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1. Let $\gamma_{n}$ denote the value of

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3} \ldots+1 / n-\log n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is a definite integer; and let $\gamma$ denote the limit of

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \dot{+} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3} \ldots+1 / n+1 /(n+1) \ldots+1 /(n+k)-\log (n+k) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

when the integer $k$ is indefinitely increased. It is known* that the expansion of $\gamma_{n}-\gamma$ in ascending powers of $1 / n$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 n}-\frac{\mathrm{B}_{1}}{2 n^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{B}_{3}}{4 n^{4}}-\frac{\mathrm{B}_{5}}{6 n^{6}}+\ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{1}, B_{3}, B_{5} \ldots$ are the numbers of Bernoulli. The series (3) is, however, divergent, as $B_{2 r+1}$ not only increases indefinitely with $r$, but bears $\dagger$ an infinite ratio to $\mathrm{B}_{2 r-1}$ in this case. It is proposed to find by elementary methods the expansion of $\gamma_{n}-\gamma$ up to the term in $n^{r}$ and to estimate the error (of order $1 / n^{r+1}$ ) made in omitting further terms of series (3). I shall take the case of $r=9$, but the process is quite general.
2. From (2) we obtain $1-\gamma_{n+k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \log (n+k)-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3} \ldots-1 / n \ldots-1 /(n+k) \\
= & \left(\log 2-\log 1-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\left(\log 3-\log 2-\frac{1}{3}\right)+\ldots \\
& \quad+(\log n-\log n-1-1 / n)+(\log n+1 \\
& \quad \ldots+\log (n+k)-\log (n+k-1)-1 /(n+k) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first $n-1$ brackets amount to $1-\gamma_{n}$; hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{n}-\gamma_{n+k}=-\left(\log \frac{n}{n+1}+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)-\left(\log \frac{n+1}{n+2}+\frac{1}{n+2}\right)-\ldots \\
\ldots-\left(\log \frac{n+k-1}{n+k}+\frac{1}{n+k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

[^0]The logarithms on the right side can all be expanded in convergent series, as $1 /(n+1), 1 /(n+2), \ldots 1 /(n+k)$ are each less than unity; so that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\gamma_{n}-\gamma_{n+k} & =\frac{1}{2(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{1}{3(n+1)^{8}}+\frac{1}{4(n+1)^{4}}+\ldots \\
& +\frac{1}{2(n+2)^{2}}+\frac{1}{3(n+2)^{3}}+\frac{1}{4(n+2)^{4}}+\ldots \\
& \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This doubly infinite series is convergent either way; the columns, therefore, can be written as rows. Hence, making $k$ infinite,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{n}-\gamma=\frac{1}{2} t_{2}+\frac{1}{3} t_{3}+\frac{1}{4} t_{4} \ldots a d \text { inf., } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{r}=\Sigma_{\infty}^{1}(n+p)^{-r}$. We proceed to expand $t_{25} t_{3}$, ..in powers of $1 / n$.
3. Let $\phi(m, d, k)$ denote the reciprocal of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad m\{m+d\}\{m+2 d\} \ldots\{m+(k-1) d\} ; \\
& \phi(m-r, 1,2 r+1)-\phi(m-r+1,1,2 r-1) \cdot \phi(m, 0,2) \\
& =r^{2} \cdot \phi(m-r, 1,2 r+1) \cdot \phi(m, 0,2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

then

Change $\quad m$ to $n+1$ and transpose; we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi(n-r+2,1,2 r-1) \cdot \phi(n+1,0,2)  \tag{5}\\
& =\phi(n-r+1,1,2 r+1)-r^{2} \phi(n-r+1,1,2 r+1) \cdot \phi(n+1,0,2) .
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $\quad r=1,2,3, \ldots$, we have

$$
\phi(n+1,0,3)=\phi(n, 1,3)-1^{2} . \phi(n, 1,3), \phi(n+1,0,2)
$$

$$
\phi(n, 1,3) \phi(n+1,0,2)=\phi(n-1,1,5)-2^{2} \cdot \phi(n-1,1,5) \cdot \phi(n+1,0,2),
$$

$$
\phi(n-1,1,5) \phi(n+1,0,2)=\phi(n-2,1,7)-3^{2} \cdot \phi(n-2,1,7) \cdot \phi(n+1,0,2),
$$

and so on. Hence, by continued substitution,
(6) $\phi(n+1,0,3)=\phi(n, 1,3)-1^{2} \phi(n-1,1,5)+(1.2)^{2} \phi(n-2,1,7)$

$$
-(1.2 .3)^{2} \phi(n-3,1,9)+\ldots .
$$

We can stop at any point ; the last term contains $\phi(n+1,0,2)$ as factor, and is positive or negative according as its number is odd or even. Thus we obtain closer and closer relations of inequality, of which for the present we take the following:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\phi(n+1,0,3) \text { is }>\phi(n, 1,3)-\phi(n-1,1,5)+4 \phi(n-2,1,7) \\
-36 \phi(n-3,1,9), \text { but }<\text { this expression }+576 \phi(n-4,1,11) .
\end{array}
$$

