⁸⁾ which was earlier translated from English into Finnish and then back translated into English for wording confirmation⁽²⁵⁾. The scale measures the short-term adverse effect of work-day stressors and workers' ability to recuperate from work during their free time. The NFR Scale consists of eleven items, for example, 'I find it difficult to relax at the end of a working day'. Participants rated the items on four-point scales (1 = never, 2 = sometimes, 3 = often, 4 = always), and like in the original study⁽⁸⁾, scores were transformed into a score ranging from 0 to 100 to allow comparison with other studies. A higher NFR score indicates worse recovery from work.

Sleep

Sleep problems were identified using six questions from the Basic Nordic Sleep Questionnaire⁽²⁶⁾. The participants were advised to think of the past 3 months when answering sleep questions. The questions were as follows: 1) Have you had difficulties falling asleep? 2) How often have you awakened at night? 3) Do you feel excessively sleepy during the daytime? 4) Have you suffered from an irresistible tendency to fall asleep while at work? 5) How often have you awakened during the night without being able to fall asleep again? Subjective sleep quality was queried with a modified version of the original Basic Nordic Sleep Questionnaire question as follows: How often have you felt that your sleep is not restorative during the past 3 months? The questions were rated on a five-point scale: 1 = never or less than once per month, 2 = less than once per week, 3 = on 1–2 d/week, 4 = on 3–5 d/week and 5 = daily or almost daily. The sum of points for the six questions, ranging from 6 to 30, was used as a sleep problem score, with a higher score indicating more sleep problems. Sleep length was assessed with the question 'How many hours a day do you usually sleep, including naps?' Sleep apnoea was assessed with a question 'Has a physician diagnosed that you have sleep apnoea?' Answer options were 'yes' or 'no'.



Dietary habits

We estimated participants' dietary habits using a validated sixteen-item questionnaire⁽²⁴⁾. Validity of the food intake questionnaire has been tested earlier against 7-d food records filled by seventy-seven participants. The questionnaire asks the respondents to estimate, in daily or weekly portions, their usual consumption of sixteen different food groups (each question containing examples of portion sizes) and total number of meals and snacks per day (with examples of snacks: fruit, chocolate bar, bun, juice and beer). Of the answers of these food groups, we chose those which, based on earlier research^(20,27), are known to be relevant for sleep and recovery and only results for those food groups are presented here. The number of meals and snacks were categorised as follows: 1 to 2/d, 3 to 4/d, 5–6/d and 7 or more meals and snacks per day. The consumption of vegetables, fruit, desserts (e.g. sweet bakery items, ice cream, puddings and chocolate) and sweets (e.g. added sugar, honey and sweets) was rated on a five-point scale as follows: less than a portion per week or none, 1–3 portions per week, 4–6 portions per week, 1 portion per day and at least 2 portions per day. Fast food consumption was assessed using a five-point scale as follows: <1 portion per month or none, 1–3 portions per month, 1–3 portions per week, 4–6 portions per week and 1 portion per day or more. Consumption of bread and breakfast cereals and consumption of beverages were assessed with open-ended questions. The amounts of fibre-rich bread and breakfast cereals reported were changed into portions which were summed and named as grain products. We categorised grain product consumption into three categories: <2 portions per day, 2–3 portions per day and more than 3 portions per day. Of beverages, we combined sugar-sweetened juices, soft drinks and fruit juices into a group 'sugary drinks'. We categorised sugary drinks consumption into three categories: none, 1–14 dl and more than 14 dl/week. Use of alcoholic drinks was categorised into three groups: 2 drinks/week or less, 3–7 drinks/week and more than 7 drinks/week. One drink contained 12 g of alcohol.

For the daily number of meals and snacks and for the number of portions of fast food, the two highest categories were combined because only a few responses were in those categories. In the data for women respondents, we combined the two lowest consumption categories for vegetable intake due to the low number of workers who had chosen those alternatives.

Classifications

BMI was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by height (m) squared. Education was categorised into three groups: low (comprehensive school), intermediate (secondary education) or high (polytechnic or university). Marital status was categorised into two groups: living alone and living with partner. Participants were categorised into

two working time groups. Working hours between 06.00 and 18.00 hours were categorised as day work and shift work was assessed as other than day work. Occupations were categorised based on the main characteristics of the work into maintenance, customer service, in-flight work, office work and management.

