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# ON THE METRIC THEORY OF THE OPTIMAL CONTINUED FRACTION EXPANSION 

R. NAIR

Suppose $k_{n}$ denotes either $\phi(n)$ or $\phi\left(r_{n}\right)(n=1,2, \cdots)$ where the polynomial $\phi$ maps the natural numbers to themselves and $r_{k}$ denotes the $k$ th rational prime. Let $\left(p_{n} / q_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denote the sequence of convergents to a real numbers $x$ for the optimal continued fraction expansion. Define the sequence of approximation constants $\left(\theta_{n}(x)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ by

$$
\theta_{n}(x)=q_{n}^{2}\left|x-\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}\right| . \quad(n=1,2, \cdots)
$$

In this paper we study the behaviour of the sequence $\left(\theta_{k_{n}}(x)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for almost all $x$ with respect to Lebesgue measure. In the special case where $k_{n}=n(n=1,2, \cdots)$ these results are due to Bosma and Kraaikamp.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we refine some results on the optimal continued fraction expansion of a real number proved in [1]. We first introduce the notion of a semi-regular continued fraction expansion, which both the regular continued fraction expansion and the optimal continued fraction expansion (our primary object of study) are examples of. For a real number $x$ we write

$$
x=c_{0}+\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{c_{1}+\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{c_{2}+\frac{\varepsilon_{3}}{c_{3}+\frac{\varepsilon_{4}}{c_{4} \cdots}}}}
$$

also sometimes written more succinctly as $\left[c_{0} ; \varepsilon_{1} c_{1}, \cdots,\right]$ where $\left(c_{i}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of integers and $\varepsilon_{i} \in\{-1,1\}$. The numbers $c_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots)$ are called the partial quotients of the expansion and for each natural number $n$ the truncates

$$
\frac{P_{n}}{Q_{n}}=\left[c_{0} ; \varepsilon_{1} c_{1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{n} c_{n}\right]
$$
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are called the convergents of the expansion. The expansion is called semi-regular if: (i) $c_{n}$ is a natural number, for positive $n$; (ii) $\varepsilon_{n+1}+c_{n+1} \geqslant 1$ for all natural numbers $n$ and (iii) $\varepsilon_{n+1}+c_{n+1} \geqslant 2$ for infinitely many $n$ if the expansion is itself infinite. Central to the class of semi-regular continued fraction expansions is the regular continued fraction expansion which is also the most familiar and is obtained when $c_{n}$ is a natural number and $\varepsilon_{n}$ takes the value one for all $n$. Notice that for the regular continued fraction expansion $c_{0}=\lfloor x\rfloor$, that is, the greatest integer not less than $x$. Each regular convergent is always a best approximation to $x$ in the sense that there do not exist better approximations with smaller denominators. That is, for all integers $r$ and $s$ such that $0<s \leqslant Q_{n}$, if for some rational $r / s$ we have

$$
\left|x-\frac{r}{s}\right| \leqslant\left|x-\frac{P_{n}}{Q_{n}}\right|
$$

then $r / s=P_{n} / Q_{n}$. The converse does not hold [13, Section 16]. It is none the less possible to improve the approximation properties of $x$ by convergents in other regards by looking at other continued fraction expansions in the semi-regular class. We consider two senses in which this can be done below. Firstly, as a form of Dirchlet's theorem on diophantine approximation [6] recall the inequality

$$
\left|x-\frac{P_{n}}{Q_{n}}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{Q_{n}^{2}},
$$

