# Added sugar intake and its forms and sources in relation to risk of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: results from the TCLSIH cohort study

Shunming Zhang,[1,2,3] Huiping Li,[2] Ge Meng,[2,4] Qing Zhang,[5] Li Liu,[5] Hongmei Wu,[2] Yeqing Gu,[1] Tingjing Zhang,[2] Xuena Wang,[2] Juanjuan Zhang,[2] Jun Dong,[2] Xiaoxi Zheng,[2] Zhixia Cao,[2] Xu Zhang,[2] Xinrong Dong,[2] Shaomei Sun,[5] Xing Wang,[5] Ming Zhou,[5] Qiyu Jia,[5] Kun Song,[5] the China Cohort Consortium, Yan Borné [3], Emily Sonestedt [3], Lu Qi,[6,7*] and Kaijun Niu [1,2,5,8,9*]

[1]Nutrition and Radiation Epidemiology Research Center, Institute of Radiation Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Tianjin, China.
[2]Nutritional Epidemiology Institute and School of Public Health, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China.
[3]Nutritional Epidemiology, Department of Clinical Sciences Malmö, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden.
[4]Department of Toxicology and Sanitary Chemistry, School of Public Health, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China.
[5]Health Management Centre, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin, China.
[6]Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, USA.
[7]Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA.
[8]Tianjin Key Laboratory of Environment, Nutrition and Public Health, Tianjin, China.
[9]Center for International Collaborative Research on Environment, Nutrition and Public Health, Tianjin, China.

***Correspondence and Reprint Addressed to:** Prof. Kaijun Niu, Nutritional Epidemiology Institute and School of Public Health, Tianjin Medical University, 22 Qixiangtai Road, Heping District, Tianjin 300070, China; Tel: +86-22-83336613; E-mail: nkj0809@gmail.com Or Prof. Lu Qi, Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, Tulane University, 1440 Canal Street, Suite 1724, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA; Tel: 504-988-7259; E-mail: lqi1@tulane.edu



10.1017/S000711452200277X

The British Journal of Nutrition is published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society

**Abstract**

It has been suggested that added sugar intake is associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). However, previous studies only focused on sugar-sweetened beverages; the evidence for associations with total added sugars and their sources is scarce. This study aimed to examine the associations of total added sugars, their physical forms (liquid vs. solid), and food sources with risk of NAFLD among adults in Tianjin, China. We used data from 15,538 participants, free of NAFLD, other liver diseases, cardiovascular disease, cancer, or diabetes at baseline (2013-2018 years). Added sugar intake was estimated from a validated 100-item food frequency questionnaire. NAFLD was diagnosed by ultrasonography after exclusion of other causes of liver diseases. Multivariable Cox proportional hazards models were fitted to calculate hazards ratios (HRs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for NAFLD risk with added sugar intake. During a median follow-up of 4.2 years, 3,476 incident NAFLD cases were documented. After adjusting for age, sex, body mass index and its change from baseline to follow-up, lifestyle factors, personal and family medical history, and overall diet quality, the multivariable HRs (95% CIs) of NAFLD risk were 1.18 (1.06, 1.32) for total added sugars, 1.20 (1.08, 1.33) for liquid added sugars, and 0.96 (0.86, 1.07) for solid added sugars when comparing the highest quartiles of intake with the lowest quartiles of intake. In this prospective cohort of Chinese adults, higher intakes of total added sugars and liquid added sugars, but not solid added sugars, were associated with a higher risk of NAFLD.

**Keywords:** Added sugar; NAFLD; Epidemiology; Cohort study; China

**Abbreviations used:** BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; FFQ, food frequency questionnaire; HR, hazard ratio; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; TCLSIH, Tianjin Chronic Low-grade Systemic Inflammation and Health.

## Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is emerging as the leading chronic liver disease worldwide with an estimated prevalence of 25-30% of adults in many countries [1; 2]. The prevalence of NAFLD was estimated to be 29.8% in China [3]. NAFLD has traditionally been viewed as a liver disease with a high risk of developing liver-related disorders such as liver fibrosis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma. However, convincing evidence indicates that NAFLD is a multisystem disease [4], related to increased risks of cardiovascular disease (CVD), type 2 diabetes, and all-cause mortality [4]. Currently, there are no approved pharmacotherapies for the management of NAFLD [5]. Thus, the identification of potentially modifiable risk factors (e.g., diet) for NAFLD becomes highly relevant for prevention and management of this condition.