Statistical methods

We used χ^2 test to analyse gender differences between categorical variables and *t* test between continuous variables. Pearson or Spearman correlation coefficients were assessed between NFR and sleep problems score and shift work. We used ANOVA to analyse differences between NFR (non-transformed) or sleep problem score and gender, age or working time groups and to assess differences. Ordered logistic regression, which is an extension of the logistic regression model allowing for more than two ordered response categories, was performed to examine the relationship between NFR or sleep problems and dietary habits; OR denoting the odds for falling into higher consumption category by one-point increase in the NFR/sleep problem scale. Food groups or number of meals and snacks were the dependent variables, and they were used as categorical variables in the analyses (some categories were combined, see Dietary Habits). NFR and sleep problems were the independent variables. Analyses were done separately for men and women. We adjusted analyses for the following potential confounders: age (continuous variable), education (three groups), marital status, work schedule (day work *v.* shift work), full *v.* part time work and occupational category (five groups). We chose the confounders based on the nutrition studies about which background variables typically influence dietary habits^(28,29). In addition to age, education level correlates with dietary habits, where higher education predicts healthier food choices. Working time and part time work may also influence dietary habits. Occupation was chosen as a confounder based on the results of our previous analysis of the same cohort⁽³⁰⁾. The significance level was set at $\alpha = 0.05$. The analyses were performed using the statistics package Stata version 16.0 (StataCorp).

Results

The participants' characteristics are shown in Table 1. Of participants, 737 (55%) were men. The mean age was 47 years, and the mean BMI (kg/m²) was 26.9 in men and 25.1 in women. Men mainly worked in aircraft maintenance (51%), office work (27%) and management (13%). Women did in-flight work (39%), office work (34%) and customer service (14%). Among men, 57% had a shift work schedule; among women, it was 62%.

Correlation coefficient was 0.57 ($P \leq 0.001$) between NFR and sleep score, 0.18 ($P \leq 0.001$) between NFR and

**Table 1** Characteristics of study participants, *n* 1342

	Men (<i>n</i> 737)			Women (<i>n</i> 605)			<i>P</i>
	Mean	SD	%	Mean	SD	%	
Age (years)	47.3 (from 26 to 64)	8.6		47.2 (from 26 to 68)	8.1		0.88
Education							<0.001
Low			10.3			7.9	
Intermediate			76.1			68.9	
High			13.6			23.2	
Married or cohabit			82.0			69.0	<0.001
Sedentary lifestyle*			18.1			14.4	0.006
Working experience (years)	25.7	10.2		23.7	9.6		<0.001
Shift work†			57.0			62.0	0.06
Worked in shifts (years)	21.8	10.2		21.4	8.9		0.9
Shift work by age							
<40			61.0			69.0	0.2
40–49			61.0			64.0	0.4
50–			51.0			55.0	0.3
Smoker			17.0			17.0	0.8
BMI‡ (kg/m ²)	26.9	3.7		25.1	4.5		<0.001
Need for recovery§	27.8	15.1		31.1	15.7		<0.001
Need for recovery by age							
<40	25.8	13.8		30.9	16.5		0.01
40–49	28.1	14.9		30.4	14.9		0.07
50–	28.5	15.7		32.0	16.5		0.01
Sleep length (hours)	7.3	1.0		7.5	0.9		<0.001
Sleep problems	13.0	4.2		12.6	4.0		0.09
Difficulties to fall asleep	2.0	0.9		1.9	1.0		
Awake at night	3.1	1.2		3.1	1.2		
Sleepy during daytime	2.5	1.0		2.5	1.1		
Fall asleep at work	1.4	0.7		1.3	0.6		
Fall asleep again at night	1.7	0.8		1.7	0.8		
Restorative sleep	2.2	1.0		2.1	1.0		
Sleep problems by age							
<40	12.5	3.8		13.0	3.8		0.3
40–49	13.2	4.3		12.2	4.0		0.006
50–	13.1	4.1		13.0	4.0		0.8
Sleep apnoea			5.2			1.3	<0.001
Meals and snacks per day							<0.001
<3			40			32	
3–4			44			44	
At least 5			16			24	
Vegetables							<0.001
<1 portion/week			2				
1–3 portions/week			18			7	
4–6 portions/week			23			13	
1 portion/d			40			39	
At least 2 portions/d			17			41	
Fruits and berries							<0.001
<1 portion/week			8			3	
1–3 portions/week			32			15	
4–6 portions/week			18			13	
One portion/d			26			35	
At least 2 portions/d			16			34	
Fast food							<0.001
<1 portion/month			17			33	
1–3 portions/month			49			47	
1–3 portions/week			30			18	
At least 4 portions/week			4			2	
Desserts							0.002
<1 portion/week			26			22	
1–3 portions/week			45			40	
4–6 portions/week			12			13	
One portion/d			14			19	
At least 2 portions/d			3			6	
Sweets							<0.001
<1 portion/week			28			28	
1–3 portions/week			28			35	
4–6 portions/week			11			11	
1 portion/d			14			16	
At least 2 portions/d			19			10	

**Table 1** Continued

	Men (n 737)			Women (n 605)			P
	Mean	SD	%	Mean	SD	%	
Grain products							<0.001
<2 portions/d			16			19	
2–3 portions/d			35			52	
At least 4 portions/d			49			29	
Sugary drinks							<0.001
None			22			37	
1–14 dl			55			51	
More than 14 dl			23			12	
Alcohol drinks							<0.001
<3 drinks/week			25			47	
3–7 drinks/week			33			37	
At least eight drinks/week			42			16	

*<4 h weekly of leisure time low impact physical exercise.

