

Eating frequency in relation to BMI in very young children: a longitudinal analysis

Rachael W Taylor^{1,*}, Ella Iosua², Anne-Louise M Heath³, Andrew R Gray², Barry J Taylor⁴, Julie A Lawrence⁴, Maha Hanna⁴, Sonya L Cameron⁴, Rachel Sayers⁴ and Barbara Galland⁴

¹Department of Medicine, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin 9054, New Zealand: ²Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand: ³Department of Human Nutrition, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand: ⁴Department of Women's and Children's Health, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

Submitted 12 September 2016: Final revision received 8 January 2017: Accepted 17 January 2017: First published online 27 February 2017

Abstract

Objective: Eating less frequently is associated with increased obesity risk in older children but data are potentially confounded by reverse causation, where bigger children eat less often in an effort to control their weight. Longitudinal data, particularly in younger children, are scarce. We aimed to determine whether eating frequency (meals and snacks) at 2 years of age is associated with past, current or subsequent BMI.

Design: Cohort analysis of a randomised controlled trial. Eating frequency at 2 years of age was estimated using 48 h diaries that recorded when each child ate meals and snacks (parent-defined) in five-minute blocks. Body length/height and weight were measured at 1, 2 and 3·5 years of age. Linear regression assessed associations between the number of eating occasions and BMI Z-score, before and after adjustment for potential confounding variables.

Setting: Prevention of Overweight in Infancy (POI) study, Dunedin, New Zealand.

Subjects: Children (*n* 371) aged 1–3·5 years.

Results: On average, children ate 5·5 (SD 1·2) times/d at 2 years of age, with most children (88–89%) eating 4–7 times/d. Eating frequency at 2 years was not associated with current (difference in BMI Z-score per additional eating occasion; 95% CI: –0·02; –0·10, 0·05) or subsequent change (0·02; –0·03, 0·06) in BMI. Similarly, BMI at age 1 year did not predict eating frequency at 2 years of age (difference in eating frequency per additional BMI Z-score unit; 95% CI: –0·03; –0·19, 0·13).

Conclusions: Number of eating occasions per day was not associated with BMI in young children in the present study.

Keywords
Eating frequency
Toddler
Infant
BMI
Longitudinal analysis

Despite it seeming counter-intuitive, less frequent eating has been associated with a greater risk of overweight or obesity in children and adolescents^(1,2). However, data are mixed, with numerous studies reporting no association^(3–6) or inconsistent associations across age groups, between sexes and with other behaviours^(7–9). A recent meta-analysis demonstrated that more frequent eating in children and adolescents is associated with a 22% reduction in the odds of overweight or obesity, although this association was significant only in boys when stratified by sex⁽¹⁰⁾. However, a major limitation inherent in much of this cross-sectional work is that reverse causation is possible, particularly in older children. That is, it is just as plausible that overweight adolescents skip meals in an effort to lose weight as it is that reduced eating frequency causes overweight.

Relatively few studies have examined eating frequency in younger children, where meal skipping for weight control would be less likely. Although six cross-sectional studies have included children as young as 3 years of age, two of these involved children up to 11 years of age^(6,11), an age when reverse causation is conceivably an issue. Of the remaining four studies, one reported no difference in risk⁽¹²⁾ and three reported a lower risk of overweight in children aged 3–8 years who were eating more meals per day^(13–15). The risk was approximately halved in these three studies, even after adjustment for several demographic factors and behaviours that could potentially confound the association^(13–15). Only one study appears to have examined eating frequency in children younger than 3 years of age, observing no association between the

number of meals consumed daily and obesity risk in infants and toddlers aged 5 weeks to 2.9 years⁽¹²⁾. Such a wide age range is problematic given marked changes in the intakes of milk and complementary foods that occur from birth through the toddler years.

Although longitudinal studies would more clearly delineate the relationship between eating frequency and obesity, none appear to have been undertaken in young children. Analyses from several large cohorts of adolescent girls have shown that less frequent eating of meals⁽¹⁶⁾ or snacks⁽¹⁷⁾, or fewer total eating occasions⁽¹⁸⁾, is associated with higher BMI over time. However, inconsistency in the measurement and definition of eating frequency is also apparent in the literature⁽¹⁹⁾. For example, many studies restrict analyses to meals because of concerns that snacks are differentially under-reported⁽²⁰⁾. While this approach may be appropriate for older age groups, it seems inappropriate in very young children given the important contribution snacks make to overall energy and nutrient intakes^(21,22).

The aim of the current study was to determine whether eating frequency (meals and snacks) at 2 years of age is associated with past, current or subsequent BMI in children aged 1–3.5 years.

