AN ERGODIC THEOREM FOR MULTIDIMENSIONAL SUPERADDITIVE PROCESSES

DOĞAN ÇÖMEZ

1. Introduction. The ergodic theorem for multidimensional strongly subadditive processes relative to a semigroup \mathscr{U} induced by a measure preserving point transformation on X was proved by R. T. Smythe [18]. His results have been generalized by M. A. Akçoğlu and U. Krengel [4] to the continuous parameter case. The definition of superadditivity they used is stronger than Smythe's but weaker than strong superadditivity. R. Emilion and B. Hachem [10] extended this result to strongly superadditive processes relative to a semigroup generated by a pair of commuting Markovian operators which are also L_{∞} -contractions. The basic tool in the proof is a technique which may be referred to as "reduction of dimension" and they used a version of it due to A. Brunel [6].

The purpose of this paper is to show that if $F = \{F_{(u,v)}\}_{u>0}$ is a bounded strongly superadditive process with respect to a two-dimensional strongly continuous Markovian semigroup of operators on L_1 then $u^{-2}F_{(u,u)}$ converges a.e. as $u \to \infty$. This result in the discrete case, can be obtained from R. Emilion and B. Hachem's result. However, we give a complete proof by a different method, namely by applying a version of reduction of dimension which is less complicated and more natural than that of A. Brunel's. This method has been introduced by N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz [9] and further developed by T. R. Terrell [19] and M. A. Akçoğlu and A. del Junco [2]. We also prove the continuous parameter version of this ergodic theorem for strongly superadditive processes relative to a Markovian semigroup which are also L_{∞} -contractions. These results generalize the results in [4] (as $u \to \infty$) both in discrete and continuous parameter case as well as the results in [5].

Let $\mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ be the usual two dimensional real vector space, considered together with all its usual structure. In particular \mathbf{R}^2 is partially ordered in the usual way, i.e., for any (u, v), $(t, r) \in \mathbf{R}^2$, $(u, v) \leq (t, r)$ if $u \leq t$ and $v \leq r$, (u, v) < (t, r) if $(u, v) \leq (t, r)$ and $(u, v) \neq (t, r)$. The positive cone of \mathbf{R}^2 is \mathbf{R}^2_+ and the interior of \mathbf{R}^2_+ is C. By N and N₊ we will denote the set of nonnegative and positive integers respectively, and we have $\mathcal{N} = \mathbf{N}^2$ and $\mathcal{N}_+ = \mathbf{N}^2_+$. Let

Received April 5, 1984. This paper has been partially supported by the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey.

$$B = \{m2^{-k}: m, k \in \mathbf{N}_+\},\$$

the set of positive binary numbers, then we will denote $K = B^2$. For any $k \in \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{k} = (k, k) \in \mathbf{R}^2$.

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and $L_1 = L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be the classical Banach space of real-valued integrable functions on X. L_1^+ will denote the positive cone of L_1 . We shall not distinguish between the equivalence classes of functions and the individual functions. The relations below are often defined only modulo sets of measure zero; the words a.e. may or may not be omitted.

Consider a strongly continuous semigroup

$$\mathscr{U} = \{U_{(t,r)}\}_{(t,r)\in\mathbf{R}^2}$$

of positive L_1 -contractions with $U_0 = I$, the identity operator on L_1 . This means that

(1.1) $U_{(t,r)}$ is a linear operator on L_1 for each $(t, r) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+$

(1.2)
$$U_{(t,r)}L_1^+ \subset L_1^+$$
 and $||U_{(t,r)}||_1 \leq 1$ for each $(t, r) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+$

(1.3)
$$U_{(t,r)}U_{(u,v)} = U_{(t+u,r+v)}$$
 for each $(t, r), (u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+$

(1.4)
$$\lim_{(t,r)\to \mathbf{0}} \|U_{(t,r)}f - f\|_1 = 0 \text{ for each } f \in L_1.$$

 \mathscr{U} is called a Markovian semigroup if, in addition to (1.1)-(1.4), it satisfies

(1.5)
$$\int U_{(t,r)} f d\mu = \int f d\mu$$

for each $f \in L_1$ and for each $(t, r) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+$.

A family of L_1 -functions $F = \{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ is called a *U*-superadditive process [14, 3, 5] if

(1.6) For each $(t, r) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+$ and $(u, v) \in C$ with $\mathbf{0} \leq (t, r) < (u, v)$,

a)
$$F_{(u,v)} \ge F_{(t,v)} + U_{(t,0)}F_{(u-t,v)}$$
 if $0 < t < u$
b) $F_{(u,v)} \ge F_{(u,r)} + U_{(0,r)}F_{(u,v-r)}$ if $0 < r < v$.

If $\{-F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v)\in C}$ is \mathscr{U} -superadditive, then $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v)\in C}$ is called \mathscr{U} -subadditive; and if both $\{-F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v)\in C}$ and $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v)\in C}$ are \mathscr{U} -superadditive, then $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v)\in C}$ is called \mathscr{U} -additive [2, 3].

A family $F = \{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ of L_1 -functions is called a *strongly* \mathcal{U} -superadditive [18] if it satisfies

(1.7) if
$$\mathbf{0} < (t, r) < (u, v)$$

 $F_{(t,r)} \leq F_{(u,v)} - U_{(t,0)}F_{(u-t,v)}$
 $-U_{(0,r)}F_{(u,v-r)} + U_{(t,r)}F_{(u-t,v-r)}$

DOĞAN ÇÖMEZ

Any strongly \mathscr{U} -superadditive process $F = \{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ which satisfies

 $(1.8) F_{(0,v)} = F_{(u,0)} \equiv 0, u > 0, v > 0$

is necessarily a \mathcal{U} -superadditive process [18]. Below, when we mention a strongly \mathcal{U} -superadditive process we will mean a process satisfying (1.7) and (1.8).