Recently, the influence of sugar intake on human health has attracted growing scientific and media attention [6]. Sugars naturally exist in foods in low amounts, such as fruit and vegetables, and are usually consumed with dietary fiber and other healthy nutrients. Therefore, these types of sugars are not a major concern because they are within the food matrix. However, concerns arise with added sugars, which are defined as all sugars used in prepared or processed foods or added at the table [7]. While added sugars have been consistently related to the detrimental effects on liver health [8; 9], the previous studies primarily focused on NAFLD and sugar-sweetened beverages [10-13], rather than added sugars per se. The study of added sugar intake and NAFLD may help to understand the role of added sugars in contributing to the epidemic of NAFLD. In addition, different food sources of added sugars may vary in composition, energy density, and absorption. Thus, it is important to distinguish between different sources of added sugars when studying their associations with NAFLD. Further, considering the sources of added sugars is meaningful to inform dietary guidance. On the other hand, emerging evidence has suggested that liquid and solid sugars are metabolized differently, and thus they might have a distinct effect on the risk of NAFLD [14]. However, to our knowledge, evidence on the association between added sugar intake per se and the risk of NAFLD is lacking.

To address this research gap, we prospectively investigated the associations of added sugars, their physical forms (liquid and solid), and food sources with the risk of NAFLD in a general Chinese adult population.

## Methods

### Study population

The Tianjin Chronic Low-grade Systemic Inflammation and Health (TCLSIH) Cohort Study is a prospective dynamic cohort study established in Tianjin, China. Details of the study design have been published previously [15]. In brief, participants (aged ≥18 years) were randomly selected since May 2013 from the general population residing in Tianjin. All participants were invited to undergo in-person examinations and a detailed interview on diet and lifestyle factors at baseline. Follow-up information was obtained from annual health examinations and periodical re-interviews. This cohort study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Tianjin Medical University (approval number: TMUhMEC 201430), and written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to participation. This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects/patients.

The current study included participants who were recruited from May 2013 to December 2018 (baseline) and followed up until 31 December 2019. Of 28,445 individuals eligible for participation, we excluded participants with CVD, cancer, or diabetes at baseline. Participants were defined as having diabetes if they had a fasting blood glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L or self-reported history of diabetes. In addition, we excluded those with alcoholic fatty liver disease, NAFLD, or other liver diseases at baseline. Ultimately, a total of 15,538 participants were included in this analysis (**Figure 1**).

### Ascertainment of outcome

At both baseline and subsequent follow-up examinations, hepatic steatosis was tested by abdominal ultrasonography, which was carried out by experienced sonographers using a TOSHIBA SSA-660A ultrasound machine (Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan), with a 2-5 MHz curved array probe. Fatty liver disease was defined as having two or more of the following abnormal findings of liver ultrasonography: “bright liver”, vascular blurring, and deep attenuation of the ultrasound signal [16]. NAFLD was defined as the presence of fatty liver disease without significant alcohol consumption (≥210 g/week for men and ≥140 g/week for women) and/or any other causes for secondary hepatic fat accumulation [17]. The outcome was a first-time NAFLD that occurred during the follow-up period, as described previously [18].

### Assessments of dietary intake and added sugar intake

At the baseline visit, dietary intake was collected using a validated 100-item self-administered food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) [12]. Participants were inquired how often, on average, they consumed a standard portion of foods in the previous month. There were 7

response categories ranged from “never eating” to “more than two times per day” for foods and 8 choices ranged from “never drinking” to “more than four times per day” for beverages. Daily intakes of total energy and nutrients were calculated based on the 2009 Chinese Food Composition Table. Since added sugar value was not available in the Chinese Food Composition Table database, estimates of the added sugar content of each sugary food and beverage in the FFQ were derived from the US Department of Agriculture nutrient database [19]. The reproducibility and validity of the FFQ have been described in detail elsewhere [20]. Briefly, Spearman rank correlation coefficients between the 2 FFQs collected approximately 3 months apart were 0.68 for energy intake and 0.62 to 0.79 for added sugars, fruits, vegetables, and beverages. Spearman’s rank correlations between the FFQ and four non-consecutive 4-day weighed dietary records, also known as the FFQ validity correlation coefficients, were 0.49 for energy intake and 0.35 to 0.54 for nutrients. We created a healthy diet score by combining five common elements of healthy dietary patterns, which included vegetables, fruits, fish, unprocessed red meat, and processed meat [12; 21]. The score ranged from 0 to 5, with a higher score indicating a healthier diet. To characterize overall dietary patterns, we employed exploratory factor analysis to derive three main dietary patterns: sugar rich dietary pattern, vegetable rich dietary pattern, and animal food dietary pattern, as described in our recent study [22].

In the present study, exposure variables included total added sugars, liquid added sugar, solid added sugar, and added sugars from different foods. We classified sugary foods and beverages on the FFQ into 7 subgroups: bread, yogurt, desserts (including cakes, cookies, pastries, ice cream, and other Chinese desserts), candies/jam, soft drinks, fruit/vegetable drinks, and coffee. The relative contribution of each food source to added sugar intake is presented in **Supplementary Figure 1**.

**Assessment of covariates**

Information on age, sex, educational level, occupation, monthly family income, smoking status, and alcohol drinking status was obtained from structured questionnaires completed by participants upon enrollment in the study. Personal and family medical history was determined by self-reported survey responses at baseline. Height and waist circumference were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm, while body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (cm) squared. Physical activity in the most recent week and sedentary time per day were measured using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire short version [23]. Physical activity then was calculated as the weekly metabolic equivalent hours.

