



Was the Ediacaran–Cambrian radiation a unique evolutionary event?

Douglas H. Erwin

Abstract.—The extent of morphologic innovation during the Ediacaran–Cambrian diversification of animals was unique in the history of metazoan life. This episode was also associated with extensive changes in the redox state of the oceans, in the structure of benthic and pelagic marine ecosystems, in the nature of marine sediments, and in the complexity of developmental interactions in Eumetazoa. But did the phylogenetic and morphologic breadth of this episode simply reflect the unusual outcome of recurrent evolutionary processes, or was it the unique result of circumstances, whether in the physical environment, in developmental mechanisms, or in ecological interactions? To better characterize the uniqueness of the events, I distinguish among these components on the basis of the extent of sensitivity to initial conditions and unpredictability, which generates a matrix of possibilities from fully contingent to fully deterministic. Discriminating between these differences is important for informing debates over determinism versus the contingency in the history of life, for understanding the nature of evolutionary theory, and for interpreting historically unique events.

Douglas H. Erwin. Department of Paleobiology, National Museum of Natural History, Post Office Box 37012, Washington, D.C. 20013, U.S.A. E-mail: Erwind@si.edu

Accepted: 13 August 2014

Introduction

Gould (1989) famously advocated a critical role for contingency in the history of life, employing the lineages preserved in the mid-Cambrian Burgess Shale to suggest that the early history of animals could have played out with different patterns of success among various lineages of bilaterians. Other studies have evaluated the uniqueness of discrete evolutionary innovations (Crick 1968; Raup and Valentine 1983; Kauffman 1995; Gould 2002; Vermeij 2006), in part from interest in the role of contingency versus determinism or predictability in the history of life. This tension between contingent and unique explanations for historical events versus repeated patterns, albeit within the context of particular initial conditions and pathways, is a fundamental issue for understanding patterns and processes in the history of life.

Take two extreme examples. If historical contingency is the dominant mode in the history of life, then attempts to develop a general theory of either macroevolution or microevolution, beyond the most basic assumptions about evolutionary processes, are questionable.

Historical narrative may be the most paleontologists can realistically expect to contribute (although this is a view that few readers of *Paleobiology* are likely to find attractive). In contrast, the nascent field of astrobiology is predicated on sufficient regularities in the nature of life that we can employ our understanding of life on Earth to make predictions about both the probability of life and its nature elsewhere in the universe.

But the history of life includes a mix of deterministic and historically contingent processes (the meaning of contingency will be explored below). Some events, such as the Ediacaran–Cambrian Radiation (ECR) appear to some to be so unique as to defy a uniformitarian explanation. However, some evolutionary biologists have adopted an implicitly uniformitarian approach, denying that there was anything unique about the processes involved in such events. For example, Vermeij (2006) examined 23 purportedly unique events in the history of life, comparing them with a suite of 55 innovations that have happened more than once. His analysis suggested that the apparent uniqueness of many evolutionary innovations reflected information loss due to

their occurrence early in the history of life rather than true uniqueness. From this Vermeij concluded that many evolutionary innovations are highly deterministic, and something like them would have happened even if not in the same lineage or at the same time. Note that Gould and Vermeij are addressing different questions: Gould was arguing that the long-term success or failure of clades that arose during the ECR was unpredictable, whereas Vermeij was essentially arguing that something like the ECR was inevitable, even if the details of timing and other characteristics may not have been predictable in advance.

Molecular clock evidence indicates that animals originated near 780 Ma, followed by the appearance of sponges, cnidarians, then by the time of the Marinoan glaciation (ending 635 Ma) the diversification of bilaterians (Erwin et al. 2011; Erwin and Valentine 2013). This pulse of diversification of bilaterian clades near the Ediacaran/Cambrian boundary documented by the fossil record is consistent with molecular evidence suggesting that most crown-group bilaterians date to the latest Ediacaran and Cambrian (Erwin et al. 2011). The rapidity, phylogenetic breadth, and extensive morphologic disparity associated with the ECR has drawn forth a remarkable array of explanations from the reasonable and plausible to the patently absurd. Even among the more responsible hypotheses, however, there is a tension between explanations that invoke processes and mechanisms that are either occurring today or could plausibly be occurring today versus explanations that invoke unique circumstances and contingent events and are thus non-uniformitarian. This issue goes beyond the now predictable disputes between microevolutionists and taxic macroevolutionists over the nature of historical explanations of macroevolutionary patterns.

Here the question I address is similar to Vermeij's, but with broader scope, if a narrower temporal focus. Few can dispute the transformative nature of the diversification of animals and attendant changes during the ECR, but did these unique events reflect unique circumstances, or merely unusual, historically contingent results of processes that have operated throughout the Phanerozoic?

Specifically, I encompass a broader context than either Gould or Vermeij, including geological and geochemical changes to the environment during the early diversification of metazoans. More generally, what general conclusions about the nature of evolution can we draw from an understanding of the ECR? Paleontologists study speciation to derive general models of the speciation process. Similarly paleobiologists and other evolutionary biologists have explored larger-scale diversifications to identify recurrent macroevolutionary patterns (Jablonski 2008, 2010; Rabosky and Lovette 2008). Does the ECR provide general models of evolutionary innovation on this scale? I evaluate proposed causal factors in three domains: the physical environment, ecological interactions, and developmental processes. The purpose of this contribution is not to add to recent reviews of this topic (Budd 2008; Erwin et al. 2011) nor to evaluate competing hypotheses, but rather to inquire into the nature of differing explanations. Finally, I consider the implications of the tension between uniformitarian approaches and historically unique explanations both for understanding the ECR and for historical sciences more generally.

Environmental Context

Molecular clock evidence indicates that Metazoa originated during the Cryogenian (850–635 Ma) with sponges and cnidarians arising by about 700 Ma (throughout this contribution I use the dates from Erwin et al. [2011], which are broadly consistent with other recent molecular clock studies of the metazoan radiation). The Cryogenian was bookended by two widespread glacial events: the Sturtian (ca. 720–660 Ma) and Marinoan (ca. 650–635 Ma), with a third, probably less extensive event punctuating the Ediacaran (the Gaskiers, ca. 580 Ma) (Halverson and Shields-Zhou 2011). Some Sturtian and Marinoan glacial deposits were deposited in low paleolatitudes and are topped by lithologically distinctive carbonates known as “cap carbonates” (Shields 2005). The high alkalinity and supersaturation of carbonate necessary to produce such a facies require highly unusual conditions, with the most extreme hypothesis being a Snowball Earth.

The Snowball Earth scenario involves glaciation near sea level extending to the equator, likely for millions of years (Hoffman et al. 1998; Schrag et al. 2002; Li et al. 2013). The anomalous nature of a Snowball Earth extends to the structure of oceans underlying the ice. Modeling results suggest that extensive convective mixing would have produced vertically uniform temperature and salinity profiles (Ashkenazy et al. 2013). Because release from the glaciation probably required sufficient buildup of atmospheric carbon dioxide from volcanic eruptions to overcome the reduction of chemical weathering of continents due to the buildup of ice, the deglaciation phase would have involved extreme environmental conditions, including high alkalinity and high nutrient loading of the oceans (Higgins and Schrag 2003; Planavsky et al. 2010). Although extensive glaciations occurred during the Phanerozoic, none were as widespread or had the geochemical impact of the Cryogenian events. Tziperman and colleagues (Tziperman et al. 2011) proposed an intriguing scenario in which the glaciations were not triggered by physical processes, but rather were biologically mediated through increased transport of organic material to the deep ocean. Even if the Snowball Earth scenario is incorrect, the empirical evidence associated with the glaciations and their immediate aftermath, including the unusual cap carbonates, extreme isotopic changes, and other data, is sufficiently unusual as to require anomalous environmental conditions and a non-analog, and probably non-uniformitarian, explanation.