Changing $n+1$ to $n+2, n+3, \ldots$, and adding up, we get the sum of $\phi(n+1,0,3), \phi(n+2,0,3), \phi(n+3,0,3), \ldots$ to infinity, i.e., $t_{3}$, to be ${ }^{*}$

$$
>\frac{1}{2} \phi(n, 1,2)-\frac{1}{4} \phi(n-1,1,4)+\frac{2}{3} \phi(n-2,1,6)-\frac{9}{2} \phi(n-3,1,8)
$$

and $<$ this expression increased by $\frac{288}{5} \phi(n-4,1,10)$.
To get $t_{5}$, we multiply both sides of (6) by $\phi(n+1,0,2)$ and apply equation (5) to the terms on the right side in succession; we thus obtain an equation + like ( 6 , whence similar relations of inequality can be inferred. Thus $\phi(n+1,0,5)$ is found to be $>\phi(n-1,1,5)-5 \phi(n-2,1,7)+49 \phi(n-3,1,9)-820 \phi(n-4,1,11)$, but $<$ the first three terms of this expression. Hence, as before, changing $n+1$ to $n+2, n+3, \ldots a d$. inf., and adding up, we get $t_{5}$ to be
$>\frac{1}{4} \phi(n-1,1,4)-\frac{5}{6} \phi(n-2,1,6)+\frac{49}{8} \phi(n-3,1,8)-82 \phi(n-4,1,10)$
but $<$ the first three terms of this expression.
We shall similarly obtain $t_{-}>\frac{1}{6} \phi(n-2,1,6)-\frac{7}{4} \phi(n-3,1,8)$, but $<$ this expression $+\frac{273}{10} \phi(n-4,1,10) ; t_{9}>\frac{1}{8} \phi(n-3,1,8)$ $-3 \phi(n-4,1,10)$, but $<\frac{1}{8} \phi(n-3,1,8) ;$ and $t_{11}<\frac{1}{10} \phi(n-4,1,10)$. We will not consider $t_{13}, t_{15}, \ldots$, as these when expanded do not affect the term in $1 / n^{9}$ and the previous terms.

To obtain $t_{2}$, put $r=\frac{1}{2}$ in equation (5) ; thus
$\phi\left(n+\frac{3}{2}, 1,0\right) . \phi(n+1,0,2)$, i.e., $\phi(n+1,0,2)=\phi\left(n+\frac{1}{2}, 1,2\right)$
$-\frac{1}{4} \phi\left(n+\frac{1}{2}, 1,2\right) \cdot \phi(n+1,0,2)$. So also $\phi\left(n+\frac{1}{2}, 1,2\right) \cdot \phi(n+1,0,2)$
$=\phi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}, 1,4\right)-\frac{9}{4} \phi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}, 1,4\right) . \phi(n+1,0,2)$; the last function
$=\phi\left(n-\frac{3}{2}, 1,6\right)-\frac{25}{4} \phi\left(n-\frac{3}{2}, 1,6\right) . \phi(n+1,0,2)$; and so on. Hence

* Chrystal, Algebra Ch. XXXI.
+ The coefficients on the right side may be thus calculated : write down those of the right side of (6) ; multiply the first by $2^{2}$ and subtract from the second; multiply the result by $3^{2}$ and subtract from the third; and so on. Thus from 1, $-1,4,-36,576$ we obtain successively 1, $-5,49,-820,21076$.
we have $\phi(n+1,0,2)=\phi\left(n+\frac{1}{2}, 1,2\right)-\frac{1}{4} \phi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}, 1,4\right)+\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{9}{4}$ $\phi\left(n-\frac{3}{2}, 1,6\right)-\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{9}{4} \cdot \frac{25}{4} \phi\left(n-\frac{5}{2}, 1,8\right)+\ldots . \quad$ Reasoning exactly as before, we shall therefore have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{2}>\phi\left(n+\frac{1}{2}, 1,1\right)-\frac{1}{12} \phi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}, 1,3\right)+\frac{9}{80} \phi\left(n-\frac{3}{2}, 1,5\right)- \\
& \frac{225}{148} \phi\left(n-\frac{5}{2}, 1,7\right)+\frac{1225}{256} \phi\left(n-\frac{7}{2}, 1,9\right)-\frac{9452}{11264} \phi\left(n-\frac{9}{2}, 1,11\right) \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

