Original Article



Characteristics of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in intensive care unit before (2007–2010) and after (2011–2014) the beginning of an antimicrobial stewardship program

Alessio Strazzulla MD¹ , Vladimir Adrien MD^{2,3} , Segla Robert Houngnandan MD¹, Sandra Devatine MD², Ouerdia Bahmed MD¹, Sarra Abroug MD¹, Sarra Hamrouni MD¹, Mehran Monchi MD⁴ and Sylvain Diamantis MD^{1,2,5} ¹Internal and General Medicine Unit, Groupe Hospitalier Sud Ile de France, Melun, France, ²Infectious Diseases Unit, Groupe Hospitalier Sud Ile de France, Melun,

France, ³Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Avicenne Hospital, AP-HP, Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, Bobigny, France, ⁴Intensive Care Unit, Groupe Hospitalier Sud Ile de France, Melun, France and ⁵EA 7380 Dynamic, Université Paris Est Créteil, EnvA, USC ANSES, Créteil, France

Abstract

Objectives: To investigate the factors associated with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates in intensive care unit (ICU) before and after an antimicrobial stewardship program.

Materials: Monocentric retrospective cohort study. Patients admitted to the ICU in 2007–2014 were included. Characteristics of *P. aeruginosa* patients were compared to overall ICU population. Clinical and microbiological characteristics of *P. aeruginosa* patients before (2007–2010) and after (2011–2014) the beginning of the AMP were compared.

Results: Overall, 5,263 patients were admitted to the ICU, 274/5,263 (5%) had a *P. aeruginosa* isolate during their staying. In 2011–2014, the percentage *P. aeruginosa* isolates reduced (7% vs 4%, $P \le .0001$). Patients with *P. aeruginosa* had higher rates of in-hospital death (43% vs 20%, P < .0001) than overall ICU population. In 2011–2014, rates of multidrug-resistant (11% vs 2%, P = .0020), fluoroquinolone-resistant (35% vs 12%, P < .0001), and ceftazidime-resistant (23% vs 8%, P = .0009) *P. aeruginosa* reduced. Treatments by fluoroquinolones (36% vs 4%, $P \le .0001$), carbapenems (27% vs 9%, P = .0002), and third-generation cephalosporins (49% vs 12%, $P \le .0001$) before *P. aeruginosa* isolation reduced while piperacillin (0% vs 13%, P < .0001) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (8% vs 26%, P = .0023) increased. Endotracheal intubation reduced in 2011–2014 (61% vs 35%, P < .0001). Fluoroquinolones (OR = 2.94, P = .0020) and study period (2007–2010) (OR = 2.07, P = .0462) were the factors associated with fluoroquinolone-resistance at the multivariate analysis.

Conclusions: Antibiotic susceptibility in *P. aeruginosa* isolates was restored after the reduction of endotracheal intubation, fluoroquinolones, carbapenems, and third-generation cephalosporins and the increased use of molecules with a low ecological footprint, as piperacillin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

(Received 14 August 2023; accepted 15 March 2024)

Introduction

Pseudomonas aeruginosa can be responsible of life-threatening diseases, as a consequence of urinary, bone, respiratory, abdominal, and disseminated infections.¹ It colonizes human body, being part of the human microbiota (especially in the respiratory tract), and it can also be acquired from exogenous sources.² Patients hospitalized in intensive care unit (ICU) are at risk of contamination. Potential exogenous sources are tap-water and fomites while patient-to-patient transmission is possible but it

Corresponding author: Alessio Strazzulla; Email: alessio.strazzulla@ghsif.fr

Cite this article: Strazzulla A, Adrien V, Houngnandan SR, et al. Characteristics of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in intensive care unit before (2007–2010) and after (2011–2014) the beginning of an antimicrobial stewardship program. *Antimicrob Steward Healthc Epidemiol* 2024. doi: 10.1017/ash.2024.53

can be limited by standard precautions.³ Antibiotic pressure is the most relevant factor for *P. aeruginosa* acquisition in ICU.⁴

At each antibiotic treatment, germs of the human microbiota are exposed to sub-lethal levels of antibiotics. This event enhances the selection of antibiotic resistance genes, which often are host in transferable plasmids.⁵ Otherwise, the antibiotic pressure can trigger chromosomal mutations and transfer of resistance determinants, as is typical for *P. aeruginosa*.⁶ Because of the risk of antibiotic resistance, the use of broad-spectrum molecules is currently discouraged as antibiotic prophylaxis and treatment.⁷ The benefits in terms of antimicrobial susceptibility resulting from the reduced consumption of broad-spectrum molecules in ICU was largely demonstrated for gram-negative bacilli.⁸ However, the results of antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASPs) in ICU concerning *P. aeruginosa* are often deceiving with no significant reduction of antimicrobial resistance rates.^{9–11}

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

At the end of 2010, an ASP started at the ICU of the Melun General Hospital, a 350-bed tertiary care hospital in the *Ile-de-France* region in France, its ICU accounting for a total of 24 beds. The main objective of the ASP was to restrain the consumption of broad-spectrum molecules (carbapenems, fluoroquinolones (FLQ), and third-generation cephalosporins (3-GC)). This objective was fully achieved. Indeed, a reduction of 50%–85% in the consumption of carbapenems, FLQ, and 3-GC was observed in the following 4-year period (from 2011 to 2014) when compared to the previous one (from 2007 to 2010). Contemporarily, a reduction of AmpC hyperproducing group 3 *Enterobacteriaceae*, FLQ resistant, and ceftazidime resistant *P. aeruginosa* was observed.¹²

This study pursues the previous one through a *P. aeruginosa* targeted analysis. It investigates the factors associated with isolation of *P. aeruginosa* from ICU patients before and after the beginning of the ASP, with a special focus on the risk of antibiotic-resistance and the benefits produced by the ASP in terms of antibiotic susceptibility recovering.