†Working time other than between 06.00 and 18.00 hours.

‡Sum of six sleep questions, scale range 6–30. Higher score indicates more sleep problems.

§Sixty participants' BMI measurements were missing.

||Scale range 0–100. Higher score indicates higher need for recovery from work.

shift work and 0.15 ($P < 0.001$) between sleep score and shift work.

The mean NFR score was higher among women than among men, 31.1 (SD 15.7) *v.* 27.8 (SD 15.1), $P < 0.001$. There was no difference in the mean NFR score by age groups (under 40, from 40 to 49, over 49 years) or by education group for either gender. For men, the mean NFR score was 25.9 (SD 15.7) for those doing day work and 29.3 (SD 14.4) for those on shift work ($P = 0.002$); for women, the mean score was 26.9 (SD 15.1) for those doing day work and 33.7 (SD 15.6) for those on shift work ($P < 0.001$).

Sleep problems differed by working time groups. Among men, the mean sleep problem score was 12.5 (SD 4.4) for those doing day work and 13.4 (SD 4.0) for those on shift work ($P = 0.007$). Among women, the mean score was 11.8 (SD 3.8) for those doing day work and 13.1 (SD 3.9) for those on shift work ($P < 0.001$).

Based on the adjusted model (age, education, marital status, day *v.* shift work, working full or part time and occupation), for one-point increase in the NFR scale, the OR for falling into higher category was 1.03 (95% CI 1.00, 1.06) for eating frequency category, 0.96 (95% CI 0.93, 0.98) for vegetable, 0.96 (95% CI 0.93, 0.98) for fruit, 1.05 (95% CI 1.02, 1.08) for fast food and 1.05 (95% CI 1.02, 1.08) for sweets among men (Table 2).

Among women, for one-point increase in the NFR scale, the OR was 1.06 (95% CI 1.02, 1.09) for fast food and 1.04 (95% CI 1.00, 1.07) for dessert consumption category based on the adjusted model (Table 2).

Among men, for one-point increase in sleep problems, the OR for falling into higher category was 1.04 (95% CI 1.00, 1.07) for eating frequency, 0.96 (95% CI 0.93, 0.99) for fruit and berries, 1.07 (95% CI 1.04, 1.11) for fast food, 1.05 (95% CI 1.01, 1.08) for sweets, 0.97 (95% CI 0.93, 1.00) for grain products and 1.05 (95% CI 1.01, 1.08) for alcohol

consumption categories. Among women, for one-point increase in sleep problems, the OR was 1.06 (95% CI 1.02, 1.11) for eating frequency, 1.06 (95% CI 1.02, 1.10) for fast food and 1.04 (95% CI 1.00, 1.08) for sweets consumption categories based on the adjusted model (Table 3).

Discussion

We studied how airline company workers' ability to recover from work and their sleep problems were associated with dietary habits in a cross-sectional study design. The results showed that those workers, especially men, with poorer recovery from stressors of work more often chose unhealthy foods than did workers whose recovery from work was better. Similarly, sleep problems were associated with some unhealthy dietary habits.

Among men, poorer recovery from work was associated with lower consumption of vegetables and fruits and with higher consumption of fast food and sweets. In women, only the association between poorer recovery from work and higher consumption of fast food and desserts was observed. Women's generally poorer recovery from work was not associated with their dietary behaviours as strongly as for men. We have not found any studies concerning the associations between NFR from work and dietary habits. Instead, other research has found that high work-to-family spill over, white race, being male and working in the evening predicted decreased vegetable and fruit consumption among construction workers⁽³¹⁾. That study included only a few women, and finding of a gender difference in fruit and vegetable consumption can be questionable. Long working hours and poor sleep quality or inadequate sleep were associated with unfavourable food choices^(32,33). In addition, one of these studies found that changes in sleeping

Table 2 Association between need for recovery from work and dietary habits in men (*n* 737) and women (*n* 605)