Fasting blood glucose and lipid profiles were analyzed using standardized laboratory procedures. Participants were defined as hyperlipidemia if they met any of the following conditions: total cholesterol ≥5.17 mmol/L, triglycerides ≥1.7 mmol/L, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ≥3.37 mmol/L, or taking lipid-lowering drugs. Blood pressure was measured at least twice using an electronic sphygmomanometer (TM-2655, A&D, Tokyo, Japan), and the mean of the last two measurements was calculated. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg and/or having a history of hypertension. Depressive symptoms were determined by the Chinese version of the Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale [24], and the scale scores ≥45 were considered to have depressive symptoms.

**Statistical analysis**

The quantile-quantile plot was used to examine the normal distribution of continuous variables. Baseline characteristics were presented as medians (interquartile ranges) for all continuous variables due to their non-normal distributions and as percentages for categorical variables. Differences in the distribution of baseline covariates according to NAFLD status were assessed using Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables and Chi-square test for categorical variables. To assess bias from loss to follow-up, we compared the difference between the final analytic sample and those who were lost to follow-up.

Person-time for follow-up was computed from the baseline survey to the date of the first occurrence of NAFLD or the end of follow-up (December 31, 2019), whichever occurred first. The crude NAFLD incidence rates were calculated by taking the number of NAFLD cases and that by the sum of the person-years, and were expressed as incidence per 1000 person-years. Cox proportional hazards regression models with follow-up as time scale were performed to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the association between quartile of added sugar intake and risk of NAFLD. The proportional hazards assumption was checked by including time-by-covariate interaction terms in the Cox proportional hazards model, and all variables satisfied the conditions (all $P>0.05$). Model adjustments were done in three sets. Model 1 was adjusted for age, sex, and BMI. Model 2 was additionally adjusted for education, occupation, monthly family income, smoking status, alcohol drinking status, family medical history (including CVD, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes), hypertension, hyperlipidemia, depressive symptoms, physical activity, sedentary time, total energy intake, and healthy diet score. Model 3 was identical to model 2, except that it was adjusted for BMI change from baseline to follow-up to correct for the possible effect of weight change on the risk of developing NAFLD.

In sensitivity analyses, we adjusted for sugar rich dietary pattern, vegetable rich dietary pattern, and animal food dietary pattern instead of the self-calculated healthy diet score. Furthermore, to reduce the possibility of reverse causality, we excluded incident NAFLD cases that occurred within the first two years of follow-up.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS statistical software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The significance level was set at a two-sided 0.05.

## Results

The mean added sugar intake was 20.8 g/day for all participants. Among the added sugar sources, desserts (48%) and yogurt (19%) contributed the largest share, followed by soft drinks (12%) and fruit/vegetable drinks (12%).

**Table 1** shows the baseline characteristics of the study population. Participants with incident NAFLD were older, were more likely to be men and have metabolic risk factors, such as higher baseline BMI, waist circumference, and fasting blood glucose, than those without incident NAFLD. Furthermore, those with incident NAFLD were more likely to drink alcohol and smoke and had a higher proportion of personal and family history of disease. Moreover, participants included in this study were more likely to be younger, tended to be men, had a better metabolic profile, and were more likely to have healthier lifestyles and higher socioeconomic status than those who were lost to follow-up (**Supplementary Table 1**).

During the 54,081 person-years of follow-up (median follow-up time: 4.2 years), 3,476 participants received a diagnosis of NAFLD (incident rate 64 per 1000 person-years). **Table 2** displays the HRs (95% CIs) of the association between added sugar intake and risk of NAFLD. Total added sugar intake and liquid added sugar intake were significantly and positively associated with the risk of NAFLD. After adjusting for age, sex, and baseline BMI, the multivariable HRs (95% CIs) of NAFLD risk for the highest compared with the lowest quartile of intakes were 1.15 (1.05, 1.27) for total added sugar intake and 1.19 (1.09, 1.31) for liquid added sugar intake, respectively. By contrast, solid added sugar intake was not associated with the risk of NAFLD (Q4 vs. Q1 HR: 0.98; 95% CI: 0.89, 1.08). Additional adjustment for socioeconomic status, lifestyle factors, medical history, diet quality, and BMI change, did not appreciably change the association estimates. In the fully adjusted models, comparing the upper quartiles to the lower quartiles of exposure, the increased relative risks of NAFLD were 18% for total added sugar intake (HR=1.18; 95% CI: 1.06, 1.32) and 20% for liquid added sugar intake (HR=1.20; 95% CI: 1.08 1.33), respectively. In contrast, there

was no significant association between solid added sugar intake and the risk of NAFLD (HR=0.96; 95% CI: 0.86, 1.07).

