WORLD POLITICS

Vol. 45

.

July 1993

No. 4

٠

CONTENTS

| Does Hegemony Matter? The Reorganization of th Pacific Political Economy | | 501 |
|--|---------------------------|-----|
| Capitalist Coalitions, the State, and Neoliberal Economic Restructuring: Chile, 1973–88 | Eduardo Silva | 526 |
| Review Articles | | |
| Writing the Intifada: Collective Action in the Occupied Territories | Ian S. Lustick | 560 |
| When Effect Becomes Cause: Policy Feedback and Political Change | Paul Pierson | 595 |
| Explaining the Dynamics of the Southeast Asian Political Economy: State, Society, and the <i>Ga</i> Search for Economic Growth | ary Hawes and Hong Liu | 629 |
| Index to Volume 45 | | 661 |
| The Contributors | | ii |
| Abstracts | | iii |

THE CONTRIBUTORS

DONALD CRONE is Dean of the Faculty and Associate Professor of Political Science in International Studies at Scripps College. He is currently working on a book on growth and equity in Southeast Asia.

EDUARDO SILVA is Assistant Professor of Political Science and a Fellow at the Center for International Studies at the University of Missouri-St. Louis. He is coeditor (with Paul W. Drake) of *Elections and Democratization in Latin America* (1986). His current research focuses on relations between business and the state and the political economy of rain forest conservation and sustainable development.

IAN S. LUSTICK is Professor of Political Science at the University of Pennsylvania. He is the author of For the Land and the Lord: Jewish Fundamentalism in Israel (1988) and Unsettled States, Disputed Lands: Britain and Ireland, France and Algeria, Israel and the West Bank/Gaza (forthcoming).

PAUL PIERSON is Associate Professor of Government at Harvard University. He is the author of Dismantling the Welfare State? Reagan, Thatcher, and the Politics of Retrenchment (forthcoming).

GARY HAWES is Associate Professor of Political Science at Ohio University. He is the author of *The Philippine State and the Marcos Regime: The Politics of Export* (1987).

HONG LIU is a Ph.D. candidate in the Department of History at Ohio University. He is the author of a number of articles on Southeast Asian politics and international relations, published in Chinese and Dutch.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by The Johns Hopkins University Press for libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the fee of 1.00 per copy is paid directly to CCC, 21 Congress St., Salem, MA 01970. 0043-8871/93 \$01.00

DOES HEGEMONY MATTER?

THE REORGANIZATION OF THE PACIFIC POLITICAL ECONOMY

By DONALD CRONE

The timing and nature of an emerging Pacific economic regime are examined within a framework that extends existing understandings of regime formation. One analytic level is provided by the dynamic nature of states' strategic incentives, as they change from a pattern characterized by extreme hegemony toward one exhibiting features of a more balanced power distribution. Cultural underpinnings of regime values is another. Together, these explain features of Pacific regime formation that otherwise appear anomalous: its delayed emergence, its central internal tensions, and its weakness.

CAPITALIST COALITIONS, THE STATE, AND NEOLIBERAL **ECONOMIC RESTRUCTURING:**

CHILE, 1973-88

By EDUARDO SILVA

This article argues that a high degree of relative state autonomy and ideology, while necessary, was not sufficient to explain fully the change from import-substitution industrialization to an open, free-market economy in Chile. A comparison across three distinct policy periods in authoritarian Chile reveals that shifting coalitions of businessmen and landowners, with varying power resources, also played an important part in the outcome. This approach does not seek to vitiate other interpretations of economic change in Chile and elsewhere. The question is not so much which factor is most important, but how and when the different factors matter.

WRITING THE INTIFADA:

COLLECTIVE ACTION IN THE OCCUPIED TERRITORIES

By IAN S. LUSTICK

The five-year-old Palestinian uprising, the intifada, was the first of many mass mobilizations against nondemocratic rule to appear in the Middle East, Eastern Europe, East Asia, and the former Soviet Union between 1987 and 1991. Although the Palestinian struggle against Israeli occupation of the West Bank and Gaza Strip is seldom included by the media or by social scientists in their treatments of this putative wave of "democratization," many studies of the uprising are available. Although largely atheoretic in their construction of the intifada and in their explanations for it, the two general questions posed by most of these authors are familiar to students of collective action and revolution. On the one hand, why did it take twenty years for the Palestinians to launch the uprising? On the other hand, how, in light of the individual costs of participation and the negligible impact of any one person's decision to participate, could it have occurred at all? The work under review provides broad support for recent trends in the analysis of revolution and collection action, while illustrating both the opportunities and the constraints associated with using monographic literature as a data base.

WHEN EFFECT BECOMES CAUSE:

POLICY FEEDBACK AND POLITICAL CHANGE **By PAUL PIERSON**

As governmental activity has expanded, scholars have been increasingly inclined to suggest that the structure of public policies has an important influence on patterns of political change. Yet research on policy feedback is mostly anecdotal, and there has so far been little attempt

WORLD POLITICS

to develop more general hypotheses about the conditions under which policies produce politics. Drawing on recent research, this article suggests that feedback occurs through two main mechanisms. Policies generate resources and incentives for political actors, and they provide those actors with information and cues that encourage particular interpretations of the political world. These mechanisms operate in a variety of ways, but have significant effects on government elites, interest groups, and mass publics. By investigating how policies influence different actors through these distinctive mechanisms, the article outlines a research agenda for moving from the current focus on illustrative case studies to the investigation of broader propositions about how and when policies are likely to be politically consequential.

Explaining the Dynamics of the Southeast Asian Political Economy: state, society, and the search for economic growth

By GARY HAWES and HONG LIU

This essay reviews two sets of books that explore the origins and dynamics of Southeast Asia's growth and economic transformation. One set of books utilizes a structuralist framework and emphasizes the role of the state in creating a (now) powerful capitalist class. The other set of books utilizes an institutionalist framework to explain how new patterns of private/public sector collaboration have resulted in rapid economic growth. The authors point to weaknesses in both approaches and to areas where the two approaches can be fruitfully synthesized. They also offer suggestions for future research.