Materials and methods

A monocentric retrospective cohort study was conducted at Melun General Hospital, a 350 tertiary bed hospital in Melun (France). Patients were hospitalized in ICU, accounting for 24 beds. All adult patients admitted to the ICU presenting *P. aeruginosa* isolates during their hospitalization in ICU from January the 1st, 2007 to December 31st, 2014 were included. Two timeframes were analyzed: (1) before the beginning of the ASP (2007–2010); (2) after the beginning of the ASP (2011–2014).

The previous study by *Abbara et al* already evaluated the susceptibility of all *P. aeruginosa* isolated from any site in patients hospitalized in ICU during the same study period. It focused on resistance to single molecules and did not explored the factors associated with *P. aeruginosa* isolation.¹² For this study, we revised all ICU patient's files and selected patients with *P. aeruginosa* isolation from any site during ICU stay and up to 7 days after ICU discharge to explore the possible late impact of ICU stay on *P. aeruginosa* selection. We included all *P. aeruginosa* isolates, both infections and colonizations, while in the previous study only isolates of clinical significance were included. We also added analysis about multidrug resistant (MDR) *P. aeruginosa*. The characteristics of patients with *P. aeruginosa* isolation were compared to ICU population and an analysis before/after intervention was performed.

The study was conducted in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki and national and institutional standards.¹³

Data were obtained through the revision of patients' files which were collected in software used in daily clinical practice (Sillage v17 and CGM Lab channel 1.20.33686). Microbiological identifications and susceptibility tests were performed according to recommendations of the European committee on antimicrobial susceptibility testing.¹⁴ The following outcomes were considered: (1) acquisition MDR bacteria; (2) length of ICU stay; (3) length of hospital stay; (4) in-ICU death; and (5) in-hospital death.

Fisher's exact test (qualitative variables) and Student's t-test (quantitative variables) were applied for the univariate analysis. At first, characteristics of *P. aeruginosa* patients were compared to overall ICU population. Then, clinical and microbiological characteristics of *P. aeruginosa* patients before (2007–2010) and after (2011–2014) the beginning of the AMP were compared. Analysis according to the origin of the infection (community acquired *vs* hospital acquired) was also performed. Logistic

regression analysis was performed for multivariate analysis. For the multivariate analysis of risk factors of FLQ resistance the parameters included in the analysis were chosen according to univariate analysis results ($P \le .0001$). For the analysis of the risk of MDR *P. aeruginosa* only the exposition to any class of antibiotics was considered. Statistical significance was set at P < .050.

Results

Overall, 5,263 patients were admitted to the ICU during the study period (2007–2014), 274/5263 (5%) having at least a *P. aeruginosa* isolate during hospitalization in ICU and up to 7 days after ICU discharge. The percentage of patients with *P. aeruginosa* isolates reduced significantly before and after the ASP (7% in 2007–2010 vs 4% in 2011–2014, $P \leq .0001$). Patients with *P. aeruginosa* had longer hospital stays and higher rates of in-hospital and in-ICU death (P < .0001) than overall ICU population. They received endotracheal intubation more frequently than patients without *P. aeruginosa* isolates (P < .0001). Table 1 resumes characteristics of the study population.

In 2011–2014, rates of multidrug-resistant, fluoroquinoloneresistant, and ceftazidime-resistant *P. aeruginosa* reduced significantly (P = .0020, P < .0001 and P = .0009, respectively). Rates of antibiotic treatments by FLQ, carbapenems, and 3-GC before *P. aeruginosa* isolation reduced significantly in 2011–2014 ($P \le .0002$). Simultaneously, use of piperacillin (without tazobactam) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) increased (P < .0001 and P = .0023, respectively). *P. aeruginosa* patients received endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation less frequently in 2011–2014 than 2007–2010. Also, the length of hospital and ICU stay decreased during the same period (P < 0.0001and P = 0.08, respectively). Table 2 shows characteristics of the population with *P. aeruginosa* isolates.

Patients with hospital acquired *P. aeruginosa* had higher rates of endotracheal intubation (P < .0001), central venous catheter (P = .0316), and previous FLQ treatment (P = .0059) than patients with community acquired *P. aeruginosa*. Sepsis was more frequent (P = .0063) among patient with community acquired *P. aeruginosa* than patients with hospital acquired *P. aeruginosa* (Table 3).

The univariate analysis showed that patients who received endotracheal intubation had higher rates of fluoroquinolone resistant *P. aeruginosa* isolation (P = .0197; Table 4). At the multivariate analysis, the factors associated with fluoroquinoloneresistance were study period (2007–2010) and previous treatment by fluoroquinolones (P = 0.0020 and P = 0.0462, respectively), as shown in Table 5. No previous use of any class of antibiotics was associated with the risk of MDR *P. aeruginosa* (Table 6).