Methods

Data are from the Prevention of Overweight in Infancy (POI) study, a 2-year behavioural intervention designed to limit excessive weight gain during the first 2 years of life (Clinical Trials NCT00892983). The protocol has been presented in detail previously⁽²³⁾ and only relevant information is included here. Ethics approval was obtained from the New Zealand Lower South Regional Ethics Committee (LRS/08/12/063) and the University of Otago Human Ethics Committee (12/274) and written informed consent was obtained from all adult participants.

Women were recruited in late pregnancy from the only maternity hospital serving the city of Dunedin, New Zealand (population approximately 120 000). After exclusion criteria (not living locally, booked into the maternity centre after 34 weeks' gestation, prematurity or congenital abnormality likely to affect feeding or growth), a participation rate of 59% yielded a final sample size of 802 participants. Participants were randomised to control, a sleep intervention, a food and activity intervention, or a combination of both interventions (within strata for parity and family socio-economic status)⁽²³⁾. The sleep group received a brief intervention antenatally and at 3 weeks of age designed to encourage appropriate sleep patterns from birth. The food and activity intervention (from late pregnancy to 18 months of age) provided a lactation consultant and used anticipatory guidance and resources to promote breast-feeding, healthy family eating and use of appropriate parental feeding strategies. No guidance or

information on eating frequency was provided as part of the intervention⁽²⁴⁾. The current analysis concerns data collected when the children were 1, 2 and 3.5 years of age.

All outcome assessments were collected by researchers blinded to group allocation. Information on birth weight was obtained from hospital records. Infant and child weight (Tanita WB-100 MA/WB 110 MA), infant length (Rollameter 100c length board; Harlow Healthcare, UK) and child height (Harpenden Portable Stadiometer; Holtain Ltd, UK) were measured in duplicate following WHO protocols⁽²⁵⁾ at 12 (weight, length), 24 and 42 (weight, height) months of age. BMI (weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in metres) was calculated at each time point and WHO reference data used to calculate BMI Z-scores⁽²⁶⁾. Demographic information was obtained from mothers at baseline (late pregnancy) including date of birth, education, household income, parity, household deprivation⁽²⁷⁾, self-reported pre-pregnancy height and weight, maternal smoking status during pregnancy (yes/no) and maternal ethnicity⁽²⁸⁾. Infant birth weight was determined from hospital records; infant birth date and sex were reported by the mother. Weeks of exclusive breast-feeding (defined as the number of continuous weeks from birth when the infant was given only breast milk) was determined using questionnaire data collected monthly to 27 weeks and at 12 months of age.

Eating frequency at 2 years of age was calculated from a feeding diary (Fig. 1) completed over the course of two full days. Parents recorded when their child ate a meal or snack (the distinction between these was determined by the parent) in five-minute blocks. Frequency information on drinks was also collected, but information on whether the drinks were hypoenergetic (water or artificially sweetened) or not (milk, fruit juice, etc.) was not collected. Meal and snack codes for consecutive five-minute blocks were entered into a spreadsheet with formulas to calculate timing and frequencies of both meals and snacks. To qualify as a separate eating occasion, the start of the next meal or snack had to be more than 15 min after the end of the previous meal or snack (i.e. separated by at least four five-minute blocks)⁽²⁹⁾. Any snacks that were eaten within 15 min of a meal were coded as that same meal and not as a separate snack. The mean total number of eating occasions per day was calculated as the average from meals and snacks combined across the two days.

Statistical analyses

Data were used from both control and intervention groups. The χ^2 test and the *t* test were used for categorical and continuous variables, respectively, to investigate potential discrepancies between participants who provided a diary at 2 years and those who did not. Associations between eating frequency at 2 years of age and BMI Z-score at 2 years ('current' model) and at 3.5 years ('subsequent' model) of age were assessed using unadjusted linear regression analyses and then adjusted for

Table 1 Characteristics of the participants at birth according to completion of a child feeding diary at age 2 years, Prevention of Overweight in Infancy (POI) study, Dunedin, New Zealand

