Irish Section of The Nutrition Society, 17-19 June 2009

## Determination of the antioxidant potential of seaweed extracts using different methods

A. M. O'Sullivan<sup>1</sup>, Y. C. O'Callaghan<sup>1</sup>, M. N. O'Grady<sup>1</sup>, S. Kraan<sup>2</sup>, J. P. Kerry<sup>1</sup> and N. M. O'Brien<sup>1</sup>

Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, Cork, Republic of Ireland and

National Seaweed Centre, National University of Ireland, Galway, Republic of Ireland

The marine environment is a relatively unexploited source of antioxidants and other potential functional ingredients that may be incorporated into foods in order to enhance their health benefits and thereby their commercial value. Marine-derived seaweeds and algae yield a wide range of antioxidant extracts with varying extents of activity<sup>(1)</sup>. The objective of the present study was to measure the antioxidant activity of extracts from several seaweeds harvested from the Irish coastline. Seaweeds were harvested, milled and subjected to a 60% (v/v) methanol extraction followed by solvent evaporation and freeze-drying. The total phenol content (TPC) of the samples was measured using the Folin-Ciocalteu method; results were expressed relative to gallic acid. The  $\beta$ -carotene bleaching assay (BCBA) measured the ability of the extracts to protect against the degradation of  $\beta$ -carotene at  $50^{\circ}$ C with time; results were expressed relative to a negative control. The 2,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl hydrate-scavenging assay (DPPH) measured the ability of the extracts to scavenge DPPH radicals and the ferric-reducing antioxidant-potential assay (FRAP) measured the ability of the extracts to inhibit the reduction of a ferric compound to a ferrous compound relative to an ascorbic acid control.

	Ascophyllum nodosum		Laminaria hyperborea		Laminaria digitata		Himanthalia elongata		Pelvetia canaliculata		Fucus vesiculosus		Saccharina lattissima		Fucus serratus	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Yield (mg dry wt)	99.0	8.7	123	5.0	15.0	1.5	73.3	4.2	110	18.7	146	15.0	93.7	8.4	130	7.0
TPC (gallic acid equivalent)	0.89	0.01	0.35	0.10	0.45	0.12	0.60	0.14	0.87	0.04	0.72	0.20	0.57	0.13	1.04	0.30
FRAP (µm ascorbic acid)	81.4	6.1	25.6	3.9	60.5	4.6	46.7	4.5	57.7	10.5	110	17.7	17.2	2.8	113	18.5
DPPH (% radical scavenging)	25.6	1.9	nd		nd		nd		4.1	3.5	31.2	3.2	nd		5.5	1.8
BCBA (% protection)	76.3	3.6	41.7	9.5	62.2	1.7	1.2	2.8	53.9	1.2	71.2	3.8	33.9	2.3	62.2	1.9

Values are means for four individual experiments. nd, Not detected.

The yield from the seaweed extraction process varied between samples from a dry weight of 15 mg (*L. digitata*) to 146 mg (*F. vesiculosis*). Samples were reconstituted in 10 ml water and the antioxidant activity was determined employing each of the selected methods. Phenolic compounds constituted a major proportion of the antioxidant content of all plants including seaweeds. In the present study the total phenol content of the seaweeds ranged from 0.35 mg/ml (*L. hyperboea*) to 1.04 mg/ml (*F. serratus*) and correlated with the antioxidant activity as measured by the FRAP assay ( $R^2$  0.6). However, the antioxidant activity as measured by the DPPH assay and the BCBA did not correlate with the phenol content, suggesting the presence of additional antioxidants such as ascorbic acid, aromatic amines and S-containing compounds in the extract as previously observed for wheat<sup>(2)</sup>. There was also no evident relationship between the dry weight and the antioxidant activity of the extracts. The DPPH assay was the least sensitive assay as it did not detect any antioxidant activity in several of the samples. In conclusion, *A. nodosum*, *F. vesiculosis* and *F. serratus* displayed the greatest antioxidant activity as measured by the methods employed in the present study and their potential health benefits deserve further investigation.

- 1. Devi KP, Suganthy N, Kesika P et al. (2008) BMC Complement Altern Med 8, 38-49.
- 2. Yu L, Haley S, Perret J et al. (2002) J Agric Food Chem 50, 1619–1624.