The amount of atmospheric oxygen increased about 2.4 billion years ago (Sessions et al. 2009; Lyons et al. 2014) but Proterozoic oceans remained largely anoxic below a surface layer oxygenated by exchange with the atmosphere and local biological activity. These changes in ocean redox were likely also responsible for extensive changes in other geochemical proxies during the Cryogenian and Ediacaran including carbon, sulfur, and strontium isotopes as well as iron speciation (Halverson et al. 2009, 2010; Maloof et al. 2010; Grotzinger et al. 2011). Debate continues over the extent to which waters were euxinic (sulfur-rich), anoxic but not sulfidic (ferruginous), or

anoxic but with low sulfate and ferruginous iron during the Neoproterozoic (Anbar and Knoll 2002; Shen et al. 2002; Canfield et al. 2008; Johnston et al. 2010; Li et al. 2010; Shields-Zhou and Och 2011). Several recent papers have clarified the history of oxygen in the oceans during Neoproterozoic, with iron speciation and sulfur isotope data from the Fifteen-mile Group in the Yukon providing evidence of oxic shelfal waters overlying anoxic (largely Fe-rich but with occasional episodes of euxinia) deep waters after about 800 Ma (Sperling et al. 2013b). Oxygen was increasingly present at levels sufficient for animals after the Marinoan glaciation, but shallow marine environments evidently lacked stable oxygen levels until about 560 Ma (Johnston et al. 2012). The negative excursions in carbon isotopes, particularly the Shuram anomaly during the later Ediacaran Period, were more extreme than any yet documented for the Phanerozoic (Halverson et al. 2010; Grotzinger et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2013). Molybdenum and chromium isotopes also suggests pervasive ferruginous anoxia through the Proterozoic, with smaller regions of euxinic seafloor (Reinhard et al. 2013), and a recent model suggests that phosphorus levels may have been much lower than in modern oceans (Laakso and Schrag 2014). The extreme carbon isotope perturbations documented during the late Neoproterozoic were progressively damped in the Early Cambrian, and although perturbations in stable isotopes and in other geochemical parameters occurred during some mass extinction events (e.g., Shen et al. 2011), the system quickly reverted to the Phanerozoic norm. Although brief anoxic events occurred during the Paleozoic and Mesozoic, a state change seems to have occurred during the ECR to an oceanic redox state where pervasive and long-lasting anoxia was unlikely and perhaps impossible.

The critical question, however, is whether increased oxygen levels in shallow marine environments drove the ECR, and particularly the Cambrian Explosion, *sensu stricto* (Johnston et al. 2012), or whether, as Butterfield has argued (Butterfield 2009; Lenton et al. 2014), there was sufficient oxygen in such settings far back into the Neoproterozoic. Recent geochemical evidence suggests that although

the final ventilation of the oceans with oxygen may have occurred during the ECR, shallow-water settings had >1% present atmospheric levels of oxygen back as far as 800 Ma (Sperling et al. 2013b). Moreover, Sperling et al. compiled data suggesting that the physiological oxygen requirements for bilaterian metazoans are much lower than many had previously assumed (see also similar data from Mills et al. [2014] for demosponges). Thus, despite the unusual environmental conditions of the late Neoproterozoic, lack of available oxygen does not seem to have prevented the early divergence of metazoans, but the Cambrian diversification of larger bilaterians (the Cambrian Explosion) likely did require the stable and higher oxygen levels found after 560 Ma.

An influx of nutrients associated with weathering or continental configuration has long been suggested as a possible explanation for the timing of the ECR (Valentine and Moores 1970; Brasier 1991). In their recent analysis of the "Great Unconformity" at the base of the Cambrian, Peters and Gaines (2012) synthesized stratigraphic and geochemical data to identify anomalous patterns of sedimentation and, inferentially, seawater chemistry which may have been associated with patterns of biomineralization among early metazoans. The Great Unconformity has been recognized in many parts of the world, but using largely data from North America Peters and Gaines showed that it represents an interval of prolonged and extensive denudation and weathering, with the subsequent Early Cambrian transgression remobilizing weathering products and introducing them to the oceans. The unusual nature of this event is apparent in their data for the area of exposed basement rock, the burial flux of shelf carbonates, and the proportion of glauconite-bearing siliciclastic rocks, all of which were far higher in the Cambrian than at any other time in the Phanerozoic. Each of these indicators suggests a large flux of continental weathering products during the Cambrian, including ions important for biomineralization. The extensive production of glauconitic siliciclastic rocks in the inner detrital belt is best explained, according to Peters and Gaines, by this flux of weathering products; the chemical conditions associated

with the formation of these deposits are much different from those found today. Consistent with this interpretation, the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio climbed through the late Ediacaran and Cambrian, before reversing during the late Cambrian (Mazumdar and Strauss 2006; Halverson et al. 2010). Concentrations of Ca^{2+} also increased substantially (Brennan et al. 2004).

Thus a suite of singular and perhaps unprecedented environmental events occurred during the Cryogenian and Ediacaran: the global and low-latitude Sturtian and Marinoan glaciations, the extensive changes in ocean chemistry and the oxygenation of the deep oceans, and the pervasive continental weathering associated with the earliest Cambrian transgression. The available molecular clock data indicate that the early diversification of Metazoa occurred during this time. In addition, many other eukaryotic lineages diversified during the Neoproterozoic with a major radiation associated with the ECR (Knoll et al. 2006, 2007). Although these environmental events are thus a necessary part of any explanation for the ECR, they are insufficient to explain the extent of the morphologic innovations (Erwin et al. 2011; Erwin and Valentine 2013). Glaciations, isotopic perturbations, redox changes, and unconformities have all occurred during the Phanerozoic and from a variety of causes, yet the magnitude of the environmental events during the late Neoproterozoic was unprecedented. It is obviously impossible to state that a similar suite of changes won't recur, but many seem to reflect permanent state changes.

Ecological and Physiological Events

Redox and related Ediacaran and early Cambrian geochemical changes may have been aided, and possibly even driven, by biological innovations, further increasing the difficulties of unraveling the web of causal relations. In any case, some of these innovations forced state changes in ecological systems. Sponges evidently diversified during the Cryogenian, and on developmental and ecological grounds the last common metazoan ancestor was likely bacterivorous with collar cells and a

proto-epithelium. Although molecular clock studies suggest that many metazoan lineages predate 580 Ma, including crown-group cnidarians (and thus the cnidocyst) (Erwin et al. 2011) we know little about their morphologies or the ecosystems in which they participated. Grazing mesoplankton and filter-feeding metazoans created the modern biological pump and helped ventilate the water column (Butterfield 2009). By the second half of the Ediacaran, environments were dominated by microbial substrates and most Ediacara macrofossils were likely osmotrophic, feeding on dissolved organic carbon (DOC) (Laflamme et al. 2009; Sperling et al. 2011), a very limited trophic resource today. Macroscopic grazing habits appeared with *Kimberella* about 555 Ma (Fedonkin et al. 2007). Metazoan trophic relations expanded enormously during the early stages of the Cambrian with filter-feeding, predation, and other trophic interactions. The advent of bilaterians with a through-gut increased bioturbation of marine sediments during the Cambrian, and reduced the distribution of microbial fabrics. This was not an abrupt transition, however, but a more gradual one with some Proterozoic-style microbial fabrics persisting into Cambrian Stage 5 (Dornbos et al. 2005; Dornbos 2006) as mixing of sediments slowly increased (Tarhan and Droser 2014).

Three different classes of ecological interpretations of the ECR, and more specifically the diversification of bilaterian clades during the Early Cambrian (Stages 1–3), can be distinguished: (1) as an adaptive radiation; (2) as a recovery from previous mass extinction or environmental stress, with the focus on the influence of the Cryogenian glaciations and a putative end-Ediacaran mass extinction; and (3) associated with “key innovations” in multiple lineages triggering pervasive ecological changes, with primary attention to the onset of predation and burrowing.