but < the first five terms of this expression. Multiply both sides of the equality given above by $\phi(n+1,0,2)$ and apply equation (5) ; we thus obtain* $t_{4}>\frac{1}{3} \phi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}, 1,3\right)-\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(n-\frac{3}{2}, 1,5\right)$ $+\frac{269}{112} \phi\left(n-\frac{5}{2}, 1,7\right)-\frac{3229}{144} \phi\left(n-\frac{7}{2}, 1,9\right)$, but less than this value increased by $\frac{96111}{266}\left(\phi\left(n-\frac{9}{2}, 1,11\right)\right.$.

We can similarly obtain in succession $t_{\mathrm{b}}>\frac{1}{5} \phi\left(n-\frac{3}{2}, 1,5\right)-$ $\frac{5}{4} \phi\left(n-\frac{5}{2}, 1,7\right)+\frac{329}{24} \phi\left(n-\frac{7}{2}, 1,9\right)-\frac{7855}{32} \phi\left(n-\frac{9}{2}, 1,11\right)$, but $<$ the first three terms ; $t_{8}>\frac{1}{7} \phi\left(n-\frac{5}{2}, 1,7\right)-\frac{7}{3} \phi\left(n-\frac{7}{2}, 1,9\right)$, but $<$ this expression $+\frac{399}{9} \phi\left(n-\frac{9}{2}, 1,11\right) ; t_{10}>\frac{1}{9} \phi\left(n-\frac{7}{2}, 1,9\right)-\frac{15}{4} \phi\left(n-\frac{9}{2}, 1,11\right)$, but $<\frac{1}{9} \phi\left(n-\frac{7}{2}, 1,9\right)$. We need not consider $t_{12} t_{1,}, \ldots$
4. Adding up the results up to that for $t_{11}$ inclusive, we see that $\gamma_{n}-\gamma$ is certainly greater than

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \phi\left(n+\frac{1}{2}, 1,1\right)+\frac{1}{6} \phi(n, 1,2)+\frac{1}{24} \phi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}, 1,3\right)  \tag{7}\\
- & \frac{1}{30} \phi(n-1,1,4)-\frac{17}{88} \phi\left(n-\frac{3}{2}, 1,5\right)+\frac{5}{63} \phi(n-2,1,6) \\
+ & \frac{387}{2688} \phi\left(n-\frac{5}{2}, 1,7\right)-\frac{23}{45} \phi(n-3,1,8)-\frac{27859}{23040} \phi\left(n-\frac{7}{2}, 1,9\right) \\
- & -\frac{25}{15} \phi(n-4,1,10)-\frac{5469379}{81884} \phi\left(n-\frac{9}{2}, 1,11\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The functions may now be expanded by the Binomial Theorem; all the series will be absolutely convergent for $n=\overline{0}$. But as in the expansions of the last three, only terms up to $n^{10}$ or $n^{10}$ have to be retained, the ratio of convergency for the inequality will be much greater than 5 ; it will, however, be found in any case not to be greater than 10. With this restriction we see that the expression (7) is greater than

$$
\frac{1}{2 n}-\frac{1}{12 n^{2}}+\frac{1}{120 n^{4}}-\frac{1}{252 n^{6}}+\frac{1}{240 n^{8}}-\frac{1387}{60 n^{10}}-\frac{1012575}{5632 n^{12}} .
$$

[^1]If $n>10$, the last two terms are numerically less than $42 / n^{10}$; but for $n=100$, they are less than $25 / n^{10}$.

This determines an inferior limit of $\gamma_{n}-\gamma$ : a superior limit can be similarly found. The first ten terms of the right side of (4) are found to be less than $\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(n+\frac{1}{2}, 1,1\right)+\frac{1}{8} \phi(n, 1,2)$
$+\frac{1}{24} \phi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}, 1,3\right)-\frac{1}{36} \phi(n-1,1,4)-\frac{17}{480} \phi\left(n-\frac{3}{2}, 1,5\right)+\frac{3}{63} \phi(n-2,1,6)$
$+\frac{367}{9688} \phi\left(n-\frac{5}{2}, 1,7\right)-\frac{23}{4} \phi(n-3,1,8)-\frac{2785}{2304} \frac{9}{0} \phi\left(n-\frac{7}{2}, 1,9\right)$
$+\frac{1271}{5} \frac{1}{5} \phi(n-4,1,10)+\frac{102495}{102} 4\left(n-\frac{9}{2}, 1,11\right)$. Expanding till we get positive terms in $n^{10}$ or $n_{-}^{11}$, we see that this sum is less than