Dietary habit*	Men			Women		
	OR	95 % CI	<i>P</i> †	OR	95 % CI	<i>P</i> †
Meals and snacks						
Model 1	1.02	0.99, 1.05	0.09	1.02	0.99, 1.04	0.29
Model 2	1.03	1.00, 1.06	0.03	1.02	0.99, 1.06	0.12
Vegetables						
Model 1	0.95	0.93, 0.98	<0.001	1.00	0.97, 1.03	0.89
Model 2	0.96	0.93, 0.98	0.002	1.00	0.97, 1.03	0.84
Fruits and berries						
Model 1	0.96	0.94, 0.99	0.005	0.99	0.96, 1.02	0.54
Model 2	0.96	0.93, 0.98	0.001	0.99	0.96, 1.02	0.42
Fast food						
Model 1	1.03	1.01, 1.06	0.021	1.05	1.02, 1.08	0.002
Model 2	1.05	1.02, 1.08	0.002	1.06	1.02, 1.09	0.001
Desserts						
Model 1	1.01	0.98, 1.04	0.48	1.04	1.01, 1.07	0.006
Model 2	1.01	0.99, 1.04	0.39	1.04	1.00, 1.07	0.026
Sweets						
Model 1	1.04	1.02, 1.07	0.002	1.00	0.99, 1.06	0.06
Model 2	1.05	1.02, 1.08	<0.001	1.03	1.00, 1.06	0.09
Grain products						
Model 1	1.00	0.98, 1.03	0.82	1.00	0.97, 1.03	0.94
Model 2	0.99	0.97, 1.02	0.70	1.00	0.97, 1.03	0.82
Sugary drinks						
Model 1	1.01	0.98, 1.04	0.57	0.99	0.96, 1.02	0.45
Model 2	1.01	0.98, 1.04	0.52	0.98	0.95, 1.02	0.30
Alcohol drinks‡						
Model 1	1.00	0.97, 1.03	0.92	1.01	0.98, 1.04	0.49
Model 2	1.00	0.97, 1.03	0.92	1.01	0.98, 1.04	0.48

*Dietary habit categories used in the analyses were as follows: number of meals and snacks: 1–2/d, 3–4/d, 5 or more per d; vegetables, fruits, desserts and sweets: <1 portion/week, 1–3 portions/week, 4–6 portions/week, 1 portion/d, at least 2 portions/d; fast food: <1 portion/month, 1–3 portions/month, 1–3 portions/week, at least 4 portions/week; grain products: <2 portions/d, 2–3 portions/d, at least 4 portions/d; sugary drinks: none, 1–14 dl/week, more than 14 dl/week; alcohol drinks: <3 drinks/week, 3–7 drinks/week, at least 8 drinks/week. OR denotes the odds for falling into higher consumption category by one-point increase in the NFR scale.

†Bold *P* values are statistically significant.

‡One drink contains 12 g of alcohol.

Model 1, no adjustments.

Model 2, adjusted for education, age, marital status, day work *v.* shift work, full or part time job and occupation.

patterns were associated with changes in biological markers related to central food control⁽³²⁾. These findings provide support for our results which indicate that work-related factors might influence workers' dietary habits, and it seems that they affect men more than women.

In the present study, correlation between NFR scale and sleep problem score was 0.57. NFR score has been shown to correlate with fatigue ($r = 0.66$ and $r = 0.71$), with emotional exhaustion ($r = 0.84$ and $r = 0.75$), with stress ($r = 0.63$), with physical job demands ($r = 0.36$), with psychological job demands ($r = 0.54$) and inversely with job control ($r = -0.32$), and NFR scale has been associated with subsequent sickness absence as well^(8,14). In our current study, workers' mean NRF score, 29.3, was in the same range as that in a large cohort of workers from another study (NFR = 26.7)⁽⁸⁾, but differed from the average score found in a study of day workers (NFR = 36.0)⁽³⁴⁾, and from the score found in a study of day and shift workers (NFR = 37.7)⁽³⁵⁾. The rather low NFR in the present study may be due to the workers' adaptation to work because of long working experience.

In the present study, proportions of those who ate fruit (men 42 %, women 69 %) and vegetables (men 57 %, women 80 %) daily were much higher than in a contemporary Finnish population-based survey where similar question about fruit (men 18 % and women 36 %) and vegetables (men 29 %, women 46 %) consumption has been used⁽³⁶⁾. Instead, in a Finnish national survey, consumption of fruits and vegetables was reported higher than in the present study, but the results were based on a consumption at least in one day of the 2-day recalls, and therefore, the results are not directly comparable with the results of daily consumption in the present study⁽³⁷⁾. Overall, consumption of fruit and vegetables might suggest that the present study population is more health conscious than Finnish general population. The above-mentioned studies also reported similar gender differences seen in the present study, showing that women's dietary habits are healthier than men's.