Taking each of these possibilities in turn, the adaptive radiation view of the ECR has a long history (e.g., Stanley 1973; Conway Morris 1993; Schluter and McPhail 1993). Here the metazoan diversification is seen as simply a more extensive (morphologically and phylogenetically) adaptive radiation, similar to those

that have been well documented within many clades. The adaptive radiation view of the ECR assumes either that the fossil record provides a relatively reliable record of an Ediacaran diversification of either all Metazoa or the bilaterian clades (i.e., that there is not a hidden late Neoproterozoic history), or that a series of linked adaptive radiations occurred within each of the major clades participating in the ECR (and thus this view blends into the “key innovation” model). The ECR has few of the classic characteristics of an adaptive radiation: it occurred essentially simultaneously across numerous lineages and involved a much greater generation of morphologic disparity and taxonomic diversity (Erwin 1992; Erwin and Valentine 2013). Describing the ECR as an adaptive radiation stretches the bounds of that term beyond recognition and has little explanatory value. A more useful approach would be to explore the mechanisms underlying broader-scale evolutionary radiations (Erwin 1992).

The Snowball Earth hypothesis and a postulated end-Ediacaran mass extinction have each been invoked as triggers for a post-crisis recovery. Despite the apparent severity of the Sturtian and Marinoan glaciations there are no data to suggest an evolutionary pulse following the amelioration of these conditions. The origin of eumetazoans and bilaterians roughly coincides with these two events, according to molecular clock estimates (Erwin et al. 2011), but with the uncertainties on these estimates the origins could have come before the glaciations. The bilaterian divergences post-date the apparently less severe Gaskiers glaciation ca. 580 Ma, but this event is more directly connected to the appearance of rangeomorph and other components of the Avalon Ediacaran assemblage (Xiao and Laflamme 2008). Moreover, the molecular clock results of Erwin et al. (2011) indicate that bilaterian crown group divergences are clustered in the latest Ediacaran and Cambrian. Although the Ediacaran fauna does disappear from the fossil record near the base of the Cambrian, there is no direct evidence for a mass extinction event at this horizon (Laflamme et al. 2013). Thus claims for a post-extinction evolutionary radiation are entirely speculative.

More plausibly, predation (Stanley 1973; Bengtson 2002; Dzik 2007) and the development of vertical burrowing and bioturbation (McIlroy and Logan 1999; Bottjer et al. 2000; Jensen et al. 2005; Erwin and Valentine 2013) have been seen as ecological innovations that could have triggered positive feedback leading to the widespread bilaterian diversification. Predation has long been a favored ecological explanation. Sperling et al. (2013a) compiled data on the ecology of polychaete assemblages in low-oxygen zones and showed that carnivores are absent in such settings. They linked the increase in marine oxygen during the late Ediacaran to the spread of carnivory, again coupling environmental and ecological explanations for the Cambrian radiation. The advent of active bioturbation is just as obviously linked to the Cambrian radiation. Bioturbation generates changes in redox gradients through the sediment, enhancing primary productivity and thus allowing increased biodiversity (Erwin and Tweedt 2011; Erwin and Valentine 2013). The advent of bioturbation produced attendant changes in preservational style, and thus in the fossil record. Taphonomic settings of the Ediacaran with abundant microbial mats favored the preservation of soft tissues, in what Gehling described as a microbial “death-mask” model (Gehling 1999; see also Narbonne 2005; Laflamme et al. 2010, 2013; Pawlowska et al. 2013). With the onset of burrowing during the latest Ediacaran and early Cambrian these sedimentary fabrics were destroyed (Bottjer et al. 2000). The ecological changes associated with carnivory and burrowing were so fundamental, and so phylogenetically widespread, that they proved impossible to reverse later in the Phanerozoic. Both anoxic waters and mass extinctions drastically restricted the abundance of bioturbators, for example, but only for relatively short periods of time.

The morphologic disparity associated with the ECR is often described as one of the characteristic features, but I have not included it above, because it may not be a unique aspect of the ECR. In the first study of a diverse range of metazoan clades through the Phanerozoic, Hughes et al. (2013) show that maximal early disparity is characteristic of clades through the Phanerozoic (except for those whose range was

truncated by one of the great mass extinctions). Thus, although the rapidity of the bilaterian diversification at the base of the Cambrian was unprecedented, as were the congruent increases in disparity across so many different clades, it is less clear that a major increase in disparity alone was a unique feature of this event.

Genetic and Developmental Networks

Comparative studies of development across extant metazoans have revealed patterns of deep homology among regulatory elements, including signaling pathways and transcription factors, as well as the processes of developmental evolution associated with the early history of metazoans leading to the ECR. Of particular significance for this discussion are (1) increases in the size of transcription factor families through gene duplication; (2) implications of the hierarchical structure of developmental gene regulatory networks (dGRNs), especially those involved in regional patterning of the developing embryo; and (3) increases in the complexity of microRNAs (miRNAs). Although gene duplication, including of transcription factors, and the growth of miRNAs persisted through the Phanerozoic, there were qualitative differences in the nature of some of the changes during early metazoan evolution that may have contributed to the unique events of the ECR. I should note at the outset that nothing described below involves mechanisms other than drift and selection. Rather, it is the effect that these processes have on the nature of subsequent genetic variation that differentiates them from other sorts of developmental changes.

Whole-genome sequencing has revealed that the basic set of bilaterian coding genes is 15,000–20,000, thus confirming that morphological disparity is a result of the regulatory patterning of these genes (Putnam et al. 2007; Carroll 2008; Erwin 2009; Simakov et al. 2013). These networks of developmental control involve signal transduction (in which an extracellular signaling molecule activates a signaling pathway inside a cell, leading to expression of a transcription factor) and transcription factors (which generally lie close to a protein-coding

gene and either activate or repress transcription of the gene, as with the canonical *Hox* genes). In addition to genes that produce regulatory proteins, RNA molecules may have regulatory functions of which the best studied in an evolutionary context are miRNAs. These short RNA molecules generally act as negative regulators on the expression of targeted genes, fine-tuning expression patterns and stabilizing development, particularly of cell types.

Whole-genome sequencing of choanoflagellates, sponges, cnidarians, and other basal metazoans provides a basis for estimating the complexity of the developmental genome in early animals. Three of the four most common eumetazoan signaling pathways—*Wnt*, *Notch*, and *TGF- β* —are present in sponges, as are elements of the fourth (Hedgehog) (Richards and Degnan 2009). Many transcription factors were also present in choanoflagellates and sponges but underwent expansion into families of related transcription factors before the origin of eumetazoans (Larroux et al. 2008; Degnan et al. 2009). For example, sponges have about 31 homeodomain transcription factors, which increased to about 61 in the cnidarian last common ancestor (LCA) and at least 82 for bilaterian LCA. The total number of classes of transcription factors (of which the homeobox class was just one) increased from 58 in sponges, to at least 87 in the cnidarian LCA and 115 in the bilaterian LCA (Larroux et al. 2008). Because the increase in regulatory genes far outstrips the increase in protein-coding genes, this reinforces the point that a critical component of early metazoan evolution was the increased sophistication of the network of regulatory interactions.

These dGRNs have a semi-hierarchical structure of elements with varying evolutionary lability. The most downstream elements control protein-coding genes and evolve very rapidly. Far more refractory to evolutionary modification are genes associated with regional patterning of the developing embryo, for example involved in formation of the endomesoderm (gut), heart, etc. Davidson and his colleagues have intensively reconstructed the dGRN of the developing sea urchin embryo and identified a core of recursively wired regulatory genes that have been highly conserved over the

past 500 million years (Davidson 2006; Hinman et al. 2007; Davidson and Levine 2008; Hinman et al. 2009). These kernels are responsible for defining the spatial patterning for a particular region of the embryo. The five to six genes that compose this kernel are recursively wired and perturbation experiments have confirmed that disturbing any of them disables the entire patterning system (Davidson and Erwin 2006, 2010; Erwin and Davidson 2009; Peter and Davidson 2011a). Comparative studies have shown that once these kernels formed they shifted evolutionary changes to upstream and downstream regions of the dGRN. The recursive wiring of the genes in the kernel means that multiple regulatory interactions are required in the control of any single gene. Furthermore, once formed, kernels appear to act as an evolutionary unit subject to selection, and thus the kernels define the limits to morphologic variation for that region of the embryo. The elucidation of kernels within the core of dGRN regional patterning appears, from the currently available data, to have occurred primarily during the early evolution of animals, and largely during the Ediacaran and possibly the Cambrian. Once formed, these kernels were enormously influential, but as with many major evolutionary innovations, they both created a design space and limited the scope and future possibilities of that space.

