

## A QUESTION OF VALDIVIA ON QUASINORMABLE FRÉCHET SPACES

JOSÉ BONET

**ABSTRACT.** It is proved that a Fréchet space is quasinormable if and only if every null sequence in the strong dual converges equicontinuously to the origin. This answers positively a question raised by Valdivia. As a consequence a positive answer to a problem of Jarchow on Fréchet Schwartz spaces is obtained.

The class of quasinormable Fréchet spaces was studied by Grothendieck in [2] as a class “containing the most usual Fréchet functions spaces” (cf. [2, p. 107]). This class received recently much attention in the context of the structure theory of Fréchet spaces and Köthe echelon spaces (see [1,6,8,9,10]). Valdivia in 1981 [8] asked if every separable Fréchet space such that its strong dual verifies the Mackey convergence condition is quasinormable. This question was also collected in the problem list of [7, problem 13.5.1]. Here we present a positive answer to this problem, even without the assumption of the separability of the Fréchet space.

Let  $F$  be a Fréchet space with an increasing fundamental sequence of seminorms  $(\|\cdot\|_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $U_n := \{x \in F; \|x\|_n \leq 1\}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) form a basis of 0-neighbourhoods in  $F$ . The system of all closed absolutely convex bounded subsets of  $F$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}(F)$ . The dual seminorms are defined by  $\|u\|_n^* := \sup\{|\langle u, x \rangle|; x \in U_n\}$ , if  $u \in F'$ . We denote by  $F'_n := \{u \in F'; \|u\|_n^* < \infty\}$  the linear span of  $U_n^\circ$  endowed with the normed topology defined by  $\|\cdot\|_n^*$ . The symbols  $F'_b$  and  $F'_i$  stand for the strong and the inductive dual of  $F$  respectively, i.e.,  $F'_i := \text{ind } F'_n$  is the bornological space associated with  $F'_b$ . According to Grothendieck [2], we say that  $F'_b$  satisfies the Mackey convergence condition if every null sequence in  $F'_b$  is contained in some  $F'_n$  and converges to the origin in  $F'_n$ . The quasinormable spaces were introduced by Grothendieck [2]. The Fréchet  $F$  is called quasinormable if the following condition holds:

$$(QN) \quad \forall n \quad \exists m > n \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists B \in \mathcal{B}(F) : U_m \subset B + \varepsilon U_n.$$

The positive solution to Valdivia’s problem is contained in the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $F$  be a Fréchet space. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $F$  is quasinormable.
- (2)  $\forall n \quad \exists m > n \quad \forall k > m \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \lambda > 0 : U_m \subset \lambda U_k + \varepsilon U_n$  (cf. [6])
- (3)  $F'_b$  satisfies the Mackey convergence condition.

---

Received by the editors November 3, 1989; revised June 26, 1990.

AMS subject classification: 46A06, 46A12.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1991.

(4)  $F'_i = \text{ind } F'_n$  is a sequentially retractive inductive limit (i.e., every null sequence in  $F'_i$  is contained in some  $F'_n$  and converges to the origin in  $F'_n$ ).

PROOF. It is a direct matter to check that (1) implies (2). The fact that (1) implies (3) follows from the original definition of quasinormable Fréchet spaces (cf. [2]). Conditions (3) and (4) are equivalent since  $F'_b$  and  $F'_i$  have the same convergent sequences. Indeed, let  $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a null sequence in  $F'_b$  and let  $L$  denote the linear span of this sequence. By [7,8.2.18],  $F'_b$  and  $(F', \beta(F', F''))$  induce the same topology on  $L$ . The conclusion follows since  $F'_i = (F', \beta(F', F''))$  (see e.g. [4;29,4(2)]).

We prove now that (4) implies (2). If  $F'_i = \text{ind } F'_n$  is sequentially retractive, we can apply a theorem of Neus to conclude that it is even strongly boundedly retractive (see e.g. [9, p. 169] or [7,8.5.48]). This means precisely

$$\forall n \exists m > n : F'_i \text{ and } F'_m \text{ induce the same topology on } U_n^\circ.$$

This implies at once

$$\forall n \exists m > n \forall k > m : F'_i \text{ and } F'_m \text{ induce the same topology on } U_n^\circ,$$

or equivalently

$$\forall n \exists m > n \forall k > m \forall \alpha > 0 \exists \beta > 0 : \beta U_k^\circ \cap U_n^\circ \subset \alpha U_m^\circ.$$

Taking polars in  $F$  and using the bipolar theorem, it is easy to see that this implies (2).