	Total (<i>n</i> 802)		Diary at 2 years (<i>n</i> 371)		No diary at 2 years* (<i>n</i> 431)		<i>P</i> †
	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	
Maternal age (years)							
Mean and <i>sd</i>	31.6	5.2	32.7	4.7	30.6	5.5	<0.001
Missing	1		0		1		
Maternal education							
Year 11 or below‡	62	7.8	17	4.6	45	10.6	<0.001
Year 12 or 13‡	131	16.5	48	13.0	83	19.5	
Post-secondary qualification	116	14.6	46	12.5	70	16.5	
University degree or higher	485	61.1	258	69.9	227	53.4	
Missing	8		2		6		
Maternal ethnicity							
New Zealand European and others	717	89.4	340	91.6	377	87.4	0.018
Māori	46	5.7	12	3.2	34	7.9	
Asian	39	4.9	19	5.1	20	4.6	
Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m ²)							
Mean and <i>sd</i>	25.1	5.0	24.8	5.0	25.3	5.0	0.149
Missing	3		0		3		
Household deprivation§							
Least deprived (1–3)	276	34.8	134	36.7	142	33.1	0.099
Neutral (4–7)	350	44.1	166	45.5	184	42.9	
Most deprived (8–10)	168	21.2	65	17.8	103	24.0	
Missing	8		6		2		
Maternal smoking during pregnancy							
Yes	133	16.9	44	12.1	89	21.1	0.001
No	653	83.1	320	87.9	333	78.9	
Missing	16		7		9		
Infant sex							
Male	411	51.2	196	52.8	215	49.9	0.405
Female	391	48.8	175	47.2	216	50.1	
Infant birth weight (g)							
Mean and <i>sd</i>	3551	480	3560	476	3543	485	0.609
Missing	7		2		5		

Data are presented as *n* and % unless otherwise indicated.

*Of the 431 who did not complete and return a diary at 2 years of age, 324 were active participants, twenty were lost to follow-up, seventy-two had withdrawn from the project, and fifteen had moved out of Dunedin so were only asked to provide data for the main outcomes of the POI study.

†*P* value compares those who did and did not complete a diary.

‡Year 11 or below is about age 15 years; Year 12 or 13 is about age 16 and 17 years.

§NZ Deprivation Index 2006⁽³⁶⁾ is an index of socio-economic deprivation developed by Statistics New Zealand.

The 'past' model determined whether children with higher BMI *Z*-score at 1 year of age displayed a different eating frequency at 2 years. We found no significant relationship between BMI *Z*-score at 1 year of age and eating frequency at 2 years either before (difference in eating frequency per additional BMI *Z*-score unit; 95% CI: -0.06; -0.20, 0.07) or after (-0.03; -0.19, 0.13) adjustment for potential confounding variables.

Figure 2 provides a graphical representation of the three adjusted regression models (past, current, subsequent) described above, illustrating the statistically significant changes in BMI *Z*-score (or number of eating occasions) per unit increase in each independent variable.

Discussion

Eating frequency does not appear to be related to BMI in very young children, either cross-sectionally or longitudinally.

Eating less often at 2 years of age was not associated with higher BMI at the same age or 18 months later. Similarly, BMI does not appear to be related to later eating frequency: children who had a higher BMI at 1 year of age did not have a different eating frequency when they were 2 years of age. Our sample size was large and the confidence intervals for the differences in BMI *Z*-score per additional eating occasion were very narrow, suggesting that either no association exists or any association that does exist is not of practical significance.

Only limited comparisons can be made with the literature given the scarcity of published results at this age. The single study that appears to have examined eating frequency in toddlers also observed no association between the daily number of meals consumed and risk of obesity⁽¹²⁾. That case-control study in 359 young children used a retrospective questionnaire to simply categorise children as consuming more than three meals per day or three or fewer meals⁽¹²⁾. In contrast, we used a more

Table 2 Eating frequency of children at age 2 years (*n* 371), Prevention of Overweight in Infancy (POI) study, Dunedin, New Zealand

	Day 1		Day 2	
	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Number of meals				
0	0	0.0	1	0.3
1	6	1.6	3	0.8
2	51	13.7	46	12.4
3	276	74.4	284	76.5
4	28	7.5	29	7.8
5	7	1.9	7	1.9
6	3	0.8	1	0.3
Mean and SD	3.0	0.7	3.0	0.6
Number of snacks				
0	18	4.9	28	7.5
1	34	9.2	38	10.2
2	142	38.3	140	37.7
3	106	28.6	94	25.3
4	44	11.9	46	12.4
5	19	5.1	17	4.6
6	4	1.1	2	0.5
7	3	0.8	3	0.8
8	1	0.3	3	0.8
Mean and SD	2.6	1.3	2.5	1.4
Total number of eating occasions				
1–2	2	0.5	5	1.3
3	15	4.0	14	3.8
4	45	12.1	54	14.6
5	135	36.4	134	36.1
6	99	26.7	93	25.1
7	48	12.9	49	13.2
8	20	5.4	15	4.0
9 or more	7	1.9	7	1.9
Mean and SD	5.6	1.3	5.5	1.4

Data are presented as *n* and % unless otherwise indicated.

comprehensive 48 h diary collected in 'real time'. Although findings are mixed in older children (3–11 years), several studies do report significantly lower odds of being overweight or obese^(11,14,15) or a lower prevalence of obesity⁽¹³⁾ in children consuming more daily meals. Measurement of eating frequency in these studies varied, ranging from single questions^(13,15) to more detailed 4–7 d diet records^(11,14), although the majority of studies have used questionnaires⁽¹⁰⁾. A recent meta-analysis reported that the odds of being overweight or obese are lower by 22% in children who eat more frequently than their peers⁽¹⁰⁾. However, this association was significant only in boys and both publication bias and significant heterogeneity in findings were apparent, illustrating that further, well-conducted research is warranted⁽¹⁰⁾.

