SOME GOOD SEQUENCES OF INTERPOLATORY POLYNOMIALS: ADDENDUM

G. FREUD AND A. SHARMA

In 1974 [**2**] we used the n + 2 zeros of $(1 - x^2)P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$, $\alpha, \beta > -1$, where $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ denotes Jacobi polynomials, to construct a sequence of linear operators $\{A_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,x)\}$ which has the following properties:

(i) $A_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f, x)$ is a linear polynomial operator mapping C[-1, 1] into polynomials of degree $\leq n(1 + c)$, (c > 0 fixed but arbitrary)

(ii) $A_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f, x_{kn}) = f(x_{kn}), \ k = 1, \ldots, n$ where x_{kn} is the kth zero of $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$,

(iii) $|f(x) - A_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,x)| \leq C \cdot [\omega((1-x^2)^{1/2}/n) + \omega(1/n^2)]$ where $\omega(\delta)$ is the modulus of continuity of f. Also

(*) $A_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f, x)$ is expressed in terms of f(-1), f(1) and $f(x_{kn})$, $(k = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$.

The polynomials $A_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f, x)$ use the function values $f(\pm 1)$, but do not interpolate f(x) at these end-points. They do so only at the zeros of $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$. In view of this, it appears that our claim that " $A_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f, x)$ have the interpolatory property" is a little misleading.

However it is possible, at least for $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha, \beta \leq \frac{3}{2}$ to modify the operator slightly so that the properties (1), (iii) and (*) remain valid while (ii) can be replaced by

(ii)⁺
$$A_n(f, x_{kn}) = f(x_{kn}), \quad k = 1, ..., n, \quad A_n(f, \pm 1) = f(\pm 1).$$

Even more, we prove that our modified interpolation polynomials satisfy the more precise Teliakovski-Gopengauz type estimate (iii)⁺ (see below).

In order to do so we set

(1)
$$\tilde{A}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,x) = A_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,x) + P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \cdot \Lambda(f,x)$$

where

(2)
$$\Lambda(f, x) = \frac{1+x}{2} \cdot \frac{f(1) - A_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f, 1)}{P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)} + \frac{1-x}{2} \cdot \frac{f(-1) - A_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f, -1)}{P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1)}$$

It is easy to verify that $\tilde{A}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,x)$ interpolates f(x) at all the zeros of

Received August 20, 1976 and in revised form, May 31, 1977. This research was partially supported by NSF grant 4388A1.

 $(1-x^2)' P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ and the degree of $\tilde{A}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is < n(1+c). Our aim is to show that

$$(\text{iii})^+ |f(x) - \tilde{A}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,x)| \le c\omega \left(\frac{(1-x^2)^{1/2}}{n}\right).$$

By virtue of (1) and (2) we have

$$\begin{split} |f(x) - \tilde{A}_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,x)| &\leq |f(x) - A_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,x)| \\ &+ |f(1) - A_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,1)| \cdot (1+x) \left| \frac{P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}{P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)} \right| \\ &+ |f(-1) - A_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,-1)| \cdot (1-x) \left| \frac{P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}{P_{n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1)} \right| \,. \end{split}$$

It follows from Theorem 2 of [2] that

$$|f(x) - \tilde{A}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,x)| \leq c \left[\omega \left(\frac{(1-x^2)^{1/2}}{n} \right) + \omega \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right] + c \omega \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \left[(1+x) \left| \frac{P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}{P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1)} \right| + (1-x) \left| \frac{P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}{P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1)} \right| \right]$$

Since

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1) = \binom{n+\alpha}{n}, \quad P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-1) = \binom{n+\beta}{n}$$

and

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cos \theta) = \begin{cases} \theta^{-\alpha-1/2} O(n^{-1/2}), & cn^{-1} \leq \theta \leq \pi/2 \\ O(n^{\alpha}), & 0 \leq \theta \leq cn^{-1} \end{cases}$$

it follows that if $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha, \beta \leq \frac{3}{2}$ we have

(3)
$$|f(x) - \tilde{A}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f,x)| \leq c \left[\omega \left(\frac{(1-x^2)^{1/2}}{n} \right) + \omega \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right].$$

This is the Timan-type estimate for our modified polynomials. In order to prove the Teliakovski-Gopengauz type estimate, we proceed as follows.