A process $F = \{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ is called *bounded* if it satisfies

(1.9)
$$\sup_{(u,v)>0} \frac{1}{uv} \left| \left| F_{(u,v)} \right| \right|_1 = \gamma_F < \infty.$$

This constant γ_F is referred to as the "time constant" of the process F [11, 14].

There is another way of defining superadditivity [2]: For any interval I = [a, b] in \mathbb{R}^2_+ where

$$[a, b] = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$$

with $a_i \leq b_i$ and $a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{R}$, i = 1, 2, define

(1.10) $\widetilde{F}_I = U_{(a_1,a_2)}F_{(b_1-a_1,b_2-a_2)}$.

Notice that in this case we have, for any $(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+$,

$$\widetilde{F}_{(u,v)+I} = U_{(u,v)}\widetilde{F}_{I}$$

Similarly to the above, a family of L_1 -functions

$$F = \{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}_{+}} \text{ or } F = \{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in K}$$

defined on \mathcal{N}_+ or K respectively are called (strongly) \mathcal{U} -superadditive if they satisfy (1.6) ((1.7)) for each (u, v) in \mathcal{N}_+ or K.

Notice that, for each $(u, v) \in C$, $F_{(u,v)}$ is a class of functions in L_1 , not an actual function. That is why to be able to speak about a.e. convergence of $u^{-2}F_{\mathbf{u}}$ when $F_{(u,v)}$ denotes equivalence class of L_1 -functions and (u, v)ranges in \mathbf{R}^2_+ , we either have to select suitable representatives or let (u, v)range through a countable set only. For convenience we will take \mathbf{Q}^2_+ as this countable set where \mathbf{Q}_+ is the set of positive rational numbers, and we will say that

$$q = \lim_{(u,v)\to(\infty,\infty)} F_{(u,v)}$$
 exists a.e.

when the limit exists a.e. as (u, v) approaches (∞, ∞) along pairs of positive rationals [2, 3]. This will be equivalent to the existence of

$$\lim_{(u,v)\to(\infty,\infty)}F_{(u,v)}(x)$$

when we take limit along $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$ for suitable choice of representatives $F_{(u,v)}(x)$ for $F_{(u,v)}$'s [2, 3]. Similarly we will write

$$q - \sup_{(u,v)>0} F_{(u,v)}$$

if we take the supremum over pairs of positive rationals.

2. Preliminaries.

(2.1) *Existence of the time constant*. We will give the existence of γ_F in the continuous parameter case and the proof in the discrete case follows along the same lines [18, 8].

THEOREM 2.2. [18] Let $F = \{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ be a positive superadditive process with respect to a positive strongly continuous semigroup of Markovian operators $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{(t,r)}\}_{(t,r) \in \mathbb{R}^2}$ such that $U_0 = I$. Then

$$\lim_{(u,v)\to(\infty,\infty)}\int\frac{F_{(u,v)}}{uv}d\mu = \gamma_{F}$$

Proof. Let

$$g(u, v) = \int F_{(u,v)} d\mu.$$

Since

$$\gamma_F = \sup_{(u,v)>0} \frac{1}{uv}g(u, v),$$

it is enough to show that

$$\gamma_F = \liminf_{(u,v)\to \mathbf{0}} \frac{1}{uv} g(u, v).$$

First, assume $\gamma_F = \gamma < \infty$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, one can pick $(u_0, v_0) \in C$ such that

$$\frac{1}{u_0v_0}g(u_0,v_0) > \gamma - \epsilon.$$

Let $(u, v) \in C$. Without loss of generality, one can assume $(u, v) > (u_0, v_0)$. Then there exist integers $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that

 $(u, v) = (nu_0 + \delta_1, mv_0 + \delta_2)$

where $0 \leq \delta_1 < u_0$ and $0 \leq \delta_2 < v_0$. Then

$$g(u, v) \ge g(nu_0, mv_0) + g(nu_0, \delta_2) + g(\delta_1, mv_0) + g(\delta_1, \delta_2)$$

Moreover, successive applications of (1.6) (a) and (b) gives that

$$g(nu_0, mv_0) \ge nmg(u_0, v_0).$$

Hence we have

(2.3)
$$\frac{g(u, v)}{uv} \ge \frac{nm}{uv}g(u_0, v_0) + \frac{1}{uv}[g(nu_0, \delta_2) + g(\delta_1, mv_0) + g(\delta_1, \delta_2)].$$

Now, for any fixed δ_1 and δ_2 , the functions $g(\delta_1, s)$ and $g(t, \delta_2)$ are one-parameter superadditive functions of s and t respectively. It is a well-known result that [8, 12] for a superadditive function g(x) with

$$\sup_{x>0}\frac{1}{x}g(x)<\infty,$$

 $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{x} g(x)$ exists and is finite. Thus,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(nu_0, \delta_2)}{nu_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{g(\delta_1, mv_0)}{mv_0}$$

exist and are finite. Consequently

$$\lim_{(u,v)\to(\infty,\infty)} \inf_{uv} \left[g(nu_0, \delta_2) + g(\delta_1, mv_0) + g(\delta_1, \delta_2) \right] = 0,$$

since $g(\delta_1, \delta_2) < \infty$. Therefore (2.3) implies that

$$\lim_{(u,v)\to(\infty,\infty)}\inf\frac{1}{uv}g(u,v) \ge \gamma - \epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this completes the proof when $\gamma < \infty$. If $\gamma = \infty$, let M > 0 be an arbitrary large number. Pick $(u_0, v_0) \in C$ such that

$$\frac{1}{u_0 v_0} g(u_0, v_0) > M.$$

Similarly, as in the case $\gamma < \infty$, one obtains

$$\frac{g(u, v)}{uv} \ge \frac{mn}{uv}g(u_0, v_0)$$

where m, n are as above. Then

$$\liminf_{(u,v)\to(\infty,\infty)}\frac{1}{uv}g(u,v)>M,$$

which completes the proof in case $\gamma = \infty$.

