BULL. AUSTRAL. MATH. SOC.

VOL. | (1969), 27-28

## Schunk classes are nilpotent product closed

## **James Wiegold**

The following result is proved. Let  $\underline{X}$  be a Schunk class and k a positive integer. Then the k-th nilpotent product of any two groups in  $\underline{X}$  is in  $\underline{X}$ .

Let  $\underline{X}$  be a class of finite soluble groups. Then  $\underline{X}$  is a *Schunk* class if the following two conditions hold.

(i) Every epimorphic image of a group in X is in X.

(ii) Let G be a finite soluble group such that every epimorphic image of G having a faithful primitive permutation representation is in  $\underline{X}$ . Then G is in  $\underline{X}$ .

In his lectures to the Summer Research Institute of the Australian Mathematical Society at Canberra in January 1969, W. Gaschütz gave a proof · of the fact that Schunk classes are direct product closed, using a lemma of Itô about maximal subgroups of a direct product. Here we offer a proof of a more general result:

THEOREM. Let  $\underline{X}$  be a Schunk class and k a positive integer. Then the k-th nilpotent product of any two groups in  $\underline{X}$  is in  $\underline{X}$ .

Proof. Let  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  be any groups in  $\underline{X}$  and  $G_1$  their k-th nilpotent product. We shall show that every primitive epimorphic image of  $G_1$  is an epimorphic image of  $A_1$  or of  $B_1$ , and that will be more than enough to ensure that  $G_1$  is in  $\underline{X}$ . Let G be an epimorphic image of  $G_1$ which is a primitive permutation group on a set  $\Omega$ , and let A and Bstand for the images of  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  under the epimorphism. If A or B

Received 10 March 1969

is 1, then G is B or A; so we may assume that A and B are both non-trivial. In this situation it will appear that G is cyclic of prime order so that we have the stronger conclusion that G = A = B.

Firstly suppose that the mutual commutator subgroup [A, B] is not 1. Then it follows from the definition of k-th nilpotent product (see [1]) that [A, B] contains non-trivial elements of the centre of G. But then a subgroup Z of prime order in the centre of G is transitive on  $\Omega$  since it is normal in G ([2], Theorem 8.8), and regular since it is transitive and abelian. This means that G = Z, otherwise G would contain abelian subgroups containing Z strictly, which is evidently not possible.

Finally suppose that [A, B] = 1. Then A and B are normal in G since they generate G; and they are therefore transitive on  $\Omega$ . However, by ([2], Exercise 4.5'), the centralizer of a transitive subgroup is semiregular, which yields in our case that A and B are both regular. Since G is soluble (and this is the one point at which solubility is used) it is monolithic, and the monolith M is regular and abelian. As normal subgroups of G, A and B must contain M, so that A = B = Msince these three groups are of the same order. We have proved that G = M; and, as in the preceding paragraph, we conclude that G is of prime order. This completes the proof.

## References

- [1] O.N. Golovin, "Nilpotent products of groups", Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.
  (2) 2 (1956), 89-115. Translated from Mat. Sb. N.S. 27 (69)
  (1950), 427-454.
- [2] Helmut Wielandt, Finite permutation groups (Academic Press, New York, 1964).

Department of Pure Mathematics, SGS, Australian National University, Canberra. A.C.T. Department of Pure Mathematics, University College, Cardiff, U.K.

28