

## ON POSITIVITY OF FOURIER TRANSFORMS

E.O. TUCK

This note concerns Fourier transforms on the real positive line. In particular, we seek conditions on a real function  $u(x)$  in  $x > 0$ , that ensure that its Fourier-cosine transform  $v(t) = \int_0^\infty u(x) \cos xt dx$  is positive. We prove first that this is so for all  $t > 0$ , if  $u''(x) > 0$  for all  $x > 0$ , that is, that everywhere-convex functions have everywhere-positive Fourier-cosine transforms. We then obtain a complex-plane criterion for some types of non-convex  $u(x)$ . Finally we consider criteria on  $u(x)$  that imply positivity of  $v(t)$  for  $t > t_0$ , for some  $t_0 > 0$ .

### INTRODUCTION

Define for  $t > 0$  the ordinary Fourier-cosine transform

$$(1) \quad v(t) = \int_0^\infty u(x) \cos xt dx$$

with inverse

$$(2) \quad u(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty v(t) \cos xt dt ,$$

with a similar definition for the Fourier-sine transform.

Generally we shall assume here that  $u(x)$  is real and smooth in  $x > 0$  and that the Fourier integral (1) converges. In particular,  $u(x)$  and all of its derivatives are bounded everywhere in  $x > 0$  and tend to zero as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Meanwhile,  $u(x)$  could be bounded at the origin, but more generally could have a weak singularity, with  $xu(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0_+$ , that is,  $u(x)$  grows at a rate less than  $x^{-1}$ . For Fourier-sine transforms, we can allow a stronger singularity at  $x = 0_+$ , with any growth rate less than  $x^{-2}$ . We shall also generalise the results later, to allow even stronger singularities at the origin.

One important class of functions  $u(x)$  is “convex”, that is, such that  $u''(x) > 0$  for all  $x > 0$ , which implies (since  $u'(+\infty) = 0$ ) that  $u'(x) < 0$  and (since  $u(+\infty) = 0$ ) that  $u(x) > 0$ . That is, convex functions possessing Fourier transforms are also decreasing and positive. Such convex functions need not be smooth at  $x = 0$ , indeed not even bounded so long as they are integrable. In particular, they need not (indeed cannot) have all of their

---

Received 29th March, 2006

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/06 \$A2.00+0.00.

odd-order derivatives zero at  $x = 0_+$ , and hence do not extend smoothly as even functions into  $x < 0$ . We shall show that convex functions have everywhere-positive Fourier-cosine transforms. An elementary convex example is  $u(x) = e^{-x}$  with  $v(t) = 1/(1 + t^2) > 0$ .

However, we are more interested here in non-convex functions  $u(x)$  which are bounded, positive and decreasing in  $x > 0$ , which extend smoothly as an even function to the whole real line, that is, all odd-order derivatives vanish at  $x = 0_+$ , and which usually have a single inflection point in  $x > 0$ . Let us call such functions "bell-shaped" functions.

Some bell-shaped functions have positive Fourier transforms, and some don't. Thus compare  $u(x) = 1/(1 + x^2)$ , which has transform  $v(t) = (\pi/2)e^{-t}$ , with  $u(x) = 1/(1 + x^4/4)$ , which has transform  $v(t) = (\pi/2)e^{-t}(\cos t + \sin t)$ . One  $v(t)$  is positive, the other oscillates between positive and negative values, but both  $u(x)$  are bell-shaped and have quite similar graphs. A criterion for discriminating between such bell-shaped functions would be of some value.

#### PROOF OF POSITIVITY FOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Positivity of Fourier-sine transforms is somewhat easier to prove than that of Fourier-cosine transforms. But by integration by parts we have

$$(3) \quad v(t) = -\frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty u'(x) \sin xt \, dx ,$$

given that the assumed convergence requirements ( $u \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $xu(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0_+$ ) eliminate the integrated part. That is, the Fourier-cosine transform of  $u(x)$  is  $-1/t$  times the Fourier-sine transform of its derivative  $u'(x)$ .

Now let us prove that the Fourier-sine transform of a decreasing function  $w(x)$  is positive. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty w(x) \sin xt \, dx &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2\pi j/y}^{2\pi(j+1)/y} w(x) \sin xt \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} w\left(\frac{2\pi j + \theta}{t}\right) \sin \theta \, d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi} \left[ w\left(\frac{2\pi j + \theta}{t}\right) - w\left(\frac{2\pi j + \theta}{t} + \frac{\pi}{t}\right) \right] \sin \theta \, d\theta \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

If  $w(x)$  is a decreasing function for all  $x$ , the quantity in square brackets is positive for all  $t$  and all  $j$ , and so is  $\sin \theta$  in  $(0, \pi)$ ; hence the Fourier-sine transform is positive. This is essentially a simple geometrical result, each negative half-period loop of the sine function contributing less to the sum than the positive half-period loop preceding it.