Note that the condition $||U_{(t,r)}||_{\infty} < 1$ for each $(t, r) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$ is not necessary for the above theorem. Also one needs only superadditivity.

(2.4) Additive Processes. A classical example of an additive process

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1985-032-5 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$G = \{G_t\}_{t>0} \text{ or } G = \{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C} \text{ is}$$

(2.5)
$$G_t = \int_0^t T_s f ds \text{ or}$$
$$G_{(u,v)} = \int_0^u \int_0^v U_{(s_1,s_2)} f ds_1 ds_2$$

for $f \in L_1$, where

$$r = \{T_t\}_{t \ge 0}$$
 and $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{(t,r)}\}_{(t,r) \in \mathbb{R}^2}$

are one and two dimensional strongly continuous semigroups of (positive) L_1 -contradictions with $T_0 = I$ and $U_0 = I$, and the integrals are defined as the L_1 -limit of the corresponding Riemann sums. These kinds of additive processes have been studied quite extensively in the literature [1, 15, 9, 16, 17]. Concerning the a.e. convergence of $t^{-1}G_t$ or $u^{-2}G_u$ as $t \to \infty$ or $u \to \infty$, the properties of the additive processes of the form (2.5) are shared with general τ -additive and \mathscr{U} -additive processes. For, suppose $G = \{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a τ -additive process where $\tau = \{T^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ for some (positive) L_1 -contraction T. Then

$$G_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i G_1,$$

hence

$$n^{-1}G_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}T^iG_1.$$

Similarly for $G = \{G_{(n,m)}\}_{(n,m) \in \mathcal{N}}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n^2}G_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T^i S^j G_{\mathbf{1}}$$

where G is \mathscr{U} -additive with

$$\mathscr{U} = \{T^n S^n\}_{(n,m) \in \mathscr{N}}$$

where T and S are commuting positive L_1 -contractions. A.e. existence of the limit

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}T^{i}f$$

is a classical result now [13, 9] for T is an L_1 -contraction which is also an L_{∞} -contraction. We also have the following Maximal Ergodic Theorem [9]:

THEOREM 2.6. Let T be a positive linear L_1 -contraction with $||T||_{\infty} \leq 1$, and let G be a $\tau = \{T^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ -additive process. Then for each $\alpha > 0$

(2.7)
$$\mu(E) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{e(\alpha)} |G_1| d\mu$$

where

$$e(\alpha) = \{x \in X : |G_1(x)| > \alpha\} \text{ and}$$
$$E = \left\{x \in X : \sup_{n \ge 1} \left|\frac{1}{n}G_n(x)\right| > \alpha\right\}.$$

Furthermore, let G be positive and bounded and T be Markovian. Then

$$\int_{e(\alpha)} G_1 d\mu \leq \int G_1 d\mu = \frac{1}{n} \int G_n d\mu \leq \gamma_G.$$

Therefore

(2.8)
$$\mu(E) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \gamma_G.$$

For a continuous parameter positive τ -additive process $G = \{G_t\}_{t \ge 0}$ we have

(2.9)
$$G_n - T_n G_{r-n} \leq G_r \leq G_{n+1} + T_n G_{r-n}$$

where r is a rational number with n < r < n + 1 for some $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$. If we let

$$\omega = q - \sup_{0 < t \le 1} G_t,$$

then $\omega \in L_1^+$. Define

$$G'_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i_1 \omega, \quad n \ge 1.$$

So, $G' = \{G'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+}$ is a positive additive process. Observing that $\omega \ge G_p$ for any rational p with 0 , we have

$$T_n G_{r-n} \leq T_n \omega = G'_{n+1} - G'_n$$

for any rational r with n < r < n + 1. Thus (2.9) implies that

$$G_n - (G'_{n+1} - G'_n) \leq G_r \leq G_{n+1} + (G'_{n+1} - G'_n).$$

Since both $\{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+}$ and $\{G'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+}$ are (discrete) positive additive processes,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} G_n \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} G'_n$$

exist and are finite a.e. Consequently

$$q - \lim_{t \to \infty} (1/t) G_t$$
 exists a.e.

Moreover, we also have the maximal ergodic theorem for continuous parameter additive processes. Before giving this theorem, for convenience, we will adopt the following notation: It is known that $\int_0^t T_s f ds$ is defined as the L_1 -limit of the corresponding Riemann sums. For our purposes, we will take a particular type of Riemann sums given as $I_0^k f = 0$ and

$$I_{t}^{k}f = \frac{1}{2^{k}}\sum_{i=0}^{[t2^{k}]}T_{2}^{i} kf$$

for any integer $k \ge 1$ and t > 0 and $f \in L_1$, where [a] is the largest integer strictly less than a for any $a \in \mathbf{R}$.

THEOREM 2.10. Let $G = \{G_t\}_{t>0}$ be a bounded, positive, τ -additive process, where $\tau = \{T_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous Markovian semigroup. Then, for each $\alpha > 0$,

$$\mu(E) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \gamma_G,$$

where

$$E = \left\{ x: q - \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{t} G_t(x) > \alpha \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $t \in B$ and let k be a positive integer such that $2^k t \in \mathbf{N}_+$. Then

$$G_t = L_1 - \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{i=0}^{2^k t^{-1}} T_2^i \, k G_2 \, k.$$

Let

$$f_k^* = \sup_{1 \le m < \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} T_2^i \, k G_2 \, k.$$

Then for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}_+$ with

$$f_k^* \ge \frac{1}{t} G_t - \epsilon$$
 a.e. for $k \ge n_0$.