Women's recovery from work was inferior to that of men. This is in accordance with results from some previous studies but contradicting results have also been reported^(10,35,38). One explanation for our finding of a higher NFR score for women could be the high proportion of women who worked shifts in our study population. Overall, our results suggest that shift workers do not

Overall, our results suggest that shift workers do not

**Table 3** Association between sleep problems and dietary habits in men (*n* 734) and women (*n* 602)

Dietary habits*	Men			Women		
	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> †	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> †
Meals and snacks						
Model 1	1.03	0.99, 1.06	0.14	1.04	1.01, 1.08	0.02
Model 2	1.04	1.00, 1.07	0.04	1.06	1.02, 1.11	0.002
Vegetables						
Model 1	0.97	0.94, 1.00	0.08	1.00	0.96, 1.04	0.96
Model 2	0.98	0.95, 1.01	0.22	1.01	0.97, 1.05	0.76
Fruits and berries						
Model 1	0.96	0.93, 0.99	0.02	1.01	0.97, 1.05	0.62
Model 2	0.96	0.93, 0.99	0.01	1.01	0.97, 1.05	0.78
Fast food						
Model 1	1.06	1.02, 1.09	0.001	1.05	1.01, 1.09	0.02
Model 2	1.07	1.04, 1.11	<0.001	1.06	1.02, 1.10	0.005
Desserts						
Model 1	1.01	0.98, 1.05	0.47	1.03	0.99, 1.07	0.10
Model 2	1.01	0.98, 1.05	0.40	1.03	0.99, 1.07	0.19
Sweets						
Model 1	1.04	1.01, 1.08	0.01	1.05	1.01, 1.08	0.02
Model 2	1.05	1.01, 1.08	0.006	1.04	1.00, 1.08	0.04
Grain products						
Model 1	0.98	0.95, 1.01	0.18	1.00	0.96, 1.04	0.95
Model 2	0.97	0.93, 1.00	0.049	1.00	0.96, 1.04	0.93
Sugary drinks						
Model 1	1.02	0.98, 1.05	0.29	1.01	0.97, 1.05	0.59
Model 2	1.02	0.98, 1.05	0.33	1.01	0.97, 1.05	0.56
Alcohol drinks‡						
Model 1	1.05	1.01, 1.08	0.007	1.01	0.97, 1.05	0.56
Model 2	1.05	1.01, 1.08	0.007	1.01	0.97, 1.05	0.60

*Dietary habit categories used in the analyses were as follows: number of meals and snacks: 1–2/d, 3–4/d, 5 or more per d; vegetables, fruits, desserts and sweets: <1 portion/week, 1–3 portions/week, 4–6 portions/week, 1 portion/d, at least 2 portions/d; fast food: <1 portion/month, 1–3 portions/month, 1–3 portions/week, at least 4 portions/week; grain products: <2 portions/d, 2–3 portions/d, at least 4 portions/d; sugary drinks: none, 1–14 dl/week, more than 14 dl/week; alcohol drinks: <3 drinks/week, 3–7 drinks/week, at least 8 drinks/week. OR denotes the odds for falling into higher consumption category by one-point increase in sleep score.

†Bold *P* values are statistically significant.

‡One drink contains 12 g of alcohol.

Model 1, no adjustments.

Model 2, adjusted for education, age, marital status, day work v. shift work, full or part time job and occupation.

recuperate from work as well as day workers do. Jansen et al. also reported that shift workers' NFR from work was higher than that of day workers⁽¹¹⁾. Shift workers often suffer from sleep problems⁽³⁹⁾, and this presumably increases difficulties in recuperating from work. Unlike in some earlier studies, we did not observe age-related differences in mean NFR scores^(34,38). In our study population, the proportion of shift workers was lower in the oldest age category than in the youngest, which might explain the discrepancy with earlier findings.

We found an association between sleep problems and increased frequency of meals and snacks, increased fast food consumption and increased sweets consumption for both genders. In a Japanese study, poor sleep quality was associated with a low intake of vegetables and fish, high intake of confectionary and noodles, and unhealthy dietary habits such as irregular eating in women⁽²²⁾. A cross-sectional study identified an association between short sleep duration and lower fruit and vegetable consumption⁽⁴⁰⁾. Severely sleep-restricted shift workers were found to increase their snack consumption and to choose unhealthy sweet snacks more often⁽⁴¹⁾. There is thus rather strong evidence that sleep-related problems increase

adults' unfavourable food choices. A possible mechanism for the association between sleep problems and unhealthy dietary habits could be hormonal. Sleep loss increases levels of the appetite-stimulating hormone ghrelin, as well as food intake and energy consumption⁽⁴²⁾. Reduced sleep quality may affect the timing of insulin secretion and decrease the concentration of insulin secretion inducing glucagon-like peptide, resulting in an increased desire to eat⁽⁴³⁾. The influence of sleep restriction on the hormonal regulation of food intake may also differ by gender⁽⁴⁴⁾.