More recently, Peter and Davidson have constructed a Boolean model of the development process and compared the results with empirical data on gene expression pattern (Peter and Davidson 2011a,b). The comparative results show that the model of *cis*-regulatory interactions encompasses virtually all of the expression patterns in the developing embryo. This confirms that *cis*-regulatory interactions within the dGRNs are sufficient to account for developmental processes, without invoking regulatory interactions involve *trans* acting factors, extensive involvement of regulatory RNAs, or other factors.

The number of miRNAs is generally correlated with the morphological complexity of a clade. Major increases in miRNA complexity occurred between the cnidarian and bilaterian LCA, and again associated with the rise of vertebrates (Grimson et al. 2008; Wheeler et al. 2009;

Christodoulou et al. 2010). Once formed, most miRNAs seem to have been conserved. Losses of miRNAs are associated with clades that have experienced morphologic simplification, including flatworms, acoels, and *Xenoturbella* (Erwin et al. 2011). Thus, to the extent that miRNAs have been involved in the generation of bilaterian morphologies, probably through stabilization of cell and tissue types, it appears that much of metazoan miRNA complexity was associated with the earliest phase of metazoan evolution, during the Cryogenian, and with the origin of vertebrates.

In summary, the global glaciations, changes in oceanic redox, the extent of perturbations to the carbon cycle documented by changes in carbon isotopes, and the extensive weathering have no parallels during the Phanerozoic and few apparent parallels earlier in earth history. The ecological changes involve the establishment of metazoan food webs, including predation and active burrowing. In the case of both the ecologic and the developmental changes, they are perhaps best characterized as encompassing the construction of the respective interaction networks. Subsequent evolutionary changes have been largely constrained to reorganization of these networks or the addition of new components.

Discussion

At some level of granularity any historical event is unique, and thus the question, "Was the Ediacaran–Cambrian radiation a unique evolutionary event?" seems a trivial and uninteresting one. Each speciation event, each biotic dispersal, and each trophic interaction is unique, but that does not prevent us from drawing general conclusions about the processes of speciation, dispersal, or ecological interaction. Historical sciences become more than narratives when they identify general patterns and regularities in mechanism from similarities among historically unique events.

In physics universal laws are described as symmetric because they are invariant in time and space: they are immune to change. Indeed the identification of symmetries, from Newton to Einstein to more recent physicists, has produced much of the power of modern

physics. But some of the most intriguing issues in physics arise from phase transitions that break symmetries. The most famous and consequential of these are the transitions immediately after the Big Bang that led to the formation of the four fundamental physical forces. The equations operate the same across a symmetry-breaking event, but the physical nature of the particles, and the forces between them, has changed. And these changes have made all the difference.

Physicists describe systems where the dynamics are independent of initial conditions as ergodic and those where history matters and path-dependency is important as non-ergodic. The approaches and techniques needed to understand ergodic and non-ergodic systems are fundamentally different. Economists face the same dichotomy, although most of neo-Classical economic theory is fundamentally ergodic and ignores the path-dependent nature of economic change (Peters 2011). As geologists we characterize this as a distinction between uniformitarian and non-uniformitarian processes (Gould 1965), although this distinction does not completely capture the differences between ergodic and non-ergodic processes. Gould described the tension between idiographic or descriptive paleontological studies and nomothetic research (Gould 1980) and proposed a hierarchical structure to historical processes in his "Paradox of the First Tier" discussion of the role of mass extinctions (Gould 1985). Evolutionary biologists recognize the path-dependent and historical nature of evolutionary outcomes, but the structure of evolutionary theory, particularly population genetics, is invariant in time and space (Erwin 2011).

In *Wonderful Life*, Gould (1989) argued that contingency was the primary factor determining the long-term persistence of clades that arose during the Cambrian Explosion, particularly those revealed by the extraordinary preservation of the fossils of the Burgess Shale. Some evolutionary patterns, such as replicate adaptive radiations (Schluter and McPhail 1993; Losos et al. 1998; Mahler et al. 2013) and convergences (Conway Morris 2009; Losos 2011; McGhee 2011), strongly challenge the contingency of historical events by revealing

an often unexpected degree of determinism in evolutionary patterns. Indeed the tension between contingency and determinism in evolution remains one of the more challenging issues in evolutionary theory. Ergodicity, uniformitarianism, and determinism are conceptually distinct, but each addresses the historicity (or lack thereof) in different domains.

Where does the ECR fit within the spectrum of contingency and determinism? And to what extent can one draw general lessons about evolutionary processes from events such as the ECR? Unlike Gould, whose interest lay in the aftermath of the ECR, here I am interested in the likelihood that an ECR-like event would have occurred if one “played the tape of life again,” including the environmental, developmental, and ecological circumstances. Specifically, if the extent of evolutionary innovation during the Ediacaran and early Cambrian was the result of a unique environmental and geochemical framework, or of transformational changes in ecosystem dynamics or the structure of ecological networks, then study of these processes may provide little insight for evolutionary theorists about general patterns of macroevolutionary change. Alternatively, one could argue that despite the unique aspects of the Ediacaran–Cambrian interval chronicled above, the end result, the ECR, would have played out in a very similar way.

Nature of Determinism and Contingency.—When Gould used the term contingency and the metaphor “replaying the tape of life,” he was not explicit in his definitions of the term (Beatty 2006). In response to the claims made by Gould in *Wonderful Life* philosophers of science have distinguished five different senses of contingency (for a more extensive discussion, see Erwin in press): (1) Sampling error, which Gould explicitly rejected as a form of contingency but which may have greater application to the phenomenon than he realized. (2) Unpredictability of the course of history. (3) Causal dependency on, or sensitivity to, initial conditions (Beatty 2006). Sensitivity to initial conditions (hereafter SIC) is often found in chaotic dynamics, and it contrasts with systems in which neither the initial starting conditions nor the history of the system influences the final result. Such systems

are often described as having a basin of attraction. Beatty suggested that although contingency as described by (2) and (3) can be complementary, Gould did not distinguish between them and often conflated them. Beatty suggested that *Wonderful Life* could be interpreted as supporting either the unpredictability of history or sensitive dependence on initial conditions. (4) Sensitivity to external disturbance, which is related to system resilience (Inkpen and Turner 2012). This sense of contingency seems particularly applicable to mass extinctions and similar, externally triggered events. And finally, (5) Macroevolutionary stochasticity, the unbiased sorting among species over macroevolutionary time, which Turner (2011) argues best encapsulates the sense in which Gould used the term contingency. (Note that I have avoided the term “path-dependence” in this paper, a term that has often been applied in the sense of sensitivity to initial conditions in historical settings, but is also used as simply implying a historical process, and thus can be imprecise.) An interesting extension of these ideas was presented by Inkpen and Turner (2012) who proposed that the “topography” of historical contingency may itself change over time. Such circumstances produce a situation where B is not inevitable, but should A occur, B is almost certain to occur; Sterelny (2005) described this as conditional inevitability.

Sensitivity to initial conditions and unpredictability provide an initial framework for delineating domains of contingency and determinism (Table 1). If a system is both subject to SIC and unpredictable (domain 1), then it is fully contingent in both senses meant by Beatty, and the history of the system would be highly important to the outcome of events. However, the extensive historicity would make generalizing across cases difficult. Our modern view of human history falls into this category. In domain 2, the system is still subject to SIC, but the evolutionary pathways of lineages are predictable or deterministic. As in domain 1, the SIC would mean that different “runs” of the history of life would have little similarity, but within runs evolutionary patterns would generate parallelisms and convergence. This is the domain where historical contingency changes over time, as

TABLE 1. Domains of sensitivity to initial conditions and unpredictability.

Domain	Sensitivity to initial conditions	Unpredictability	Outcome
1	Yes	Yes	Fully contingent
2	Yes	No	Mixed
3	No	Yes	Mixed
4	No	No	Fully deterministic

described by Inkpen and Turner (2012) and encompasses Sterelny's (2005) "conditional inevitability." The opposite situation arises in domain 3, where there is no SIC but historical trajectories are unpredictable. Turner's (2012) macroevolutionary stochasticity would be one example of such a dynamic. Domain 4 encompasses systems in which neither SIC nor unpredictability is important and the outcome is deterministic. For both domains 3 and 4, the absence of SIC indicates the presence of basins of attraction so that repeated runs would generate the same or similar results.