Thus

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} f_k^* \ge \frac{1}{t} G_t \text{ a.e.}$$

Since $\{G_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is continuous in t on $(0, \infty)$ by additivity and boundedness, we have

$$\sup_{t\to 0}\frac{1}{t}G_t = q - \sup_{r>0}\frac{1}{r}G_r,$$

thus

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} f_k^* \ge q - \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} G_r$$

Let

$$f^* = q - \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} G_r,$$

then

1

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} f_k^*(x) \ge f^*(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

Let $E_k = \{x: f_k^*(x) > \alpha\}$, then $E \subset \liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k.$

Now, by Fatou's Lemma,

$$\mu(E) \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \mu(E_k)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \mu(E) \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{\alpha}{2} \mu(E_k)$$
$$\leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{e(\alpha)} G_2 \, d\mu$$

by Theorem 2.6, where $e(\alpha) = \{x: G_2 \ k > \alpha\}$. Since

$$\int G_2 \, {}^{k} d\mu \leq \gamma_G^{2^{-k}},$$

we have

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \mu(E) \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{\gamma_G}{2^k} \leq \gamma_G$$

In the two dimensional case the a.e. existence of

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}G_{\mathbf{n}}$$

is proved by A. Brunel [6]. Although it is not stated and proved in [9] all

the necessary arguments for the proof of this result are included in [9] which are actually straightforward and self-contained. Brunel's proof, which is different from the arguments in [9], involves more complicated tools. That is why we will, nevertheless, sketch a proof for this theorem for general additive processes following the arguments in [9]. First we will need the following lemma which is stated and proved in [9], but we will state it here in terms of general additive processes.

LEMMA 2.11. Let T and S be two Markovian operators which commute and $||T||_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $||S||_{\infty} \leq 1$. Let

$$\mathscr{U} = \{T^n S^m\}_{(n,m) \in \mathscr{N}};$$

and let

 $G = \{G_{(n,m)}\}_{(n,m) \in \mathcal{N}}$

be a positive, bounded, *U*-additive process. If

$$f^* = \sup_{n \ge 1} (1/n^2) G_{\mathbf{n}},$$

then there exists a constant K > 0, independent of G and \mathcal{U} , such that

$$\mu(E) \leq \frac{1}{K \cdot \alpha} \gamma_G \quad \text{for each } \alpha > 0,$$

where $E = \{x: f^*(x) > \alpha\}.$

THEOREM 2.12. Let T, S and U be as in Lemma 2.11. If

$$G = \{G_{(n,m)}\}_{(n,m) \in \mathcal{N}}$$

is a bounded, *U*-additive process, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}G_{\mathbf{m}}$$

exists and is finite a.e.

Proof.

$$G_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} T^i S^j G_{\mathbf{1}}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. The conclusion of the theorem is true if $G_1 \in L_p$, p > 1, and in this case $G_n \in L_p$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$; p > 1 [9].

Now, first, without loss of generality one can assume that G is positive. Since $L_1 \cap L_p$ is dense in L_1 for each p > 1 in L_1 -topology, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in L_1 \cap L_p$ such that

$$\left\|G_1 - g\right\|_1 < \epsilon.$$

Also

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} T^i S^j G_1$$

= $\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} T^i S^j (G_1 - S) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} T^i S^j g.$

Consider the set

$$\left\{x: \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} G_{\mathbf{n}}(x) > \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} G_{\mathbf{n}}\right\}.$$

Then to prove the theorem it is enough to show that this set has measure zero. Since $G_1 = (G_1 - g) + g$ and since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} T^i S^j g \text{ exists a.e.,}$$

it is enough to consider $G_1 - g$ instead of G_1 and it is enough to show $\mu(E) = 0$ where

$$E = \left\{ x: \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} T^i S^j |G_1 - s| - \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} T^i S^j |G_1 - s| > \alpha \right\},$$

for any $\alpha > 0$. Let

$$E' = \left\{ x: \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} T^i S^j | G_1 - s | > \alpha \right\}.$$

Then $E \subseteq E'$ and hence $\mu(E) \leq \mu(E')$. By Lemma 2.11

$$\mu(E') \leq \frac{1}{k\alpha}\gamma',$$

where γ' is the time constant of the process given by

$$\left\{\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} T^i S^j |G_1 - s|\right\}_{n \ge 1}.$$

But $\gamma' = ||G_1 - g||_1 < \epsilon$. Hence

$$\mu(E) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{k\alpha},$$

622

giving the result desired.

Remark 2.13. The conditions $||T||_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $||S||_{\infty} \leq 1$ are necessary since if this condition is dropped, then a.e. convergence may not hold [7].

(2.14) Reduction of Dimension. In this section, given any twodimensional strongly continuous semigroup

$$\mathscr{U} = \{U_{(t,r)}\}_{(t,r) \in \mathbf{R}^2}$$

of positive L_1 -contractions and a bounded \mathcal{U} -additive process

$$G = \{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C},\$$

we will define a one-dimensional semigroup τ and a τ -additive process $H = \{H_t\}_{t>0}$ by using a technique introduced by N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz [9] and further developed by T. R. Terrell [19] and M. A. Akçoğlu and A. del Junco [2]. Here we will only give the results and properties of this technique and omit the details, for it is given in [19] and [2] explicitly with proofs.

For any $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, let

(2.15)
$$\Phi_{x}(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2\sqrt{\beta}}\beta^{-3/2}e^{-x^{2}/4\beta} & \text{if } \beta > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } \beta \le 0 \end{cases}$$

and for $(u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+$, define

$$\Phi_{x}(u, v) = \Phi_{x}(u) \cdot \Phi_{x}(v).$$

Then, for each fixed $x \in (0, \infty)$, $\Phi_x: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a nonnegative continuous function vanishing on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^2_+$. Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Phi_x(u, v) du dv = 1 \text{ and}$$
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Phi_x(t - u, r - v) \Phi_y(u, v) du dv = \Phi_{x+y}(t, r)$$

for each $(t, r) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+$ and $x, y \in (0, \infty)$.