satisfied by the convergents of the regular continued fraction expansion. Clearly if for each natural number $n$ we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{n}(x)=Q_{n}^{2}\left|x-\frac{P_{n}}{Q_{n}}\right| \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for each $x$ the sequence $\left(\theta_{n}(x)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ lies in the interval $[0,1]$. It turns out that because the convergents of any semi-regular continued fraction expansion are a subsequence of the sequence of convergents of the regular continued fraction expansion, the sequence $\left(\theta_{n}(x)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ may also be defined similarly for any semi-regular continued fraction expansion. In particular it was observed by Minkowski that the regular convergents for which $\theta_{n}(x)<1 / 2$ are the convergents of a semi-regular continued fraction expansion [13]. In addition a theorem of Legendre tells us that if $Q|Q x-P|<1 / 2$ then $P / Q$ is a regular convergent [6]. We shall therefore confine attention henceforth to expansions for which $\theta_{n}(x)<1 / 2$ holds for all natural numbers $n$. Secondly we are interested in semi-regular continued fractions with convergents, henceforth denoted $\left(p_{k} / q_{k}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, which are as sparse as possible as a subsequence of the sequence of regular convergents $\left(P_{n} / Q_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. There is a restriction on how sparse the sequence $\left(p_{k} / q_{k}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$
can be in that to remain a semi-regular expansion one of any two consecutive terms of $\left(p_{k} / q_{k}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ must remain in $\left(P_{n} / Q_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. A semi-regular continued fraction expansion is called closest if the first requirement, namely that $\theta_{n}(x)<1 / 2$ is true for all natural numbers $n$ and called fastest if $\left(p_{k} / q_{k}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is as sparse as a subset of $\left(P_{n} / Q_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. A number of semi-regular continued fraction expansions satisfy one or other of these properties. See [7], [14], [9] or [10] for details. The optimal continued fraction expansion introduced in [3] satisfies both. In Section 4 we shall introduce and describe in detail this expansion which is our primary object of study. In Section 2 we introduce certain general results from ergodic theory necessary for our investigation. In Section 3 we present certain information about the regular continued fraction expansion we also need for our investigation. Finally in Section 5 the results of Section 2 are applied to obtain new results on the distribution of the sequence $\left(\theta_{n}(x)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for almost all $x$ with respect to Lebesgue measure in the case of the optimal continued fraction expansion. These results extend earlier work contained in [2].

## 2. Basic Ergodic theory

Here and throughout the rest of the paper by a dynamical system $(X, \beta, \mu, T)$ we mean a set $X$, together with a $\sigma$-algebra $\beta$ of subsets of $X$, a probability measure $\mu$ on the measurable space ( $X, \beta$ ) and a measurable self map $T$ of $X$ that is also measure preserving. By this we mean that if given an element $A$ of $\beta$ if we set $T^{-1} A=$ $\{x \in X: T x \in A\}$ then $\mu(A)=\mu\left(T^{-1} A\right)$. We say a dynamical system is ergodic if $T^{-1} A=A$ for some $A$ in $\beta$ means that $\mu(A)$ is either zero or one in value. We say the dynamical system $(X, \beta, \mu, T)$ is weak mixing (among other equivalent formulations [17]) if for each pair of sets $A$ and $B$ in $\beta$ we have

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|\mu\left(T^{-n} A \cap B\right)-\mu(A) \mu(B)\right|=0
$$

Weak mixing is a strictly stronger condition than ergodicity. A piece of terminology that is becoming increasingly standard is to call a sequence $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of non-negative integers $L^{p}$ good universal if given any dynamical system ( $X, \beta, \mu, T$ ) and any function $f$ in $L^{p}(X, \beta, \mu)$ it is true that

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(T^{k_{n}} x\right)=\ell_{f}(x)
$$

exist almost everywhere with respect to the measure $\mu$. Here and henceforth for each real number $y$, let $\langle y\rangle$ denote its fractional part, that is $y-\lfloor y\rfloor$. The following theorem is proved in [12].

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose the sequence $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of non-negative integers is such that for each irrational number $\alpha$ the sequence $\left(\left\langle k_{n} \alpha\right\rangle\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly distributed modulo one and for a particular $p$ greater or equal to one that $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is $L^{p}$ good universal. Then if the dynamical system $(X, \beta, \mu, T)$ is weak mixing, $\ell_{f}(x)=$ $\int_{X} f(t) d \mu(t)$ almost everywhere with respect to $\mu$.