Discussion

This study showed that the frequency of *P. aeruginosa* infection in ICU reduced after the beginning of an ASP, principally based on saving of broad-spectrum antibiotics. The antimicrobial susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* recovered after the reduction of 3-GC and fluoroquinolone consumption and the increased prescription of alternative "old" molecules, such as piperacillin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Endotracheal intubation was associated with FLQ resistance.

Although ASP are strongly recommended, reducing antibiotic consumption in ICU is extremely difficult because patients' uncertain diagnosis and compromised hemodynamic state push prescribers keeping long-course broad-spectrum antibiotic

Table 1. Characteristics of the population

		Pseudomonas aeruginosa		
	No	Yes		
Characteristics	n = 4,989	n = 274	P value	
Median age, years [min-max]	65 [51-78]	73 [58–81]	<.0001	
Male gender, n [%]	2,929 [57]	180 [64]	<.0001	
SAPS-II at ICU admission, mean [%]	39.7 [25.4]	48.8 [18.8]	.59	
Fluid resuscitation, n [%]	1004 [20]	63 [23]	.14	
Renal replacement therapy, n [%]	390 [8]	44 [16]	<.0001	
Vasopressors, n [%]	1590 [32]	172 [63]	<.0001	
Central venal catheter, n [%]	2169 [43]	215 [78]	<.0001	
Endotracheal intubation, n [%]	887 [18]	130 [47]	<.0001	
MV with PEEP \leq 6 cmH ₂ O and FiO ₂ \leq 60%, <i>n</i> [%]	1293 [26]	147 [54]	<.0001	
MV with PEEP \geq 6 cmH ₂ O and FiO ₂ \geq 60%, <i>n</i> [%]	1202 [24]	140 [51]	<.0001	
MV with PEEP \geq 6 and FiO ₂ \geq 60% cmH ₂ O and ventral decubitus, <i>n</i> [%]	80 [1.6]	10 [4]	.0182	
ICU length of stay, days, mean [SD]	3.2 [6.1]	9.1 [16.9]	<.0001	
Hospital length of stay, days, mean [SD]	15.2 [19.1]	41.3 [40.4]	<.0001	
In-ICU death, n [%]	840 [17]	102 [37]	<.0001	
In-hospital death, n [%]	995 [20]	118 [43]	<.0001	

Note. CI, confidence interval; ICU, intensive care unit; FiO2, fraction of inspired oxygen; MV, mechanical ventilation; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; RR, related ratio; SAPS-II, simplified acute physiology score-II; SD, standard deviation.

treatments. A consequence of this attitude is an increased risk of *P. aeruginosa* infection which occurs almost exclusively in patients who received a previous antibiotic treatment.¹⁵ In fact, broad-spectrum antibiotics interact with the environment and facilitate *P. aeruginosa* acquisition.⁴ Our ASP succeeded in reducing both *P. aeruginosa* antibiotic resistance and infection incidence. One of the key of this success was the increased use of molecules with low ecological footprint to treat infections others than *P. aeruginosa*.

The use of FLQ as empirical treatment for *P. aeruginosa* is inadvisable because of the risk of treatment failure and the increased mortality due to the development of antibiotic resistance.¹⁶ Indeed, P. aeruginosa has many mechanisms of resistance, frequently based on GvrA, ParC, and MexR enzymes.¹⁷ In ICU setting, an important virulence and resistance factor is biofilm which contributes reducing susceptibility to antimicrobial molecules and host-immune factors.¹⁸ This effect disappears when bacteria are deprived of the capacity of producing the extracellular matrix made by polysaccharides, proteins, and metabolites.¹⁹ As a consequence, biofilm reduces antibiotic efficacy by several mechanisms: reduction of antibiotic penetration, microenvironment modifications, and increased inflammatory response.²⁰ FLQ activity is largely limited by biofilm production.²¹ In ICU, the main sources of biofilm producing P. aeruginosa are invasive devices.⁴ Moreover, endotracheal intubation is the most relevant determinant of P. aeruginosa acquisition and ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP).²² The restriction of endotracheal intubation was adopted in our ICU to reduce respiratory infection rate. It was obtained through new standard of care, such as protocol-based sedation, favoring noninvasive ventilation over invasive ventilation whenever possible, and improved ventilation weaning process in mechanical ventilation. No change in devices and patient admission policy was adopted during the study period. Results of this study are in line with other studies which showed that

restriction of endotracheal intubation was associated with reduction of mortality and MDR bacterial infection.^{23,24} This study showed that previous FLQ treatment was associated with FLQ resistance in *P. aeruginosa* strains afterward isolated. It also showed that patients who received endotracheal intubation had higher rates of FLQ resistance. We can hypothesize that the reduction of endotracheal intubation observed from 2011 to 2014 could have contributed in reducing rates of FLQ resistant *P. aeruginosa*. This study advocates against the use of FLQ in intubated patients because of the increased risk of FLQ resistance in *P. aeruginosa* strains.

FLQ are frequently prescribed for atypical pneumonia and intracellular bacterial infections but their collateral damages in term of selection of MDR bacterial impose their limitation as empirical antibiotic treatment. For this reason, our ASP suggested macrolides as alternative molecules.¹² Indeed, macrolides can be preferred to FLQ in many situations. At first, macrolides are not inferior to FLQ for the treatment of *Legionella* pneumonia.²⁵ Second, the treatment of severe community acquired pneumonia with beta-lactam plus macrolides resulted more effective than treatment with FLQ alone in reducing mortality and length of hospitalization in ICU.²⁶ Third, because of their immunomodulatory effects, macrolides are an interesting alternative for the treatment of low respiratory tract infections in patients affected by chronic respiratory diseases.²⁷ In this study, macrolides contributed to reduce FLQ prescriptions.