If I understand the arguments of Conway Morris (2009) correctly, he argues that domains 3 and 4 dominate the history of life. General themes are essentially inevitable, generating convergence, even if the specific instantiations of them are not. I believe (although I am not sure) that Vermeij's (2006) arguments about repeated innovation also fall within these domains. At the opposite extreme from domain 1 is the fully deterministic situation of domain 4 where SIC is absent and the evolutionary pathways of lineages are predictable or deterministic. This is the domain of laws, where replaying the tape of life would generate similar outcomes and convergence over alternative runs would be high (likely subject only to stochastic fluctuations). In such a system history would be of little importance because replicate runs would produce largely the same result. It should be of little surprise that this is the domain of much of physics and the realm where many economists imagine that they live.

Applications to the Ediacaran–Cambrian Radiation.—The evidence summarized here suggests that the unique nature of the ECR extends beyond the suddenness and morphologic breadth of evolutionary innovation, particularly at the base of the Cambrian. But the unique nature of these events does not necessarily help in understanding the extent of contingency and

determinism with respect to the ECR. We can use the four domains of Table 1 to identify the attributions of different components of the ECR. As always with discussions of contingency and determinism, carefully specifying the focal level of interaction is critical.

Most of the environmental aspects of the ECR, particularly the perturbations to the carbon cycle and the increased weathering associated with the Great Unconformity, fall within domain 1, although the latter probably came too late within the ECR to have substantially affected the evolutionary trajectory. If the glaciations were caused by continental positions and drawdown of carbon dioxide, as may have been the case, then they might be better placed in domain 2 or even 4. The situation with oxygen is more complicated. Geologic evidence now strongly indicates that by 800 Ma oxygen in shallow waters was sufficient for the origin of animals (Sperling et al. 2013a,b). Thus one could argue that the oxygenation of shallow waters falls within domain 2, conditionally inevitable after the advent of oxygenic photosynthesis (even if the timing was less constrained). However, although there were small amounts of oxygen present it was likely insufficient to permit the larger metazoan body sizes that appeared during the ECR, which required a substantial increase in oxygen. Several workers have now suggested that the ventilation of the oceans was driven largely by biological processes (Butterfield 2009; Erwin and Valentine 2013; Lenton et al. 2014; Mills et al. 2014). Such a biotically driven process would be unpredictable but the relationship to SIC is unclear at present; thus this would fall into either domain 1 or domain 3.

As with the development of increased oxygen levels, one can distinguish two different phases of unique developmental innovations: the origin of the metazoan developmental

toolkit, with significant input from developmental processes found among unicellular eukaryotes, and the subsequent expansion of that toolkit associated with increased morphologic complexity and the development of more complex morphogenetic pathways. The first of these phases seems to fall firmly within domain 1, as evidenced by the fact that only a single lineage (leading to sponges) made this transition. In contrast, the expansion of this toolkit to generate developmental GRNs and pathways occurred multiple times, independently, in different clades. Appendages, guts, eyes and other aspects of bilaterian body plans are generally unique to specific clades, even when the underlying developmental machinery shares highly conserved genes and patterns of gene interaction. Thus these events appear to fall within domains 2 and 4: The hierarchical patterns of regulatory interaction may be an inherent outcome of the increased sophistication of regulatory networks, and thus fall within domain 4, whereas other aspects of the morphogenetic pathways may have been more sensitive to initial conditions and thus fall within domain 2.

Finally, among the unique ecological components of the ECR, most basic trophic interactions, including predation, detritivory, and others occur within microbial assemblages. Although the appearance of some trophic interactions, such as predation, were associated with the Cambrian radiation (Erwin et al. 2011; Sperling et al. 2013a), the ubiquity of such interactions suggests that their appearance is neither unpredictable in the sense of this paper, nor subject to SIC. Consequently these interactions fall within domain 4.

To the extent that these assignments of different aspects of the early origins of metazoans to different domains are accurate, this suggests that the unique components of the ECR fall across a spectrum from highly contingent to highly deterministic. Most of the environmental events appear to have been both unpredictable and have high SIC. At the opposite extreme of low historicity and high determinism (domain 4) lies the growth of ecological and developmental interaction networks. Domain 2 of conditional inevitability includes some aspects of the increased

oxygenation of marine waters and perhaps the expansion of morphogenetic pathways of development. Molecular clock evidence indicates that the origin of most major metazoan clades during the Cryogenian and early Ediacaran, and the concomitant establishment of most elements of the metazoan developmental toolkit, happened 100–150 Myr before the Cambrian Explosion.

In the preceding discussion I assumed the absence of feedback and thus that evolutionary lineages were passively responding to environmental pressures but lacked the ability to actively modify them. But feedback processes do exist through which organisms modify their own environment, and these have recently received extensive treatment as niche construction (Odling-Smee et al. 2003; Laland and Sterelny 2006) and ecosystem engineering (Jones et al. 1997; Cuddington et al. 2007), including their importance over macroevolutionary time scales (Erwin 2008; Erwin and Tweedt 2011). During the ECR, the appearance of widespread filter-feeding by sponges in the Ediacaran, the sequestration of carbon due to production of fecal pellets by pelagic bilaterians (Mills et al. 2014) and the onset of burrowing activities in the earliest Cambrian each had the potential to significantly change redox of the oceans and shallow marine sediments (Erwin and Valentine 2013). Although the effect of such changes has yet to be rigorously established, this provides an example where an evolutionary innovation could feed back to affect the probabilities of other changes. This could have enhanced the probability of successful evolutionary changes dependent upon oxygen availability (including increased muscles, body size, and active predation). The feedback associated with ecosystem-engineering activities could push some of the ecological interactions into domain 3. While this paper was in review Doebeli and Ispolatov (2014) discussed the dynamics of nonlinear feedbacks associated with frequency-dependent selection. Their analysis suggests that in many cases chaotic dynamics are expected rather than predictable outcomes. Addressing the effect of feedbacks on the dynamics of the ECR is an issue worthy of further study.

Although some aspects of the ECR appear to have involved a significant degree of determinism, and thus are likely repeatable under the appropriate conditions, other aspects of this episode were highly contingent. The rapid burst of morphological innovation among bilaterian clades during the latest Ediacaran and earliest Cambrian, which is the focus of considerable interest, largely involved three factors: an increase in oxygen levels, although the cause is unclear, and a growth in developmental and ecological interaction networks. These events were probably conditionally inevitable once Metazoa had originated and undergone their initial diversification. As discussed above, these earlier events appear to have involved a greater degree of contingency.

Implications for Evolutionary Theory.—In his paper on “The paradox of the first tier” Gould (1985) proposed that evolution was hierarchically and discontinuously structured, progressing along distinct tiers: the ecological dynamics of the first tier, the evolutionary trends among lineages and clades of the second tier, and the dynamics of mass extinctions in the third tier. The argument developed here expands Gould’s argument to the dynamics of evolutionary radiations, proposing that the events of the ECR were both quantitatively different and qualitatively distinct from other evolutionary events. The conditional inevitability of the bilaterian expansion and the contingent nature of early events in metazoan evolution suggest that although the ECR provides critical information about the dynamics of evolutionary innovation and the diversity of evolutionary events in the history of life, it may be much less informative about more frequent aspects of macroevolutionary change.

The role of conditional inevitability (Sterelny 2005) or the topology of historical contingency (Inkpen and Turner 2012) is an issue worth further investigation. Even the preliminary discussion has identified a number of areas in which the roles of contingency and determinism have changed over time, with the role of contingency often becoming increasingly constrained. Traditional views of both macroevolution and microevolution have been largely uniformitarian (Erwin 2011), and

greater attention to the dynamics of historical contingency might generate a more historical informed view of evolutionary possibility.

