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Introduction. This short paper is devoted to the proof of a single theorem, which, in its simplest form, asserts that if $Q$ is a polyhedron in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ which can be expressed as the union of finitely many convex polytopes whose vertices are at rational points in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, and if $\mathscr{S}$ is a simplicial subdivision of $Q$, then there is an isomorphic simplicial subdivision $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ of $Q$ in which all vertices are at rational points.

In a subsequent paper [1], this theorem is used in generalising known results concerning finitely generated vector lattices to the context of finitely generated lattice-ordered Abelian groups.

Preliminaries. For basic definitions relating to polyhedra and simplicial presentations of polyhedra see Stallings [3] or Glaser [2].

Let $P$ be a polyhedron, $\mathscr{S}$ a simplicial presentation of $P$, and $x$ a vertex of $\mathscr{S}$. The star of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ is the subcomplex of $\mathscr{S}$ consisting of all simplices which contain $x$ as a vertex, together with all their faces. The link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ is the subcomplex of $\mathscr{S}$ consisting of all simplices which belong to the star of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$, but do not contain $x$ as a vertex. Following common convention, the terms 'star' and 'link' will also be used to refer to the polyhedral realisations of these subcomplexes.

A polyhedron $P$ is rational if $P$ can be expressed as a finite union of convex polytopes $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{k}$ such that for each $i \leqq k$ the vertices of $Q_{i}$ are at rational points.

If $S$ is a subset of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, the notation conv $S$ is used to denote the convex hull of $S$ (the intersection of all convex subsets of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ which contain $S$ ), and the notation aff $S$ is used to denote the affine hull of $S$ (the intersection of all affine subspaces of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ which contain $S$ ).

If $C$ is a convex set in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $x$ is a point of $C$, then $x$ is said to be in the relative interior of $C$ if there is an open neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $U \cap$ aff $C \subseteq C$.

Theorem 1. Let $Q$ be a rational polyhedron in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, and let $\mathscr{S}$ be a simplicial presentation of $Q$ with vertices at the points $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}$. For any $\epsilon>0$, there is a set of rational points $v_{1}{ }^{\prime}, v_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{k}{ }^{\prime}$ and a simplicial presentation $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ of $Q$ with vertices $v_{1}{ }^{\prime}, v_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{k}{ }^{\prime}$ such that
(i) $\left|v_{i}-v_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right|<\epsilon$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, and
(ii) the map sending the vertex $v_{i}$ of $\mathscr{S}$ to the vertex $v_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ defines an isomorphism between the simplicial presentations $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ of $Q$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{T}$ be an abstract simplicial complex, and $V$ the set of vertices of $\mathscr{T}$. A map $f: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ defines a realisation of $\mathscr{T}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ if for any subsets $A$ and $B$ of $V$ in $\mathscr{T}$ the relation

$$
\operatorname{conv} f(A) \cap \operatorname{conv} f(B)=\operatorname{conv} f(A \cap B)
$$

holds in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. It is easy to show that the map $f: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ defines a realisation of $\mathscr{T}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ if and only if, for any two disjoint subsets $A$ and $B$ of $V$ in $\mathscr{T}$, the subsets conv $f(A)$ and conv $f(B)$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ have empty intersection. In particular, if the set of functions $V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is considered as an Euclidean space in the natural way, then the set of functions defining a realisation of $\mathscr{T}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is an open subset of this space. By virtue of this fact, if $\mathscr{S}$ is a (geometrical) simplicial complex in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, with vertices $v=v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ there is an open neighbourhood $U$ of $v$ such that if $v^{\prime} \in U$, then $v^{\prime}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ are the vertices of a simplicial complex $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ isomorphic with $\mathscr{S}$ via the map which sends $v$ to $v^{\prime}$ and $v_{i}$ to $v_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. The simplicial complex $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ is then said to be obtained from $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ by moving the vertex $v$ to $v^{\prime}$.

Let $P$ be a polyhedron in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, the union of convex polytopes $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{t}$, and let $X$ denote the union of the sets of vertices of $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{t}$. The convex polytope $S$ will be called a slice of $P$ (relative to $X$ ) if $S$ is contained in $P$ and the vertices of $S$ belong to $X$. For each point $x$ of $P$ the symbol $\mathrm{Sl}(x)$ will denote the (non-empty) set of slices of $P$ which contain $x$, and $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)$ the intersection of all slices in $\mathrm{Sl}(x)$. For each $x$ in $P$, the set of points $y$ of $P$ such that $\mathrm{Sl}(x)=$ $\mathrm{Sl}(y)$ will be called the scope of $x$ (relative to $X$ ).

Lemma 1. The scope of $x$ is a relatively open subset of aff $(\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x))$. That is, if $y$ lies in the scope of $x$ there is an open neighbourhood $U$ of $y$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $U \cap \operatorname{aff}(\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x))$ is contained in the scope of $x$. In particular, the scope of $x$ is $\{x\}$ if and only if $\{x\}=\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)$.