If $k_{n}$ denotes either $\phi(n)$ or $\phi\left(p_{n}\right)$ where $\phi$ denotes any non-constant polynomial mapping the natural numbers to themselves and $p_{n}$ denotes the $n$th rational prime then $\mathbf{k}$ is $L^{p}$ good universal for any $p$ greater than one. See [4] and [11] respectively for proofs. The fact that for each irrational number $\alpha$ the sequence $\left(\left\langle k_{n} \alpha\right\rangle\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly distributed modulo one in both instances are well known classical results. See [16] and [18] respectively. Other sequences are known by the author to satisfy both hypotheses but these results have yet to appear in print. Henceforth for reasons of brevity, we shall call a sequence $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} p$-good if it satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 and we call it good in the special case when it is $p$-good for $p=\infty$.

## 3. Regular continued fractions

Suppose for a real number $x$ that it has regular continued fraction expansion

$$
x=c_{0}+\frac{1}{c_{1}+\frac{1}{c_{2}+\frac{1}{c_{3}+\frac{1}{c_{4} \cdots}}}} .
$$

Let $g:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be the map defined by

$$
g x=\left\langle\frac{1}{x}\right\rangle x \neq 0 ; \quad g 0=0
$$

also known as the Gauss map. Notice that $c_{n}(x)=c_{n-1}(g x)(n=1,2, \cdots)$ and recall that

$$
\frac{P_{n}}{Q_{n}}=\left[c_{0} ; c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n}\right] \quad(n=1,2, \cdots)
$$

We have the following classical recurrence relations [6]

$$
P_{-1}=1 ; \quad P_{0}=0 ; P_{n}=c_{n} P_{n-1}+P_{n-2} \quad(n=1,2, \cdots)
$$

and

$$
Q_{-1}=1 ; Q_{0}=0 ; Q_{n}=c_{n} Q_{n-1}+Q_{n-2} \quad(n=1,2, \cdots)
$$

Set

$$
T_{n}=g^{n-1}\left(x-c_{0}\right) \quad(n=1,2, \cdots)
$$

and

$$
V_{n}=V_{n}(x)=\frac{Q_{n-1}}{Q_{n}}(x) \quad(n=1,2, \cdots)
$$

Then it is straightforward to check that

$$
T_{n}=\left[0 ; c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}, \cdots\right]
$$

and

$$
V_{n}=\left[0 ; c_{n}, c_{n-1}, \cdots, c_{1}\right]
$$

From $g$ we build a two dimensional map $\mathcal{T}$ defined on $\Omega=([0,1) \backslash \mathbf{Q}) \times[0,1]$ by

$$
\mathcal{T}(x, y)=\left(g x, \frac{1}{\lfloor 1 / x\rfloor+y}\right)
$$

Then for each natural number $n$

$$
\mathcal{T}^{n}(x, y)=\left(g^{n} x,\left[0 ; c_{n}, c_{n-1}, \cdots, c_{2}, c_{1}+y\right]\right)
$$

and in particular for non-negative $n$

$$
T^{n}(x, 0)=\left(T_{n}(x), V_{n}(x)\right)
$$

Let $\beta$ denote the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel sets in $\Omega$ and $\eta$ the measure on $\Omega$ defined for $A$ in $\beta$ by

$$
\eta(A)=\frac{1}{(\log 2)} \int_{A} \frac{d x y}{(1+x y)^{2}}
$$

We have the following theorem [7].
Theorem 3.1. The dynamical system ( $\Omega, \beta, \eta, \mathcal{T}$ ) is weak mixing.