Given any strongly continuous semigroup

 $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{(t,r)}\}_{(t,r)\in\mathbf{R}^2}$

of positive L_1 -contractions, if we define

(2.16)
$$L_x f = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Phi_x(u, v) U_{(u,v)} f du dv,$$

for $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $f \in L_1$, then $\tau = \{L_x\}_{x>0}$ has the following properties:

(2.17) L_x is a positive linear contraction on L_1 for any x > 0. (2.18) $L_x L_y = L_{x+y}$ for each $x, y \in (0, \infty)$. (2.19) $||L_x||_{\infty} \leq 1$ for each $x \in (0, \infty)$ if

$$||U_{(t,r)}||_{\infty} \leq 1$$
 for each $(t, r) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$.

(2.20) L_x is Markovian for each $x \in (0, \infty)$ if \mathscr{U} is Markovian.

(2.21) $\{L_x\}_{x>0}$ is strongly continuous. If \mathscr{U} is strongly continuous with $U_0 = I$, then $\{L_x\}$ is also continuous at x = 0 and $L_0 = I$.

Let $G = \{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ be a (positive) \mathscr{U} -additive process. For $x \in (0, \infty)$ define

(2.22)
$$h_x = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \Phi_x(u, v) \widetilde{G}(du, dv),$$

and also define a new process $H = \{H_a\}_{a>0}$ by

(2.23)
$$H_a = \int_0^a h_x dx, \quad a > 0.$$

Here we use the definition (1.10). Then this new process H is a (positive) τ -additive process. Also, the following lemma is known [2]:

LEMMA 2.24. Given a positive, bounded *U*-additive process

 $G = \{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C},$

then there exists a constant $\delta > 0$, independent of G and \mathcal{U} , such that

(2.25)
$$\frac{\delta}{\alpha^2}G_{\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}H_{\sqrt{\alpha}}$$

for each $\alpha > 0$, where $H = \{H_t\}_{t>0}$ and τ are as defined in (2.23) and (2.16) above.

3. Ergodic theorems. Before proving the main result, we will give two technical lemmas. The first one is originally due to R. T. Smythe [18], in the one-dimensional case, in the form we will give here, is given by M. A. Akçoğlu and L. Sucheston [5], and by R. Emilion and B. Hachem [10] in the two-dimensional case. But [10] is available only as an announcement and the lemma is stated in it without proof. For this reason we provide a proof. Before stating it, we find it convenient to give a notation: for any $(n, m) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_+$, let

$$\begin{split} \Theta_k F_{(n,m)} &= F_{(n+k,m)}, \\ \phi_l F_{(n,m)} &= F_{(n,m+l)} \text{ and } \\ \tau_k F_{(n,m)} &= (\Theta_k - U_{(k,0)}) F_{(n,m)}, \\ \sigma_l F_{(n,m)} &= (\phi_l - U_{(0,l)}) F_{(n,m)}. \end{split}$$

Notice that, in this case, (1.7) takes the form

(1.7') $F_{(k,l)} \leq \sigma_l \tau_k F_{(n,m)}.$

LEMMA 3.1. [5, 10] Let $F = \{F_{(n,m)}\}_{(n,m)\in\mathcal{N}}$ be a bounded, positive, strongly \mathscr{U} -superadditive process, where $\mathscr{U} = \{T^nS^m\}$ with T and Scommuting Markovian operators such that $||T||_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $||S||_{\infty} \leq 1$. Then there exist positive \mathscr{U} -additive processes G^m , $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that

(3.2)
$$G_{\mathbf{n}}^m \ge \left(1 - \frac{n}{m}\right)^2 F_{\mathbf{n}}$$

for each $m \ge 1$ and $1 \le n < m$.

Proof. Let $\{\eta(m)\}_{m\geq 1}$ be a sequence of elements in L_1^+ defined by

$$\eta(m) = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} [F_{(i,j)} - TF_{(i-1,j)} - SF_{(i,j-1)} + TSF_{(i-1,j-1)}].$$

Define a process G^m by

$$G_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} T^{k} S^{l} \eta(m), \quad m \geq 1.$$

This is a \mathscr{U} -additive process. It is known that [5], for $1 \leq n < m$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^{k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(F_{(i,j)} - TF_{(i-1,j)} \right) \right]$$
$$= (I - T^{n}) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} F_{(i-j)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{i}F_{(m,j)}$$

and

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} S^{l} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} (F_{(i,j)} - SF_{(i,j-1)}) \right]$$
$$= (I - S^{n}) \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} F_{(i,j)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S^{j}F_{(i,m)}.$$

Therefore, for $m \ge 1$ and $1 \le n < m$,

$$m^{2}G_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} = (I - T^{n}) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left[(I - S^{n}) \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} F_{(i,j)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S^{j}F_{(i,m)} \right] + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{i} \left[(I - S^{n}) \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} F_{(m,j)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S^{j}F_{(m,m)} \right].$$

Moreover, if

$$k_{n,m} = (I - L^n) \sum_{t=1}^{m-1} F_t + \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} L_t F_m,$$

where L is an operator on L_1 and F is a process, then

$$k_{n,m} = \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} F_t + \sum_{t=n}^{m-1} (F_t - S^n F_{t-n}) + \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (S^t F_m - S^n F_{m-n+t}).$$