Now define  $w(x) = -u'(x)$ . Then  $u''(x) > 0$  implies  $w'(x) < 0$  so this  $w(x)$  is a decreasing function. Therefore its Fourier-sine transform is positive, and hence so is the Fourier-cosine transform of  $u(x)$ . Thus we have proved that  $u''(x) > 0$  for all  $x > 0$  guarantees  $v(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ . That is, convex functions have everywhere-positive Fourier-cosine transforms.

However, bell-shaped functions are not convex, and it is doubtful if there is any criterion based solely on behaviour of  $u(x)$  for positive real  $x$ , for positivity of the Fourier-cosine transform of bell-shaped functions. Somewhat reluctantly, we must move into the complex plane.

### COMPLEX DETOURS

Suppose we can continue the function  $u(z)$  into the upper half complex  $z$  plane, and that it is an even analytic function of  $z$ , real on the real axis, satisfying  $\bar{u}(z) = u(\bar{z})$ . Then we can write

$$(5) \quad v(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(z) e^{izt} dz .$$

Now suppose that  $|u(z)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\Re z \rightarrow \pm\infty$  for some range of positive values of the imaginary part of  $z$ , say for  $\Im z < p$ . Then we can shift the path of integration upward, writing  $z = x + ip$  and giving

$$(6) \quad v(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-pt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x + ip) e^{ixt} dx$$

$$(7) \quad = e^{-pt} \int_0^{\infty} [\Re u(x + ip) \cos xt - \Im u(x + ip) \sin xt] dx .$$

Equation (7) expresses  $v(t)$  as the sum of a Fourier cosine and a Fourier sine transform, each multiplied by an exponential decay factor. Hence if  $\Re u(x + ip)$  is convex (and decreasing and positive) and also  $-\Im u(x + ip)$  is decreasing (and positive), then  $v(t)$  is positive for all  $t > 0$ .

An example is  $u(z) = 1/\sqrt{1+z^2}$  where we can take  $p = 1$ . Then  $\Re u(x+i) = R \cos \theta$  and  $-\Im u(x+i) = R \sin \theta$ , where  $R = x^{-1/2}(x^2+4)^{-1/4}$  and  $\tan 2\theta = 2/x$ . These functions have the required properties, which proves that  $v(t)$  is positive for all  $t > 0$ . In fact,  $v(t) = K_0(t)$  is a modified Bessel function [1], which is indeed positive and decays exponentially for large  $t$ .

### NON-INTEGRABLE SINGULARITIES

The above analysis is valid as it stands if  $u(z)$  is integrable along the whole line  $z = x + ip$ , including the case of bounded  $u(z)$ . However, it is of no use for the present purpose if  $u(z)$  is bounded as  $z \rightarrow ip$ , because then evenness of  $u(z)$  necessarily implies

that  $\Im u(ip) = 0$ , so  $-\Im u(x+ip)$  cannot be decreasing and positive for  $x > 0$ . Thus we are only interested in choices of  $p$  such that  $u(z)$  has a singularity at  $z = ip$  on the imaginary axis, and no other singularity closer to the origin. The above example  $u(z) = 1/\sqrt{1+z^2}$  has an (integrable) inverse square root branch point at  $z = i$ .

But what if the nearest singularity is stronger than that? For example,  $u(z) = 1/(1+z^2)$  is not integrable through the simple pole at  $z = i$ , nor is  $u(z) = (1+z^2)^{-\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Nevertheless these happen to be functions with positive Fourier-cosine transforms. We would like to be able to prove that statement using methods like those in the previous section. For the present, we shall only discuss the simple-pole case  $\alpha = 1$ ; although a similar analysis can be performed for stronger singularities, it requires generalisation of the concept of a Fourier transform to non-integrable functions.

Thus we now assume that as  $z \rightarrow ip$  we have

$$(8) \quad u(z) \rightarrow U_0 [i(z - ip)]^{-1}$$

for some real constant  $U_0$ . The example  $u(z) = 1/(1+z^2)$  has  $U_0 = 1/2$ . Note that when (8) holds, only the imaginary part of  $u$  is singular as  $x \rightarrow 0_+$  on the line  $z = x + ip$ , with  $-x\Im u(x+ip) \rightarrow U_0$ , but  $x\Re u(x+ip) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence both Fourier integrals in (7) converge in spite of the non-integrable character of the singularity in  $u(z)$ . Nevertheless we must modify (7) to take account of the pole.

The necessary modification is simply to allow the path of integration to pass below the pole, on a semicircle of vanishingly small radius. The net effect is to add a term proportional to the residue at the pole, so (7) becomes

$$(9) \quad v(t) = e^{-pt} \left[ \int_0^\infty [\Re u(x+ip) \cos xt - \Im u(x+ip) \sin xt] dx \right] + U_0 \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

For example, suppose  $u(z) = 1/(1+z^2)$  and  $p = 1$ . Then

$$(10) \quad v(t) = e^{-t} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^2+4} \cos xt dx + \int_0^\infty \frac{2}{x(x^2+4)} \sin xt dx + \frac{\pi}{4} \right].$$

Since the coefficient of  $\sin xt$  is positive and decreasing, the Fourier-sine integral in (10) is positive. Although the coefficient of  $\cos xt$  is not convex, we no longer need the Fourier-cosine integral to be positive (though it is!), so long as it is overwhelmed by the positive correction term  $\pi/4$ . This is clearly so, since (replacing  $\cos xt$  by  $-1$ ), the Fourier-cosine integral can be seen to be greater than  $-\pi/4$ . Hence  $v(t) > 0$ . Of course, given that we can actually evaluate this  $v(t) = (\pi/2)e^{-t}$  and the other Fourier integrals in (10), this appears a clumsy way to prove something obvious, but is important in principle, in that it does not depend on a knowledge of the exact integrals, so generalises to more complicated functions.