## 4. BASIC THEORY OF THE OPTIMAL CONTINUED FRACTION EXPANSION

Let $x$ be an irrational real number and suppose it lies in the interval ( $c_{0}-1 / 2$, $\left.c_{0}-1 / 2\right)$ for some integer $c_{0}$ and put $t_{0}=x-c_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}(x)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(t_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=1, p_{0}=c_{0}, q_{1}=0, q_{0}=1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $v_{0}=0$. Suppose $t_{i}, p_{i}, q_{i}, c_{i}, v_{i}$ and $\varepsilon_{i+1}$ have been defined for $i \leqslant k$ and some positive integer $k$. Then define $t_{k+1}, p_{k+1}, q_{k+1}, c_{k+1}, v_{k+1}$ and $\varepsilon_{k+2}$ inductively as follows. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{k+1}=\left\lfloor\left|t_{k}\right|^{-1}+\frac{\left\lfloor\left|t_{k}\right|^{-1}\right\rfloor+\varepsilon_{k+1} v_{k}}{2\left(\left\lfloor\left|t_{k}\right|^{-1}\right\rfloor+\varepsilon_{k+1} v_{k+1}\right)+1}\right\rfloor \\
t_{k+1}=\left|t_{k}\right|^{-1}-c_{k+1} \\
\varepsilon_{k+2}=\operatorname{sgn}\left(t_{k+1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k+1}=c_{k+1} p_{k}+\varepsilon_{k+1} p_{k-1} ; q_{k+1}=c_{k+1} q_{k}+\varepsilon_{k+1} q_{k-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $v_{k+1}=q_{k} / q_{k+1}$. Now the optimal continued fraction expansion of $x$ is

$$
x=\left[c_{0} ; \varepsilon_{1} c_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} c_{2}, \cdots\right]
$$

One straight forwardly verifies that

$$
t_{k}=\left[0 ; \varepsilon_{k+1} c_{k+1}, \varepsilon_{k+2} c_{k+2}, \cdots\right]
$$

and

$$
v_{k}=\left[0 ; c_{k}, \varepsilon_{k} c_{k-1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{2} c_{1}\right]
$$

The sequence $\left(p_{k} / q_{k}\right)_{k=-1}^{\infty}$ are the convergents and as we said in the introduction are a subsequence of the sequence of regular convergents $\left(P_{n} / Q_{n}\right)_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ and if we define the function $n: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ by $p_{k} / q_{k}=P_{n(k)} / Q_{n(k)}$ then $n(k+1)=n(k)+1$ if and only if $\varepsilon_{k+2}=1$ and $n(k+1)=n(k)+2$ otherwise, once we have set $n(0)=0$ for $x>0$ and $n(0)=1$ otherwise. Define $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ by

$$
\Gamma=\left\{(T, V) \in \Omega: V<\min \left(T, \frac{2 T-1}{1-T}\right)\right\}
$$

and put $H=\Omega \backslash \Gamma$. We have the following lemma [2].
Lemma 4.1. Suppose $x$ is irrational and $n$ a natural number. The following are equivalent:
(i) the regular continued fraction convergent $P_{n} / Q_{n}$ is not an optimal continued fraction convergent;
(ii) $c_{n+1}=1, \theta_{n-1}<\theta_{n}$ and $\theta_{n}>\theta_{n+1}$; and
(iii) $\left(T_{n}, V_{n}\right)$ is in $\Gamma$.

We now define the map $U: H \rightarrow H$, by

$$
U(T, V)= \begin{cases}\mathcal{T}(T, V) & \text { if } \mathcal{T}(T, V) \notin H \\ \mathcal{T}^{2}(T, V) & \text { if } \mathcal{T}(T, V) \notin H\end{cases}
$$

It is convenient to write $g=(1-\sqrt{5}) / 2$ and $G=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ henceforth. Let $\beta_{H}$ denote the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel subsets of $H$ and $\mu_{H}$ the probability measure on $H$ with density $(\log G)^{-1}(1+x y)^{-2}$. In [8] it is shown that the dynamical system $\left(H, \beta_{H}, \mu_{H}, U\right)$, which is in fact the system induced on $H$ by $\mathcal{T}$, is exact and hence weak mixing. It is possible to describe a dynamical system explicitly which is isomorphic to $\left(H, \beta_{H}, \mu_{H}, U\right)$ and which is not described indirectly as an induced system. We do this as follows. Let $\Delta \subset(-1,1) \times(-1,1)$ be defined by

$$
\Delta=\left\{(y, v) \in(-1,1) \times(-1,1): v \leqslant \min \left(\frac{2 t+1}{t+1}, \frac{t+1}{t+2}\right) ; v \geqslant \max \left(0, \frac{2 t-1}{1-t}\right)\right\}
$$