Proof. Note first that $x$ (and hence any point in the scope of $x$ ) is relatively interior to $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)$. To see this, let $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m}$ be the slices of $P$ which contain $x$ as a relatively interior point. If $S \in \mathrm{Sl}(x)$ then $S$ contains $S_{i}$ for some $i \leqq m$, hence $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)=\cap_{i=1} S_{i}$. Thus

$$
x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{rel} \text { int } S_{i} \subseteq \operatorname{rel} \text { int } \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} S_{i}=\operatorname{rel} \text { int } \cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)
$$

Now let $y$ be in the scope of $x$, let $V$ be an open neighbourhood of $y$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $V \cap$ aff ( $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)$ ) is contained in $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)$ ) and let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{s}$ be the slices of $P$ which do not contain $y$. Since each $T_{i}$ is closed, there is an open neighbourhood $W$ of $y$ such that $W \cap T_{i}$ is empty for $i=1,2, \ldots$, s.

Let $U=V \cap W$. It is easy to verify that $U \cap$ aff $(\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x))$ is contained in the scope of $x$, as required.

If $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)=\{x\}$ then the scope of $x$ is $\{x\}$ trivially, since the scope of $x$ is a subset of $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)$. Conversely, suppose that $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x) \neq\{x\}$. Then there is a point $y$ distinct from $x$ in $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)$, and all points of the line segment $[x, y]$ sufficiently close to $x$ lie in the scope of $x$, since the scope of $x$ is a relatively open subset of aff $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 1 .

Now let $\mathscr{S}$ be a simplicial presentation of the polyhedron $P$ introduced above, and let $x$ be a vertex of $\mathscr{S}$ such that the scope of $x$ is not $\{x\}$. Then aff ( $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)$ ) is an affine subspace of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ of dimension at least one, and by Lemma 1, there is an open $n$-ball $W$ containing $x$, such that $W \cap$ aff $(\cap \operatorname{Sl}(x))$ is contained in the scope of $x$.

Let $V$ be an open $n$-ball containing $x$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with the property that it $x^{\prime} \in V$, then a simplicial complex $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$, isomorphic with $\mathscr{S}$, is obtained from $\mathscr{S}$ by moving the vertex $x$ to $x^{\prime}$. Note that, since $x^{\prime}$ and the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ are joinable, $V$ does not meet the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$.

Let $U=V \cap W \cap$ aff $(\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x))$.
Lemma 2. If $P, x, \mathscr{S}$ and $U$ are as defined above, and if $x^{\prime}(\neq x)$ is a point of $U$, the simplicial complex obtained from $\mathscr{S}$ by moving the vertex $x$ to $x^{\prime}$ is also a simplicial presentation of $P$.

Proof. It will suffice to prove that the star of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ and the star of $x^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ coincide as polyhedra in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, for the vertices of $\mathscr{S}$ other than $x$ are common to $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$. Moreover, it suffices to prove that the star of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ contains the star of $x^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$, for $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ stand in symmetric relation.

The ray $x y$ with vertex $x$ in $P$ is said to be locally in $P$ if there is a point $q$ distinct from $x$ on $x y$ such that the line segment $[x, q]$ is contained in $P$. The point $z$ on $x y$ is said to be beyond $y$ on $x y$ if $y$ is on the line segment $[x, z]$.

Because $x$ and the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ are joinable, it follows that a ray $x y$ with vertex $x$ can meet the link of $\mathscr{S}$ in at most one point, and meets the link of $x$ if and only if $x y$ is locally in $P$. Since the join of $x$ and the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ is the star of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$, the point $y(\neq x)$ of $P$ is in the star of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ if and only if the ray $x y$ meets the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ in a point beyond $y$.

Now let $l$ be the affine hull of $x$ and $x^{\prime}$. Then $l$ is a 1 -dimensional affine subspace of aff $(\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x))$, and $U \cap l$ is an open interval of $l$ containing $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ and contained in the scope of $x$. In particular, the two rays with vertex $x$ which are contained in $l$ are locally in $P$, and meet the link of x in $\mathscr{S}$. Suppose that the line segment $\left[x, x^{\prime}\right]$ meets the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ in $p$ if produced beyond $x^{\prime}$ and in $q$ if produced beyond $x$. Both $p$ and $q$ are outside the line segment $\left[x, x^{\prime}\right]$, for $U$ is disjoint from the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$. Thus, if $y$ is any point of the line segment $[p, q]$, then the ray $x^{\prime} y$ meets the link of $x^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ (which is also the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ ) in a point beyond $y$, and $y$ belongs to the star of $x^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$. Hence all points of $l$ which belong to the star of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ also belong to the star of $x^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$.

Let $a$ lie in the star of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$, but not on $l$. There is a unique plane $\Pi$ containing $x, x^{\prime}$ and $a$, and $l$ divides $\Pi$ into two open half planes. Let $H$ denote that open half plane, bounded by $l$, which contains $a$.