**Figure 2** presents the associations between added sugar intake from food sources and risk of NAFLD. Only added sugar from soft drinks was significantly associated with risk of NAFLD, with an HR of 1.05 (95% CI: 1.03, 1.08) for each additional 5 g daily, $P<0.0001$. Added sugars from bread, yogurt, desserts, candies, fruit/vegetable drinks, and coffee were not significantly associated with risk of NAFLD ($P\geq0.09$).

In sensitivity analyses, adjustment for sugar rich dietary pattern, vegetable rich dietary pattern, and animal food dietary pattern produced comparable results with those obtained from the primary analyses (data not shown). In addition, the exclusion of NAFLD cases that occurred within the first two years of follow-up did not materially change the associations of added sugars with risk of NAFLD (**Supplementary Table 2**).

## Discussion

In this large-scale prospective cohort study, we found that intakes of total added sugars and liquid added sugars were significantly associated with an increased risk of NAFLD. There was no association observed between solid added sugar intake and the risk of NAFLD. In addition, only added sugar from soft drinks was associated with an increased risk of NAFLD. No association was observed for other sources of added sugars.

In our study population, the mean intake of added sugars was 20.8 g/day, which was similar to the estimate of 18.8 g/day from the Chinese Nutrition and Health Surveillance in 2012 [25]. The 2016 Chinese Dietary Guidelines recommended that added sugar intake should be less than 50 g/day and preferably less than 25 g/day. Our findings indicated that added sugar intake in this population was below the Chinese Dietary Guidelines. Further, the added sugar intake level in our study was much lower than those of the Western countries. For example, the mean intake of added sugars in the US population was 67.8 g/day in 2017-2018 [26], while the mean added sugar intake in the Australian population was 60.3 g/day in 2011-2012 [27].

Regarding sources of added sugars, we found that the top sources of added sugars were desserts and yogurt, and followed by soft drinks and fruit/vegetable drinks, consistent with China National survey data [28]. In contrast, added sugars in the US [26] and other Western countries [27] primarily came from soft drinks. Collectively, these findings indicate that our study population (adult Chinese population) and Western populations have different food sources for added sugar intake.

Our study is the first to investigate the association between added sugar intake per se and the risk of NAFLD. The results showed a positive association between total added sugar intake and the risk of NAFLD. This finding was supported by data from the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey that indicated the parallel rise in NAFLD prevalence and added sugar consumption in the periods from 1988-2012 [8; 29]. However, the consumption of added sugars was very low in China when compared to the US population [26]. Indeed, only 7.7% of individuals consumed more than 50 g/day of added sugars in our study population. Thus, our study provides novel evidence that total added sugar intake is positively associated with incident NAFLD among adults with low consumption of added sugars.

Furthermore, added sugars in liquid form were associated with an increased risk of NAFLD, whereas added sugars in solid form were not. This discrepancy between physical forms of added sugars has been found in previous studies on sugar and health outcomes. For example, a cross-sectional study of adults in the East of England showed that added sugar intake in a liquid form was associated with higher levels of chronic inflammation, one of the potential mechanisms associated with NAFLD, but sugars from solids were not associated [30]. In addition, a cohort study found that liquid sugar intake was associated with an increased risk of overall cancer incidence and mortality and all-cause mortality, but intake of sugars in solid form was unrelated to these outcomes [31]. Likewise, results from two Swedish population-based prospective cohorts also indicated that liquid added sugars in sweetened beverages were positively associated with mortality, whereas solid added sugars in treats were inversely associated [32]. Taken together, these findings highlight that added sugars in liquid vs. solid forms may impact metabolic diseases differently [33]. One possible explanation for this difference may be that added sugars in liquid form are digested more rapidly than added sugars in solid foods; thus, quickly absorbable added sugars in liquid form increase the rate of hepatic extraction of fructose, *de novo* lipogenesis, and production of lipids [33]. Another possible explanation may be that liquid added sugars lead to less satiety than added sugars from solid foods [34]. This may give rise to a positive energy balance and subsequent hepatic fat accumulation [14; 34].

Our study for the first time investigated the associations between different food sources of added sugars and risk of NAFLD. The results indicated that only added sugars from soft drinks were significantly associated with risk of NAFLD. In line with our study, previous studies consistently showed that sugar-sweetened soft drink consumption was associated with a higher risk of NAFLD [10-13]. Furthermore, we did not observe significant associations

between other food sources of added sugars and risk of NAFLD. Such findings suggested that different food sources of added sugars were differently associated with risk of NAFLD. Further, our findings support the benefits of public health campaigns to reduce soft drink intake. At the same time, this also highlights that dietary recommendations without considering specific sources of added sugars may be simplistic and insufficient.

