

Lifestyle factors related to iodine intakes in French adults

Pierre Valeix^{1,*}, Patrice Faure², Sandrine Péneau¹, Carla Estaquio¹, Serge Hercberg¹ and Sandrine Bertrais¹

¹U557 INSERM/U1125 INRA/CNAM, Université Paris 13, CRNH Ile-de-France, 74 rue Marcel Cachin, F-93017 Bobigny Cedex, France; ²Département de Biologie Intégrée, Pôle de Biologie, CHRU Grenoble, BP 217, F-38043 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

Submitted 25 January 2008; Accepted 5 February 2009; First published online 19 May 2009

Abstract

Objective: To assess dietary iodine intakes among adults and to investigate the relationships of dietary, lifestyle, demographic and geographical characteristics with dietary iodine status. Adequacy of iodine intakes was also assessed.

Design: Cross-sectional study. Linear regression analyses and logistic regression modelling were used to determine correlates of iodine intakes. Usual iodine mean intake was calculated by averaging six 24 h dietary records completed over a 2-year period.

Subjects: Females aged 35–60 years (*n* 2962) and males aged 45–60 years (*n* 2117) living in France and who participated in the SU.VI.MAX study.

Results: Iodine intakes ranged from 30.0 to 446.3 µg/d. The median iodine intake was 150.7 µg/d for males and 131.4 µg/d for females. High-level (97.5th percentile) intakes were 273.4 µg/d for males and 245.0 µg/d for females. Overall, 8.5% of males and 20.3% of females had intakes <100 µg/d (*P* < 0.001). Alcohol drinkers and smokers tended to have lower iodine intakes than abstainers or non-smokers. Regular physical activity and both intermediate and high education levels were associated with a lower risk of iodine intake of <150 µg/d. For both males and females there were significant overall regional differences (*P* < 0.001) in multivariate-adjusted iodine intakes, with higher adjusted iodine intakes in Brittany and Normandy than in the north-eastern region.

Conclusions: Our data show a borderline low iodine intake in this middle-aged French population. However, differences in iodine intakes may contribute to explaining only a small part of the effects of sex and age on thyroid disease incidence.

Keywords
Iodine intakes
Lifestyle factors
Adults

Iodine plays a crucial role in maintaining thyroid status and preventing thyroid disease^(1,2). The costs associated with iodine-related thyroid disease medical care are substantial⁽³⁾. Iodine consumption has shown an upward trend in most Western European countries owing to increased iodine levels in basic foodstuffs. Use of iodine compounds in foods may result in excess contributions to dietary iodine intakes⁽⁴⁾. Therefore, it is mandatory to monitor iodine intakes in the general population and to identify groups at risk for low or elevated dietary iodine intakes.

By the turn of the 20th century, goitre was endemic in France. Although iodized household salt (10–15 µg iodine/g) was introduced on a voluntary basis from 1952 onwards, recommendations for reducing salt intake and the increasing use of non-iodized salt may be at the expense of iodine intake⁽⁵⁾. Data on iodine nutrition in the French population are scarce. A cross-sectional study in 1985 showed clinical signs of goitre (16.7%) and a low mean iodine excretion (85.0 µg/g creatinine) among a representative sample of young adolescents (10–16 years)⁽⁶⁾. A second cross-sectional study performed in 1994

among schoolchildren (6–14 years) showed an increase (120 µg/l) in the median urinary iodine concentration (UIC) and a low goitre prevalence among boys (4.1%) and girls (3.1%) estimated by ultrasonography⁽⁷⁾. In the SU.VI.MAX (SUpplémentation en Vitamines et Minéraux AntioXydants) study (1994–5), median UIC was 89 µg/l for males (45–60 years) and 82 µg/l for females (35–60 years) and the overall goitre prevalence ranged from 11.3% among males to 13.9% for the female sample⁽⁸⁾. Thyroid nodules assessed by ultrasound were found in 14.5% of the population⁽⁹⁾. According to criteria of the WHO, UNICEF and the International Council for the Control of Iodine Deficiency Disorders (ICCIDD), the French adult population may suffer from mild iodine deficiency. In particular, some subsets of the population, especially pregnant females, had an increased prevalence of mild iodine deficiency⁽¹⁰⁾.

The French government has set goals and objectives for public health action (Public Health Act, 2004) that pinpointed the need for an adequate iodine supply as a way of reducing endemic goitre in the general population. Underlying this goal is the objective to provide dietary

*Corresponding author: Email p.valeix@uren.smbh.univ-paris13.fr

guidance to prevent iodine-related thyroid disease. The primary aim of the present study was to assess dietary iodine intakes among apparently healthy adults aged 35–60 years participating in the SU.VI.MAX study. The influence of risk factor distribution on differences in iodine status has received little attention^(11–15). Consequently, our secondary aim was to explore sociodemographic characteristics associated with the iodine intake distribution across recommended dietary iodine intake levels.

Methods

Study population

Data came from the SU.VI.MAX study, initially designed as a double-blind, placebo-controlled, primary prevention trial to evaluate the effect of daily supplementation with antioxidants at nutritional doses (vitamins E and C, β -carotene, Se and Zn) on chronic disease incidence⁽¹⁶⁾. A total of 13 017 subjects, females aged 35–60 years and males aged 45–60 years from all over France, were included between October 1994 and June 1995 for a planned follow-up of 8 years. Participant characteristics suggest that the sample was similar to the national population in terms of geographical density and socio-economic status for the selected age groups⁽¹⁷⁾. The present study sample consisted of 2117 males and 2962 females who had completed at least six 24 h dietary records over a 2-year period (1994–6). Data for pregnant females were excluded from the analyses. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and all procedures were approved by the Ethical Committee for Biological Studies among Humans (CCPPRB Paris Cochin no. 706) and the National Committee for the Protection of Privacy and Civil Liberties (CNIL no. 334641).

Dietary assessment methods

Participants were invited to complete a 24 h dietary record every 2 months. Days were selected at random to ensure a sample of weekdays and weekend days throughout the year. Subjects were asked to quantify all foods consumed with reference to photographs of food portions. The colour food photography manual validated on 780 subjects in a pilot study includes a wide range of foods and beverages (959 foods) typical of the French diet⁽¹⁸⁾. Dietary data were considered unreliable if energy from any 24 h record was outside the range of 2·09–14·64 MJ (500–3500 kcal) for females and 3·35–16·74 MJ (800–4000 kcal) for males. Usual mean food intakes were assessed using six 24 h dietary records. Iodine intakes from foods were computed using the French Food Composition Table⁽¹⁹⁾. Iodine intakes refer to the intrinsic amounts in basic foods. Table salt use and salt used in cooking were not recorded. Analysed iodine values of French products were used whenever available. Most recent values for fish and fish products, liquid milk and processed dairy products (yoghurt, cheese), eggs, beverages (wine, tap water, mineral water) and iodized table salt were

used. The median iodine concentration of all milk consumed throughout the year was used in the present study.

Iodized table salt as a source of iodine

To assess the potential contribution of iodized table salt to iodine intake, daily table salt intake was measured over seven consecutive days in a subgroup of 157 participants⁽²⁰⁾. Subjects for this specific sub-study were participants living in the Paris area ('Ile-de-France'). During the 7 d period, volunteers were provided with a personal salt shaker. A total of 56·1% of the participants used table salt both inside and outside the home and added an average of 0·48 g salt to their foods daily. In 2002, 47% of all table salt consumed in France was iodized at 12·5 μ g/g, providing on average about 6 μ g iodine daily. Thus, in our study, on the basis of the above percentages, 6 μ g iodine was randomly allocated to 26·4% of the computed mean daily iodine intake. Cooking salt intake was not assessed by the 7 d food diary.