In the present study, we administered six questions that measured different aspects of sleep problems. NFR and sleep problems correlated highly, as expected. Because of the cross-sectional setting of the study, it was not possible to demonstrate whether poor recovery from work increases sleep problems or the other way around, and therefore we chose to present results of both. The results showed that shift workers had more sleep problems than day workers as has been reported also earlier⁽⁴⁵⁾. Both insufficient recovery from work and sleep problems could be seen as indicators of poor adaptation to shift work, but including work schedule as a confounder in the model did not affect the results. We might speculate that experienced



shift workers had adapted to the burden of shift work and therefore insufficient recovery or sleep problems did not particularly influence on their dietary habits.

Although disturbed sleep and insufficient recovery are typical to shift work, it is also possible that the cause-consequence order between sleep and nutrition could be two-way. A varied diet with nutritious foods such as fresh fruits, vegetables, whole grains and certain low-fat protein sources improves sleep⁽⁴⁶⁾. A recent short-term clinical study indicated that nutrients may affect sleep quality. In normal-weight adults, diets low in fibre and high in saturated fat lead to less deep sleep and diets with more sugar and less fibre lead to more arousals during sleep⁽⁴⁷⁾. This finding may partly explain why in our study those who reported more sleep problems also tended to report consuming more sweets and fast food, food choices that indicate high sugar and high fat intake. This underlines a cycle rather than a linear order of events in the observed association between diet, sleep and NFR from work.

In workplace preventive interventions, both work conditions and lifestyle should be addressed. For example, recuperation from work can be improved by spending leisure time on social and physical activities⁽⁴⁸⁾. However, in a study by Coffeng and colleagues in which the aim was to lower the NFR from work through social and physical workplace environment interventions, none of the interventions was effective⁽⁴⁹⁾. One suggested that the way of improving workers' sleep and recuperation from work is to change work schedules so that job strain is minimised or weekly work time is reduced^(50,51).

The present study has some limitations. The results clearly show that recovery from work, sleep problems and dietary habits are associated, but the cross-sectional study design does not reveal the direction of the effects. Therefore, the direction of the effects between NFR, sleep problems and diet should be investigated using prospective studies. Fatigue that results from insufficient recovery and disturbed sleep due to shift work can affect workers' means of coping with daily life, which can then influence their food choices. On the other hand, poor nutrition can impair workers' recovery from work and worsen sleep quality, increasing stress at work the next day. The data we used on NFR and sleep problems were self-reported by workers, so future studies should include objective sleep measures to confirm our results. It is possible that subjective opinion and objective data on these dimensions could show somewhat diverging associations with NFR and sleep problems. In addition, the results may not be generalisable to the working population as the airline company workers' stressors can differ from stressors of working population without working in shifts. However, adjusting for the shift work did not affect the associations. Also, selection bias of study participants must be taken into consideration when the results are interpreted. Although the follow-up participants did not differ from non-participants

in several measurements and lifestyle habits, some selection bias may have occurred.

To conclude, the present study suggests that workers' poor recovery from work and sleep problems can negatively associate with dietary habits and therefore possibly be detrimental to health in the long term, especially among men. Therefore, in workplace interventions, dietary counselling should be included together with counselling that target better recuperation from the work day. Healthier dietary habits may also promote better sleep quality and better recuperation.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Esko Levälähti for valuable advice on statistical analyses. *Financial support:* This work was supported by the Funding Tekes – the Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation (grant 1104/10); Yrjö Jahnsson Foundation (K.H., grant 6342); Academy of Finland SALVE consortium, (grant 129518); Juho Vainio Foundation (K.H.) and Finnish Work Environment Fund (grant 108320, K.H., 180147). Any of the above-mentioned funders had no role in the design, analysis or writing this article. *Conflict of interest:* None. *Authorship:* All the authors participated in planning the study design. K.H. carried the main responsibility for data analyses and preparation of the manuscript. K.V., K.H. and S.P. participated in data collection. All the authors have revised and approved the final version of the manuscript. *Ethics of human subject participation:* This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving research study participants were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

References

1. Parent-Thirion A, Vermeulen G, van Houten G *et al.* (2012) *Eurofound, Fifth European Working Conditions Survey*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
2. van de Straat V & Bracke P (2015) How well does Europe sleep? A cross-national study of sleep problems in European older adults. *Int J Public Health* **60**, 643–650.
3. Kronholm E, Partonen T, Härmä M *et al.* (2016) Prevalence of insomnia-related symptoms continues to increase in the Finnish working-age population. *J Sleep Res* **25**, 454–457.
4. Kronholm E, Partonen T, Laatikainen T *et al.* (2008) Trends in self-reported sleep duration and insomnia-related symptoms in Finland from 1972 to 2005: a comparative review and re-analysis of Finnish population samples. *J Sleep Res* **17**, 54–62.
5. Rahkonen O, Lallukka T, Kronholm E *et al.* (2012) Sleep problems and sickness absence among middle-aged employees. *Scand J Work Environ Health* **38**, 47–55.
6. Silva-Costa A, Griep RH, Fischer FM *et al.* (2012) Need for recovery from work and sleep-related complaints among nursing professionals. *Work* **41**, 3726–3731.