Consistent with our previous study [35], the current study showed that those with incident NAFLD were more physically active (**Table 1**). The reason is that men (geometric mean [95% CI] of physical activity was 12.0 [11.7, 12.4]) were more physically active than women (geometric mean [95% CI] of physical activity was 8.58 [8.35, 8.81]) and NAFLD patients were more likely to be men, thus sex is an important factor that explains the fact that NAFLD patients are more physically active than controls. Indeed, the sex-adjusted geometric means (95% CI) of physical activity were 9.87 (9.65, 10.1) in controls and 9.94 (9.51, 10.4) in NAFLD patients, respectively (*P* value = 0.79).

The strengths of the present study include the large sample size, prospective design, objective assessment of NAFLD, and careful adjustments for a wide range of lifestyle factors. In addition, the current study for the first time prospectively investigated the associations between added sugars and their forms/sources and the risk of NAFLD.

There are also several limitations to our study. First, the added sugar intake in this study was estimated using the US Department of Agriculture database due to the lack of sugar data in the Chinese Food Composition Tables. However, the estimated intakes were similar to those reported in the Chinese Nutrition and Health Survey [25; 28]. Second, dietary intake was measured only at baseline. However, we had excluded participants with CVD, cancer, and diabetes at baseline from the current study because these diseases could result in significant dietary changes [36]. Thus, the vast majority of participants would not have changed their diet intake in such a relatively short follow-up period (median 4.2 years). Third, the diagnosis of NAFLD was conducted by abdominal ultrasound instead of liver biopsy, which is the gold diagnostic standard for NAFLD. However, abdominal ultrasound is not invasive and easy to accept by participants. Moreover, this noninvasive method is widely used in large-scale population-based studies due to its high sensitivity and specificity [37]. Fourth, although we adjusted for a long list of risk factors including sedentary time, depressive symptoms, and BMI change, unmeasured or residual confounding cannot be entirely ruled out. Finally, as our cohort is comprised of relatively healthy Chinese adults with low added sugar consumption, our results may not be generalizable to other ethnic populations. In addition, more than 10% of participants lost to follow-up might bias our results.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, this large prospective cohort study indicates that total added sugars, liquid added sugars, especially added sugars from soft drinks, were associated with an increased risk of NAFLD. Our findings suggest that reducing the consumption of added sugars, mainly from soft drinks, could be an effective preventive measure to prevent NAFLD.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank all the participants that have made this study.

**Funding:** This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 91746205, 81673166, and 81372118). SZ got support from the China Scholarship Council (No. 202006940030).

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declared they have no conflicts of interest.

**Authors' contributions:** S.Z., L.Q., and K.N. conceptualized and designed the study. S.Z. performed the data analysis and drafted the manuscript. S.Z., G.M., Q.Z., L.H., H.W., Y.G., T.Z., X.W., J.Z., J.D., X.Z., Z.C., X.Z., X.D., S.S., X.W., M.Z., Q.J., K.S., Y.B., E.S., L.Q., and K.N. contributed to analysis and interpretation of data. L.Q. and K.N. contributed to the revision of the manuscript and approved the final draft. K.N. and S.Z obtained funding for the study. L.Q. and K.N. were involved in study supervision. All authors contributed to the intellectual content, critical revisions to the drafts of the paper, and approved the final version.

**Data availability:** Data of the present research is available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

## References:

1. Powell EE, Wong VW, Rinella M (2021) Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Lancet* **397**, 2212-2224.

2. Loomba R, Friedman SL, Shulman GI (2021) Mechanisms and disease consequences of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Cell* **184**, 2537-2564.

3. Lee HW, Wong VW (2019) Changing NAFLD Epidemiology in China. *Hepatology* **70**, 1095-1098.

4. Targher G, Tilg H, Byrne CD (2021) Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a multisystem disease requiring a multidisciplinary and holistic approach. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* **6**, 578-588.

5. Petroni ML, Brodosi L, Bugianesi E, *et al.* (2021) Management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *BMJ* **372**, m4747.

6. Tybor DJ, Beauchesne AR, Niu R, *et al.* (2018) An Evidence Map of Research Linking Dietary Sugars to Potentially Related Health Outcomes. *Curr Dev Nutr* **2**, nzy059.

7. Bowman SA (2017) Added sugars: Definition and estimation in the USDA Food Patterns Equivalents Databases. *J Food Compos Anal* **64**, 64-67.

8. Jensen T, Abdelmalek MF, Sullivan S, *et al.* (2018) Fructose and sugar: A major mediator of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol* **68**, 1063-1075.

9. Ma J, Karlsen MC, Chung M, *et al.* (2016) Potential link between excess added sugar intake and ectopic fat: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Nutr Rev* **74**, 18-32.

10. Asgari-Taee F, Zerafati-Shoae N, Dehghani M, *et al.* (2019) Association of sugar sweetened beverages consumption with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Nutr* **58**, 1759-1769.

11. Chen H, Wang J, Li Z, *et al.* (2019) Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Has a Dose-Dependent Effect on the Risk of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: An Updated Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* **16**, 2192.