Urinary iodine concentration

Morning urine samples collected at baseline (October 1994 to June 1995) were used to evaluate iodine status. UIC was measured after wet acid mineralization (Technicon Auto-Analyzer II; Technicon Instruments Corporation, Tarrytown, NY, USA) by spectrophotometry (Sandell–Kolthoff reaction). Subjects taking thyroid hormones, antithyroid drugs, amiodarone or lithium treatment were excluded. Subjects with overt iodine contamination (UIC \geq 600 μ g/l) were also excluded. Population median UIC status was assessed according to WHO, UNICEF and ICCIDD criteria for males and non-pregnant/non-lactating females: \geq 100 μ g/l (adequate iodine excretion status), 50–100 μ g/l (mildly low iodine excretion) and $<$ 50 μ g/l (moderately low iodine excretion)⁽²¹⁾.

Covariates

Body weight (BW) and height were measured and BMI (weight (kg)/height (m)²) was calculated. Demographic and lifestyle data included age, smoking habits, marital status, employment status, and education level. Educational achievement was classified as 'low' ($<$ 13 years), 'intermediate' (13–14 years) and 'high' (\geq 15 years). Smoking habits (never and former smokers *v.* present smokers), marital status (whether subjects lived with a partner or not) and employment status (employed *v.* unemployed) were analysed as dichotomous variables. Physical activity (transportation, work and leisure combined) was self-estimated in equivalent walking-hours per day and measured on a scale ranging from 'never or seldom' to 'light' ($<$ 1 h/d) or 'moderate' (\geq 1 h/d). Males were considered as 'light', 'moderate' or 'heavy' drinkers if their recorded consumption of alcohol (g ethanol) was $<$ 30, 30–45 or \geq 45 g/d, respectively. Females were classified as 'light' ($<$ 10 g/d), 'moderate' (10–20 g/d) or 'heavy' (\geq 20 g/d) drinkers. Zip codes of the home addresses were used to categorize eleven well-defined

geographical regions of residence⁽⁸⁾: (i) 'Nord-Pas-de-Calais/Picardie'; (ii) 'Haute-Normandie/Basse-Normandie'; (iii) 'Lorraine/Franche-Comté/Alsace/Champagne-Ardenne'; (iv) 'Ile-de-France'; (v) 'Bretagne'; (vi) 'Pays de la Loire'; (vii) 'Poitou-Charente/Aquitaine/Midi-Pyrénées'; (viii) 'Limousin/Auvergne'; (ix) 'Rhône-Alpes'; (x) 'Provence-Alpes Côte d'Azur/Languedoc-Roussillon'; and (xi) 'Centre/Bourgogne'. A three-level urbanization classification (urban, semi-urban, rural) was ordered according to the original scheme developed by the National Central Bureau of Statistics and Economic Studies (INSEE).

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed separately in males and females using the SAS statistical software package version 8.2 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Since the distribution of values was skewed, iodine intake was logarithmically transformed and geometric means are presented. As iodine intake was highly related to energy intake, the energy-adjusted iodine intake (μg iodine/4184 kJ (1000 kcal)) was also calculated for each subject. In order to assess adequacy of iodine intake, we compared respondents' mean iodine intake with the dietary reference nutrient intakes (DRI) for adults (150 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$; $\sim 2 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ BW)⁽²²⁾. In addition, median values were determined and mean nutrient intakes at the 97.5th percentile were compared with the tolerable upper intake level (UL) for adults (600 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$)⁽²³⁾. The cut-off value for low iodine intake was 70 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$ ($\sim 1 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ BW)⁽²⁴⁾. Because there were few subjects with iodine intake $< 70 \mu\text{g}/\text{d}$ in each sex and age subgroup, we classified subjects into 'low' (LII; $< 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{d}$), 'middle' (MII; 100–150 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$) or 'high' (HII; $\geq 150 \mu\text{g}/\text{d}$) subgroup levels of iodine intake relative to the DRI. Subjects consuming less than two-thirds of the DRI (100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$) were considered to have potentially inadequate iodine intake. Iodine intakes were compared between genders using Student's *t* test or the χ^2 test where appropriate. Analysis of variance/covariance was used to compare iodine intakes according to various sociodemographic and health-related characteristics, and the Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons was performed when appropriate. Tests for linear trend were performed. Age (years), food energy (continuous) and covariates associated with iodine intake in bivariate analyses at $P < 0.20$ were entered simultaneously into the multivariate model. Multinomial logistic regression models were applied to assess lifestyle factors related to LII and MII compared with the HII group (reference)⁽²⁵⁾. Multivariable-adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals are reported. A *P* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Lifestyle factors

The mean age (SD) was 52.2 (4.7) years for males and 47.2 (6.6) years for females. Mean (SD) BMI was 25.3 (3.1) kg/m^2

for males and 23.0 (3.6) kg/m^2 for females; 5.7% of the subjects were obese (BMI $\geq 30.0 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^2$). One-quarter of the participants had 15 years or more of education. In our sample, 93.3% of males and 80.3% of females were categorized as alcohol drinkers. Only 12.9% of the participants smoked. Among males, 93.1% of non-smokers and 95.3% of smokers drank alcohol. The corresponding figures for females were 79.2% and 87.5%, respectively. Some 30.4% of participants reported physical activity of $< 1 \text{ h}$ walking/d, whereas 44.9% reported $\geq 1 \text{ h}/\text{d}$ and 24.7% reported irregular or no physical activity.

Dietary iodine intakes

Mean (SD) dietary energy was 10.16 (1.98) MJ/d (2431.6 (474.2) kcal/d) for males and 7.70 (1.75) MJ/d (1843.1 (418.1) kcal/d) for females. Both median and geometric mean iodine intakes as well as 2.5–97.5th percentile ranges for males and females of specific age groups are presented in Table 1. Dietary iodine intakes ranged from 30.0 to 446.3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$. The median daily iodine intake (geometric mean, SD) was significantly lower for females, 131.4 μg (129.2, 1.4 μg), compared with males, 150.7 μg (148.9, 1.4 μg ; $P < 0.001$). High-level (97.5th percentiles) intakes were 273.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$ for males and 245.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$ for females. Energy-adjusted iodine intake in males was slightly lower than in females for all age groups ($P < 0.0001$). Iodine intakes adjusted for BW showed an increasing trend with age in males ($P < 0.08$) and a decreasing trend in females ($P < 0.003$). Iodine intakes below 2 and 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ BW were prevalent in 49.5% and 2.2% of males, and 38.1% and 1.7% of females, respectively.

Some 50.5% of males and 34.3% of females achieved the DRI (Table 2). Over 8.5% of males and 20.3% females had iodine intakes below two-thirds of the DRI. Across each age group, a higher proportion of females than males had iodine intakes $< 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{d}$. With iodine intakes calculated over 6 d, the UL was never exceeded in all age–sex groups. Only ninety-eight subjects (0.3% of total daily dietary records) had a daily intake of iodine $> 600 \mu\text{g}$. The highest single estimated daily iodine intake was 1046.9 μg for males and 1159.8 μg for females.