### POSITIVITY ONLY FOR $t > t_0$

In fact, in some applications it is neither necessary nor desirable to insist that  $v(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ , and it may be enough to show that there is a finite  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $v(t) > 0$  for all  $t > t_0$ . Can we find criteria on  $u(x)$  for this to be true, and if so, can we estimate  $t_0$ ? Only preliminary discussions of this generalised task are given here.

Assuming validity of (7), that is, ruling out for the time being non-integrable singularities, on integration of the first term of (7) by parts,  $v(t)$  can be expressed as a single Fourier-sine integral

$$(11) \quad v(t) = e^{-pt} \int_0^\infty F(x; t) \sin xt \, dx$$

where

$$(12) \quad F(x; t) = -\Im u(x + ip) - \frac{1}{t} \frac{d}{dx} \Re u(x + ip)$$

$$(13) \quad = \Re \left[ iu(x + ip) - \frac{1}{t} u'(x + ip) \right].$$

Now if (in any range of  $t$  values) the function  $F(x; t)$  is a decreasing (and positive) function of  $x$  for all  $x > 0$ , then  $v(t)$  is positive for that range of  $t$ . This is true for all  $t$  when the two terms of (12) are both decreasing and positive for all  $x > 0$ , as in the examples already given.

However, suppose it is not true for all  $t$ , but only for  $t > t_0$ , for some  $t_0 > 0$ . Then in particular it must be true for large  $t$ , when the second term of (12) tends to zero, so the first term  $F(x; \infty) = -\Im u(x + ip)$  of (12) must be decreasing and positive for all  $x > 0$ . If the second term was also decreasing and positive for all  $x > 0$ , we would have  $t_0 = 0$  as above, so let us assume that this is not so for some  $x$  values. Then there is still a chance of finding a finite  $t_0$  such that the sum of the two terms of (12) is decreasing and positive for all  $x > 0$ . This will be possible if the second term of (12) is bounded (together with its derivative) in  $x > 0$ , and does not become asymptotically large relative to the first term, either as  $x \rightarrow 0_+$  or as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

For example, consider

$$(14) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin xt - x \cos xt}{1+x^2} \, dx = e^{-t} \operatorname{Ei}(t)$$

where  $\operatorname{Ei}$  is the exponential integral ([1, p. 230]). Now

$$(15) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin xt - x \cos xt}{1+x^2} \, dx = \int_0^\infty F(x; t) \sin xt \, dx$$

where

$$(16) \quad F(x; t) = \frac{1}{1+x^2} + \frac{1}{t} \frac{1-x^2}{(1+x^2)^2}$$

is positive and decreasing for all  $x$  if  $t > t_0 = 1$ . This is a conservative estimate of  $t_0$ , since in fact  $\text{Ei}(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0.37253$ .

There is a potential application to the celebrated Riemann hypothesis [2]. This hypothesis might well be true if  $v(t) = V'(t)^2 - V(t)V''(t)$  could be proved positive for all  $t > t_0$ , where  $V(t) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s)$  is a real-valued scaling of the Riemann zeta function ([1, p. 807]) on its critical line  $s = 1/2 + it$ . Numerical evidence [4] is that this is so with  $t_0 \approx 5.9009$ , but a proof is elusive. The inverse Fourier transform of this  $v(t)$  is the bell-shaped function

$$(17) \quad u(x) = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty y^2 U\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) U\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) dy,$$

where

$$(18) \quad U(x) = -2e^{-x/2} + 4e^{x/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 \pi e^{2x}}$$

is the (also bell-shaped) inverse Fourier transform of the (sign-oscillatory) Riemann function  $V(t)$  [3]. The nearest singularity of  $u(z)$  is at  $z = i\pi/2$ , so we could try  $p = \pi/2$  in the above. However, there also appear to be many other singularities along the line  $z = x + i\pi/2$ , which may or may not be integrable. Further study of  $u(z)$  near that line would seem to be of value.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of mathematical functions, with formulas, graphs and mathematical tables* (Dover Publications, New York, 1964).
- [2] H.M. Edwards, *Riemann's zeta function* (Academic Press, New York, 1974).
- [3] J.M. Hill, 'On some integrals involving functions  $\phi(x)$  such that  $\phi(1/x) = \sqrt{x}\phi(x)$ ', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **309** (2005), 256–270.
- [4] E.O. Tuck, 'When does the first derivative exceed the geometric mean of a function and its second derivative?', *Austral. Math. Soc. Gaz.* **32** (2005), 267–268.

Applied Mathematics  
The University of Adelaide  
Australia 5005