Hence

$$m^{2}G_{n}^{m} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} F_{(i,j)}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \sigma_{n}F_{(i,j-n)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S^{j}\sigma_{n-j}F_{(i,m+j-n)}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tau_{n}F_{(i-n,j)} + \sum_{i,j=n}^{m-1} \tau_{n}\sigma_{n}F_{(i-n,j-n)}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S^{j}\tau_{n}\sigma_{n-j}F_{(i-n,m+j-n)}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} T^{i}\tau_{n-i}F_{(m+i-n,j)}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} T^{i}\tau_{n-i}\sigma_{n}F_{(m+i-n,j-n)}$$

$$+ \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} T^{i}S^{j}\tau_{n-i}\sigma_{m-j}F_{(m+i-n,m+j-n)}.$$

Since $F_{(n,m)} \ge 0$ for each $(n, m) \in \mathcal{N}_+$ and since T and S are positive operators, we have

$$m^{2}G_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} \geq \sum_{i,j=n}^{m-1} \tau_{n}\sigma_{n}F_{(i-n,j-n)}$$
$$\geq \sum_{i,j=n}^{m-1} F_{\mathbf{n}} = (m-n)^{2}F_{\mathbf{n}}$$

626

by (1.7'), giving (3.2). For all values of n, m with $1 \le n < m$, this process $G^m = \{G_n^m\}_{n \ge 1}$ is positive since $\{F_{(n,m)}\}$ is positive. Then, by additivity, it is positive for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

LEMMA 3.3. Let F and *U* be as in Lemma 3.1. If

$$f^* = \limsup_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n^2} F_{\mathbf{n}},$$

then there exists a constant K > 0 which is independent of F on \mathcal{U} such that

$$\mu(E) \leq \frac{1}{K\alpha} \gamma_{F}$$

for each $\alpha > 0$, where $E = \{x: f^*(x) > \alpha\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, for each $m \leq 1$, there is a positive, bounded \mathscr{U} -additive process $\{G_n^m\}_{n \leq 1}$ such that

$$G_{\mathbf{n}}^m \ge \left(1 - \frac{n}{m}\right)^2 F_{\mathbf{n}} \text{ for } i \le n < m.$$

On the other hand, as is shown in the proof of Lemma 2.11 following [9], there exists a constant d > 0, independent of f and \mathcal{U} , and u = u(n) such that, for any $f \in L_1$,

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} T^i S^j f \leq \frac{1}{u^2 d} \int_0^u \int_0^u U'_{(t,r)} f dt dr$$

where

$$U'_{(t,r)} = e^{-(t+r)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^i r^j}{i! j!} T^i S^j$$

as in [9]. Also, by Lemma 2.24 there is a constant $\delta > 0$, independent of f and

$$\mathscr{U}' = \{U'_{(t,r)}\}_{(t,r)\in\mathbf{R}^2_+, t}$$

such that

$$\frac{1}{u^2}\int_0^u\int_0^u U'_{(t,r)}fdtdr \leq \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{u}}H_{\sqrt{u}}, \quad f \in L_1,$$

where $H = \{H_t\}_{t>0}$ is a positive, bounded, τ -additive process, with $\tau = \{L_x\}_{x\geq 0}$ and

$$L_{x}(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2}} \Phi_{x}(u, v) U'_{(u,v)}(\cdot) du dv$$

as defined in Section (2.14). Thus, for each $m \ge 1$ and $1 \le n < m$, there is a u = u(n) and a constant K > 0, independent of F and T and S, such that

(3.4)
$$\left(1-\frac{n}{m}\right)^2 F_{\mathbf{n}} \leq \frac{1}{Kt}H_t, \quad t = \sqrt{u},$$

where

$$H_t = \int_0^t \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi_x(u, v) U'_{(u,v)} du dv \right] \eta(m) dx.$$

Since $H = \{H_t\}_{t>0}$ is a positive additive process, for any t > 0 with $k - 1 < t < k, k \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$H_{t} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \int_{0}^{1} L_{i+x} \eta(m) dx + \int_{0}^{t-(k-1)} L_{(k-1)+x} \eta(m) dx.$$

Since

$$\int_0^{t^{-}(k^{-}1)} L_{(k^{-}1)+x} \eta(m) dx \leq \int_0^1 L_{(k^{-}1)+x} \eta(m) dx,$$

we see that

$$H_t \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} L_1^i \, \overline{\eta}(m),$$

where

$$\overline{\eta}(m) = \int_0^1 L_x \eta(m) dx$$

is an L_1^+ -function for each $m \ge 1$. Now,

$$H_t \leq H_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} L_1^i \overline{\eta}(m) \text{ for } k-1 < t < k, k \in \mathbf{N}_+.$$

Thus

$$\frac{H_t}{t} \le \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \frac{H_k}{k} \quad \text{for } k - 1 < t < k.$$

It is known [5] that for any positive bounded superadditive process $\{H_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ there exists an L_1^+ -function Δ such that

$$H_k \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} L_1^i \Delta, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{H_t}{t} \leq \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \left[\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} L_1^i \Delta\right].$$

$$\int \Delta d\mu = \gamma_H$$

[5]. Now, if

$$h^* = \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} L_1^i \Delta,$$

then

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\frac{H_k}{k}\leq h^*,$$

and consequently,

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} (1/t) H_t \leq h^*.$$

Therefore, by (3.4),

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}F_{\mathbf{n}} \leq \frac{1}{K}h^*.$$