Urinary iodine concentration

Median UIC was 85.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ for males, 86.6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ for younger (35–44 years) and 81.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ for older females (45–60 years). The median UIC was $< 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ in all age groups. The prevalence of UIC $< 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ and $< 50 \mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ was respectively 61.6% and 15.7% for males, 60.1% and 17.0% for younger females, 64.6% and 20.8% for the oldest females. UIC and iodine intakes were associated in both males ($r = 0.11$; $P < 0.001$) and females ($r = 0.07$; $P < 0.0001$).

Demographic and lifestyle covariates

Sociodemographic and behavioural characteristics associated with iodine intakes and the results of a multivariate analysis of iodine intakes for these selected variables

Table 1 Mean and median dietary iodine intakes* by sex and age among adults in the SU.VI.MAX study (1994–6)

| Sex/age (years) | Iodine intake ($\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$) | | | | | | Iodine dietary density ($\mu\text{g}/4184\text{ kJ food energy}\dagger$) | | | Iodine ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg BW}$) | | | |
|-----------------|--|-------|-----|-------|-------|--------|--|------|------|---------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | <i>n</i> | Mean‡ | SD | 2.5th | 50th | 97.5th | Mean | SD | 50th | Mean | SD | <2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg BW}$ (%) | <1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg BW}$ (%) |
| Males | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45–49 | 753 | 147.0 | 1.4 | 84.6 | 147.7 | 277.1 | 69.5 | 20.0 | 65.7 | 2.08 | 0.70 | 52.5 | 1.9 |
| 50–54 | 665 | 147.7 | 1.4 | 80.6 | 149.6 | 269.0 | 72.2 | 22.0 | 68.1 | 2.09 | 0.69 | 50.4 | 2.4 |
| 55–60 | 699 | 152.0 | 1.4 | 83.7 | 153.4 | 269.2 | 73.3 | 23.1 | 69.1 | 2.16 | 0.74 | 45.4 | 2.4 |
| All males | 2117 | 148.9 | 1.4 | 82.4 | 150.7 | 273.4 | 71.6 | 21.7 | 67.5 | 2.11 | 0.71 | 49.5 | 2.2 |
| Females | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35–39 | 454 | 129.6 | 1.4 | 74.4 | 132.4 | 230.6 | 75.8 | 25.5 | 71.1 | 2.41 | 0.82 | 32.8 | 1.3 |
| 40–44 | 623 | 130.0 | 1.4 | 68.1 | 133.3 | 249.0 | 78.6 | 26.9 | 73.7 | 2.42 | 0.93 | 35.6 | 0.6 |
| 45–49 | 860 | 130.1 | 1.4 | 67.9 | 131.0 | 249.1 | 79.9 | 24.0 | 76.3 | 2.34 | 0.82 | 38.3 | 1.7 |
| 50–54 | 526 | 127.1 | 1.4 | 66.6 | 130.9 | 235.9 | 80.5 | 24.4 | 78.0 | 2.30 | 0.83 | 39.7 | 3.0 |
| 55–60 | 499 | 128.3 | 1.4 | 66.6 | 129.5 | 244.3 | 81.7 | 26.2 | 77.1 | 2.26 | 0.83 | 43.7 | 1.8 |
| All females | 2962 | 129.2 | 1.4 | 68.1 | 131.4 | 245.0 | 79.4 | 25.4 | 75.0 | 2.35 | 0.85 | 38.1 | 1.7 |

*Data in the table are for food intakes (mean of six 24 h diet records in 2 years) and include the estimated amount of salt added at the table.

† $\mu\text{g}/4184\text{ kJ}$ (1000 kcal) = μg iodine per 4184 kJ (1000 kcal) from food (excluding energy from alcohol).

‡Geometric mean.

Table 2 Daily iodine intake (cumulative percentages) by sex and age among adults in the SU.VI.MAX study (1994–6)

| Iodine ($\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$) | Males | | | | Females | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | 45–49 years (<i>n</i> 753) | 50–54 years (<i>n</i> 665) | 55–60 years (<i>n</i> 699) | All (<i>n</i> 2117) | 35–39 years (<i>n</i> 454) | 40–44 years (<i>n</i> 623) | 45–49 years (<i>n</i> 860) | 50–54 years (<i>n</i> 526) | 55–60 years (<i>n</i> 499) | All (<i>n</i> 2962) |
| | cum % | cum % | cum % | cum % | cum % | cum % | cum % | cum % | cum % | cum % |
| <70 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| <80 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 7.2 |
| <100 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 18.1 | 21.0 | 19.4 | 21.9 | 21.0 | 20.3 |
| <120 | 22.3 | 23.8 | 20.6 | 22.2 | 38.8 | 37.1 | 38.3 | 39.2 | 41.1 | 38.7 |
| <140 | 41.6 | 41.2 | 37.3 | 40.1 | 56.0 | 57.0 | 58.1 | 58.4 | 57.9 | 57.6 |
| <150 | 51.9 | 50.4 | 46.1 | 49.5 | 66.5 | 65.0 | 66.3 | 65.8 | 65.0 | 65.7 |
| >150 | 48.1 | 49.6 | 53.9 | 50.5 | 33.5 | 35.0 | 33.7 | 34.2 | 35.0 | 34.3 |
| >200 | 15.0 | 15.9 | 19.7 | 16.9 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 7.6 | 9.8 | 9.0 |
| >250 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| >300 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| >400 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| >600 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 3 Age- and multivariate-adjusted* geometric mean level of iodine intake ($\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$) of adults in the SU.VI.MAX study (1994–6), calculated as the average of intake from six 24 h dietary records by sex and age category, according to various demographic and lifestyle characteristics