12. Zhang S, Gu Y, Bian S, *et al.* (2021) Soft drink consumption and risk of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: results from the Tianjin Chronic Low-Grade Systemic Inflammation and Health (TCLSIH) cohort study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **113**, 1265-1274.

13. Park WY, Yiannakou I, Petersen JM, *et al.* (2021) Sugar-Sweetened Beverage, Diet Soda, and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Over 6 Years: The Framingham Heart Study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*.

14. Eng JM, Estall JL (2021) Diet-Induced Models of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Food for Thought on Sugar, Fat, and Cholesterol. *Cells* **10**.

15. Zhang S, Gan S, Zhang Q, *et al.* (2022) Ultra-processed food consumption and the risk of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in the Tianjin Chronic Low-grade Systemic Inflammation and Health Cohort Study. *Int J Epidemiol* **51**, 237-249.

16. Farrell GC, Chitturi S, Lau GK, *et al.* (2007) Guidelines for the assessment and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in the Asia-Pacific region: executive summary. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* **22**, 775-777.

17. Fan JG, Wei L, Zhuang H, *et al.* (2019) Guidelines of prevention and treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (2018, China). *J Dig Dis* **20**, 163-173.

18. Zhang S, Meng G, Zhang Q, *et al.* (2021) Consumption of Preserved Egg Is Associated with Modestly Increased Risk of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Chinese Adults. *J Nutr* **151**, 2741-2748.

19. (U.S.) NDL (2006) USDA database for the added sugars content of selected foods (Release 1.) https://permanent.fdlp.gov/lps86777/addsug01.pdf. https://permanent.fdlp.gov/lps86777/addsug01.pdf

20. Zhang S, Wu X, Bian S, *et al.* (2021) Association between consumption frequency of honey and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: results from a cross-sectional analysis based on the Tianjin Chronic Low-grade Systemic Inflammation and Health (TCLSIH) Cohort Study. *Br J Nutr* **125**, 712-720.

21. English LK, Ard JD, Bailey RL, *et al.* (2021) Evaluation of Dietary Patterns and All-Cause Mortality: A Systematic Review. *JAMA Netw Open* **4**, e2122277.

22. Zhang S, Gu Y, Bian S, *et al.* (2021) Dietary patterns and risk of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in adults: A prospective cohort study. *Clin Nutr* **40**, 5373-5382.

23. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, *et al.* (2003) International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **35**, 1381-1395.

24. Lee HC, Chiu HF, Wing YK, *et al.* (1994) The Zung Self-rating Depression Scale: screening for depression among the Hong Kong Chinese elderly. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* **7**, 216-220.

25. Liu Su. The consumption status and changes of sugar-sweetened food among Chinese residents from 2002 to 2012 and its relationship with overweight and obesity. Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2016.

26. Ricciuto L, Fulgoni VL, 3rd, Gaine PC, *et al.* (2021) Sources of Added Sugars Intake Among the U.S. Population: Analysis by Selected Sociodemographic Factors Using the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011-18. *Front Nutr* **8**, 687643.

27. Lei L, Rangan A, Flood VM, *et al.* (2016) Dietary intake and food sources of added sugar in the Australian population. *Br J Nutr* **115**, 868-877.

28. Liu S, Yu D, Guo Q, *et al.* (2016) [Consumption status and trend of added sugar containing food among Chinese from 2002 to 2012]. *Wei Sheng Yan Jiu* **45**, 398-401.

29. Ruhl CE, Everhart JE (2015) Fatty liver indices in the multiethnic United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **41**, 65-76.

30. O'Connor L, Imamura F, Brage S, *et al.* (2018) Intakes and sources of dietary sugars and their association with metabolic and inflammatory markers. *Clin Nutr* **37**, 1313-1322.

31. Laguna JC, Alegret M, Cofan M, *et al.* (2021) Simple sugar intake and cancer incidence, cancer mortality and all-cause mortality: A cohort study from the PREDIMED trial. *Clin Nutr* **40**, 5269-5277.

32. Ramne S, Alves Dias J, Gonzalez-Padilla E, *et al.* (2019) Association between added sugar intake and mortality is nonlinear and dependent on sugar source in 2 Swedish population-based prospective cohorts. *Am J Clin Nutr* **109**, 411-423.

33. Pan A, Hu FB (2011) Effects of carbohydrates on satiety: differences between liquid and solid food. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* **14**, 385-390.

34. DiMeglio DP, Mattes RD (2000) Liquid versus solid carbohydrate: effects on food intake and body weight. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **24**, 794-800.

35. Zhang S, Gu Y, Lu M, *et al.* (2020) Association between edible mushroom intake and the prevalence of newly diagnosed non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: results from the Tianjin Chronic Low-Grade Systemic Inflammation and Health Cohort Study in China. *Br J Nutr* **123**, 104-112.

36. Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Rimm E, *et al.* (1999) Dietary fat and coronary heart disease: a comparison of approaches for adjusting for total energy intake and modeling repeated dietary measurements. *Am J Epidemiol* **149**, 531-540.