Thus

$$f^* \leq \frac{1}{K}h^*,$$

and hence

$$\mu(\{x:f^*(x) > \alpha\}) \leq \mu(\{x:h^*(x) > \alpha K\}).$$

Then,

$$\mu(E) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha K} \gamma_{H}$$

Since

$$\int \Delta d\mu = \gamma_F = \lim_{m \to \infty} \int \eta(m) d\mu,$$

we have $\gamma_H = \gamma_F$ and hence

$$\mu(E) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha K} \gamma_{F}.$$

THEOREM 3.5. Let $F = \{F_{(n,m)}\}_{(n,m) \in \mathcal{N}_{+}}$ be a bounded strongly *U-superadditive process with*

$$\mathscr{U} = \{U_{(n,m)}\}_{(n,m)\in\mathscr{N}},$$

where each $U_{(n,m)}$ is a Markovian operator on L_1 such that

$$\|U_{(n,m)}\|_{\infty} \leq 1, \quad (n,m) \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} F_{\mathbf{n}} \text{ exists a.e.}$$

Proof. Given $\epsilon > 0$, find $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}_+$ such that

$$n_0^{-2}\int F_{\mathbf{n}_0}d\mu > \gamma_F - \epsilon.$$

Then form a process

$$G_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} U_{(in_0,jn_0)} F_{\mathbf{n}_0}.$$

Then $G = \{G_n\}_{n \ge 1}$ is a bounded \mathscr{U} -additive process, where

$$\mathscr{U}' = \{U_{(kn_0,ln_0)}\}_{(k,l) \in \mathbb{N}}$$

Also

$$\gamma_G = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \int G_{\mathbf{n}} d\mu$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\frac{1}{n^2} \int \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} U_{(in_0,jn_0)} F_{\mathbf{n}_0} d\mu \right]$$

and hence $\gamma_G > \gamma_F - \epsilon$. Notice that, by superadditivity, $F_n \ge G_n$ on the points $\mathbf{n} = k\mathbf{n}_0$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Thus $F' = \{F'_n\}$, where $F'_n = F_n - G_n$ is a positive, bounded, strongly \mathscr{U} -superadditive process for $n = kn_0$, k = 0, 1, 2, Moreover,

$$\gamma_{F'} = \gamma_F - \gamma_G < \epsilon.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} G_n$$
 exists a.e.

by Theorem 2.12, and since

$$\mu(E) \leq \frac{1}{K\alpha} \gamma_{F'} = \frac{\epsilon}{K\alpha}$$

for each $\alpha > 0$, where

$$E = \{x: \limsup_{k \ge 0} \frac{1}{(kn_0)^2} (F_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{n}_0} - G_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{n}_0})(x) > \alpha\},\$$

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1985-032-5 Published online by Cambridge University Press

we see that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} F_{\mathbf{n}} \text{ exists a.e.}$$

Next, we will prove the ergodic theorem for continuous strongly superadditive processes.

THEOREM 3.6. Let $F = \{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ be a bounded strongly *U*-superadditive process where

$$\mathscr{U} = \{U_{(t,r)}\}_{(t,r)\in \mathbb{R}^2}$$

is a strongly continuous Markovian semigroup on L_1 such that

 $||U_{(t,r)}||_{\infty} \leq 1 \quad for \ each \ (t, r) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+$

and $U_0 = I$. Assume that

$$\Omega = \sup \{ |U_{(t,r)}F_{(u,v)}| : t, r, u, v \in \mathbf{Q}_+, t + u \leq 1, r + v \leq 1 \} \in L_1.$$

Then $q - \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{1}{u^2} F_{\mathbf{u}}$ exists a.e.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and r be a rational number with n < r < n + 1. By superadditivity,

$$F_{\mathbf{r}} \ge F_{\mathbf{n}} + U_{(n,0)}F_{(r-n,n)} + U_{(0,n)}F_{(n,r-n)} + U_{(n,n)}F_{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{n}}$$
$$\ge F_{\mathbf{n}} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U_{(n,j)}F_{(r-n,1)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U_{(i,n)}F_{(1,r-n)} + U_{\mathbf{n}}F_{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{n}}$$

Therefore, if

$$Y_{r,n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U_{(i,n)} |F_{(1,r-n)}| + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U_{(n,j)} |F_{(r-n,1)}| + U_n F_{r-n},$$

then $F_{\mathbf{r}} \ge F_{\mathbf{n}} - Y_{r,n}$. Similarly,

$$F_{n+1} \ge F_{r} + U_{(r,0)}F_{(n+1-r,r)} + U_{(0,r)}F_{(r,n+1-r)} + U_{r}F_{n+1-r}$$
$$\ge F_{r} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U_{(i,n)}[U_{(0,r-n)}F_{(1,n+1-r)}]$$
$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U_{(n,j)}[U_{(r-n,0)}F_{(n+1-r,1)}]$$

+
$$U_{\mathbf{n}}[U_{(r-n,0)}F_{(n+1-r,r-n)}$$

+ $U_{(0,r-n)}F_{(r-n,n+1-r)}$
+ $U_{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{n}}F_{\mathbf{n}+1-\mathbf{r}}].$

Therefore, if

$$Z_{r,n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U_{(i,n)} |U_{(0,r-n)}F_{(1,n+1-r)}|$$

+
$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U_{(n,j)} |U_{(r-n,0)}F_{(n+1-r,1)}|$$

+
$$U_{n}[|U_{(r-n,0)}F_{(n+1-r,r-n)}|$$

+
$$|U_{(0,r-n)}F_{(r-n,n+1-r)}|$$

+
$$|U_{r-n}F_{n+1-r}|],$$

then $F_{\mathbf{r}} \leq F_{\mathbf{n}+1} + Z_{r,n}$. Hence we have

$$F_{\mathbf{n}} - Y_{r,n} \leq F_{\mathbf{r}} \leq F_{\mathbf{n}+1} + Z_{r,n}$$

Since $Y_{r,n} \ge 0$ and $Z_{r,n} \ge 0$ and since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} F_{\mathbf{n}} \text{ exists a.e.}$$

by Theorem 3.5, to prove the theorem it is enough to show that

(3.7)
$$\frac{1}{n^2}Y_{r,n} \to 0$$
 a.e. as $n \to \infty$, and

(3.8)
$$\frac{1}{n^2} Z_{r,n} \to 0 \text{ a.e. as } n \to \infty.$$

If we show that

(3.9)
$$0 \leq Y_{r,n} \leq G_{n+1} - G_n$$
 and

(3.10)
$$0 \leq Z_{r,n} \leq 3(G_{n+1} - G_n)$$

for some bounded additive process $\{G_{(m,n)}\}$, then (3.7) and (3.8) will follow from (3.9) and (3.10) respectively. Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} G_n$$
 exists a.e.