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 n}-\frac{1}{12 n^{2}}+\frac{1}{120 n^{4}}-\frac{1}{252 n^{6}}+\frac{1}{240 n^{8}}+\frac{11039}{660 n^{10}}+\frac{325111}{1536 n^{11}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have still to assign a superior limit to the terms omitted. Now $\frac{1}{12} t_{12}+\frac{1}{13} t_{13}+\frac{1}{14} t_{14} \ldots$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\frac{1}{12}\left(t_{12}+t_{13}+t_{14}, .\right), \text { i.e. } \\
& <\frac{1}{12}\left\{\frac{1}{(n+1)^{12}}+\frac{1}{(n+1)^{13}}+\frac{1}{(n+1)^{14}} \cdots\right. \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{(n+2)^{12}}+\frac{1}{(n+2)^{13}}+\frac{1}{(n+2)^{14}} \cdots \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{(n+3)^{12}}+\frac{1}{(n+3)^{13}}+\frac{1}{(n+3)^{14}} \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
<\frac{1}{12}\left\{\frac{1}{n(n+1)^{11}}+\frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)^{11}}+\frac{1}{(n+2)(n+3)^{11}} \cdots\right\} ;
$$

and, therefore, a fortiori, the terms omitted are

$$
<\frac{1}{12(n+1)^{10}}\left\{\frac{1}{n(n+1)}+\frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)}+\frac{1}{(n+2)(n+3)} \cdots\right\}
$$

i.e., $<\frac{1}{12 n(n+1)^{10}}$, or, finally, $<\frac{1}{12 n^{11}}$. The sum of this quantity and the last two, terms of (8) is found to be less than $38 / n^{10}$ for $n>10$, and less than $19 / n^{10}$ for $n>100$. In the latter case, we conclude that $\gamma_{n}-\gamma$ lies between

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2 n}-\frac{1}{12 n^{2}} \ldots+\frac{1}{240 n^{8}}\right)-\frac{25}{n^{10}} \text { and }\left(\frac{1}{2 n}-\frac{1}{12 n^{2}} \ldots+\frac{1}{240 n^{8}}\right)+\frac{19}{n^{10^{3}}}
$$

the expression within brackets coinciding with the first five terms of series (3). The difference between the two values is less than $50 / n^{10}$, i.e., $\frac{1}{2}(10)^{-18}$, and the value of $\gamma$ thus obtained will be true to seventeen ${ }^{*}$ places of decimals.
5. The constant in Stirling's Theorem, or rather its logarithm, can be dealt with in the same way. If $\delta_{n}$ denote $n \cdot e^{n} \div n^{n+!}$, and $\delta$ be the limit of $n+k \cdot e^{n+k} \div(n+k)^{n+k+l}$ when the integer $k$ is indefinitely increased, it is known that $\log \delta_{n}-\log \delta$ can be expanded in the following series :-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{B}_{1}}{1.2 n}-\frac{\mathrm{B}_{3}}{3.4 n^{3}}+\frac{\mathrm{B}_{5}}{5.6 n^{5}}-\cdots \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting the logarithms by $\lambda_{n}$, $\lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{n+k}=(n+k)+\log 2+\log 3+\ldots+\log (n+k-1) \\
& +\log (n+k)-\left(n+k+\frac{1}{2}\right) \log (n+k) \\
& =(n+k)-\left(n+k-\frac{1}{2}\right) \log (n+k)+\left(n+k-\frac{1}{2}\right) \log (n+k-1) \\
& -\left(n+k-\frac{3}{2}\right) \log (n+k-1)+\left(n+k-\frac{3}{2}\right) \log (n+k-2) \\
& -\left(n+k-\frac{5}{2}\right) \log (n+k-2)+\left(n+k-\frac{5}{2}\right) \log (n+k-3) \\
& \text {-. } \\
& +\frac{7}{2} \log 3-\frac{5}{2} \log 3+\frac{5}{2} \log 2-\frac{3}{2} \log 2 \\
& =1-\left\{\left(n+k-\frac{1}{2}\right) \log (n+k) /(n+k-1)-1\right\} \\
& -\left\{\left(n+k-\frac{3}{2}\right) \log (n+k-1) /(n+k-9)-1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left\{\frac{5}{2} \log \frac{3}{2}-1\right\}-\left\{\frac{3}{2} \log \frac{2}{1}-1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So also $\quad \lambda_{n}=1-\left\{\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \log n /(n-1)-1\right\}$
$\qquad$
$-\left\{\frac{5}{2} \log _{\frac{3}{2}}-1\right\}-\left\{\frac{3}{2} \log _{1}^{2}-1\right\} ;$