The Ediacaran and Cambrian was not the only interval of unidirectional changes in the Earth system or of feedbacks between biological evolution and changes in the physical environment. For example, the spread of vascular plants changed terrestrial weathering patterns and shifted rivers from sheet-braided to meandering channels with abundant mud and clay (Gibling and Davies 2012). Gibling and Davies argue that the development of terrestrial plant ecosystems beginning in the Silurian coupled the evolution of landscapes to evolutionary changes in both plants and animals, with plants acting as “geomorphic engineers,” altering fluvial landscapes and thus their own evolution.

An example in which the dynamics of the systems appear to have been quite similar even though the initial conditions and external perturbations were very different is the end-Permian versus the end-Cretaceous mass extinction. Two very different settings, and with very different causes: massive volcanism in the case of the end-Permian (Shen et al. 2011; Burgess et al. 2014) and the impact of an extraterrestrial bolide at the end of the Cretaceous (Schulte et al. 2010). Despite these differences, one of the most striking features of the two events is the similarity in the rate and pattern of biotic collapse (Erwin 2006). This suggests, for reasons that I think remain unclear, that the collapse of Earth’s ecosystems during such crises follows very similar trajectories independent of the actual forcing factors (Erwin 2014).

Acknowledgments

I appreciate discussion of these topics with E. Davidson, C. Haufe, A. Love, and J. W. Valentine, none of who are responsible for the views expressed here. I appreciate comments on an earlier draft of the manuscript from S. H. Xiao and an anonymous reviewer. This research was originally presented at the 2012 Geological Society of America meeting at a session organized by J. Schiffbauer and S. H. Xiao, to whom I am indebted for the invitation

to speak. This research was funded by the NASA National Astrobiology Institute through the MIT node.

Literature Cited

- Anbar, A. D., and A. H. Knoll. 2002. Proterozoic ocean chemistry and evolution: a bioinorganic bridge? *Science* 297:1137–1142.
- Ashkenazy, Y., H. Gildor, M. Losch, F. A. Macdonald, D. P. Schrag, and E. Tziperman. 2013. Dynamics of a snowball Earth ocean. *Nature* 495:90–93.
- Beatty, J. 2006. Replaying life's tape. *Journal of Philosophy* 103: 336–362.
- Bengtson, S. 2002. Origins and early evolution of predation. *Paleontological Society Papers* 8:289–317.
- Bottjer, D. J., J. W. Hagadorn, and S. Q. Dornbos. 2000. The Cambrian substrate revolution. *GSA Today* 10(9): 1–7.
- Brasier, M. D. 1991. Nutrient flux and the evolutionary explosion across the Precambrian–Cambrian boundary interval. *Historical Biology* 5:85–93.
- Brennan, S. T., T. K. Lowenstein, and J. Horita. 2004. Seawater chemistry and the advent of biocalcification. *Geology* 32:473–476.
- Budd, G. E. 2008. The earliest fossil record of the animals and its significance. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B* 363:1925–1934.
- Burgess, S. D., S. A. Bowring, and S. Z. Shen. 2014. A high-precision timeline for Earth's most severe extinction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 111:3316–3321.
- Butterfield, N. J. 2009. Oxygen, animals and oceanic ventilation: an alternative view. *Geobiology* 7:1–7.
- Canfield, D. E., S. W. Poulton, A. H. Knoll, G. M. Narbonne, G. Ross, T. Goldberg, and H. Strauss. 2008. Ferruginous conditions dominated later Neoproterozoic deep-water chemistry. *Science* 321:949–952.
- Carroll, S. B. 2008. Evo-devo and an expanding evolutionary synthesis: a genetic theory of morphological evolution. *Cell* 134:25–36.
- Christodoulou, F., F. Raible, R. Tomer, O. Simakov, K. Trachana, S. Klaus, H. Snyman, G. J. Hannon, P. Bork, and D. Arendt. 2010. Ancient animal microRNAs and the evolution of tissue identity. *Nature* 463:1084–1088.
- Conway Morris, S. 1993. The fossil record and the early evolution of the Metazoa. *Nature* 361:219–225.
- . 2009. The predictability of evolution: glimpses into a post-Darwinian world. *Naturwissenschaften* 96:1313–1337.
- Crick, F. H. C. 1968. The origin of the genetic code. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 38:367–379.
- Cuddington, K., J. E. Byers, W. G. Wilson, and A. Hastings, eds. 2007. *Ecosystem engineers: plants to protists*. Academic Press, London.
- Davidson, E. H. 2006. *The regulatory genome*. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Davidson, E. H., and D. H. Erwin. 2006. Gene regulatory networks and the evolution of animal body plans. *Science* 311:796–800.
- . 2010. An integrated view of precambrian eumetazoan evolution. *Cold Spring Harbor Symposium on Quantitative Biology* 79:65–80.
- Davidson, E. H., and M. Levine. 2008. Properties of developmental gene regulatory networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 105:20,063–20,066. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0806007105.
- Degnan, B. M., M. Vervoort, C. Larroux, and G. S. Richards. 2009. Early evolution of metazoan transcription factors. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development* 19:591–599.
- Doebeli, M., and I. Ispolatov. 2014. Chaos and unpredictability in evolution. *Evolution* 68:1365–1373.
- Dornbos, S. Q. 2006. Evolutionary paleoecology of epifaunal echnoderms: response to increasing bioturbation levels during the Cambrian radiation. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 237:225–239.
- Dornbos, S. Q., D. J. Bottjer, and J. Y. Chen. 2005. Paleoecology of benthic metazoans in the Early Cambrian Maotianshan Shale biota and the Middle Cambrian Burgess Shale biota; evidence for the Cambrian substrate revolution. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 220:47–67.
- Dzik, J. 2007. The Verdun syndrome: simultaneous origin of proective armour and infaunal shelters at the Precambrian–Cambrian transition. Pp. 405–414 in P. Vickers-Rich and P. Komarower, eds. *The rise and fall of the Ediacaran biota*. Geological Society of London, London.
- Erwin, D. H. 1992. A preliminary classification of radiations. *Historical Biology* 6:133–147.
- . 2006. *Extinction: how life nearly died 250 million years ago*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.
- . 2008. Macroevolution of ecosystem engineering, niche construction and diversity. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 23:304–310.
- . 2009. Early origin of the bilaterian developmental toolkit. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B* 364:2253–2261.
- . 2011. Evolutionary uniformitarianism. *Developmental Biology* 357:27–34.
- . 2014. Temporal acuity and the rate and dynamics of mass extinctions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 111:3203–3204.
- . In press *Wonderful Life* revisited: Chance and contingency in the Ediacaran–Cambrian radiation. In G. Ramsay and C. H. Pence, eds. *Chance in evolution*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Erwin, D. H., and E. H. Davidson. 2009. The evolution of hierarchical gene regulatory networks. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 10:141–148.
- Erwin, D. H., and S. M. Tweedt. 2011. Ecosystem engineering and the Ediacaran–Ordovician diversification of Metazoa. *Evolutionary Ecology* 26:417–433.
- Erwin, D. H., and J. W. Valentine. 2013. *The Cambrian explosion: the construction of animal biodiversity*. Roberts and Co, Greenwood, Colo.
- Erwin, D. H., M. LaFlamme, S. M. Tweedt, E. A. Sperling, D. Pisani, and K. J. Peterson. 2011. The Cambrian conundrum: early divergence and later ecological success in the early history of animals. *Science* 334:1091–1097.
- Fedonkin, M. A., A. Simonetta, and A. Y. Ivantsov. 2007. New data on Kimberella, the Vendian mollusc-like organism (White Sea region, Russia): paleontological and evolutionary implications. Pp. 157–179 in P. Vickers-Rich and P. Komarower, eds. *The rise and fall of the Ediacaran biota*. Geological Society of London, London.
- Gehling, J. G. 1999. Microbial mats in terminal Proterozoic siliciclastics: Ediacaran death masks. *Palaiois* 14:40–57.
- Gibling, M. R., and N. S. Davies. 2012. Palaeozoic landscapes shaped by plant evolution. *Nature Geoscience* 5:99–105.
- Gould, S. J. 1965. Is uniformitarianism necessary? *American Journal of Science* 263:223–228.
- . 1980. The promise of paleobiology as a nonothetic, evolutionary discipline. *Paleobiology* 6:96–118.
- . 1985. The paradox of the first tier: an agenda for paleobiology. *Paleobiology* 11:2–12.
- . 1989. *Wonderful life*. Norton, New York.
- . 2002. *The structure of evolutionary theory*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- Grimson, A., M. Srivastava, B. Fahey, B. J. Woodcroft, H. R. Chiang, N. King, B. M. Degnan, D. Rokhsar, and D. P. Bartel. 2008. Early origins and evolution of microRNAs and Piwi-interacting RNAs in animals. *Nature* 455:1193–1197.