by Theorem 2.12. For, let

$$G_{(n,m)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} U_{(i,j)} \Omega_{i,j}$$

Obviously $G = \{G_{(n,m)}\}_{(n,m) \in \mathcal{N}_{+}}$ is a positive, bounded additive process. Then

$$Y_{r,n} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U_{(n,j)} \Omega + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U_{(i,n)} \Omega + U_n \Omega = G_{n+1} - G_n$$

and

$$Z_{r,n} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U_{(n,j)}\Omega + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U_{(i,n)}\Omega + 3U_{n}\Omega = 3(G_{n+1} - G_{n})$$

giving (3.9) and (3.10) respectively.

Further remarks. The results we have obtained are valid if \mathscr{U} is a strongly continuous semigroup of positive L_1 -contractions, not necessarily continuous at the origin. If \mathscr{U} is such a semigroup, then for any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, $\{U_{(\alpha, t, \alpha_r)}\}$ is a one-dimensional strongly continuous semigroup of L_1 -contractions, $(t, r) \in C$. Then there exists a unique partition $\{\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{D}\}$ of X into its initially conservative and dissipative parts \mathscr{C} and \mathscr{D} respectively [1, 2] such that

(i) $\chi U_{(t,r)}f = 0$ for any $f \in L_1$ and $(t, r) \in C$.

(ii) The restriction of \mathscr{U} to $L_1(\mathscr{E})$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of positive $L_1(\mathscr{E})$ -contractions which is also continuous at the origin where

$$L_1(\mathscr{C}) = \{ f \in L_1 : \text{support of } f \subseteq \mathscr{C} \}.$$

If $F = \{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ is a bounded \mathscr{U} -additive process and $\{\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{D}\}$ is the partition of X as above, then

$$\chi_{\mathscr{D}}F_{(u,v)}=0$$

for each $(u, v) \in C$ [2], that is $F_{(u,v)} \in L_1(\mathscr{C})$. Thus we are allowed to restrict \mathscr{U} to \mathscr{C} , and hence consider it as a semigroup which is strongly continuous at the origin also. Moreover, for the results of our work, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $U'_0 = I$, the identity operator, where U'_0 belongs to the restriction of \mathscr{U} to \mathscr{C} [2, 3]. Hence one obtains the conclusions of Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 on \mathscr{C} . It is obvious that in general there is no convergence on \mathscr{D} .

For notational convenience, all the proofs were given in the twodimensional case. However, as seen from the method of proof that the extension of it to the *n*-dimensional case, $n \ge 2$ is straightforward.

DOĞAN ÇÖMEZ

REFERENCES

- 1. M. A. Akçoğlu and R. V. Chacon, A local ergodic theorem, Can. J. Math. 22 (1970), 545-552.
- M. A. Akçoğlu and A. del Junco, *Differentiation of n-dimensional additive processes*, Can. J. Math. 33 (1981), 749-768.
- **3.** M. A. Akçoğlu and U. Krengel, *A differentiation theorem for additive processes*, Math. Z. *163* (1978), 199-210.
- 4. Ergodic theorems for superadditive processes, J. Reine Agnew. Math. 323 (1981), 53-67.
- 5. M. A. Akçoğlu and L. Sucheston, A ratio ergodic theorem for superadditive processes, Z. Wahr. 44 (1978), 269-278.
- A. Brunel, Théorème ergodique ponctuel pour un semigroupe commutatif finiment engendré de contractions de L₁, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré 9 (1973), 327-343.
- 7. R. V. Chacon, A class of linear transformations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 560-564.
- 8. K. L. Chung, *Markov chains with stationary transition probabilities*, 2nd Ed. (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1967).
- 9. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear operators-I (Interscience, New York, 1958).
- 10. R. Emilion and B. Hachem, Un théorème ergodique fortement suradditif à plusieurs paramètres, Preprint.
- 11. J. M. Hammersley and D. J. A. Welsh, *First passage percolation, subadditive processes,* stochastic networks, and generalized renewal theory, Bernoulli-Laplace Anniversary Volume (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965).
- E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semigroups*, Collog. Publ. Amer. Math. Soc. (1957).
- 13. E. Hopf, *The general temporally discrete Markov process*, J. of Math. and Mech. 3 (1954), 13-45.
- J. F. C. Kingman, The ergodic theory of subadditive stochastic processes, J. Roy. Stats. Soc. Ser. B 30 (1968), 499-510.
- 15. U. Krengel, A local ergodic theorem, Invent, Morth. 6 (1969), 329-333.
- 16. Y. Kubokowa, Ergodic theorems for contraction semigroups, J. Math. Soc. Japan 27 (1975), 184-193.
- 17. R. Sato, Contraction semigroups in Lebesgue space, Pacific J. Math. 78 (1978), 251-259.
- 18. R. T. Smythe, Multiparameter subadditive processes, Ann. Prob. 4 (1976), 772-782.
- 19. T. R. Terrell, Local ergodic theorems for n-parameter semigroups of operators, Contribution to Ergodic Theory and Probability, Lecture Notes in Math. 160 (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1970), 262-278.

North Dakota State University, Fargo, North Dakota