| | Males | | | Females | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| | 45–60 years (<i>n</i> 2117) | | | 35–44 years (<i>n</i> 1077) | | | 45–60 years (<i>n</i> 1885) | | |
| | Age-adjusted | | Multivariate-adjusted* | Age-adjusted | | Multivariate-adjusted* | Age-adjusted | | Multivariate-adjusted* |
| | <i>n</i> | Mean | Mean | <i>n</i> | Mean | Mean | <i>n</i> | Mean | Mean |
| BMI (kg/m^2) | | | | | | | | | |
| Normal (<25.0 kg/m^2) | 1052 | 151.4 | 147.7 | 930 | 131.8 | 129.3 | 1406 | 130.6 | 127.9 |
| Overweight (25.0–29.9 kg/m^2) | 927 | 150.5 | 150.5 | 105 | 131.7 | 135.1 | 369 | 130.9 | 132.2 |
| Obese (≥ 30.0 kg/m^2) | 138 | 144.3 | 147.3 | 42 | 123.6 | 127.8 | 110 | 126.2 | 129.1 |
| <i>P</i> value for trend | | 0.081 | 0.925 | | 0.214 | 0.792 | | 0.297 | 0.753 |
| Education level | | | | | | | | | |
| Low (<13 years) | 1063 | 150.3 | 148.2 | 311 | 126.0 | 128.2 | 951 | 128.3 | 127.5 |
| Intermediate (13–14 years) | 414 | 152.1 | 150.6 | 470 | 131.4 | 128.8 | 588 | 132.1 | 130.3 |
| High (≥ 15 years) | 640 | 149.9 | 148.8 | 296 | 137.3 | 133.1 | 346 | 133.1 | 129.7 |
| <i>P</i> value for trend | | 0.864 | 0.783 | | 0.001 | 0.130 | | 0.075 | 0.365 |
| Smoking status | | | | | | | | | |
| Never, past | 1861 | 151.8 | 149.5 | 884 | 132.9 | 130.8 | 1677 | 131.4 | 129.0 |
| Current | 256 | 141.6 | 144.4 | 193 | 125.0 | 125.2 | 208 | 122.1 | 127.2 |
| <i>P</i> value | | 0.0005 | 0.059 | | 0.0181 | 0.059 | | 0.0024 | 0.536 |
| Alcohol status | | | | | | | | | |
| Abstainers | 141 | 151.6 | 152.9 | 230 | 132.1 | 134.2 | 354 | 128.7 | 132.0 |
| Drinkers | 1976 | 150.5 | 148.5 | 847 | 131.2 | 128.6 | 1531 | 130.7 | 128.0 |
| <i>P</i> value | | 0.776 | 0.221 | | 0.794 | 0.048 | | 0.424 | 0.069 |
| Alcohol intake | | | | | | | | | |
| Light | 1174 | 151.9 | 149.3 | 481 | 135.0 | 132.3 | 786 | 130.3 | 129.6 |
| Moderate | 368 | 148.8 | 148.2 | 218 | 126.6 | 125.2 | 412 | 133.3 | 129.7 |
| Heavy | 434 | 148.1 | 147.8 | 148 | 126.2 | 127.4 | 333 | 128.8 | 126.9 |
| <i>P</i> value for trend (alcohol drinkers) | | 0.134 | 0.791 | | 0.023 | 0.034 | | 0.589 | 0.498 |
| Marital status† | | | | | | | | | |
| Married, cohabitant | 1901 | 150.9 | 148.9 | 855 | 130.6 | 129.1 | 1533 | 131.5 | 129.1 |
| Other | 194 | 146.5 | 147.4 | 213 | 135.7 | 133.9 | 328 | 126.6 | 128.6 |
| <i>P</i> value | | 0.196 | 0.628 | | 0.124 | 0.119 | | 0.058 | 0.813 |
| Employment status | | | | | | | | | |
| Permanent employee | 1677 | 150.8 | 149.4 | 890 | 131.9 | 130.1 | 1305 | 130.2 | 128.3 |
| Unemployed/retired | 440 | 149.6 | 146.9 | 187 | 129.3 | 128.7 | 580 | 130.8 | 129.8 |
| <i>P</i> value | | 0.674 | 0.294 | | 0.446 | 0.659 | | 0.768 | 0.452 |
| Physical activity | | | | | | | | | |
| Never or seldom | 487 | 144.0 | 145.5 | 292 | 127.0 | 127.0 | 474 | 127.4 | 127.8 |
| <1 h walking/d | 506 | 153.0 | 151.4 | 393 | 132.1 | 130.1 | 647 | 129.9 | 127.6 |
| ≥ 1 h walking/d | 1124 | 152.4 | 149.1 | 392 | 134.1 | 131.6 | 764 | 132.6 | 130.3 |
| <i>P</i> value for trend | | 0.0006 | 0.101 | | 0.029 | 0.105 | | 0.041 | 0.216 |
| Menopause status | | | | | | | | | |
| Premenopause | – | – | – | 1065 | 131.3 | 129.7 | 1093 | 132.4 | 129.5 |
| Menopause | – | – | – | 12 | 137.8 | 143.9 | 792 | 127.7 | 127.8 |
| <i>P</i> value | | | | | 0.611 | 0.214 | | 0.113 | 0.515 |
| Geographical location‡ | | | | | | | | | |
| Nord-Pas-de-Calais/Picardie | 85 | 143.5 | 141.4 | 49 | 138.6 | 134.8 | 81 | 133.4 | 127.9 |
| Haute-Normandie/Basse-Normandie | 97 | 158.0 | 154.3 | 41 | 138.4 | 139.3 | 81 | 144.4 | 141.4 |
| Alsace/Lorraine/Franche-Comté/Champagne-Ardenne | 173 | 140.5 | 139.5 | 94 | 120.6 | 118.5 | 128 | 126.9 | 121.0 |
| Ile-de-France | 552 | 149.3 | 148.8 | 254 | 132.7 | 133.8 | 510 | 127.2 | 127.5 |
| Bretagne | 204 | 166.2 | 160.8 | 123 | 141.8 | 134.4 | 211 | 141.8 | 136.7 |

Table 3 Continued

| | Males | | | | | | Females | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------|------------------------|--------|----------------------|------------------------|--------|--------------|------------------------|--------|
| | 45–60 years (n 2117) | | | 35–44 years (n 1077) | | | 45–60 years (n 1885) | | | | | |
| | Age-adjusted | Multivariate-adjusted* | Mean | Age-adjusted | Multivariate-adjusted* | Mean | Age-adjusted | Multivariate-adjusted* | Mean | Age-adjusted | Multivariate-adjusted* | Mean |
| | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n |
| Pays de la Loire | 172 | 156.5 | 153.7 | 113 | 134.4 | 130.6 | 152 | 136.5 | 134.8 | 152 | 136.5 | 134.8 |
| Poitou-Charente/Aquitaine/Midi-Pyrénées | 235 | 157.5 | 155.2 | 103 | 124.5 | 125.5 | 223 | 129.8 | 128.8 | 223 | 129.8 | 128.8 |
| Auvergne/Limousin | 79 | 145.3 | 145.0 | 42 | 133.8 | 128.7 | 75 | 130.1 | 124.5 | 75 | 130.1 | 124.5 |
| Rhône-Alpes | 188 | 144.0 | 140.2 | 109 | 130.6 | 129.6 | 157 | 127.6 | 127.9 | 157 | 127.6 | 127.9 |
| PACA/Languedoc-Roussillon/Corse | 186 | 143.5 | 146.6 | 76 | 121.8 | 122.3 | 139 | 123.9 | 125.1 | 139 | 123.9 | 125.1 |
| Centre/Bourgogne | 146 | 149.3 | 146.5 | 73 | 132.1 | 129.5 | 128 | 123.0 | 122.9 | 128 | 123.0 | 122.9 |
| P value | | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | | 0.0074 | 0.0138 | | <0.0001 | 0.0004 | | <0.0001 | 0.0004 |
| Degree of urbanization§ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Urban area | 1370 | 149.3 | 148.8 | 709 | 130.6 | 129.2 | 1247 | 129.9 | 128.9 | 1247 | 129.9 | 128.9 |
| Suburban area | 402 | 154.6 | 150.7 | 176 | 136.0 | 133.2 | 347 | 127.3 | 125.1 | 347 | 127.3 | 125.1 |
| Rural area | 342 | 150.5 | 146.7 | 191 | 130.0 | 128.9 | 287 | 136.3 | 132.5 | 287 | 136.3 | 132.5 |
| P value | | 0.130 | 0.420 | | 0.296 | 0.419 | | 0.029 | 0.043 | | 0.029 | 0.043 |

*Full model, means are adjusted for age, food energy and all other characteristics included in the table and back-transformed following regression.

†Excludes fifty-five persons for whom marital status was unknown.

‡For geographical representation, see reference 8.