37. Hernaez R, Lazo M, Bonekamp S, *et al.* (2011) Diagnostic accuracy and reliability of ultrasonography for the detection of fatty liver: a meta-analysis. *Hepatology* **54**, 1082-1090.




**Figure 1.** Flowchart for analysis cohort study creation.

| Added sugar food sources | Intake range | HR (95% CI) | *P* value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Bread | 0-9.72 | 0.96 (0.83, 1.10) | 0.54 |
| Yogurt | 0-28.8 | 1.01 (0.98, 1.05) | 0.43 |
| Desserts | 0-170.5 | 0.99 (0.97, 1.00) | 0.09 |
| Candies | 0-13.3 | 1.08 (0.91, 1.30) | 0.39 |
| Soft drinks | 0-90.0 | 1.05 (1.03, 1.08) | <0.0001 |
| Fruit/vegetable drinks | 0-84.4 | 0.99 (0.97, 1.02) | 0.70 |
| Coffee | 0-40.0 | 1.05 (0.99, 1.12) | 0.08 |




**Figure 2.** Associations between added sugars from different food sources and the risk of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. The multivariable Cox model included age, sex, baseline body mass index, smoking status, alcohol drinking status, educational level, occupation, annual family income, physical activity, family history of disease (including cardiovascular disease, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes), hypertension, hyperlipidemia, depressive symptoms, sedentary time, total energy intake, healthy diet score, body mass index change from baseline to follow-up, and intake of the other added sugar sources.

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of the study participants by incident NAFLD status (n=15,538) [a]

| Characteristics | Incident NAFLD status | | *P* value [b] |
|---|---|---|---|
| | No | Yes | |
| No. of participants | 12,062 | 3,476 | - |
| Age (years) | 35.5 (30.2, 44.7) | 38.7 (31.6, 49.0) | <0.0001 |
| Sex (men, %) | 36.1 | 61.8 | <0.0001 |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$) | 22.2 (20.3, 24.2) | 24.8 (23.1, 26.7) | <0.0001 |
| WC (cm) | 75.0 (69.0, 82.0) | 84.0 (78.0, 90.0) | <0.0001 |
| TC (mmol/L) | 4.49 (3.99, 5.06) | 4.69 (4.13, 5.28) | <0.0001 |
| TG (mmol/L) | 0.84 (0.64, 1.13) | 1.19 (0.88, 1.63) | <0.0001 |
| LDL-C (mmol/L) | 2.55 (2.09, 3.06) | 2.80 (2.33, 3.31) | <0.0001 |
| HDL-C (mmol/L) | 1.50 (1.27, 1.76) | 1.28 (1.09, 1.50) | <0.0001 |
| SBP (mmHg) | 115 (105, 125) | 120 (110, 130) | <0.0001 |
| DBP (mmHg) | 70 (65, 80) | 75 (70, 85) | <0.0001 |
| FPG (mmol/L) | 4.80 (4.60, 5.10) | 5.00 (4.70, 5.30) | <0.0001 |
| ALT (U/L) | 12.0 (10.0, 17.0) | 17.0 (12.0, 24.0) | <0.0001 |
| PA (MET-hour/week) | 11.6 (3.85, 23.1) | 11.7 (4.13, 24.8) | <0.0001 |
| Total energy intake (kcal/day) | 2258 (1761, 2883) | 2355 (1809, 3036) | <0.0001 |
| Smoking status (%) | | | |
| Current smoker | 11.9 | 22.2 | <0.0001 |
| Ex-smoker | 3.08 | 5.40 | <0.0001 |
| Non-smoker | 85.0 | 72.5 | <0.0001 |
| Alcohol drinking status (%) | | | |
| Everyday drinker | 2.78 | 4.38 | <0.0001 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Sometime drinker | 51.6 | 58.5 | <0.0001 |
| Ex-drinker | 8.96 | 8.99 | 0.95 |
| Non-drinker | 36.7 | 28.2 | <0.0001 |
| Education level (college or higher, %) | 77.2 | 74.0 | <0.001 |
| Occupation (%) | | | |
| Managers | 47.4 | 46.8 | 0.56 |
| Professionals | 16.3 | 16.8 | 0.45 |
| Other | 36.4 | 36.4 | 0.98 |
| Household income (≥10,000 Yuan, %) | 39.6 | 38.5 | 0.26 |
| Hyperlipidemia (%) | 28.9 | 46.6 | <0.0001 |
| Hypertension (%) | 10.4 | 21.9 | <0.0001 |
| Depressive symptoms (%) | 15.4 | 14.1 | 0.06 |
| Family history of disease (%) | | | |
| CVD | 29.0 | 34.8 | <0.0001 |
| Hypertension | 49.4 | 55.1 | <0.0001 |
| Hyperlipidemia | 0.38 | 0.53 | 0.23 |
| Diabetes | 22.9 | 27.2 | <0.0001 |

[a] Continuous variables are presented as medians (interquartile ranges) and categorical variables as percentages. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MET, metabolic equivalent; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; PA, physical activity; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; WC, waist circumference.