Define a map $W$ from $\Delta$ to itself by

$$
W(t, v)=\left(|t|^{-1}-\beta(t, v), \frac{1}{\beta(t, v)+\operatorname{sgn}(t) v}\right)
$$

where

$$
\beta(t, v)=\left\lfloor|t|^{-1}+\frac{\left\lfloor\left|t_{k}\right|^{-1}\right\rfloor+\operatorname{sgn}(t) v}{2\left(\left\lfloor\left|t_{k}\right|^{-1}\right\rfloor+\operatorname{sgn}(t) v\right)+1}\right\rfloor
$$

Also define a measure $\mu_{\Delta}$ on $\Delta$ by setting its Radon Nikodym derivative relative to two dimensional Lebesgue measure to be $(\log G)^{-1}(1+x y)^{-2}$. Finally note that if $x$ is in $(-1 / 2,1 / 2)$ then $W^{k}(x, 0)=\left(t_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ for all positive integers $k$. The dynamical system $\left(\Delta, \beta_{\Delta}, \mu_{\Delta}, W\right)$, where $\beta_{\Delta}$ is the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel sets on $\Delta$, is Bernoulli [8] and hence weak mixing.

## 5. Statistical properties of the sequence $\left(\theta_{n}(x)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$

We have the following theorem from which all the other results of this paper may be derived.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose $\left(t_{k}, v_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is as defined in Section 4. Then if $\mathbf{k}=$ $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is good for each element $A$ of $\beta_{H}$ we have

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{A}\left(t_{k_{n}}, v_{k_{n}}\right)=\frac{1}{\log G} \int_{A} \frac{d t d v}{(1+t v)^{2}}
$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.
Proof: Note that for all $y$ such that $(x, y)$ is in $\Delta$ we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(W^{n}(x, y)\right)-\left(W^{n}(x, 0)\right)=0
$$

and that $W^{n}(x, 0)=\left(t_{n}, v_{n}\right)$. Then Theorem 5.1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1.

We now consider applications of this theorem. Let

$$
\Pi=\left\{(w, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}: w>0, z>0,4 w^{2}+z^{2}<1, w^{2}+4 z^{2}<1\right\}
$$

Theorem 5.2. Suppose $A$ is a Borel subset of the set $\Pi$. If $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is good we have

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{A}\left(\theta_{k_{n}-1}(x), \theta_{k_{n}}(x)\right)=\int_{A \cap \Pi}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4 w t}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4 w t}}\right) d w d z
$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.
Proof: Let $\psi$ denote the two to one map from $\Delta$ to $\Pi$ defined by

$$
\psi(t, v)=\left(\frac{v}{1+t v}, \frac{\varepsilon(t) t}{1+t v}\right)
$$

where $\varepsilon(t)$ denotes the sign of $t$. We note that $\psi\left(t_{k}, v_{k}\right)=\left(\theta_{k-1}, \theta_{k}\right)$ for each natural number $k$. To see this note that from a standard fact from the elementary theory of continued fractions we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{p_{k}+t_{k} p_{k-1}}{q_{k}+t_{k} q_{k-1}} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{k}=\frac{\varepsilon_{k-1} t_{k}}{1+t_{k} v_{k}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\Delta_{-1}=\{(t, v) \in \Delta: \varepsilon(t)=-1\}
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{1}=\{(t, v) \in \Delta: \varepsilon(t)=1\}
$$

Also let $\psi_{-1}=\psi_{\mid \Delta_{-1}}$ and $\psi_{1}=\psi_{\mid \Delta_{1}}$. These maps are then continuously differentiable bijective maps from $\Delta_{-1}$ (respestively $\Delta_{1}$ ) to $\Pi$. Using the coordinate change formula for measures, the image measure for

$$
\mu(A)=\frac{1}{\log G} \iint_{A \cap \Pi} \frac{d t d w}{(1+t v)^{2}}
$$

under both maps $\psi_{-1}$ and $\psi_{1}$ is given by

$$
\left(\psi_{-1} \mu\right)(B)=\left(\psi_{1} \mu\right)(B)=\frac{1}{\log G} \iint_{B \cap \Pi}\left(\frac{1+x y}{1-x y}\right) d x d y
$$