Piperacillin is a broad-spectrum beta-lactam. It is active against gram-positive bacteria and it shows high activity against gram-negative bacilli, both aerobic and anaerobic (*Klebsiella pneumo-niae, Serratia marcescens*, and *P. aeruginosa*).²⁸ It is hydrolyzed by beta-lactamases (as TEM-1) and, therefore, it is almost always administrated in association with tazobactam, a beta-lactamase inhibitor which successfully restores the activity of piperacillin

Table 2. Characteristics of patients with Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates

	Pseudomonas aeruginosa			
	Overall	2007-2010	2011-2014	
Characteristics	n = 274	n = 132	n = 142	P value
Patients' biological parameters and medical history				
Median age, years [min-max]	72.5 [58–81]	75 [60.5–82]	70 [59–78.5]	.02
Male gender, n [%]	177 [65]	77 [57]	100 [70]	.02
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, n [%]	89 [32]	49 [37]	40 [28]	.07
Alcohol addiction, n [%]	43 [16]	26 [20]	17 [12]	.06
Diabetes, n [%]	59 [22]	32 [24]	27 [19]	.18
Immunodepression, n [%]	24 [9]	4 [3]	20 [14]	.0007
Traumatic brain injury, n [%]	16 [5.8]	9 [7]	7 [5]	.34
Wounds, <i>n</i> [%]	82 [30]	36 [27]	46 [32]	.21
Hospital stay in ICU				
SAPS-II at ICU admission, mean [SD]	48.7 [19.8]	48.6 [20.7]	48.8 [18.9]	.93
Fluid resuscitation, n [%]	63 [23]	10 [8]	53 [37]	<.0001
Renal replacement therapy, n [%]	44 [16]	7 [5]	37 [26]	<.0001
Vasopressors, n [%]	172 [63]	94 [71]	78 [55]	.0042
Central venal catheter, n [%]	215 [78]	118 [89]	97 [68]	<.0001
Continued noninvasive ventilation, n [%]	10 [4]	2 [2]	8 [6]	.07
Endotracheal intubation, n [%]	130 [47]	80 [61]	50 [35]	<.0001
MV with PEEP \leq 6 cmH ₂ O and FiO ₂ \leq 60%, <i>n</i> [%]	147 [54]	96 [73]	51 [36]	<.0001
MV with PEEP \geq 6 cmH ₂ O and FiO ₂ \geq 60%, <i>n</i> [%]	140 [51]	68 [52]	72 [51]	.49
MV with PEEP \geq 6 cmH ₂ O and FiO ₂ \geq 60% and ventral decubitus, <i>n</i> [%]	10 [4]	1 [1]	9 [6.3]	.0132
Length of invasive mechanical ventilation, days, mean [SD]	22.5 [24.3]	29.7 [29.8]	15.9 [14.8]	<.0001
Length of intratracheal intubation, days, mean [SD]	14.5 [13.2]	16.6 [13.3]	12.5 [12.8]	.0168
Previous antibiotic treatments				
Antibiotic treatment before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	247 [90]	122 [92]	125 [88]	.22
Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	68 [25]	38 [29]	30 [21]	.14
Piperacillin before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	18 [7]	0 [0]	18 [13]	<.0001
Piperacillin/tazobactam before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	104 [38]	44 [33]	60 [42]	.13
Ceftriaxone/cefotaxime before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	53 [19]	39 [30]	14 [10]	<.0001
Ceftazidime before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	29 [11]	26 [20]	3 [2]	<.0001
Cefepime before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	3 [1]	1 [1]	1 [1]	NA
Carbapenem before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	48 [18]	35 [27]	13 [9]	.0002
Aminoglycoside before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	123 [45]	57 [51]	56 [39]	.06
Glycopeptide before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	50 [18]	40 [30]	10 [7]	<.0001
Fluoroquinolone before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	54 [20]	48 [36]	6 [4]	<.0001
Macrolide/lincosamide before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	38 [14]	9 [7]	29 [20]	.0011
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	47 [17]	10 [8]	37 [26]	.0023
Tetracyclines before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	2 [1]	1 [1]	1 [1]	NA
Colistin before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	17 [6]	15 [11]	2 [1]	.0006
Other molecules* before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	26 [9]	10 [8]	16 [11]	.30
Risk factors of MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa				
Antibiotic therapy <6 mo, n [%]	93 [34]	45 [34]	48 [34]	.53
Hospitalization <6 mo, n [%]	123 [45]	63 [48]	60 [42]	.22
Other infection than Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	188 [69]	96 [73]	92 [65]	.1

