## ON A PROBLEM IN PARTIAL DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS(<sup>1</sup>)

## <sup>BY</sup> CALVIN T. LONG

The purpose of this paper is not to solve a problem but to pose one that may be of some interest, depth, and consequence.

Given that the positive integer *n* has the canonical representation  $n = \prod_{i=1}^{h} p_i^{\alpha_i}$ , the problem of finding the number  $F(n) = f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h)$  of ordered factorizations of *n* into positive nontrivial integral factors is equivalent to that of finding the number of ordered partitions of the vector  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h)$  into nonzero vectors with nonnegative integral components. This problem was solved as early as 1893 by P. A. MacMahon [3], who proved that

(1)  
$$F(n) = f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_h)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^i {j \choose i} \prod_{k=1}^{h} {\alpha_k + j - i - 1 \choose \alpha_k}$$

where  $q = \sum_{i=1}^{h} \alpha_i$ . While this formula gives  $f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h)$  in closed form, it clearly is not particularly useful for calculation. A much more useful result which allows for the recursive calculation of the F(n) was given by Long [2] and by Carlitz and Moser [1], who proved that, for n > 1,

(2) 
$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{d\mid n}F(d) = F(n) = 2\sum_{d\mid n}\mu(d)F(n/d) - \mu(n).$$

In terms of the function f, (2) becomes a partial difference equation in  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h$ For example, for h=1, we obtain

(3) 
$$f(\alpha_1)-2f(\alpha_1-1) = 0, \quad f(0) = 1,$$

which has the solution  $f(\alpha_1) = 2^{\alpha_1 - 1}$ . For h = 2, we obtain

(4) 
$$f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) - 2f(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2) - 2f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 - 1) + 2f(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2 - 1) = 0$$

with f(0, 0) = 1,  $f(\alpha_1, 0) = 2^{\alpha_1 - 1}$  for  $\alpha_1 \ge 1$ , and  $f(0, \alpha_2) = 2^{\alpha_2 - 1}$  for  $\alpha_2 \ge 1$ , and it is not difficult to show directly that the solution is given by

(5) 
$$f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 2^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \sum_{i \ge 0} 2^{-i} {\alpha_1 \choose i} {\alpha_2 \choose i}.$$

Received by the editors November 11, 1969.

<sup>(1)</sup> This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grant GP-7114.

For h=3, we obtain

$$f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) - 2f(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) - 2f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 - 1, \alpha_3) - 2f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 - 1) + 2f(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2 - 1, \alpha_3) + 2f(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 - 1) + 2f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 - 1, \alpha_3 - 1) - 2f(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2 - 1, \alpha_3 - 1) = 0$$

with f(0, 0, 0) = 1,  $f(\alpha_1, 0, 0) = 2^{\alpha_1 - 1}$  for  $\alpha_1 \ge 1$ ,  $f(0, \alpha_2, 0) = 2^{\alpha_2 - 1}$  for  $\alpha_2 \ge 1$ , and  $f(0, 0, \alpha_3) = 2^{\alpha_3 - 1}$  for  $\alpha_3 \ge 1$  and the general pattern is now clear. I now assert that the solution to (6) can be obtained in the following intriguing way: Fully expand the polynomial

(7) 
$$2^{\alpha_1-1} (2x_1+1)^{\alpha_2} (2x_1x_2+x_1+x_2+1)^{\alpha_3}$$
  
=  $2^{\alpha_1-1} \{x_1+(x_1+1)\}^{\alpha_2} \{x_1x_2+(x_1+1)(x_2+1)\}^{\alpha_3}$ 

and then replace  $x_1^k$  by

$$\binom{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - k} \quad \text{for } 0 \le k \le \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$$

and replace  $x_2^k$  by

$$\binom{\alpha_2}{\alpha_3-k} \quad \text{for } 0 \le k \le \alpha_3.$$

The resulting function of  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_3$  is the desired solution to (6).

In general, in the n variable case, one fully expands the polynomial

(8) 
$$2^{\alpha_1-1}\prod_{i=2}^n \left\{\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j + \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (x_j+1)\right\}^{\alpha_i}$$

and then replaces  $x_1^k$  by the binomial coefficient

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i \\ \sum_{j=i+1}^n \alpha_j - k \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } 0 \le k \le \sum_{j=i+1}^n \alpha_j$$

to obtain the desired function  $f(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n)$ . Thus, for example, in the two variable case, we expand

$$2^{\alpha_1 - 1} (2x_1 + 1)^{\alpha_2} = 2^{\alpha_1 - 1} \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha_2} {\alpha_2 \choose i} (2x_1)^{\alpha_2 - i}$$
$$= 2^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - 1} \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha_2} {\alpha_2 \choose i} x_1^{\alpha_2 - i} 2^{-i}$$

and replace  $x_{1^2}^{\alpha_2 - i}$  by

$$\binom{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2 - (\alpha_2 - i)} = \binom{\alpha_1}{i}$$

to obtain the solution (5) noted above.

## 1970] ON A PROBLEM IN PARTIAL DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

335

Of course, the difficulty is that I can prove my claim only in the cases n=1, 2, 3and have checked it in particular cases for n=4, 5, 6. But then, in a very real sense, the solution is quite beside the point; MacMahon has already provided that. What may be of considerable importance is that the conjectured method of solution suggests the existence of a transform method of solution which may be applicable to a reasonably large class of finite partial difference equations. Hopefully, some reader may be able to decide the issue.

## References

1. L. Carlitz and L. Moser, On some special factorizations of  $(1 - x^n)/(1 - x)$ , Canad. Math. Bull. 9 (1966), 421–426.

2. C. T. Long, Addition theorems for sets of Integers, Pac. J. Math. 23 (1967), 107-112.

3. P. A. MacMahon, The theory of perfect partitions and the compositions of multipartite numbers, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London (A), 184 (1893), 835-901.

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY, Pullman, Washington