

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation

Implementation of an Antimicrobial Stewardship Program in Five Colombian Hospitals in 2018

Christian Pallares, Centro Médico Imbanaco de Cali; María Virginia Villegas Botero

Background: More than 50% of antibiotics used in hospitals are unnecessary or inappropriate. The antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASPs) are coordinated efforts to promote the rational and effective use of antibiotics including appropriate selection, dosage, administration, and duration of therapy. When an ASP integrates infection control strategies, it is possible to decrease the transmission of multidrug-resistant pathogens. **Methods:** In 2018, 5 Colombian hospitals were selected to implement an ASP. Private and public hospitals from different cities were included in the study, ranging from 200 to 700 beds. Our team, consisting of an infectious disease and hospital epidemiologist, visited each hospital to establish the baseline of their ASP program, to define the ASP outcomes according to each hospital's needs, and to set goals for ASP outcomes in the following 6–12 months. Follow-up was scheduled every 2 months through Skype video conference. The baseline diagnosis or preintervention evaluation was done using a tool adapted from previous reports (ie, international consensus and The Joint Commission international standards). Documentation related to ASPs, such as microbiological profiles, antimicrobial guidelines (AMG) and indicators for the adherence to them as well as antimicrobial resistance (AMR) prevention through protocols, were written and/or updated. Prevention and infection control requirements and protocols were also updated, and cleaning and antiseptic policies were created. Training in rational use of antibiotic, infection control and prevention, and

cleaning and disinfection were carried out with the healthcare workers in each institution. **Results:** Before the intervention, the development of the ASP according to the tool was 27% (range, 5%–47%). The lowest institutional scores were the item related to ASP feedback and reports (11% on average), followed by education and training (14%), defined ASP responsibilities (23%), ASP function according to priorities (26%), and AMR surveillance (27%). After the intervention, the ASP development increased to 57% (range, 39%–81%) in the hospitals. The highest scores achieved were for education and training (90%), surveillance (75%), and the activities of the infection control committee (70%). The items that made the greatest contribution to ASP development were the individual antibiogram, including the bacteria resistance profile, and the development of the AMG based on the local epidemiology in each hospital. **Conclusions:** The implementation of an ASP should include training and education as well as defining outcomes according to the hospital's needs. Once the strategy is implemented, follow-up is key to achieving the goals.

Funding: None**Disclosures:** None

Doi:10.1017/ice.2020.842

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation

Implementation of an Electronic Travel Navigator to Enable "Identify-Isolate-Inform" for Emerging Infectious Diseases

Sarimer Sanchez, Massachusetts General Hospital; Eileen Searle, Center for Disaster Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA; David Rubins, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Boston, MA; Sayon Dutta, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; Winston Ware, Center for Quality and Safety, Massachusetts General Hospital,

Figure 1. MGH Travel Navigator (A) and MERS BestPractice Advisory (B)

Figure 1 consists of two screenshots, A and B.
 Panel A, titled 'Travel Screening', shows a web-based form. At the top, it displays 'Time taken: 0750' and the date '1/29/2019'. Below this are several interactive elements: 'Add Row', 'Add Group', 'Add_LDA', 'Values By', and 'Create Note'. The main section is 'Travel Screening', which includes a dropdown menu for 'Travel within the last month' with options: Foreign, Domestic, No Travel, and Unknown. Below this is a section for 'Regions visited in the last month' with checkboxes for Africa, Asia, and Australia. Further down is 'Middle Eastern countries visited in the last month' with checkboxes for Bahrain, Gaza, Iraq, and Iran. The 'Additional Screening' section contains two questions: 'Have you had a fever or felt feverish in the past week?' and 'Have you had a cough or felt short of breath in the past week?', each with 'Yes', 'No', and 'Unknown' options. At the bottom, there are 'Accept', 'Accept and Neg', and 'Cancel' buttons.
 Panel B, titled 'BestPractice Advisory', shows a 'Very Important (1)' advisory for 'MERS Isolation Warning'. The text states: 'Based on your patient's travel and symptom history, a diagnosis of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) should be suspected, please follow your hospital's MERS isolation policy and procedures. Please contact the MGH Biothreats Pager at 26876.' Below the text are three buttons: 'Order', 'Do Not Order', and 'Strict Isolation Status'. An 'Acknowledge Reason' section has three buttons: 'Not Suspected Case', 'Will Notify Provider', and 'Administrative Review'. At the bottom, there is a copyright notice '© 2020 Epic Systems Corporation. Used with permission.' and an 'Accept' button.

Figure 1. Travel screening includes initial choice of foreign, domestic, no travel, or unknown (A). When a provider selects "foreign", the region choices appear. If a region is chosen, corresponding country choices then appear. If either "Middle East" or one of the 13 countries identified as at risk for MERS is chosen, the additional screening section appears and providers are prompted to answer questions regarding patient symptoms. If either of the symptom screen questions are answered as "yes" or if a temperature ≥ 100.4 is documented in an electronic flow sheet, the MERS BestPractice Advisory (BPA) appears (B), providing the user with instructions regarding patient isolation and contact information for the MGH Biothreats Pager, staffed 24/7.

Fig. 1.