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

		Pseudomonas aeruginosa			
	Overall	2007–2010	2011-2014		
Characteristics	n = 274	n = 132	n = 142	P value	
Previous Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection, n [%]	22 [8]	9 [7]	13 [9]	.31	
Characteristics of Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates					
Δ date of ICU admission - date of $\textit{Pseudomonas}\ aeruginosa\ isolation, mean\ [SD]$	10 [30]	13 [35]	8 [23]	.1618	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolation > day 7 of stay in ICU, n [%]	84 [31]	39 [30]	45 [42]	.67	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonization, n [%]	95 [35%]	39 [30%]	56 [39%]	.055	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection, n [%]	179 [65%]	93 [70%]	86 [61%]	.055	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa in respiratory tract, n [%]	120 [44]	58 [44]	62 [44]	.45	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa in bloodstream, n [%]	13 [5]	6 [5]	7 [5]	.86	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa in urine, n [%]	36 [13]	16 [12]	20 [14]	.53	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa in other sites**, n [%]	24 [9]	12 [9]	12 [9]	.56	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa VAP, n [%]	92 [34]	44 [33]	48 [34]	.52	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa sepsis, n [%]	24 [10]	12 [9]	12 [8.5]	.51	
Ceftazidime resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	41 [15]	30 [23]	11 [8]	.0009	
Ceftazidime resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa > day 7 of stay, n [%]	8 [3]	7 [5]	1 [1]	.93	
Imipenem resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	37 [14]	21 [16]	16 [11]	.26	
Imipenem resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa > day 7 of stay, n [%]	9 [3]	5 [4]	4 [3]	.28	
Fluoroquinolone resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	63 [23]	46 [35]	17 [12]	<.0001	
Fluoroquinolone resistant <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> > day 7 of stay, n [%]	5 [14]	10 [8]	4 [3]	.91	
MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	18 [7]	15 [11]	3 [2]	.0020	
MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa > day 7 of stay, n [%]	4 [1]	3 [2]	1 [1]	.61	
Outcomes					
Overall MDR bacteria, n [%]	74 [27]	43 [33]	31 [22]	.03	
Hospital acquired MDR bacteria, n [%]	37 [14]	22 [17]	15 [11]	.1	
ICU length of stay (days), mean [SD]	9.1 [16.9]	11 [20.3]	7.4 [12.7]	.08	
Hospital length of stay (days), mean [SD]	41.3 [40.4]	53 [50.7]	30.3 [22.9]	<.0001	
In-ICU death, n [%]	102 [37]	47 [36]	55 [39]	.34	
In-hospital death, n [%]	118 [43]	53 [40]	65 [46]	.21	

Note. *including: linezolid, fosfomycin, daptomycin, rifampicin; **including: purulent lesions, cutaneous biopsies, vascular catheter, bone biopsies, coprocultures and peritoneal fluids; CI, confidence interval; ICU, intensive care unit; FiO₂, fraction of inspired oxygen; MDR, multidrug resistant; MV, mechanical ventilation; NA, not applicable; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; RR, related ratio; SAPS-II, simplified acute physiology score-II; SD, standard deviation; VAP, ventilator associated pneumonia.

against many beta-lactamases.²⁹ However, *P. aeruginosa* may rapidly develop resistance to tazobactam by the production of extended spectrum beta-lactamases and AmpC beta-lactamases.³⁰ The use of piperacillin "alone" without the adding of tazobactam for documented infection caused by gram-negative bacteria was adopted in our ICU with the rationale of sparing tazobactam and, therefore, reducing the antimicrobial selective pressure on targeted pathogens, bacteria of the human microbiota and invasive device's contaminants. Results of this study suggest that this strategy could have contributed in reducing rates of MDR and ceftazidime resistant *P. aeruginosa* strains. Further studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis.

TMP-SMX represents an alternative to FLQ and beta-lactams for the treatment of infection by gram-negative (*Enterobacteriaceae*) and gram-positive (*Staphylococcus aureus*) bacteria, although it is not active against *P. aeruginosa*. In France, it is currently the first choice for treatment of documented urinary infection according to French national recommendations.³¹ In our establishment, TMP-SFX is successfully used for the treatment of VAP by bacteria other than *P. aeruginosa.*³² According to our ASP, TMP-SMX was preferred to FLQ and beta-lactams whenever the antimicrobial susceptibility test confirmed the sensibility to TMP-SMX. Aim of this choice was to reduce antibiotic "collateral damages" and in particular the selection of MDR bacteria. The reduction of *P. aeruginosa*'s resistance rates to FLQ and beta-lactams was likely influenced by the reduced consumption of broad-spectrum molecules (FLQ and 3-GC) and their replacement by molecules with a lower ecological footprint, such as TMP-SMX and piperacillin.

Results of this study were limited by its retrospective design. Indeed, a loss of data was expected and direct comparison between molecules were not possible. Also, a longer period analysis was necessary to confirm the positive results of the ASP. Because of the study design, the number of variables was limited and many factors potentially associated with *P. aeruginosa* isolation were not investigated. Notwithstanding, results of this study are encouraging and justify the pursue of exploration by further studies. In particular,

 Table 3. Characteristics of patients with Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates

	Pseudomonas aeruginosa			
	Overall	Nosocomial	Community acquired	
Characteristics	n = 274	n = 213	n = 61	P value
Patients' biological parameters and medical history				
Median age, years [min-max]	72.5 [58-81]	72 [15–92]	74 [33–91]	.02
Male gender, n [%]	180 [64]	137 [65]	40 [66]	.49
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, n [%]	89 [32]	69 [33]	20 [33]	.53
Alcohol addiction, n [%]	43 [16]	36 [17]	7 [12]	.21
Diabetes, n [%]	59 [22]	50 [24]	9 [15]	.10
Traumatic brain injury, n [%]	16 [5.8]	14 [7]	2 [13]	.26
Wounds, <i>n</i> [%]	82 [30]	64 [30]	18 [29]	.53
Hospital stay in ICU				
SAPS-II at ICU admission, mean [SD]	48.7 [19.8]	47.7 [18.6]	51.9 [23.1]	.10
Fluid resuscitation, n [%]	63 [23]	42 [20]	21 [34]	.0146
Renal replacement therapy, n [%]	44 [16]	33 [16]	11 [18]	.38
Vasopressors, n [%]	172 [63]	138 [65]	34 [56]	.13
Central venal catheter, n [%]	215 [78]	173 [81]	42 [68]	.0316
Continued non-invasive ventilation, n [%]	10 [4]	8 [4]	2 [3]	.61
Endotracheal intubation, n [%]	130 [47]	117 [55]	13 [21]	<.0001
MV with PEEP \leq 6 cmH ₂ O and FiO ₂ \leq 60%, <i>n</i> [%]	147 [54]	125 [59]	22 [36]	.0014
MV with PEEP \geq 6 cmH ₂ O and FiO ₂ \geq 60%, <i>n</i> [%]	140 [51]	11 [52]	29 [48]	.31
MV with PEEP \geq 6 cmH ₂ O and FiO ₂ \geq 60% and ventral decubitus, <i>n</i> [%]	10 [4]	10 [5]	0 [0.0]	.07
Length of invasive mechanical ventilation, days, mean [SD]	22.5 [24.3]	25.4 [24.1]	12.3 [21.8]	.0002
Length of intratracheal intubation, days, mean [SD]	14.5 [13.2]	16.2 [12.2]	8.1 [14.3]	<.0001
Previous antibiotic treatments				
Antibiotic treatment before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	247 [90]	196 [92]	51 [84]	.04
Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	68 [25]	57 [27]	11 [18]	.10
Piperacillin before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	18 [7]	16 [8]	2 [3]	.19
Piperacillin/tazobactam before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	104 [38]	80 [38]	24 [39]	.45
Ceftriaxone/cefotaxime before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	53 [19]	45 [21]	8 [13]	.11
Ceftazidime before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	29 [11]	25 [12]	4 [7]	.18
Cefepime before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	3 [1]	3 [1]	0 [0]	.46
Carbapenem before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	48 [18]	41 [19]	7 [11]	.11
Aminoglycoside before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	123 [45]	98 [46]	25 [39]	.28
Glycopeptide before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	50 [18]	39 [18]	11 [18]	.55
Fluoroquinolone before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	54 [20]	49 [23]	5 [8]	.0059
Macrolide/lincosamide before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	38 [14]	30 [14]	8 [13]	.52
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	47 [17]	39 [18]	8 [13]	.23
Tetracyclines before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	2 [1]	2 [1]	0 [0]	.60
Colistin before Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	17 [6]	14 [7]	3 [5]	.77
Other molecules* before <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , n [%]	26 [9]	23 [11]	3 [5]	.12
Risk factors of MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa				
Antibiotic therapy < 6 mo, <i>n</i> [%]	93 [34]	69 [32]	24 [39]	.19
Hospitalization < 6 mo, n [%]	123 [45]	86 [40]	37 [61]	.0040
Other infection than Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	188 [69]	163 [76]	25 [40]	<.0001
Previous Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection, n [%]	22 [8]	13 [6]	9 [15]	.0324

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

		Pseudomonas aeruginosa			
	Overall	Overall Nosocomial Community acquired			
Characteristics	n = 274	n = 213	n = 61	P value	
Characteristics of Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates					
Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonization, n [%]	95 [35%]	75 [35%]	20 [33%]	.42	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection, n [%]	179 [65%]	138 [64%]	41 [67%]	.42	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa in respiratory tract, n [%]	146 [53]	99 [47]	21 [35]	.05	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa in urine, n [%]	36 [13]	27 [13]	9 [15]	.40	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa in other sites**, n [%]	24 [9]	13 [6]	11 [18]	.0062	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa sepsis, n [%]	24 [10]	13 [6]	11 [18]	.0063	
Ceftazidime resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	41 [15]	32 [15]	9 [15]	.57	
Imipenem resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	37 [14]	33 [15]	4 [7]	.0497	
Fluoroquinolone resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	63 [23]	54 [25]	9 [15]	.06	
MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa, n [%]	18 [7]	16 [8]	2 [3]	.19	
Outcomes					
Overall MDR bacteria, n [%]	74 [27]	61 [29]	13 [22]	.18	
ICU length of stay (days), mean [SD]	9.1 [16.9]	10.4 [18.0]	4.4 [10.7]	.032	
Hospital length of stay (days), mean [SD]	41.3 [40.4]	46.3 [40.3]	23.6 [35.6]	.0001	
In-ICU death, n [%]	102 [37]	76 [36]	26 [42]	.20	
In-hospital death, n [%]	118 [43]	89 [42]	29 [48]	.25	

Note. *including linezolid, fosfomycin, daptomycin, rifampicin; **including purulent lesions, cutaneous biopsies, vascular catheter, bone biopsies, coprocultures and peritoneal fluids; CI, confidence interval; ICU, intensive care unit; FiO2, fraction of inspired oxygen; MDR, multidrug resistant; MV, mechanical ventilation; NA, not applicable; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; RR, related ratio; SAPS-II, simplified acute physiology score-II; SD, standard deviation; VAP, ventilator associated pneumonia.