Now it is a direct matter to check that condition (2) is equivalent to the fact that  $F$  satisfies the property  $(\Omega_\varphi)$  of Vogt and Wagner (see [6] and [11]) for some strictly increasing function  $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ . By [6, Theorem 7], this implies that  $F$  is quasinormable. The proof is already complete, but, since the proof of [6, Theorem 7] is rather involved, we present now a simple and direct proof of (2) implies (1) by use of a Mittag-Leffler procedure.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $m = n + 1$  in (2). Our assumption may be then formulated as follows

$$(*) \quad \forall n \forall k \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \lambda > 0 : U_{n+1} \subset \lambda U_k + \varepsilon U_n.$$

To prove that condition  $(QN)$  is satisfied we only do it for the first neighbourhood in the basis. For simplicity in the notation we call it  $U_0$ . We fix  $n = 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By (\*) for “ $n$ ” = 0, “ $k$ ” = 2, “ $\varepsilon$ ” :=  $\varepsilon/2$ , we have  $U_1 \subset \lambda_1 U_2 + (\varepsilon/2)U_0$ . Applying (\*) to “ $n$ ” := 1, “ $k$ ” := 3, “ $\varepsilon$ ” :=  $\varepsilon/(\lambda_1 2^2)$  we get  $U_2 \subset \lambda_2^2 U_3 + (\varepsilon/\lambda_1 2^2)U_1$ , hence  $\lambda_1 U_2 \subset \lambda_2 U_3 + (\varepsilon/2^2)U_1$  with  $\lambda_2 := \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2$ .

Proceeding by recurrence we determine  $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\lambda_0 := 1$ , such that

$$(**) \quad \forall k \lambda_{k-1} U_k \subset \lambda_k U_{k+1} + \varepsilon 2^{-k} U_{k-1}.$$

Fix  $z \in U_1$ . We have  $z = \lambda_1 u_2 + \varepsilon 2^{-1} v_1$ , where  $u_2 \in U_2$  and  $v_1 \in U_0$ . If  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have, from (\*\*),  $\lambda_{k-1} u_k = \lambda_k u_{k+1} + \varepsilon 2^{-k} v_k$ ,  $u_{k+1} \in U_{k+1}$  and  $v_k \in U_{k-1}$ . Since  $F$  is a

Fréchet space and  $v_k \in U_{k-1}$ , the series  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \varepsilon 2^{-k} v_k$  converges to an element  $x$  of  $F$  which belongs to  $\varepsilon U_o$ . The set  $B := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\lambda_k + \varepsilon) U_k$  is bounded in  $F$  (and independent of  $z$ ). We prove that  $z - x \in B$ . Indeed, fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$z - x = \left( z - \sum_{j=1}^k \varepsilon 2^{-j} v_j \right) - \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty \varepsilon 2^{-j} v_j = \lambda_k u_{k+1} - \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty \varepsilon 2^{-j} v_j \in \lambda_k U_{k+1} + \varepsilon 2^{-k} U_k \subset (\lambda_k + \varepsilon) U_k.$$

Consequently,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists B \in \mathcal{B}(F) : U_1 \subset B + \varepsilon U_o$ . The proof is complete. ■

REMARK. Let  $E$  be a  $(DF)$ -space with a fundamental sequence of bounded sets  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . We consider the following two conditions on  $E$ .

- (a)  $\forall n \exists m > n \forall \alpha > 0 \exists$  a 0-neighbourhood  $U$  in  $E : B_n \cap U \subset \alpha B_m$ .
- (b)  $\forall n \exists m > n \forall k \forall \alpha > 0 \exists \beta > 0 : B_n \cap \beta B_k \subset \alpha B_m$ .