§Excludes eight persons for whom the degree of urbanization was unknown.

are presented in Table 3. Age-adjusted mean iodine intakes decreased with smoking, alcohol consumption and urbanization, and increased with education and physical activity. However, the differences in iodine intakes for education level and physical activity were no longer significant in multivariate analyses. Older females had higher iodine intakes than those aged 35–44 years (130.4 v. 127.0 µg/d; *P* = 0.034). Male and younger female smokers tended to consume less iodine than non-smokers (*P* = 0.059). Female abstainers had the highest iodine intake compared with younger (*P* = 0.048) and older (*P* = 0.069) female drinkers. An inverse relationship between drinking levels and iodine intake was statistically significant only for younger females (*P* = 0.034 for linear trend). A similar trend was observed among males.

There were significant overall regional differences in mean adjusted iodine intakes for both males and females, with more ‘protective’ iodine intakes observed in the western region compared with the eastern region. Both males and females living in the north-east (‘Alsace/Lorraine/Franche-Comté/Champagne-Ardenne’) had the lowest adjusted iodine intake: males consumed almost 21.3 µg (13.2%) less iodine per day than those living in ‘Bretagne’ (*P* < 0.05), while females aged 35–44 and 45–60 years consumed 20.8 µg (15.4%; *P* < 0.05) and 20.4 µg (14.2%; *P* < 0.05) less iodine per day, respectively, than those living in ‘Normandie’ (other variables remaining constant). Females living in suburban areas had lower adjusted iodine intakes compared with their rural counterparts (*P* = 0.013).

Male and female drinkers had a slightly higher risk of MII than male and female abstainers (Table 4). We observed a lower risk of LII and MII for males who reported an intermediate education level and had light physical activity level, respectively. Males who lived in the north-east region had an increased risk of MII (OR = 1.24, 95% CI 1.03, 1.51) compared with those living in ‘Ile-de-France’. Males living in ‘Bretagne’ had a reduced risk of LII (OR = 0.83, 95% CI 0.71, 0.98) and MII (OR = 0.90, 95% CI 0.83, 0.97) compared with those living in ‘Ile-de-France’. Conversely, males who lived in the ‘Rhône-Alpes’ and the north-east had a higher risk of MII compared with the reference region (‘Ile-de-France’). Relative to the reference group, females with an intermediate or a high education level (*P* for trend = 0.003) were less likely to have LII compared with females with only a low education level. Females with a reduced risk of LII were more likely to live in ‘Normandie’ or ‘Bretagne’. Those with a reduced risk of MII were more likely to be highly educated (*P* for trend = 0.04), abstainers (*P* = 0.041), to report doing light- or moderate-intensity exercise (*P* for trend = 0.01) and live in ‘Normandie’ (*P* = 0.063). Interactions such as education by smoking, education by alcohol consumption and smoking by alcohol consumption were added to the model, but none of the interaction terms was found to be statistically significant.

Table 4 Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for having low (LII) and moderate (MII) iodine intakes according to sociodemographic, geographic and behavioural characteristics among adults in the SU.VI.MAX study (1994–6)

| Independent variable | Males (45–60 years) | | | | | | Females (35–60 years) | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|------|------------|------|------|------------|-----------------------|------|------------|------|------|------------|
| | LII | | | MII | | | LII | | | MII | | |
| | % | OR | 95% CI | % | OR | 95% CI | % | OR | 95% CI | % | OR | 95% CI |
| Overall prevalence | 8.5 | | | 41.0 | | | 20.3 | | | 45.4 | | |
| BMI | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <25.0 kg/m ² | 8.1 | 1.00 | reference | 40.0 | 1.00 | reference | 19.7 | 1.00 | reference | 45.6 | 1.00 | reference |
| 25.0–29.9 kg/m ² | 8.5 | 0.90 | 0.62, 1.29 | 42.2 | 0.97 | 0.79, 1.19 | 21.7 | 0.80 | 0.58, 1.11 | 44.5 | 0.89 | 0.70, 1.14 |
| ≥30.0 kg/m ² | 11.6 | 1.05 | 0.75, 1.47 | 40.6 | 0.95 | 0.77, 1.16 | 25.0 | 1.07 | 0.82, 1.40 | 47.4 | 1.11 | 0.90, 1.36 |
| <i>P</i> value for trend | 0.86 | | | 0.60 | | | 0.65 | | | 0.87 | | |
| Education level | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Low (<13 years) | 8.8 | 1.00 | reference | 40.7 | 1.00 | reference | 23.9 | 1.00 | reference | 44.9 | 1.00 | reference |
| Intermediate (13–14 years) | 5.8 | 0.55 | 0.33, 0.92 | 42.3 | 0.94 | 0.73, 1.21 | 18.0 | 0.74 | 0.57, 0.97 | 47.3 | 0.94 | 0.77, 1.15 |
| High (≥15 years) | 9.7 | 1.02 | 0.84, 1.25 | 40.6 | 0.97 | 0.87, 1.09 | 17.0 | 0.80 | 0.68, 0.94 | 43.6 | 0.88 | 0.78, 0.99 |
| <i>P</i> value for trend | 0.99 | | | 0.57 | | | 0.003 | | | 0.04 | | |
| Smoking status | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Never, past | 8.0 | 0.67 | 0.41, 1.09 | 40.6 | 0.92 | 0.68, 1.24 | 19.3 | 0.81 | 0.58, 1.13 | 45.8 | 1.06 | 0.81, 1.38 |
| Current | 12.5 | 1.00 | reference | 44.1 | 1.00 | reference | 26.2 | 1.00 | reference | 43.4 | 1.00 | reference |
| Alcohol status | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-drinkers | 7.1 | 1.00 | reference | 36.2 | 1.00 | reference | 23.0 | 1.00 | reference | 42.6 | 1.00 | reference |
| Drinkers | 8.6 | 2.02 | 0.97, 4.22 | 41.4 | 1.53 | 1.04, 2.26 | 19.6 | 1.33 | 0.99, 1.77 | 46.2 | 1.32 | 1.05, 1.64 |
| Alcohol intake | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Light | 8.7 | 1.00 | reference | 39.8 | 1.00 | reference | 18.6 | 1.00 | reference | 46.5 | 1.00 | reference |
| Moderate | 8.4 | 0.97 | 0.77, 1.24 | 42.9 | 1.05 | 0.92, 1.20 | 20.5 | 1.16 | 0.99, 1.35 | 44.9 | 1.02 | 0.91, 1.14 |
| Heavy | 8.5 | 0.98 | 0.84, 1.14 | 44.2 | 1.06 | 0.98, 1.15 | 21.2 | 1.11 | 0.99, 1.24 | 47.0 | 1.05 | 0.96, 1.14 |
| <i>P</i> value for trend (alcohol drinkers) | 0.73 | | | 0.14 | | | <0.05 | | | 0.31 | | |
| Marital status* | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Married, cohabitant | 8.4 | 1.00 | reference | 41.0 | 1.00 | reference | 19.9 | 1.00 | reference | 45.5 | 1.00 | reference |
| Other | 9.8 | 1.02 | 0.57, 1.82 | 41.8 | 0.98 | 0.70, 1.37 | 20.7 | 0.86 | 0.63, 1.17 | 45.5 | 0.90 | 0.72, 1.13 |
| Employment status | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Permanent employee | 8.6 | 1.00 | reference | 41.8 | 1.00 | reference | 19.4 | 1.00 | reference | 46.9 | 1.00 | reference |
| Unemployed/retired | 8.2 | 1.07 | 0.65, 1.77 | 38.0 | 0.98 | 0.74, 1.29 | 22.8 | 1.05 | 0.80, 1.38 | 41.5 | 0.87 | 0.71, 1.06 |
| Physical activity | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Never or seldom | 10.1 | 1.00 | reference | 46.4 | 1.00 | reference | 21.7 | 1.00 | reference | 49.1 | 1.00 | reference |
| <1 h walking/d | 7.3 | 0.68 | 0.41, 1.12 | 39.3 | 0.72 | 0.54, 0.95 | 20.5 | 0.92 | 0.68, 1.25 | 44.2 | 0.79 | 0.63, 0.99 |
| ≥1 h walking/d | 8.4 | 0.93 | 0.76, 1.15 | 39.4 | 0.90 | 0.80, 1.02 | 19.1 | 0.87 | 0.78, 1.01 | 44.2 | 0.87 | 0.78, 0.97 |
| <i>P</i> value for trend | 0.53 | | | 0.10 | | | 0.07 | | | 0.01 | | |
| Menopause status | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre-menopause | – | | | – | | | 19.5 | 1.00 | reference | 46.0 | 1.00 | reference |
| Menopause | – | | | – | | | 22.3 | 1.25 | 0.86, 1.81 | 44.2 | 1.17 | 0.89, 1.55 |
| Geographical location† | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nord-Pas-de-Calais/Picardie | 14.1 | 1.96 | 0.89, 4.31 | 38.8 | 0.94 | 0.56, 1.58 | 20.0 | 1.12 | 0.62, 2.04 | 42.3 | 0.89 | 0.57, 1.39 |
| Haute-Normandie/Basse-Normandie | 9.3 | 0.96 | 0.41, 2.29 | 35.1 | 0.76 | 0.47, 1.26 | 9.0 | 0.30 | 0.14, 0.63 | 46.7 | 0.66 | 0.42, 1.02 |
| Alsace/Lorraine/Franche-Comté/Champagne-Ardenne | 9.3 | 1.19 | 0.85, 1.67 | 51.5 | 1.24 | 1.03, 1.51 | 23.9 | 1.35 | 1.06, 1.71 | 46.4 | 1.14 | 0.94, 1.37 |
| Ile-de-France | 8.7 | 1.00 | reference | 43.7 | 1.00 | reference | 21.9 | 1.00 | reference | 45.6 | 1.00 | reference |
| Bretagne | 4.4 | 0.83 | 0.71, 0.98 | 31.4 | 0.90 | 0.83, 0.97 | 12.9 | 0.90 | 0.82, 0.98 | 46.1 | 0.97 | 0.92, 1.04 |
| Pays de la Loire | 5.8 | 0.89 | 0.78, 1.01 | 34.3 | 0.92 | 0.87, 0.99 | 17.0 | 0.96 | 0.89, 1.04 | 45.3 | 0.97 | 0.92, 1.03 |
| Poitou-Charente/Aquitaine/Midi-Pyrénées | 5.5 | 0.91 | 0.82, 1.00 | 39.2 | 0.97 | 0.92, 1.01 | 23.0 | 1.02 | 0.96, 1.08 | 44.2 | 0.99 | 0.95, 1.04 |
| Auvergne/Limousin | 10.1 | 1.01 | 0.90, 1.13 | 41.8 | 1.00 | 0.93, 1.07 | 24.8 | 1.03 | 0.96, 1.11 | 34.2 | 0.95 | 0.89, 1.01 |