7. Karhula K, Härmä M, Sallinen M *et al.* (2013) Association of job strain with working hours, shift-dependent perceived workload, sleepiness and recovery. *Ergonomics* **56**, 1640–1651.
8. van Veldhoven M & Broersen S (2003) Measurement quality and validity of the 'need for recovery scale'. *Occup Environ Med* **60**, i3–i9.
9. Van Veldhoven M & Meijman TF (1994) *Het Meten Van Psychosociale Arbeidsbelasting Met Een Vragenlijst: De Vragenlijst Beleving En Beoordeling Van De Arbeid (VBBA) [The Measurement of Psychosocial Job Demands with a Questionnaire (VBBA)]*. Amsterdam: NIA.
10. Jansen NW, Kant IJ & van den Brandt PA (2002) Need for recovery in the working population: description and associations with fatigue and psychological distress. *Int J Behav Med* **9**, 322–340.
11. Jansen N, Kant I, van Amelsvoort L *et al.* (2003) Need for recovery from work: evaluating short-term effects of working hours, patterns and schedules. *Ergonomics* **46**, 664–680.
12. Sluiter JK, de Croon EM, Meijman TF *et al.* (2003) Need for recovery from work related fatigue and its role in the development and prediction of subjective health complaints. *Occup Environ Med* **60**, i62–i70.
13. Sluiter JK, van der Beek AJ & Frings-Dresen MH (1999) The influence of work characteristics on the need for recovery and experienced health: a study on coach drivers. *Ergonomics* **42**, 573–583.
14. de Croon EM, Sluiter JK & Frings-Dresen MHW (2003) Need for recovery after work predicts sickness absence: a 2-year prospective cohort study in truck drivers. *J Psychosom Res* **55**, 331–339.
15. van Amelsvoort LGPM, Kant IJ, Bültmann U *et al.* (2003) Need for recovery after work and the subsequent risk of cardiovascular disease in a working population. *Occup Environ Med* **60**, i83–i87.
16. Kivimäki M, Leino-Arjas P, Kaila-Kangas L *et al.* (2006) Is incomplete recovery from work a risk marker of cardiovascular death? prospective evidence from industrial employees. *Psychosom Med* **68**, 402–407.
17. Lallukka T, Kaikkonen R, Härkänen T *et al.* (2014) Sleep and sickness absence: a nationally representative register-based follow-up study. *Sleep* **37**, 1413–1425.
18. Nagler EM, Viswanath K, Ebbeling CB *et al.* (2013) Correlates of fruit and vegetable consumption among construction laborers and motor freight workers. *Cancer Causes Control* **24**, 637–647.
19. Dashti H, Scheer F, Jacques P *et al.* (2015) Short sleep duration and dietary intake: epidemiologic evidence, mechanisms, and health implications. *Adv Nutr* **6**, 648–659.
20. Chaput J (2014) Sleep patterns, diet quality and energy balance. *Physiol Behav* **134**, 86–91.
21. Golem DL, Martin-Biggers JT, Koenings MM *et al.* (2014) An integrative review of sleep for nutrition professionals. *Adv Nutr* **5**, 742–759.
22. Katagiri R, Asakura K, Kobayashi S *et al.* (2014) Low intake of vegetables, high intake of confectionary, and unhealthy eating habits are associated with poor sleep quality among middle-aged female Japanese workers. *J Occup Health* **56**, 359–368.
23. Viitasalo K, Lindström J, Hemiö K *et al.* (2012) Occupational health care identifies risk for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *Prim Care Diabetes* **6**, 95–102.
24. Hemiö K, Pölonen A, Ahonen K *et al.* (2014) A simple tool for diet evaluation in primary health care: validation of a 16-item food intake questionnaire. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* **11**, 2683–2697.
25. Kinnunen U, Feldt T, Siltaloppi M *et al.* (2011) Job demands–resources model in the context of recovery: testing recovery experiences as mediators. *EJWOP* **20**, 805–832.
26. Partinen M & Gislason T (1995) Basic nordic sleep questionnaire (BNSQ): a quantitated measure of subjective sleep complaints. *J Sleep Res* **4**, 150–155.
27. Torres SJ & Nowson CA (2007) Relationship between stress, eating behavior, and obesity. *Nutrition* **23**, 887–894.
28. James WPT, Nelson M, Ralph A *et al.* (1997) Socio-economic determinants of health: the contribution of nutrition to inequalities in health. *BMJ* **314**, 1545–1549.
29. Valsta L, Kaartinen N, Tapanainen H *et al.* (editors) (2018) Ravitsemus Suomessa – FinRavinto 2017 – Tutkimus [Nutrition in Finland – the National FinDiet 2017 Survey]. Report 12/2018. Helsinki, Finland: Institute for Health and Welfare.