Since by (5.1) and (5.2) if $\varepsilon\left(t_{k}\right)=\varepsilon_{k+1}=1$ then

$$
\left(\frac{1-t_{k} v_{k}}{1+t_{k} v_{k}}\right)^{2}=1-4 \theta_{k-1} \theta_{k}
$$

and if $\varepsilon\left(t_{k}\right)=\varepsilon_{k+1}=-1$ then

$$
\left(\frac{1-t_{k} v_{k}}{1+t_{l} v_{k}}\right)^{2}=1+4 \theta_{k-1} \theta_{k}
$$

and hence the image of $\mu$ under $\psi$ is given by

$$
(\psi \mu)(A)=\int_{A \cap \Pi}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4 w t}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4 w t}}\right) d w d t
$$

The result now follows from Theorem 5.1.
In [2] it is shown that for each irrational $x$ we have $0<\theta_{k-1}+\theta_{k}<2 / \sqrt{5}$. Let

$$
h(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\log \sqrt{1+z}-\log \sqrt{1-z}+\arctan z) / \log G \\
\left(\log \left(\frac{5 \sqrt{5-4 z^{2}}-5 z}{\sqrt{5-4 z^{2}}+z}\right)+2 \arctan \left(\frac{2 \sqrt{5-4 z^{2}}-3 z}{5 \sqrt{1+z^{2}}}\right)\right) / 2 \log G \\
\text { if } z \in[0,1 / 2]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 5.3. Let $h$ be as just above. If $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is good

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N}\left|\left\{1 \leqslant n \leqslant N: \theta_{k_{n}-1}(x)+\theta_{k_{n}}(x)<a\right\}\right|=\int_{0}^{a} h(t) d t
$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.
Proof: The result follows immediately by applying Theorem 5.2 to the function $w+t=$ const.

In [2] it is shown that for each irrational $x$ we have $0 \leqslant\left|\theta_{n-1}-\theta_{n}\right| \leqslant 1 / 2$ for each natural number $k$. Let

$$
j(z)=\frac{1}{\log G}\left(\log \left(\frac{5 \sqrt{5-4 z^{2}}-5 z}{1+z}\right)-\arctan z+\arcsin \left(\frac{2 \sqrt{5-4 z^{2}}-3 z}{\sqrt{1+z^{2}}}\right)\right)
$$

We have the following theorem.
Theorem 5.4. Let $j$ be as defined just above. If $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is good and $a$ is in $[0,1 / 2)$, we have

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N}\left|\left\{1 \leqslant n \leqslant N:\left|\theta_{k_{n}-1}(x)-\theta_{k_{n}}(x)\right|<a\right\}\right|=\int_{0}^{a} j(t) d t
$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.
Proof: The proof of this result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.2 and the appropriate choice of $A$.

In [2] it is shown that for irrational $x, \theta_{k}(x)$ is in $(0,1 / 2)$. Let

$$
k(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\log G} & \text { if } z \in(0,1 / \sqrt{5}) \\ \frac{1}{\log G} \frac{\sqrt{1-4 z^{2}}}{z} & \text { if } z \in[1 / \sqrt{5}, 1 / 2)\end{cases}
$$

We have the following result:

Theorem 5.5. Suppose $k$ is defined as just above. If $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is good and $a$ is in $[0,1 / 2)$, we have

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{A}\left(\theta_{k_{n}}(x)\right)=\int_{A \cap(0,1 / 2)} d(z) d z
$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.
Proof: Apply Theorem 5.2 with $w<z$.
Also calculating the first moment of $k$ we have:
ThEOREM 5.6. If $\mathrm{k}=\left(k_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is good then

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \theta_{k_{n}}(x)=\frac{1}{4 \log G} \arctan \frac{1}{2}
$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.
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