[^2]so that
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n+k} & =\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \log \frac{n+1}{n}-1+\left(n+\frac{3}{2}\right) \log \frac{n+2}{n+1}-1 \ldots  \tag{10}\\
& +\left(n+k-\frac{1}{2}\right) \log \frac{n+k}{n+k-1}-1
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Now it can be proved that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right) \log (p+1) / p=-\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right) \log \{1-1 /(p+1)\} \\
& =1+\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2}\right) \frac{1}{(p+1)^{3}}+\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2 \cdot 3}\right) \frac{1}{(p+1)^{3}}+\ldots \\
& =1+\frac{b_{2}}{(p+1)^{2}}+\frac{b_{3}}{(p+1)^{3}}+\ldots \text { ad inf. }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b r=1 /(r+1)-1 /(2 r)$. Hence from (10), making $k$ infinitely large, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{n}-\lambda & =\frac{b_{2}}{(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{b_{3}}{(n+1)^{3}}+\frac{b_{4}}{(n+1)^{4}}+\ldots \\
& +\frac{b_{2}}{(n+2)^{2}}+\frac{b_{3}}{(n+2)^{3}}+\frac{b_{4}}{(n+2)^{4}}+\ldots \\
& +\frac{b_{2}}{(n+3)^{2}}+\frac{b_{3}}{(n+3)^{3}}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
& \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . a d \text { inf. }
\end{aligned}
$$

which may be written thus:-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}-\lambda=b_{2} t_{2}+b_{3} t_{3}+b_{4} t_{4}+\ldots a d i n f \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now expand the $t$ 's as in $\S 3$ and 4 , and give to the $b$ 's their arithmetical values. Keeping only terms which affect $1 / n^{a}$ and previous powers, we get for the inferior limit the following expression:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{1}{12} \phi\left(n+\frac{1}{2}, 1,1\right)+\frac{1}{24} \phi(n, 1,2)+\frac{13}{20} \phi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}, 1,3\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{240} \phi(n-1,1,4)-\frac{108}{6720} \phi\left(n-\frac{3}{2}, 1,3\right)+\frac{1}{1 \frac{1}{12}} \phi(n-2,1,6) \\
& +\frac{5171}{80660} \phi\left(n-\frac{5}{22}, 1,7\right)-\frac{79}{1440} \phi(n-3,1,8)-\frac{31021}{17280} \phi\left(n-\frac{7}{2}, 1,9\right) \\
& -\frac{28}{8} \phi(n-4,1,10 . \quad \text { On expanding as before, this gives }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{12 n}-\frac{1}{360 n^{3}}+\frac{1}{1260 n^{5}}-\frac{1}{1680 n^{7}}-\frac{168437}{138240 n^{9}}-\frac{77785}{6144 n^{10}}
$$

The last two terms are numerically less than $25 / 10 n^{\circ}$ when $n>10$.

The first eight terms of the series in (11) are similarly found to be less than
which gives

$$
\frac{1}{12 n}-\frac{1}{360 n^{3}}+\frac{1}{1260 n^{5}}-\frac{1}{1680 n^{7}}+\frac{10319}{5760 n^{9}}+\frac{121897}{15360 n^{10}} .
$$

A superior limit of the terms omitted will be found to be $b_{10} / n^{9}$, i.e., $9 / 220 n^{9}$. This term and the last two terms above are seen to be less than $27 / 10 n^{9}$ for $n>10$. Thus, for instance, when $n$ is 10 , the value of $\lambda_{n}-\lambda$ derived from the first four terms of series (9) will differ from the true value by a quantity less than $52 / 10 n^{9}$, or by about $\frac{1}{2}(10)^{-8}$.
6. I conclude by obtaining algebraically other series for $\gamma$ and establishing analogous series for $\lambda$.