30. Hemiö K, Puttonen S, Viitasalo K *et al.* (2015) Food and nutrient intake among workers with different shift systems. *Occup Environ Med* **72**, 513–520.
31. Devine CM, Stoddard AM, Barbeau EM *et al.* (2007) Work-to-family spillover and fruit and vegetable consumption among construction laborers. *Am J Health Promot* **21**, 175–182.
32. Mota MC, Waterhouse J, De-Souza DA *et al.* (2014) Sleep pattern is associated with adipokine levels and nutritional markers in resident physicians. *Chronobiol Int* **31**, 1130–1138.
33. Buxton OM, Quintiliani LM, Yang MH *et al.* (2009) Association of sleep adequacy with more healthful food choices and positive workplace experiences among motor freight workers. *Am J Public Health* **99**, S636–S643.
34. Mohren DC, Jansen NW & Kant I (2010) Need for recovery from work in relation to age: a prospective cohort study. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* **83**, 553–561.
35. Gommans FG, Jansen NW, Stynen D *et al.* (2015) Need for recovery across work careers: the impact of work, health and personal characteristics. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* **88**, 281–295.
36. Prättälä R, Paalanen L, Grinberga D *et al.* (2007) Gender differences in the consumption of meat, fruit and vegetables are similar in Finland and the Baltic countries. *Eur J Public Health* **17**, 520–525.
37. Paturi M, Tapanainen H, Reinivuo H, *et al.* (2008) The National FINDIET 2007 Survey (in Finnish, Tables, Figures and Summary in English). Publications of the National Public Health Institute B23/2008. Helsinki: National Public Health Institute.
38. Kiss P, De Meester M & Braeckman L (2008) Differences between younger and older workers in the need for recovery after work. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* **81**, 311–320.
39. Flo E, Pallesen S, Åkerstedt T *et al.* (2013) Shift-related sleep problems vary according to work schedule. *Occup Environ Med* **70**, 238–245.
40. Stamatakis KA & Brownson RC (2008) Sleep duration and obesity-related risk factors in the rural midwest. *Prev Med* **46**, 439–444.
41. Heath G, Roach GD, Dorrian J *et al.* (2012) The effect of sleep restriction on snacking behaviour during a week of simulated shiftwork. *Accid Anal Prev* **45**, 62–67.
42. Broussard J, Kilkus J, Delebecque F *et al.* (2016) Elevated ghrelin predicts food intake during experimental sleep restriction. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **24**, 132–138.
43. Gonnissen HKJ, Hursel R, Rutters F *et al.* (2013) Effects of sleep fragmentation on appetite and related hormone concentrations over 24 h in healthy men. *Br J Nutr* **109**, 748–756.
44. St-Onge MP, O'Keeffe M, Roberts AL *et al.* (2012) Short sleep duration, glucose dysregulation and hormonal regulation of appetite in men and women. *Sleep* **35**, 1503–1510.
45. Härmä M, Tenkanen L, Sjöblom T *et al.* (1998) Combined effects of shift work and life-style on the prevalence of insomnia, sleep deprivation and daytime sleepiness. *Scand J Work Environ Health* **24**, 300–307.
46. Peuhkuri K, Sihvola N & Korpela R (2012) Diet promotes sleep duration and quality. *Nutr Res* **32**, 309–319.



47. St-Onge MP, Roberts A, Shechter A *et al.* (2016) Fiber and saturated fat are associated with sleep arousals and slow wave sleep. *J Clin Sleep Med* **12**, 19–24.
48. Sonnentag S & Zijlstra FR (2006) Job characteristics and off-job activities as predictors of need for recovery, well-being, and fatigue. *J Appl Psychol* **91**, 330–350.
49. Coffeng JK, Boot CR, Duijts SF *et al.* (2014) Effectiveness of a worksite social & physical environment intervention on need for recovery, physical activity and relaxation; results of a randomized controlled trial. *PLoS One* **9**, e114860.
50. Härmä M, Hakola T, Kandolin I *et al.* (2006) A controlled intervention study on the effects of a very rapidly forward rotating shift system on sleep–wakefulness and well-being among young and elderly shift workers. *Int J Psychophysiol* **59**, 70–79.
51. Schiller H, Lekander M, Rajaleid K *et al.* (2017) The impact of reduced worktime on sleep and perceived stress – a group randomized intervention study using diary data. *Scand J Work Environ Health* **43**, 109–116.