Property (a) is precisely the strict Mackey condition introduced by Grothendieck in [2]. Property (b) means exactly that the inductive limit  $\text{ind } E_{B_n}$  satisfies the condition  $(M)$  of Retakh (see e.g. [8, p. 164]). Clearly condition (a) implies condition (b). The converse implication holds if  $E$  is the strong dual of a Fréchet space according to our previous theorem, or if  $E$  is bornological (i.e., if  $E = \text{ind } E_{B_n}$  holds topologically) by a result of Retakh (see [9, p. 164(2)]). In general (b) does not imply (a), which shows that our theorem can not be deduced from a more general result about  $(DF)$ -spaces using duality. Here is the example: let  $X$  be a Banach space such that  $(X', \sigma(X', X))$  is not separable and denote by  $E$  the linear space  $X$  endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on the countable bounded subsets of  $(X', \sigma(X', X))$ . Then  $E$  is a  $(DF)$ -space which does not satisfy the strict Mackey condition (cf. [8, Prop. p. 79]). But if  $B$  is the unit ball of the Banach space  $X$ , then  $(nB)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a fundamental sequence of bounded subsets of  $E$ . Property (b) is then certainly satisfied.

Our next corollary contains one of the possible extensions to Fréchet spaces of what is known as the Josefson-Nissenzweig theorem (if  $X$  is a Banach space in the dual of which all weak\* convergent sequences are norm convergent, then  $X$  is finite-dimensional). The corollary is the version of [3, 11.6.3] without the assumption of separability on the Fréchet space, and constitutes the precise positive solution to Jarchow question in [3, 11.10] about the characterization of Fréchet Schwartz spaces. Our next result is obtained by combining the theorem with results of Lindström [5]. These latter results depend heavily on a version of Bourgain and Diestel of the Josefson-Nissenzweig theorem (see [5]), so that the corollary extends but not improves the theorem.

COROLLARY. *A Fréchet space  $F$  is Schwartz if and only if every  $\sigma(F', F)$ -convergent sequence in  $F'$  is contained in some  $F'_n$  and converges there (i.e. converges equicontinuously).*

PROOF. Assume that every  $\sigma(F', F)$ -convergent sequence converges equicontinuously. This implies that  $F'_b$  satisfies the Mackey convergence condition. By our theorem  $F$  is quasinormable. Now the conclusion follows from [5, Cor. 3]. ■

NOTES ADDED IN PROOF (7/1991). (1) The corollary in the paper was independently obtained by M. Lindström and T. Schlumprecht in *A Josefson-Nissenzweig theorem for Fréchet spaces*, preprint 1990.

(2) As a direct consequence of our theorem it follows that a Fréchet space  $F$  is quasinormable if and only if the space of germs  $H(K)$  is strongly boundedly retractive for one (or for all) compact subset(s)  $K \neq \emptyset$  of  $F$ . This is a positive answer to Problem 14 in K. D. Bierstedt, R. Meise, *Aspects of inductive limits in spaces of germs of holomorphic functions on locally convex spaces and applications to a study of  $(H(U), \tau_\omega)$* , p. 111–178 in *Advances in Holomorphy*, North-Holland Math. Studies **34**, Amsterdam 1979.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This research was partially supported by the DGICYT Proyecto no. PS88-0050.

#### REFERENCES

1. K. D. Bierstedt, R. Meise, W. Summers, *Köthe sets and Köthe sequence spaces*. Functional Analysis, Holomorphy and Approximation Theory, North-Holland Math. Studies, **71**, Amsterdam, 1982, 27–91.
2. A. Grothendieck, *Sur les espaces  $(F)$  et  $(DF)$* , Summa Brasil Math. **3**(1954), 57–123.
3. H. Jarchow, *Locally convex spaces*. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
4. G. Köthe, *Topological vector spaces I and II*. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1969 and 1979.
5. M. Lindström, *A characterization of Schwartz spaces*. Math. Z. **198**(1988), 423–430.
6. R. Meise, D. Vogt, *A characterization of quasinormable Fréchet spaces*, Math. Nachr. **122**(1985), 141–150.
7. P. Pérez Carreras, J. Bonet, *Barrelled locally convex spaces*. North-Holland Math. Studies **131**, Amsterdam, 1987.
8. M. Valdivia, *On quasinormable echelon space*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **24**(1981), 73–80.
9. ———, *Topics in locally convex spaces*. North-Holland Math. Studies **67**, Amsterdam, 1982.
10. D. Vogt, *Some results on continuous linear maps between Fréchet spaces*, Functional Analysis: Surveys and Recent Results III, North-Holland Math. Studies **90**, Amsterdam, 1984, 349–381.
11. D. Vogt, M. J. Wagner, *Characterisierung der Quotienträume von  $s$  und eine Vermutung von Matineau*, Studia Math. **67**(1980), 225–240.

*Departamento de Matemática Aplicada  
E.T.S. Arquitectura  
Universidad Politécnica de Valencia  
E-46071 Valencia, Spain*