Table	4.	Resistance	to	fluoroquinolones	among	Pseudomonas	aeruginosa
isolate	s fr	om patients	ree	ceiving endotrachea	al intuba	tion	

	Endo	Endotracheal intubation			
Characteristics	Yes	No	P value		
Overall (<i>n</i> = 274)					
Fluoroquinolone resistant isolates, n [%]	38 [29]	25 [17]	.0197		
Fluoroquinolone resistant isolates in patients treated by fluoroquinolones, n [%]	18 [46]	5 [20]	.0273		
Respiratory samples ($n = 146$)					
Fluoroquinolone resistant isolates, n [%]	26 [35]	14 [24]	.0259		
Fluoroquinolone resistant isolates in patients treated by fluoroquinolones, <i>n</i> [%]	12 [54]	3 [21]	.0495		

Table 5. Multivariate analysis of factors associated with fluoroquinolone resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates

Characteristics	OR (95% CI)	P value
Length of endotracheal intubation, days	1.39 (0.6–3.2)	.44
Length of invasive mechanical ventilation	0.60 (0.3–1.4)	.23
Continued non-invasive ventilation	1.30 (0.2–6.7)	.76
Previous fluoroquinolone treatment	2.07 (1.0-4.2)	.0462
Study period (2007-2010 vs 2011-2014)	2.94 (1.5–5.8)	.0020

Table 6. Multivariate analysis of factors associated with presence of multi drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates

Characteristics	OR (95% CI)	P value
Previous aminoglycoside	1.82 (0.6–5.1)	.26
Previous cephalosporin	0.78 (0.2–2.5)	.68
Previous carbapenem	1.17 (0.3–3.9)	.80
Previous tetracycline	0.0001 (NA)	.98
Previous fluoroquinolone	2.13 (0.7–6.9)	.20
Previous macrolide	1.63 (0.4–6.2)	.47
Previous penicillin	0.40 (0.1–1.2)	.09
Previous Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	0.31 (0.03-2.4)	.27

Note. NA, not applicable.

factors associated with *P. aeruginosa* isolates occurring in patients hospitalized in non-ICU units needs to be explored. Also, risk factors of *P. aeruginosa* VAP need to be investigated. A direct comparison between different molecules necessitates to be performed.

Conclusions

The antibiotic stewardship program implemented in our institution achieved in reducing rates of antibiotic resistance in *P. aeruginosa* isolates obtained from ICU patients. Among the factors investigated by this study, the decreasing consumption of

3-GC and FLQ and the increased use of TMP-SMX and piperacillin contributed in achieving this result. Also, the decreasing use of endotracheal intubation was observed and likely participate in reducing rates of *P. aeruginosa* isolation. Further studies are needed to verify the effectiveness of this strategy in other settings.

Acknowledgments. None.

Author contribution. AS drafted the work; VA gave substantial contribution in data acquisition, RH revised the draft, SD contributed in interpretation of data; OB contributed in interpretation of data; SA contributed in interpretation of data; SH contributed in interpretation of data; MM gave substantial contributions to conception or design of the work; SD gave.

Financial support. This study did not receive any funding from public or private entities.

Competing interests. All authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Pop-Vicas A, Opal SM. The clinical impact of multidrug-resistant gramnegative bacilli in the management of septic shock. *Virulence* 2014;5: 206–12. doi: 10.4161/viru.26210.
- Bertrand X, Thouverez M, Talon D, *et al.* Endemicity, molecular diversity and colonisation routes of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in intensive care units. *Intens Care Med* 2001;27:1263–8. doi: 10.1007/s001340100979.
- Rogues AM, Boulestreau H, Lasheras A, et al. Contribution of tap water to patient colonisation with Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a medical intensive care unit. J Hosp Infect 2007;67:72–78. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2007. 06.019.
- Boyer A, Doussau A, Thiébault R, *et al.* Pseudomonas aeruginosa acquisition on an intensive care unit: relationship between antibiotic selective pressure and patients' environment. *Crit Care* 2011;15:R55. doi: 10.1186/cc10026.
- Gullberg E, Albrecht LM, Karlsson C, Sandegren L, Andersson DI. Selection of a multidrug resistance plasmid by sublethal levels of antibiotics and heavy metals. *mBio* 2014;5:e01918–14. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01918-14.
- Oliver A, Mulet X, López-Causapé C, Juan C. The increasing threat of Pseudomonas aeruginosa high-risk clones. *Drug Resist Updat* 2015; 21–22:41–59. doi: 10.1016/j.drup.2015.08.002.
- Ioannou P, Karakonstantis S, Schouten J, *et al.* Indications for medical antibiotic prophylaxis and potential targets for antimicrobial stewardship intervention: a narrative review. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2022;28:362–370. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2021.10.001.
- Onorato L, Macera M, Calò F, *et al.* The effect of an antimicrobial stewardship programme in two intensive care units of a teaching hospital: an interrupted time series analysis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020;26: 782.e1–782.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2019.10.021.
- Abbara S, Domenech de Cellès M, Batista R, et al. Variable impact of an antimicrobial stewardship programme in three intensive care units: timeseries analysis of 2012-2017 surveillance data. J Hosp Infect 2020;104: 150–157. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2019.10.002
- Taggart LR, Leung E, Muller MP, Matukas LM, Daneman N. Differential outcome of an antimicrobial stewardship audit and feedback program in two intensive care units: a controlled interrupted time series study. *BMC Infect Dis* 2015;15:480. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1223-2.
- Slain D, Sarwari AR, Petros KO, et al. Impact of a multimodal antimicrobial stewardship program on Pseudomonas aeruginosa susceptibility and antimicrobial use in the intensive care unit setting. Crit Care Res Pract 2011;2011:416426. doi: 10.1155/2011/416426.
- 12. Abbara S, Pitsch A, Jochmans S, *et al.* Impact of a multimodal strategy combining a new standard of care and restriction of carbapenems,

fluoroquinolones and cephalosporins on antibiotic consumption and resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a French intensive care unit. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2019;53:416–422. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.12. 001.