From (2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{n+k} & =(1-\log 2)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\log 3 / 2\right)+\left(\frac{1}{3}-\log 4 / 3\right)+\ldots \\
& +\{1 /(n+k-1)-\log (n+k) /(n+k-1)\}+1 /(n+k) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\frac{1}{p}-\log (1+p) / p=\frac{1}{2 \cdot p^{2}}-\frac{1}{3 \cdot p^{3}}+\frac{1}{4 \cdot p^{4}} \ldots \text { ad inf. } ;
$$

hence $\quad \gamma_{n+k}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{4}-\ldots$

$$
+\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^{2}}-\frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^{2}}+\frac{1}{4 \cdot 2^{4}}-\ldots
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{2(n+k-1)^{2}}-\frac{1}{3(n+k-1)^{3}}+\frac{1}{4(n+k-1)^{4}} \cdots+\frac{1}{n+k} .
$$

Making $k$ infinite we get
where $s_{r}=1+\frac{1}{2^{n}}+\frac{1}{3^{r}}+\ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{3^{2}}+\frac{1}{3^{2}}+\ldots a d \text { inf. }\right)-\frac{1}{3}\left(1+\frac{1}{2^{3}}+\frac{1}{3^{3}} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . a d \text { inf. }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2} s_{2}-\frac{1}{3} s_{3}+\frac{1}{4} s_{4}-\frac{1}{5} s_{5} \tag{A}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{12} \phi\left(n+\frac{1}{2}, 1,1\right)+\frac{1}{24} \phi(n, 1,2)+\frac{13}{720} \phi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}, 1,3\right)-\frac{1}{24} \phi(n-1,1,4) \\
& -\frac{109}{8720} \phi\left(n-\frac{3}{2}, 1,5\right)+\frac{1}{112} \phi(n-2,1,6)+\frac{5171}{80840} \phi\left(n-\frac{5}{2}, 1,7\right) \\
& -{ }_{1}^{7} \frac{9}{40} \phi(n-3,1,8)+\frac{11195}{9} \frac{10}{16} \phi\left(n-\frac{7}{2}, 1,9\right)+\frac{501}{90} \phi(n-4,1,10) \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

In (4) make $n=1$; then, as $\gamma_{1}=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\gamma=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{2}-1\right)+\frac{1}{8}\left(s_{3}-1\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(s_{4}-1\right) . \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that $\frac{1}{1.2}+\frac{1}{2.3}+\frac{1}{3.4} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . a d$ inf.
i.e., unity $=\left(s_{2}-1\right)+\left(s_{3}-1\right)+\left(s_{4}-1\right)+$
hence from ( B ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{2}-1\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(s_{3}-1\right)+\frac{3}{4}\left(s_{4}-1\right) . \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

Supposing $n$ to be very large and taking terms up to $s_{2 n}$ in (A) and (B), we find on addition that unity is the limit of

$$
s_{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2} s_{4}-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{5}+\ldots+\frac{1}{n} s_{2 n}-\frac{1}{2 n}-\frac{1}{2 n+1} ;
$$

hence $s_{2}-1+\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{4}-1\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(s_{6}-1\right) \ldots+\frac{1}{n}\left(s_{2 n}-1\right)$ is the limit of $\frac{1}{n+1}+\frac{1}{n+2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{2 n}+\frac{1}{2 n+1}$, when $n$ becomes infinitely large.

This limit can be shown to be $\log 2$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log 2=\frac{2}{2}\left(s_{2}-1\right)+\frac{2}{4}\left(s_{4}-1\right)+\frac{2}{8}\left(s_{6}-1\right) . \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by help of (B) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-2 \gamma-\log 2=\frac{2}{5}\left(s_{3}-1\right)+\frac{2}{5}\left(s_{5}-1\right)+\frac{2}{7}\left(\delta_{7}-1\right) . \tag{D}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again $\log 2 \quad \gamma_{n+k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\log (2 n+2 k)-\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3} \cdots+1 \div \overline{n+k}\right) \\
& =\log \frac{2 n+2 k}{2 n+2 k-1}-\frac{1}{n+k}+\left(\log \frac{2 n+2 k-1}{2 n+2 k-3}-\frac{1}{n+k-1}\right) \cdots \\
& \cdots+\left(\log \frac{5}{3}-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\left(\log \frac{3}{1}-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\log \{1+1 /(2 p)\}-\log \{1-1 /(2 p)\}-1 / p$

$$
=\frac{2}{3(2 p)^{3}}+\frac{2}{5(2 p)^{6}}+\frac{2}{7(2 p)^{7}}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . a d \text { inf. }(c) ;
$$