Table 4 Continued

| Independent variable | Males (45–60 years) | | | | | | Females (35–60 years) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|------|------------|------|------|------------|-----------------------|------|------------|------|------|------------|
| | LII | | | MII | | | LII | | | MII | | |
| | % | OR | 95% CI | % | OR | 95% CI | % | OR | 95% CI | % | OR | 95% CI |
| Rhône-Alpes | 10.1 | 1.05 | 0.98, 1.13 | 46.8 | 1.04 | 1.00, 1.09 | 21.1 | 0.99 | 0.95, 1.05 | 45.9 | 0.99 | 0.96, 1.04 |
| PACA/Languedoc-Roussillon/Corse | 12.4 | 1.01 | 0.95, 1.08 | 40.3 | 0.99 | 0.95, 1.02 | 25.1 | 1.02 | 0.98, 1.07 | 45.1 | 1.01 | 0.97, 1.04 |
| Centre/Bourgogne | 8.9 | 1.01 | 0.94, 1.07 | 41.1 | 1.00 | 0.96, 1.04 | 20.4 | 1.03 | 0.98, 1.07 | 53.2 | 1.03 | 0.99, 1.07 |
| Degree of urbanization† | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Urban area | 8.5 | 1.00 | reference | 42.5 | 1.00 | reference | 21.1 | 1.00 | reference | 44.8 | 1.00 | reference |
| Suburban area | 8.0 | 0.98 | 0.61, 1.55 | 37.3 | 0.84 | 0.65, 1.08 | 19.3 | 0.93 | 0.67, 1.28 | 45.3 | 0.99 | 0.78, 1.25 |
| Rural area | 9.1 | 1.15 | 0.90, 1.47 | 39.5 | 1.00 | 0.87, 1.15 | 18.0 | 0.98 | 0.83, 1.17 | 48.5 | 1.08 | 0.95, 1.22 |

LII, <100 µg/d; MII, 100–150 µg/d; reference, HII, ≥150 µg/d. Multivariate multinomial logistic regression models were adjusted for age, food energy and all other characteristics included in the table. Tests for trend are Wald's test in logistic models. *Excludes thirty-three persons for whom marital status was unknown. †For geographical representation, see reference 8. ‡Excludes five persons for whom the degree of urbanization was unknown.

Discussion

Our results showed borderline low iodine intakes with significant gender differences. On average, males approached the DRI, but females did not meet these recommendations. In our study, no clear age difference in iodine intakes was found although it tended to increase slightly with age in males. Mean iodine intakes among males decreased with age in The Netherlands (22–49 v. 50–64 years, 8.0%)⁽²⁶⁾ and the USA (25–30 v. 60–65 years, 19.5%)⁽²⁷⁾, but increased significantly with age by 4.1% in the British National Diet and Nutrition Survey (BNDNS; 35–49 v. 50–64 years)⁽¹³⁾. Age had little effect among females, as intakes were observed to decrease by 2.9% in The Netherlands⁽²⁶⁾, 7.7% in the USA⁽²⁷⁾, and to increase by 9.9% in the BNDNS⁽¹³⁾ and in Denmark (Aalborg, 14.1%; Copenhagen, 1.7%)⁽¹¹⁾. Male-to-female differences (in percentage) in iodine intakes in 45–60 years age groups (13.5%) were consistent with previous studies conducted among adults in Spain (36.4%)⁽²⁸⁾, the BNDNS (26.0%)⁽¹³⁾, Norway (22.7%)⁽¹⁴⁾, The Netherlands (18.6%)⁽²⁶⁾, Germany (10.3%)⁽¹²⁾ and Denmark (5.8%)⁽¹¹⁾. Gender difference in iodine intake is mainly due to the greater volume of food consumed by males. However, the higher density of iodine in females' diets suggests an average higher quality of foods consumed by females compared with males. Similar female-to-male differences in iodine density have been reported in Norway (71.1 v. 67.3 µg/4184 kJ (1000 kcal))⁽¹⁴⁾ and the UK (103 v. 97 µg/4184 kJ (1000 kcal))⁽¹⁵⁾. Yet, iodine density was higher in Spanish males' diets (131.7 µg/4184 kJ (1000 kcal)) than in those of females (127.5 µg/4184 kJ (1000 kcal))⁽²⁸⁾. In our study, ANOVA showed a positive relationship between age and iodine density in both males and females. This positive relationship with age is surprising, since energy intake is slightly lower at a higher age. To meet the DRI, females must have a diet with a high iodine density. In our data, 0.8% of males and 3.1% of females had iodine intakes <70 µg/d and 8.5% of males and over one-fifth of females had intakes <100 µg/d. The same figures were 2% and 6% for males, and 4% and 17% for females in the BNDNS, respectively⁽¹³⁾. In The Netherlands, 3% of males and 8% of females had iodine intakes <50 µg/d, and 35% of males and 64% of females had intakes <100 µg/d⁽²⁹⁾. In our study, the UL was not reached by any subject when iodine intake was calculated as the mean of six 24h records. Individual variations of food consumption may lead to more daily extreme amounts (low or high) different from those found in our study. Calculations based on 1 d showed a small proportion (0.3%) with iodine intake >600 µg/d. In Germany, 0.2% of iodine intakes were >500 µg/d⁽¹²⁾ and in Norway, 0.6% were >400 µg/d⁽¹⁴⁾. High-level (97.5th percentiles) intakes in the BNDNS were 428 µg/d for males and 340 µg/d for females⁽¹³⁾.