LEMMA 1. Let

(4)
$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq A |x_1 - x_2|$$
 $x_1, x_2 \in [-1, 1]$
and $P_n \in \pi_n$ be such that

(5)
$$|f(x) - P_n(x)| \leq B\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2}\right)$$
.
Then

(6)
$$|P_n'(x)| \leq c_1 A + c_2 B.$$

1164

Proof. Let $|P_n'(\cos \theta_0)| = ||P_n'|| = M$. In switching form f to -f if necessary, we can assume that $P_n'(\cos \theta_0) = ||P_n'||$. It follows from Bernstein's inequality that

(7)
$$P_n'(\cos\theta) \ge \frac{1}{2}M \quad \theta \in \left(\theta_0 - \frac{1}{2n}, \theta_0 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)$$

We infer that there exists an interval $I_n = [\theta_1, \theta_2]$ of length 1/2n inside $[0, \pi]$ containing θ_0 , so that (7) holds for every $\theta \in I_n$. Consequently

$$P_n(\cos\theta_1) - P_n(\cos\theta_2) = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} P_n'(\cos\theta) \sin\theta \, d\theta$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2} M \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \sin\theta \, d\theta = \frac{1}{2} M(\cos\theta_1 - \cos\theta_2),$$

i.e.

(8)
$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}M \leq \frac{P_n(\cos\theta_1) - P_n(\cos\theta_2)}{\cos\theta_1 - \cos\theta_2} = \frac{f(\cos\theta_1) - f(\cos\theta_2)}{\cos\theta_1 - \cos\theta_2}}{-\frac{f(\cos\theta_1) - P_n(\cos\theta_1)}{\cos\theta_1 - \cos\theta_2} + \frac{f(\cos\theta_2) - P_n(\cos\theta_2)}{\cos\theta_1 - \cos\theta_2}}$$

Now the second two terms together are smaller in modulus than

(9)
$$\frac{B\left(\frac{\sin\theta_1}{n} + \frac{\sin\theta_2}{n}\right) + 2Bn^{-2}}{\cos\theta_1 - \cos\theta_2} \leq \frac{B}{n\sin\frac{\theta_2 - \theta_1}{2}} + \frac{2Bn^{-2}}{1 - \cos\frac{1}{2n}} \leq c_3B$$

By virtue of (8), (4), and (9) we have

$$M \leq 2A + 2c_3B.$$

LEMMA 2. Let f(x) satisfy (4) and let $P_n \in \pi_n$ interpolate f(x) at ± 1 and satisfy (5). Then

(10)
$$|f(x) - P_n(x)| \leq c \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n}.$$

Proof. If $1/n^2 < (\sqrt{1-x^2})/n$, the result is trivial and follows at once from (5). If $(\sqrt{1-x^2})/n < 1/n^2$, then x is close to +1 or -1, say +1. From Lemma 1, we have

(11)
$$|f(x) - P_n(x)| \leq |f(x) - f(1)| + |P_n(x) - P_n(1)|$$

 $\leq (1 - x)A + (1 - x)(c_1A + c_2B).$

Now $1 - x < 1 - x^2 < (\sqrt{1 - x^2})/n$ and (10) follows from (11). By Lemma 2, (4) implies that (iii)⁺ holds whenever $f \in \text{Lip } 1$.

By virtue of a theorem of deVore [1], it follows that (iii)⁺ must be valid for arbitrary $f \in C[-1, 1]$.

G. FREUD AND A. SHARMA

In our original version of this paper we were concerned only with showing that the Timan-type estimate (3) is valid. We thank the referee for suggesting the generalization to the estimate (iii)⁺. The transition from (3) to (iii)⁺ is carried out as it was indicated by the referee in his report. It was also noted by the referee that the result possibly could be extended to higher continuity moduli.

The authors express their sincere thanks to the referee for his excellent remarks.

References

- 1. R. de Vore, *Degree of approximation*, in Approximation Theory II (Academic Press, New York, 1976), 117-162.
- G. Freud and A. Sharma, Some good sequences of interpolatory polynomials, Can. J. Math. 26 (1974), 233-246.

Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio; University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta

1166