and the limit of the first terms on the right side is really zero when $k$ is infinite. Therefore, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log 2-\gamma=\frac{2}{3 \cdot 2^{3}}+\frac{2}{5 \cdot 2^{3}}+\frac{2}{7 \cdot 2^{7}}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . a d \text { inf. } \\
& +\frac{2}{3 \cdot 4^{3}}+\frac{2}{5 \cdot 4^{5}}+\frac{2}{7 \cdot 4^{7}}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots n, " \\
& +\frac{2}{3.6^{3}}+\frac{2}{5.6^{5}}+\frac{2}{7.6^{7}}+ \\
& + \\
& =\frac{8_{3}}{3 \cdot 2^{2}}+\frac{8_{5}}{5 \cdot 2^{4}}+\frac{8_{7}}{7 \cdot 2^{6}}+ \tag{E}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, from (c), $\log 3-1=1 / 3 \cdot 2^{2}+1 / 5 \cdot 2^{4}+1 / 7 \cdot 2^{6}+. . . . . . . . . .$. ; so that $\log 2-\gamma-\log 3+1$, or, $1-\log \frac{3}{2}-\gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{s_{3}-1}{3 \cdot 2^{2}}+\frac{s_{6}-1}{5 \cdot 2^{4}}+\frac{s_{7}-1}{7 \cdot 2^{6}}+. \tag{F}
\end{equation*}
$$

Euler employed the formule (B), (E), (F) in calculating * $\gamma$ and Legendre the formula (D). They can be obtained * from the wellknown series for $\log \Gamma(1+x)$,
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{x \pi}{\sin x \pi}-\left(\gamma x+\frac{1}{3} s_{5} x^{3}+\frac{1}{5} s_{5} x^{3}+\ldots\right) \\
& \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{x \pi}{\sin x \pi}-\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+x}{1-x}+c_{2} x-c_{3} x^{3}-c_{5} x^{3}-\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

7. In (10) make $n=1$; then, as $\lambda_{1}=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\lambda=b_{2}\left(g_{9}-1\right)+b_{8}\left(s_{3}-1\right)+b_{4}\left(s_{4}-1\right)+ \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $\$ 5$ expand $\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right)\{\log (p+1) / p\}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{b_{2}}{p^{2}}-\frac{b_{3}}{p^{3}}+\frac{b_{4}}{p^{4}}- \tag{d}
\end{equation*}
$$

and proceed as before; we thus obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\lambda=b_{2} s_{2}-b_{3} \varepsilon_{3}+b_{8} s_{4}-b_{5} s_{5}+. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ( $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ ) and (a) -

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\left(1-b_{2}\right)\left(s_{2}-1\right)+\left(1-b_{3}\right)\left(s_{3}-1\right)+\left(1-b_{4}\right)\left(s_{4}-1\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (d) we get


[^3]and from ( $A_{1}$ ) and ( $B_{1}$ )
$$
2 b_{3} s_{3}+2 b_{5} s_{5}+\ldots=b_{2}+b_{3}+b_{4}+b_{5} \ldots
$$

Thus $2 b_{3}\left(s_{3}-1\right)+2 b_{5}\left(s_{5}-1\right)+2 b_{7}\left(s_{7}-1\right) \ldots$

$$
=b_{2}-b_{3}+b_{4}-b_{5}+\ldots=\frac{3}{2} \log 2-1
$$

Combining with ( $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ ), we obtain $2-2 \lambda-\frac{3}{2} \log 2+1$,

$$
\text { i.e., } 3-\frac{3}{2} \log 2-2 \lambda=2 b_{2}\left(s_{2}-1\right)+2 b_{4}\left(s_{4}-1\right)+2 b_{6}\left(s_{6}-1\right) \ldots \ldots\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)
$$

Substituting here the numerical values of the $b$ 's, we find the right side

$$
=2\left\{\frac{1}{3}\left(s_{2}-1\right)+\frac{1}{5}\left(s_{4}-1\right)+\frac{1}{7}\left(s_{8}-1\right) \ldots\right\}-\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{2}-1\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(s_{4}-1\right) \ldots\right\}
$$

Hence and from (b),

$$
3-\log 2-2 \lambda=\frac{2}{3}\left(s_{2}-1\right)+\frac{2}{5}\left(s_{4}-1\right)+\frac{2}{7}\left(s_{6}-1\right)+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right) .
$$

From ( $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ ) $1-\lambda$

$$
=\frac{s_{2}-1}{3}+\frac{s_{3}-1}{4}+\frac{s_{4}-1}{5} \ldots-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{s_{2}-1}{2}+\frac{8_{3}-1}{3}+\frac{s_{4}-1}{4} \ldots\right) ;
$$

therefore

$$
3-\gamma-2 \lambda=\frac{2}{3}\left(s_{2}-1\right)+\frac{2}{4}\left(s_{3}-1\right)+\frac{2}{5}\left(s_{4}-1\right)+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots\left(\mathrm{D}_{3}\right) .
$$