- Deplanque D., Sénéchal-Cohen S., Lemaire F. French Jarde's law and European regulation on drug trials: harmonization and implementation of new rules. *Thérapie* 2017;72:73–80. doi: 10.1016/j.therap.2016. 12.006.
- 14. European committee on antimicrobial susceptibility testing: Guidelines for detection of resistance mechanisms and specific resistances of clinical and/or epidemiological importance; Version 2.0 July 2017. https://www. eucast.org/resistance_mechanisms. Published 2017. Accessed March 15, 2023.
- Pickens CI, Wunderink RG. Principles and Practice of Antibiotic Stewardship in the ICU. *Chest* 2019;156:163–171. doi: 10.1016/j.chest. 2019.01.013.
- Nguyen LH, Hsu DI, Ganapathy V, Shriner K, Wong-Beringer A. Reducing empirical use of fluoroquinolones for Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections improves outcome. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008;61:714–20. doi: 10.1093/ jac/dkm510.
- Nguyen KV, Nguyen TV, Nguyen HTT, Le DV. Mutations in the gyrA, parC, and mexR genes provide functional insights into the fluoroquinolone resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated in Vietnam. Infect Drug Resist 2018;11:275–282. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S147581
- Das T, Sehar S, Manefield M. The roles of extracellular DNA in the structural integrity of extracellular polymeric substance and bacterial biofilm development. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 2013;5:778–86. doi: 10.1111/ 1758-2229.12085.
- Walters MC, Frank R, Amandine B, Franklin MJ, Stewart PS. Contributions of antibiotic penetration, oxygen limitation, and low metabolic activity to tolerance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms to ciprofloxacin and tobramycin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003;47:317–23. doi: 10.1128/ AAC.47.1.317-323.2003.
- Pang Z, Raudonis R, Glick BR, Lin TJ, Cheng Z. Antibiotic resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa: mechanisms and alternative therapeutic strategies. *Biotechnol Adv* 2019;37:177–192. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv. 2018.11.013.
- Cepas V, López Y, Muñoz E, et al. Relationship between biofilm formation and antimicrobial resistance in gram-negative bacteria. *Microb Drug Resist* 2019;25:72–79.
- Coppadoro A, Bellani G, Foti G. Non-pharmacological interventions to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia: a literature review. *Respir Care* 2019;64:1586–1595. doi: 10.4187/respcare.07127.
- 23. Villemure-Poliquin N, Costerousse O, Lessard Bonaventure P, et al. Tracheostomy versus prolonged intubation in moderate to severe traumatic brain injury: a multicentre retrospective cohort study. Can J Anaesth 2023;70:1516–1526. doi: 10.1007/s12630-023-02539-7.
- 24. Wang S, Li J, Dai J, *et al.* Establishment and validation of models for the risk of multi-drug resistant bacteria infection and prognosis in elderly patients with pulmonary infection: a multicenter retrospective study. *Infect Drug Resist* 2023;16:6549–6566. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S422564.
- 25. Jasper AS, Musuuza JS, Tischendorf JS, et al. Are fluoroquinolones or macrolides better for treating legionella pneumonia? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021;72:1979–1989. doi: 10.1093/cid/ ciaa441.
- 26. Ito A, Ishida T, Tachibana H, *et al.* Usefulness of β-lactam and macrolide combination therapy for treating community-acquired pneumonia patients hospitalized in the intensive care unit: Propensity score analysis of a prospective cohort study. *J Infect Chemother* 2021;27:1447–1453. doi: 10. 1016/j.jiac.2021.06.003.
- Pollock J, Chalmers JD. The immunomodulatory effects of macrolide antibiotics in respiratory disease. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2021;71:102095. doi: 10.1016/j.pupt.2021.102095.
- Drusano GL, Schimpff SC, Hewitt WL. The acylampicillins: mezlocillin, piperacillin, and azlocillin. *Rev Infect Dis* 1984;6:13–32.

- Bryson HM, Brogden RN. Piperacillin/tazobactam. A review of its antibacterial activity, pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic potential. *Drugs* 1994;47:506–35. doi: 10.2165/00003495-199447030-00008.
- Karaiskos I, Giamarellou H. Carbapenem-sparing strategies for ESBL producers: when and how. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2020;9:61. doi: 10.3390/ antibiotics9020061.
- Société de Réanimation de langue Français, Haute Autorité de Santé, Societe de Pathologie Infectieuse de langue Français. Antibiothérapie des infections

à entérobactéries et à Pseudomonas aeruginosa chez l'adulte: place des carbapénèmes et de leurs alternatives (FRENCH). https://www.infectiologie.com/fr/recommandations.html. Published 2023. Accessed April 16, 2023.

32. Strazzulla A, Postorino MC, Youbong T, et al. Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole as de-escalation in ventilator-associated pneumonia: a cohort study subanalysis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2021;40:1511–1516. doi: 10. 1007/s10096-021-04184-8.