Let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ be the slices of $P$ which do not contain $x$, and let $N$ be an open neighbourhood of $x$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $N$ and $T_{i}$ have empty intersection for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Let $z$ be a point common to $N$ and the line segment $[x, a]$, other than $x$ itself. Since $a$ is in the star of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}, z$ is in $P$, and there is a slice $S$ of $P$ which contains $z$. Since $z \in N, S$ necessarily contains $x$; hence $S$ contains the scope of $x$ in $P$. In particular, $S$ contains $U \cap l$, an open interval of $l$ containing $x$ and $x^{\prime}$, and, being convex, must contain the convex hull of $z$ and $U \cap l$. It follows that if $b$ is a point of $H$, the ray $x b$ with vertex $x$ is locally in $P$, and meets the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$. Thus, the intersection of $H$ with the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$, together with the line segment $[p, q]$ form a polyhedral 1 -sphere in $\Pi$.


Let $K$ be the polyhedral 2 -cell bounded by this 1 -sphere. Since $a$ lies in the star of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}, a$ necessarily lies in $K$.

Consider the ray $x^{\prime} a$ with vertex $x^{\prime}$. Since $a$ lies in $K$, and there is a point $b$ beyond $a$ on $x^{\prime} a$ not in $K$, there is necessarily a point $y$, beyond $a$ on the ray $x^{\prime} a$, common to $x^{\prime} a$ and the boundary of $K$. The point $y$ belongs to the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$, for $a$ does not lie on $[p, q]$. On the other hand, $x^{\prime}$ and the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ are joinable, so that $y$ is the unique point of intersection of the ray $x^{\prime} a$ and the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$. Since the link of $x$ in $\mathscr{S}$ is the link of $x^{\prime}$ and $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ it follows that $a$ belongs to the star of $x^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, let the polyhedron $Q$ referred to in the statement of the theorem be expressed as a union of convex polytopes $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{t}$ having vertices at rational points. Let $X$ be the union of the sets of vertices of $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{t}$.

If $x$ is an irrational vertex of the simplicial presentation $\mathscr{S}$ of $Q$, then the scope of $x$ cannot consist solely of the point $x$, for if $\{x\}=\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)$, then $x$ is necessarily a rational point. Hence, by Lemma 2, a new simplicial presentation $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ of $Q$ isomorphic with $\mathscr{S}$, is obtained from $\mathscr{S}$ by moving $x$ to any point $x^{\prime}$ in a relative neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ in the affine hull of $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)$. Since, in this instance, the affine hull of $\cap \mathrm{Sl}(x)$ is a rational subspace of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, rational points
are dense in the neighbourhood $U$ of $x$. Thus, given $\epsilon>0$, there is a simplicial presentation $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ of $Q$, with vertices at rational points $v_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{k}{ }^{\prime}$ such that $\left|v_{i}-v_{i}^{\prime}\right|<\epsilon$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, and such that the map sending $v_{i}$ to $v_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ defines an isomorphism between the simplicial presentations $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ of $Q$.

Corollary. Suppose that $P$ and $Q$ are rational polyhedra in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, and that $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{T}$ are simplicial presentations of $P$ and $Q$ respectively such that $\mathscr{S}$ is a subcomplex of $\mathscr{T}$.

Then there are simplicial presentations $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ and $\mathscr{T}^{\prime}$ of $P$ and $Q$ respectively, with vertices at rational points, such that $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic, $\mathscr{T}$ and $\mathscr{T}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic, and $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ is a subcomplex of $\mathscr{T}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{t}$ be a finite set of convex polytopes with rational vertices such that $Q=\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} Q_{i}$ and $P=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\tau} Q_{i}$ for some $r \leqq t$. Let $X$ be the union of the sets of vertices of $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{t}$ and $Y$ the union of the sets of vertices of $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{T}$, so that $Y \subseteq X$.

Suppose that $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}$ are the vertices of $\mathscr{S}$, and $v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, \ldots, v_{n}$ are the remaining vertices of $\mathscr{T}$. If $i \leqq m$, then $v_{i} \in Q$, and the scope of $v_{i}$ is defined relative to $X$ and relative to $Y$. Moreover, it is clear that the scope of $v_{i}$ relative to $X$ is a subset of the scope of $v_{i}$ relative to $Y$ in this case.

Let $v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{m}^{\prime}$ be vertices of a simplicial presentation $\mathscr{T}^{\prime}$ of $Q$, isomorphic with $\mathscr{T}$, having rational vertices, constructed as in the proof of Theorem 1. For each $i$, the rational point $v_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ lies in the scope of $v_{i}$ relative to $X$. In particular $v_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ lies in the scope of $v_{i}$ relative to $Y$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Thus, by Lemma 2 , the subcomplex $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{T}^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\mathscr{S}$, having vertices $v_{1}{ }^{\prime}, v_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{m}{ }^{\prime}$ is itself a simplicial presentation of $Q$, giving the required result.
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