The geographic distribution of iodine intakes in the present study approximately coincides with the prevalence of

human goitre. In the BNDNS⁽¹³⁾, mean iodine intakes among males ranged from 208 µg/d in London and the south-east area, to 245 µg/d in Scotland, while among females, iodine intakes across regions remained fairly unchanged. In Denmark, median iodine intakes in Copenhagen and Aalborg were 149 and 103 µg/d in males and 123 and 97 µg/d in females aged 60–65 years⁽¹¹⁾. Analyses of the association between lifestyle and iodine intakes while controlling for sociodemographic characteristics revealed that smoking habits had a greater effect than any other covariate on iodine intakes (smokers having slightly lower iodine intakes than non-smokers). In the British Longitudinal Birth Cohort, iodine intakes were significantly lower among females aged 16–17 years who smoked than among non- or occasional smokers (150.8 *v.* 160.3 µg/d, $P < 0.01$)⁽³⁰⁾. Some of the associations between smoking habits, drinking habits and iodine intake could be of clinical importance, since smokers and alcohol drinkers may have a higher requirement for iodine^(31,32). Females with a high education level and males and females with an intermediate education level had the lowest risk of LII or MII compared with those with a low education level. Physical activity was positively associated with dietary iodine in both males and females. Greater iodine intake suggests a greater increase in overall energy intake. However, the explanation for this difference is not clear, since we found a strong relationship with education and physical activity after adjusting for energy and other behavioural characteristics. Education and physical activity may simply represent better food choices or a greater awareness of health.

In our data, mean iodine intakes per kg BW or per 4184 kJ (1000 kcal) were higher in females than in males. Prevalence estimates of iodine intakes <70 µg/d, based on dietary methods with a long time frame (6 d food records over a 2-year period) and more representative physiological intake parameters (per kg BW), gave results which are roughly comparable: 0.8% and 2.2% among males, 3.1% and 1.7% among females. Extending these figures to the entire French population using 1991 gender- and age-specific census data, an overall estimated 0.3 million had iodine intakes <70 µg/d and approximately 0.4 million males and 1.8 million females had intakes <100 µg/d. These data refer to a habitual pattern of intake. Long-term low iodine intake may result in exhaustion of thyroid iodine stores and, finally, in goitre. The mild degree of iodine deficiency reported in the female group is of some concern because a relatively high level of iodine-related morbidity was found among females having an iodine status comparable to that found in the present study^(9,33). Median UIC was in the range for mild iodine deficiency disorders. However, the prevalence of low UIC in our study, which is based on spot urine samples, is likely to be higher than the prevalence based on habitual iodine excretion. The difference in time frames between urine collections and diet records can result in false low correlation coefficients.

A major strength of the present study is that it was a large population-based study. Few studies in the literature have assessed the independent contributions of various risk factors such as unfavourable lifestyle factors for iodine intakes. Although we adjusted for several potential confounders, we cannot rule out the possibility of unmeasured confounding factors. The iodine content of food represents the maximum amount of iodine available to the consumer and not the amount actually utilized or absorbed. First, iodine intake was slightly underestimated in our study because the contribution of iodized cooking salt could not be assessed; second, iodine intake would be further reduced if we took conventional cooking losses into account; third, bioavailability depends on the chemical form of iodine, the nature of the food ingested and the health and nutritional status of the individual (thyroid uptake); and fourth, iodine economy depends on the intake of substances known to impair iodine uptake by the thyroid or incorporation of iodine in thyroid hormones⁽³⁴⁾. The calculation of iodine intake is frequently incorrect due to large regional and seasonal variations of the iodine content in many foods. Nevertheless, the concurrence of the results of our two methods, the calculated iodine intake based on 6 d diet records and iodine excretion, strengthens the results of our study.

In conclusion, dietary iodine intakes among adults from all over France participating in the SU.VI.MAX study (1994–6) were found to be slightly lower than the DRI standards, with significant gender and regional differences. Our study has highlighted that females are an ‘at risk’ group for iodine deficiency. Both physicians and health-care providers should be aware that with low-energy diets (young females, slimming diets, elderly and illnesses), increased requirements of iodine (pregnancy, lactation) and failure to promote iodine-dense diets, iodine status may still be insufficient. In order to further reduce the incidence of iodine deficiency disorders, iodine concentration in salt was recently increased from 10–15 to 15–20 mg/kg. The simulated combined iodization of bread, rusks and flaky pastries with iodized salt during processing has recently been evaluated and appeared to be an effective strategy to control and eliminate iodine deficiency in the French population⁽³⁵⁾.

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by grant from the Direction Générale de la Santé (Ministère de la Santé et des Solidarités). None of the authors had a personal or financial conflict of interest. The authors' contributions were as follows: P.V. and S.B. contributed to data analysis, interpretation of the data and writing of the manuscript; P.F. supervised the laboratory measurements; C.E., S.P. and S.H. aided in interpretation of results. We thank Stacie Chat-Yung for reviewing and editing the manuscript.