By Wallis's Theorem $(\pi / 2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the limit* where $m$ is made infinitely large of

$$
\frac{2.4 \ldots 2 m \sqrt{ }(2 m+1)}{3.5 \ldots(2 m+1)}, \text { i.e., of } 2^{2 m}(\underline{\mid m})^{2} \sqrt{ }(2 m+1) \div 2 m+1
$$

Now in this case $e^{\lambda}$ is the limit of $\underline{m} . e^{m} \div m^{m+\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus $(\pi / 2)^{t}$ is the limit of $2^{2 m} \cdot m^{2 m+1} \cdot e^{\lambda+1} \div(2 m+1)^{2 m+1}$, or of $\left(\frac{2 m}{2 m+1}\right)^{2 m} \cdot \frac{m e^{\lambda+1}}{2 m+1}$.

Now the limit of $m /(2 m+1)$ is $\frac{1}{2}$; that of $\{2 m \div(2 m+1)\}^{2 m}$ is
$e^{-1}$. Thus $\sqrt{ }(\pi / 2)=e^{\lambda} / 2$, or $\lambda=\frac{1}{2} \log 2+\frac{1}{2} \log \pi$.

[^4]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Therefore } \lambda-\log 2=\log \sqrt{ }(\pi / 2) \\
& =\log \{\text { lt. of } 2.4 \ldots 2 m \sqrt{ }(2 m+1) \div 3.5 \ldots(2 m+1)\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{lt} \text { tof }\{(2 \log 2-\log 1-\log 3)+(2 \log 4-\log 3-\log 5) \\
& +(2 \log 6-\log 5-\log 7) \ldots+2 \log 2 m-\log (2 m-1)-\log (2 m+1)\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^{4}}+\frac{1}{3.2^{8}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{4^{2}}+\frac{1}{2.4^{4}}+\frac{1}{3 \cdot 4^{6}}+\ldots\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{6^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 \cdot 6^{4}}+\frac{1}{2.6^{6}}+\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \text { ad inf. }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Hence we get $\lambda-\log 2=\frac{s_{2}}{2 \cdot 2^{2}}+\frac{s_{4}}{4 \cdot 2^{4}}+\frac{s_{5}}{6 \cdot 2^{8}}+$ $\qquad$
Also $\log 2-\frac{1}{2} \log 3$, or $\frac{1}{2}(2 \log 2-\log 1-\log 3)$

$$
=\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^{2}}+\frac{1}{4 \cdot 2^{4}}+\frac{1}{6.2^{6}}+\ldots ;
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda-2 \log 2+\frac{1}{2} \log 3=\frac{8_{2}-1}{2 \cdot 2^{2}}+\frac{8_{4}-1}{4 \cdot 2^{4}}+\frac{8_{6}-1}{6 \cdot 2^{6}}+. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equalities $\left(D_{1}\right),\left(E_{1}\right),\left(F_{1}\right),\left(B_{1}\right)$ are closely analogous to (D), (E), (F), (B), and may be employed in calculating $\lambda$ or in fact logr. They can be obtained from the well-known * results-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \Gamma(1-x)=C x+\frac{1}{2} s_{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon_{5} x^{3}+\ldots \\
& \log \Gamma(1+x)=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{x \pi}{\sin x \pi}-\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+x}{1-x}+(1-C) x-\frac{1}{3}\left(s_{3}-1\right) x^{3}-\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

* Ency. Brit., loc. cit.


[^0]:    * Boole, Finite Diff. Ch. V. (Euler-Maclaurin Formula); Todhunter, Integral Calc. Ch. XII.
    $\dagger$ Chrystal, Algebra, Ch. XXX.

[^1]:    *The co-efficients can again be calculated by a simple rule : write down those of the first equality - $1,-\frac{1}{2},+\frac{9}{18},-\frac{285}{46},+\frac{14}{2} 0^{2} t^{5}, \ldots ;$ multiply the first by $\frac{9}{5}$ and subtract from the second; multiply the result by $\frac{98}{4}$ and subtract
    

[^2]:    * As a matter of fact the series up to $n^{8}$ gives in this case a value correct to 18 places ; we are, however, able as shown above to prove that the remaining terms of the series can at most affect the 18th place. For the use of the convergent portion only of series (3), see Boole, Finite Diff. Ch. VIII., and Bromwich, Infinite Series Ch. XI. The latter has proved the approximation to three terms of the series by definite integration in Mess. of Math., Vol. XXXVI., 6,

[^3]:    * See Ency. Brit. ed. IX., s.v. Infinitesimal Calculus (B. Williamson); also Mess. of Math., Vol. I. (G. W. L. Glaisher).

[^4]:    * Chrystal, Algebra, Ch. XXX.