- Grotzinger, J. P., D. A. Fike, and W. W. Fischer. 2011. Enigmatic origin of the largest-known carbon isotope excursion in Earth's history. *Nature Geoscience* 4:285–292.
- Halverson, G. P., and G. Shields-Zhou. 2011. Chemostratigraphy and the Neoproterozoic glaciations. In E. Arnaud, G. P. Halverson, and G. Shields-Zhou, eds. *The geological record of Neoproterozoic glaciations*. Geological Society of London Memoir 36:51–66.
- Halverson, G. P., M. T. Hurtgen, S. M. Porter, and A. S. Collins. 2009. Neoproterozoic-Cambrian biogeochemical evolution. Pp. 351–365 in C. Gaucher, A. N. Sial, G. P. Halverson, and H. E. Frimmel, eds. *Neoproterozoic-Cambrian tectonics, global change and evolution: a focus on southwestern Gondwana*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Halverson, G. P., B. S. Wade, M. T. Hurtgen, and K. M. Barovich. 2010. Neoproterozoic chemostratigraphy. *Precambrian Research* 182:337–350.
- Higgins, J. A., and D. P. Schrag. 2003. Aftermath of a snowball Earth. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* 4(3) doi: 10.1029/2002GC000403
- Hinman, V. F., A. Nguyen, and E. H. Davidson. 2007. Caught in the evolutionary act: precise cis-regulatory basis of difference in the organization of gene networks of sea stars and sea urchins. *Developmental Biology* 312:584–595.
- Hinman, V., K. A. Yankura, and B. S. McCauley. 2009. Evolution of gene regulatory network architectures: examples of subcircuit conservation and plasticity between classes of echinoderms. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1789:326–332.
- Hoffman, P. F., A. J. Kaufman, G. P. Halverson, and D. P. Schrag. 1998. A Neoproterozoic snowball Earth. *Science* 281:1342–1346.
- Hughes, M., S. Gerber, and M. A. Wills. 2013. Clades reach highest morphologic disparity early in their evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 110:13875–13879.
- Inkpen, R., and D. Turner. 2012. The topography of historical contingency. *Journal of the Philosophy of History* 6:1–19.
- Jablonski, D. 2008. Biotic interactions and macroevolution: extensions and mismatches across scales and levels. *Evolution* 62:715–739.
- . 2010. Macroevolutionary trends in time and space. Pp. 25–43 in P. R. Grant, and B. R. Grant, eds. *In search of the causes of evolution*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.
- Jensen, S., M. L. Droser, and J. G. Gehling. 2005. Trace fossil preservation and the early evolution of animals. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology and Palaeoecology* 220:19–29.
- Johnston, D. T., S. W. Poulton, C. Dehler, S. Porter, J. Husson, D. E. Canfield, and A. H. Knoll. 2010. An emerging picture of Neoproterozoic ocean chemistry: insights from the Chuar Group, Grand Canyon, USA. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 290:64–73.
- Johnston, D. T., S. W. Poulton, T. Goldberg, V. N. Sergeev, V. Podkovyrov, N. G. Vorob'eva, A. Bekker, and A. H. Knoll. 2012. Late Ediacaran redox stability and metazoan evolution. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 335:25–35.
- Jones, C. G., J. H. Lawton, and M. Shachak. 1997. Positive and negative effects of organisms as physical ecosystem engineers. *Ecology* 78:1946–1957.
- Kauffman, S. A. 1995. *At home in the universe*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Knoll, A. H., E. J. Javaux, D. Hewitt, and P. Cohen. 2006. Eukaryotic organisms in Proterozoic oceans. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B* 361:1023–1038.
- Knoll, A. H., R. E. Summons, J. R. Waldbauer, and J. E. Zumberge. 2007. The geological succession of primary producers in the oceans. Pp. 133–163 in P. G. Falkowski and A. H. Knoll, eds. *Evolution of primary producers in the sea*. Elsevier, Burlington, Mass.
- Laakso, T. A., and D. P. Schrag. 2014. Regulation of atmospheric oxygen during the Proterozoic. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 388:81–91.
- Laflamme, M., S. Xiao, and M. Kowalewski. 2009. Osmotrophy in modular Ediacara organisms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 106:14,438–14,443. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0904836106.
- Laflamme, M., J. D. Schiffbauer, G. M. Narbonne, and D. E. G. Briggs. 2010. Microbial biofilms and the preservation of the Ediacara biota. *Lethaia* 44:203–213.
- Laflamme, M., S. A. Darroch, S. M. Tweedt, K. J. Peterson, and D. H. Erwin. 2013. The end of the Ediacara biota: extinction, replacement or Cheshire cat? *Gondwana Research* 23:558–573.
- Laland, K. N., and K. Sterelny. 2006. Seven reasons (not) to neglect niche construction. *Evolution* 60:1751–1762.
- Larroux, C., G. N. Luke, P. Koopman, D. Rokhsar, S. M. Shimeld, and B. M. Degnan. 2008. Genesis and expansion of metazoan transcription factor gene classes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 25:980–996.
- Lee, C., D. A. Fike, G. D. Love, A. L. Sessions, J. P. Grotzinger, R. E. Summons, and W. W. Fischer. 2013. Carbon isotopes and lipid biomarkers from organic-rich facies of the Shuram Formation, Sultanate of Oman. *Geobiology* 11:406–419.
- Lenton, T. M., R. A. Boyle, S. W. Poulton, G. Shields-Zhou, and N. J. Butterfield. 2014. Co-evolution of eukaryotes and ocean oxygenation in the Neoproterozoic era. *Nature Geoscience* 7: 257–265.
- Li, C., G. D. Love, T. W. Lyons, D. A. Fike, A. L. Sessions, and X. L. Chu. 2010. A stratified redox model for the Ediacaran ocean. *Science* 328:80–83.
- Li, Z. X., D. A. D. Evans, and G. P. Halverson. 2013. Neoproterozoic glaciations in a revised global palaeogeography from the breakup of Rodinia to the assembly of Gondwanaland. *Sedimentary Geology* 294:219–232.
- Losos, J. B. 2011. Convergence, adaptation, and constraint. *Evolution* 65:1827–1840.
- Losos, J. B., T. R. Jackman, A. Larson, K. de Queiroz, and L. Rodriguez-Schettino. 1998. Contingency and determinism in replicated adaptive radiations of island lizards. *Science* 279:2115–2118.
- Lyons, T. W., C. T. Reinhard, and N. J. Planavsky. 2014. The rise of oxygen in Earth's early ocean and atmosphere. *Nature* 506:307–315.
- Mahler, D. L., T. Ingram, L. J. Revell, and J. B. Losos. 2013. Exceptional convergence on the macroevolutionary landscape in island lizard radiations. *Science* 341:292–295.
- Maloof, A. C., S. M. Porter, J. L. Moore, F. O. Dudas, S. A. Bowring, J. A. Higgins, D. A. Fike, and M. P. Eddy. 2010. The earliest Cambrian record of animals and ocean geochemical change. *GSA Bulletin* 122:1731–1774.
- Mazumdar, A., and H. Strauss. 2006. Sulfur and strontium isotope compositions of carbonate and evaporite rocks from the late Neoproterozoic-Early Cambrian Bilara Group (Najaur-Ganganagar Basin, India): constraints on interbasinal correlation and global sulfur cycle. *Precambrian Research* 149:217–230.
- McGhee, G. R., Jr. 2011. *Convergent evolution*. MIT Press, Cambridge.
- McIlroy, D., and G. Logan. 1999. The impact of bioturbation on infaunal ecology and evolution during the Proterozoic-Cambrian transition. *Palaios* 14:58–72.
- Mills, D. B., L. M. Ward, C. Jones, B. Sweeten, M. Forth, A. H. Treusch, and D. E. Canfield. 2014. Oxygen requirements of the earliest animals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 111:4168–4172.
- Narbonne, G. M. 2005. The Ediacara biota: Neoproterozoic origin of animals and their ecosystems. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Science* 33:421–442.
- Odling-Smee, F. J., K. N. Laland, and M. W. Feldman. 2003. *Niche construction: the neglected process in evolution*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.
- Pawlowska, M. M., N. J. Butterfield, and J. J. Brocks. 2013. Lipid taphonomy in the Proterozoic and the effect of microbial mats on biomarker preservation. *Geology* 41:103–106.