[b] Wilcoxon rank sum tests for continuous variables or Chi-square tests for categorical variables.

**Table 2.** Association between added sugar intake and risk of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (n=15,538) [a]

| | Quartile category of intake | | | | *P* for trend [b] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Quartile 1 | Quartile 2 | Quartile 3 | Quartile 4 | |
| **Total added sugar intake (g/day)** [c] | 4.28 (0.00, 8.60) | 12.5 (8.60, 16.7) | 22.0 (16.7, 28.8) | 41.0 (28.8, 258.4) | - |
| Number of participants | 3,885 | 3,884 | 3,884 | 3,885 | - |
| Number of cases | 933 | 863 | 778 | 902 | - |
| Person-years | 13,298 | 13,474 | 13,712 | 13,598 | - |
| Incidence per 1000 person-years | 70 | 64 | 57 | 66 | - |
| Model 1 | 1.00 (reference) | 1.07 (0.98, 1.18) | 1.00 (0.91, 1.11) | 1.15 (1.05, 1.27) | <0.01 |
| Model 2 | 1.00 (reference) | 1.10 (1.00, 1.21) | 1.04 (0.94, 1.15) | 1.20 (1.07, 1.33) | <0.01 |
| Model 3 | 1.00 (reference) | 1.11 (1.01, 1.23) | 1.04 (0.94, 1.16) | 1.18 (1.06, 1.32) | <0.01 |
| **Liquid added sugar intake (g/day)** [c] | 0.85 (0.00, 2.62) | 4.41 (2.67, 6.97) | 9.96 (6.98, 13.4) | 19.6 (13.4, 175.3) | - |
| Number of participants | 3,925 | 3,956 | 3,745 | 3,912 | - |
| Number of cases | 932 | 807 | 758 | 979 | - |
| Person-years | 13,359 | 13,847 | 13,342 | 13,534 | - |
| Incidence per 1000 person-years | 70 | 58 | 57 | 72 | - |
| Model 1 | 1.00 (reference) | 1.02 (0.93, 1.13) | 1.00 (0.91, 1.10) | 1.19 (1.09, 1.31) | <0.0001 |
| Model 2 | 1.00 (reference) | 1.03 (0.94, 1.14) | 1.02 (0.92, 1.13) | 1.22 (1.10, 1.34) | <0.0001 |
| Model 3 | 1.00 (reference) | 1.04 (0.94, 1.14) | 1.02 (0.92, 1.14) | 1.20 (1.08, 1.33) | <0.001 |
| **Solid added sugar intake (g/day)** [c] | 0.58 (0.00, 3.41) | 5.73 (3.43, 7.94) | 11.0 (7.94, 16.3) | 23.9 (16.3, 184.2) | - |
| Number of participants | 3,904 | 3,876 | 3,870 | 3,888 | - |
| Number of cases | 986 | 852 | 837 | 801 | - |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Person-years | 13,421 | 13,456 | 13,438 | 13,767 | - |
| Incidence per 1000 person-years | 73 | 63 | 62 | 58 | - |
| Model 1 | 1.00 (reference) | 0.98 (0.90, 1.08) | 1.00 (0.91, 1.10) | 0.98 (0.89, 1.08) | 0.81 |
| Model 2 | 1.00 (reference) | 1.01 (0.92, 1.10) | 1.03 (0.93, 1.13) | 0.99 (0.90, 1.10) | 0.91 |
| Model 3 | 1.00 (reference) | 1.00 (0.91, 1.10) | 1.01 (0.91, 1.11) | 0.96 (0.86, 1.07) | 0.40 |

[a] Values are hazard ratios (95% confidence interval) unless otherwise indicated.

[b] Test for trend based on variable containing median value for each quartile.

[c] Median (range) intake.

Model 1: adjusted for age (continuous; years), sex (categorical; men or women), and baseline body mass index (continuous; kg/$m^2$).

Model 2: additionally adjusted for smoking status (categorical; current smoker, ex-smoker, or non-smoker), alcohol drinking status (categorical; everyday drinker, sometime drinker, ex-drinker, or non-drinker), educational level (categorical: < or ≥college graduate), occupation (categorical; managers, professionals, and other), annual family income (categorical: < or ≥10,000 Yuan), physical activity (continuous; MET-hour/week), family history of disease (including cardiovascular disease, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes [each yes or no]), hypertension (yes or no), hyperlipidemia (yes or no), depressive symptoms (score < or ≥45), sedentary time (hour/day), total energy intake (kcal/day), and healthy diet score (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5).

Model 3: additionally adjusted for body mass index change from baseline to follow-up (continuous; kg/$m^2$). For liquid and solid added sugars, mutual adjustment was conducted in model 3.