References

- Laurberg P, Pedersen IB, Knudsen N, Ovesen L & Andersen S (2001) Environmental iodine intake affects the type of nonmalignant thyroid disease. *Thyroid* **11**, 457–469.
- Konno N, Makita H, Yuri K, Iizuka N & Kawasaki K (1994) Association between dietary iodine intake and prevalence of subclinical hypothyroidism in the coastal regions of Japan. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **78**, 393–397.
- Kahaly GJ & Dietlein M (2002) Cost estimation of thyroid disorders in Germany. *Thyroid* **12**, 909–914.
- Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (2000) *Iodine in Milk. Food Surveillance Information Sheet* no. 198. London: HM Stationery Office.
- Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Aliments (2002) *Rapport Sel: Evaluation et recommandations (Report on Salt: Evaluation and Recommendations)*. Paris: AFSSA; available at <http://www.afssa.fr/Documents/NUT-Ra-Sel.pdf>
- Mornex R (1987) Enquête sur la prévalence du goitre en France (Goiter prevalence in France). *Bull Acad Natle Méd* **171**, 301–306.
- Caron P, Jaffiol C, Leclère J, Orgiazzi J & Delange F (1996) Apport iodé en France. Résultats nationaux du projet Thyromobile dans une population d'enfants scolarisés de 6 à 14 ans (Iodine consumption in France. National results of the Thyromobile project in a population of schoolchildren aged 6–14 years). *Ann Endocrinol (Paris)* **57**, 228–233.
- Valeix P, Zarebska M, Préziosi P, Galan P, Pelletier B & Hercberg S (1999) Iodine deficiency in France. *Lancet* **353**, 1766–1767.
- Valeix P, Zarebska M, Bensimon M, Cousty C, Bertrais S, Galan P & Hercberg S (2001) Nodules thyroïdiens à l'échographie et statut en iode des adultes volontaires de l'étude SU.VI.MAX (Ultrasonic assessment of thyroid nodules, and iodine status of French adults participating in the SU.VI.MAX study). *Ann Endocrinol (Paris)* **62**, 499–506.
- Caron P, Hoff M, Bazzi S *et al.* (1997) Urinary iodine excretion during normal pregnancy in healthy women living in the Southwest of France: correlation with maternal thyroid parameters. *Thyroid* **7**, 749–754.
- Rasmussen LB, Ovesen L, Bülow I, Jørgensen T, Knudsen N, Laurberg P & Perrild H (2002) Dietary iodine intake and urinary iodine excretion in a Danish population: effect of geography, supplements and food choice. *Br J Nutr* **87**, 61–69.
- Manz F, Böhmer T, Gärtner R, Grossklaus R, Klett M & Schneider R (2002) Quantification of iodine supply: representative data on intake and urinary excretion of iodine from the German population in 1996. *Ann Nutr Metab* **46**, 128–138.
- Henderson L, Irving K, Gregory J, Bates CJ, Prentice A, Perks J, Swan G & Farron M (2003) *The National Diet and Nutrition Survey: Adults Aged 19 to 64 Years*. vol. 3: *Vitamin and Mineral Intake and Urinary Analytes*. Norwich: Office for National Statistics, HM Stationery Office.
- Dahl L, Johansson L, Julshamn K & Meltzer HM (2004) The iodine content of Norwegian foods and diets. *Public Health Nutr* **7**, 569–576.
- Pryer JA, Nichols R, Elliott P, Thakrar B, Brunner E & Marmot M (2001) Dietary patterns among a national random sample of British adults. *J Epidemiol Community Health* **55**, 29–37.
- Hercberg S, Galan P, Préziosi P, Bertrais S, Mennen L, Malvy D, Roussel AM, Favier A & Briançon S (2005) The SU.VI.MAX Study: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of the health effects of antioxidant vitamins and minerals. *Arch Intern Med* **164**, 2335–2342.
- Hercberg S, Préziosi P, Briançon S, Galan P, Triol I, Malvy D, Roussel AM & Favier A (1998) A primary prevention trial using nutritional doses of antioxidant vitamins and minerals in cardiovascular diseases and cancers in a general population: the SU.VI.MAX study – design, methods, and participant characteristics. *Control Clin Trials* **19**, 336–351.
- Le Moullec N, Deheeger M, Préziosi P *et al.* (1996) Validation du manuel-photos utilisé pour l'enquête alimentaire de l'étude SU.VI.MAX (Validation of the photo manual used for the collection of dietary data in the SU.VI.MAX study). *Cab Nutr Diet* **31**, 158–164.
- Favier JC, Ireland-Ripert J, Toque C & Feinberg M (1995) *Répertoire général des aliments. Table de composition (Food Composition Data)*. Paris: Lavoisier Technique et Documentation.
- Mennen L, Lafay L, Valeix P, Galan P, Volatier JL, Castetbon K & Hercberg S (2001) Etude de validation de l'estimation des ajouts en sel (NaCl) pour l'enquête INCA2 (Validation of a questionnaire for assessing dietary intake of salt in the second National Survey on Food Consumption). *Journées Scientifiques de l'InVS* no. 22. Paris: Institut de Veille Sanitaire.
- World Health Organization/UNICEF/International Council for the Control of Iodine Deficiency Disorders (2007) *Assessment of Iodine Deficiency Disorders and Monitoring Their Elimination. A Guide for Programme Managers*, 3rd ed. Geneva: WHO.
- Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Aliments (2002) Iode (Iodine). In *Apports nutritionnels conseillés pour la population française (Recommended Dietary Allowances for the French Population)*, pp. 161–165 [A Martin, editor]. Paris: Editions Tech & Doc.
- Scientific Committee on Food (2002) *Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food on the Tolerable Upper Intake Level of Iodine. SCF/CS/NUT/UPPLEV/26*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Delange F (1993) Requirements of iodine in humans. In *Iodine Deficiency in Europe. A Continuing Concern*, pp. 5–13 [F Delange, JT Dunn and D Glinioer, editors]. New York: Plenum Press.
- Hosmer DW & Lemeshow S (2000) Ordinal logistic regression models. In *Applied Logistic Regression*, 2nd ed., pp. 288–308. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Brussaard JH, Hulshof KFAM & Löwik MRH (1995) Calculated iodine intake before and after simulated iodization (Dutch Nutrition Surveillance System). *Ann Nutr Metab* **39**, 85–94.
- Pennington JAT & Young BE (1991) Total Diet Study nutritional elements, 1982–1989. *J Am Diet Assoc* **91**, 179–183.
- Capita R & Alonso-Calleja C (2006) Evaluation of vitamin and mineral intakes and impact of snack foods on Spanish adults. *Nutr Res* **26**, 255–265.
- Brug J, Löwik MRH, van Binsbergen JJ, Odink J, Egger RJ & Wedel M (1992) Indicators of iodine status among adults (Dutch Nutrition Surveillance System). *Ann Nutr Metab* **36**, 129–134.
- Crawley HF & While D (1995) The diet and body weight of British teenage smokers at 16–17 years. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **49**, 904–914.
- Vestergaard P (2002) Smoking and thyroid disorders – a meta-analysis. *Eur J Endocrinol* **146**, 153–161.
- Valeix P, Faure P, Bertrais S, Vergnaud AC, Dauchet L & Hercberg S (2008) Effects of light to moderate alcohol consumption on thyroid volume and thyroid function. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* **68**, 988–995.
- Valeix P, Dos Santos C, Castetbon K, Bertrais S, Cousty C & Hercberg S (2004) Statut thyroïdien et fréquences des dysthyroïdies chez les adultes inclus dans l'étude SU.VI.MAX en 1994–1995 (Thyroid hormones levels and thyroid dysfunction of French adults participating in the SU.VI.MAX study (1994–1995)). *Ann Endocrinol (Paris)* **65**, 477–486.
- Gaitan E (1989) *Environmental Goitrogenesis*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Aliments (2005) *Evaluation de l'impact nutritionnel de l'introduction de composés iodés dans les produits agroalimentaires (Potential Benefits and Risks associated with Introduction of Iodized Salt in Processed Foods)*. Paris: AFSSA; available at <http://www.afssa.fr/Documents/NUT-RA-impactiode.pdf>