- Peter, I. S., and E. H. Davidson. 2011a. Evolution of gene regulatory networks that control embryonic development of the body plan. *Cell* 144:970–985.
- . 2011b. A gene regulatory network controlling the embryonic specification of endoderm. *Nature* 474:635–639.
- Peters, O. 2011. Optimal leverage from non-ergodicity. *Quantitative Finance* 11:1593–1602.
- Peters, S. E., and R. R. Gaines. 2012. Formation of the ‘Great Unconformity’ as a trigger for the Cambrian explosion. *Nature* 484:363–366.
- Planavsky, N. J., O. J. Rouxel, A. Bekker, S. V. Lalonde, K. O. Konhauser, C. T. Reinhard, and T. W. Lyons. 2010. The evolution of the marine phosphate reservoir. *Nature* 467:1088–1090.
- Putnam, N. H., M. Srivastava, U. Hellsten, B. Dirks, J. Chapman, A. Salamov, A. Terry, H. Shapiro, E. Lindquist, V. V. Kapitonov, J. Jurka, G. Genikhovich, I. V. Grigoriev, S. M. Lucas, R. E. Steele, J. R. Finnerty, U. Technau, M. Q. Martindale, and D. S. Rokhsar. 2007. Sea anemone genome reveals ancestral eumetazoan gene repertoire and genomic organization. *Science* 317:86–94.
- Rabosky, D. L., and I. J. Lovette. 2008. Explosive evolutionary radiations: decreasing speciation or increasing extinction through time? *Evolution* 62:1866–1875.
- Raup, D. M., and J. W. Valentine. 1983. Multiple origins of life. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 80:2981–2984.
- Reinhard, C. T., N. J. Planavsky, L. J. Robbins, C. A. Partin, B. C. Gill, S. V. Lalonde, A. Bekker, K. O. Konhauser, and T. W. Lyons. 2013. Proterozoic ocean redox and biogeochemical stasis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 110:5357–5362.
- Richards, G. S., and B. M. Deganan. 2009. The dawn of developmental signaling in the Metazoa. *Cold Spring Harbor Symposium on Quantitative Biology* 74:81–90.
- Schluter, D., and J. D. McPhail. 1993. Character displacement and replicative adaptive radiation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 8:197–200.
- Schrag, D. P., R. A. Berner, P. F. Hoffman, and G. P. Halverson. 2002. On the initiation of a snowball Earth. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* 3(6), 1–21.
- Schulte, P., L. Alegret, I. Arenillas, J. A. Arz, P. J. Barton, P. R. Bown, T. J. Bralower, G. L. Christeson, P. Claeys, C. S. Cockell, G. S. Collins, A. Deutsch, T. J. Goldin, K. Goto, J. M. Grajales-Nishimura, R. A. F. Grieve, S. P. S. Gulick, K. R. Johnson, W. Kiessling, C. Koeberl, D. A. Kring, K. G. MacLeod, T. Matsui, J. Melosh, A. Montanari, J. V. Morgan, C. R. Neal, D. J. Nichols, R. D. Norris, E. Pierazzo, G. Ravizza, M. Rebolledo-Vieyra, W. U. Reimold, E. Robin, T. Salge, R. P. Speijer, A. R. Sweet, J. Urrutia-Fucugauchi, V. Vajda, M. T. Whalen, and P. S. Willumsen. 2010. The Chicxulub asteroid impact and mass extinction at the Cretaceous–Paleogene boundary. *Science* 327:1214–1218.
- Sessions, A. L., D. M. Doughty, P. V. Welander, R. E. Summons, and D. K. Newman. 2009. The continuing puzzle of the Great Oxidation Event. *Current Biology* 19:R567–R574.
- Shen, S. Z., J. L. Crowley, Y. Wang, S. A. Bowring, D. H. Erwin, P. M. Sadler, C. Q. Cao, D. H. Rothman, C. M. Henderson, J. Ramezani, H. Zhang, Y. Shen, X. D. Wang, W. Wang, L. Mu, W. Z. Li, Y. G. Tang, X. L. Liu, L. J. Liu, Y. Zeng, Y. F. Jiang, and Y. G. Jin. 2011. Calibrating the end-Permian mass extinction. *Science* 334:1367–1372.
- Shen, Y., D. E. Canfield, and A. H. Knoll. 2002. Middle Proterozoic ocean chemistry: evidence from the McArthur Basin, Northern Australia. *American Journal of Science* 302:81–109.
- Shields, G. A. 2005. Neoproterozoic cap carbonates: a critical appraisal of existing models and the plume world hypothesis. *Terra Nova* 17:299–310.
- Shields-Zhou, G., and L. Och. 2011. The case for a Neoproterozoic oxygenation event: geochemical evidence and biological consequences. *GSA Today* 21(3), 4–11.
- Simakov, O., F. Marletaz, S. J. Cho, E. Edsinger-Gonzales, P. Havlak, U. Hellsten, D. H. Kuo, T. Larsson, J. Lv, D. Arendt, R. Savage, K. Osoegawa, P. de Jong, J. Grimwood, J. A. Chapman, H. Shapiro, A. Aerts, R. P. Otiillar, A. Y. Terry, J. L. Boore, I. V. Grigoriev, D. R. Lindberg, E. C. Seaver, D. A. Weisblat, N. H. Putnam, and D. S. Rokhsar. 2013. Insights into bilaterian evolution from three spiralian genomes. *Nature* 493:526–531.
- Sperling, E. A., K. J. Peterson, and M. Laflamme. 2011. Rangeomorphs, *Thectardis* (Porifera?) and dissolved organic carbon in the Ediacaran oceans. *Geobiology* 9:24–33.
- Sperling, E. A., C. A. Frieder, A. V. Raman, P. R. Girguis, L. A. Levin, and A. H. Knoll. 2013a. Oxygen, ecology and the Cambrian radiation of animals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 110:13,446–13,451.
- Sperling, E. A., G. P. Halverson, A. H. Knoll, F. A. Macdonald, and D. T. Johnston. 2013b. A basin redox transect at the dawn of animal life. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 371:143–155.
- Stanley, S. M. 1973. An ecological theory for the sudden origin of multicellular life in the late precambrian. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 70:1486–1489.
- Sterelny, K. 2005. Another view of life. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences* 36:585–593.
- Tarhan, L. G., and M. L. Droser. 2014. Widespread delayed mixing in early to middle Cambrian marine shelfal settings. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 399:310–322.
- Turner, D. D. 2011. Gould’s replay revisited. *Biology and Philosophy* 26:65–79.
- Tziperman, E., I. Halevy, D. T. Johnston, A. H. Knoll, and D. P. Schrag. 2011. Biologically induced initiation of Neoproterozoic snowball-Earth events. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 108:15,091–15,096.
- Valentine, J. W., and E. M. Moores. 1970. Plate tectonic regulation of faunal diversity and sea level: a model. *Nature* 228:657–659.
- Vermeij, G. J. 2006. Historical contingency and the purported uniqueness of evolutionary innovations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 103:1804–1809.
- Wheeler, B. M., A. M. Heimberg, V. N. Moy, E. A. Sperling, T. W. Holstein, S. Heber, and K. J. Peterson. 2009. The deep evolution of metazoan microRNAs. *Evolution and Development* 11:50–68.
- Xiao, S. H., and M. Laflamme. 2008. On the eve of animal radiation: phylogeny, ecology and evolution of the Ediacara Biota. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 24:31–40.