Why eating frequency might be unrelated to BMI in very young children, when a relationship potentially exists in older children, is not clear. However, it may be a result of the extrinsic and intrinsic influences on eating frequency and energy intake that coexist in young children. Current nutrition guidelines advise parents to feed young children small meals and snacks often, because their smaller stomach capacity limits the size of meals at individual sittings⁽³⁰⁾. This advice certainly appears to be being

followed as only 5% of the children in our study were eating fewer than four times daily. At the same time that the frequency with which meals are available is determined externally, by parents, young children are also likely to have good internal energy self-regulation. Young children are considered to be more receptive to hunger and satiety cues than older children and thus to have improved energy self-regulation^(31,32). It is possible, therefore, that in the usual situation when meals are made available with high frequency, the young child eats only what s/he needs – a relatively straightforward process when the next meal is never far away. It is also possible that if meals are offered less frequently, children of this age are less likely to overcompensate by overeating both because they have improved appetite control⁽³³⁾ and because of their smaller stomach capacity.

Strengths of our study include a large sample size, allowing for reasonably precise estimates as indicated by the narrow confidence intervals; and multiple measures of body size over time, allowing investigation of longitudinal associations between eating behaviour and BMI. We also used 48 h diaries to estimate eating frequency, which should theoretically provide a more definitive measure than one obtained from questionnaires asking parents to indicate how many times per day their child 'typically' eats^(10,19). The importance of collecting multiple measurements for eating frequency is illustrated by the relatively low intra-class correlation coefficient observed using the number of eating occasions over the two days in our study even with diaries used. However, both techniques (questionnaire and diary) are limited in that self-report or parental proxy must be used and validation studies determining whether parents can accurately estimate eating frequency in children do not appear to have been undertaken. Although overall study retention was high (87%) at 2 years of age, less than half of POI parents provided a useable two-day diary for these analyses. While the diary itself was not particularly time consuming to complete, it was one of several questionnaires at the 24-month time point, which may have influenced our low collection rate. Participants who completed diaries did differ for some, but certainly not all, demographic variables compared with participants who did not complete them, but these differences may limit wider generalisation. This is particularly important in terms of maternal education, which was quite different across the two groups. A further limitation is that we did not adjust for physical activity; although POI collected accelerometer measures of activity at 24 months of age, sufficient data were available for only 303 participants in total. We decided not to include activity as a potential confounder as it would further reduce the available sample size. Although parental definition of whether each eating occasion was a meal or a snack has been considered a limitation⁽²⁰⁾, our use of the total number of eating occasions (meals and snacks combined) is relevant at this age, given the




Fig. 2 Relationships between eating frequency, BMI Z-score and demographic variables of interest among children (n 371) aged 1–3.5 years, Prevention of Overweight in Infancy (POI) study, Dunedin, New Zealand. Figure indicates the statistically significant changes in BMI Z-score (or number of eating occasions) per unit increase in each independent variable, or for the stated category compared with the reference level, from the regression analyses: * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$. Units/categories for each variable are indicated in parentheses

important contribution that snacks make to energy and nutrient intakes in young children^(21,22). While our study was longitudinal with repeated measures of body size, we only collected diary data to assess eating behaviour at 2 years of age. Additional diary measurements would have allowed us to examine whether changes in eating frequency were associated with changes in relative body weight. Ideally more than two days of real-time, eating frequency data would have been collected. With only two days, the mean may not be particularly reliable and could be an inaccurate reflection of any particular child's true consumption due to within-person variability. This could result in decreased power to detect meaningful effects. However, reliability problems can be overcome with a larger sample and our study did include more than 300 individuals; a mean based on 2.2 d would be sufficient to achieve a reliability of 0.7 which is often considered acceptable. The confidence intervals from the regression models ranged from only -0.10 to 0.05 for differences in BMI per one unit increase in eating frequency in the 'current' model and from -0.03 to 0.06 for the 'subsequent' model. These upper and lower limits are much lower effects than what would be considered of practical or clinical importance. We had no data on parental meal frequency or other family-related factors that may influence children's food behaviours and body weight⁽³⁴⁾. We also had to exclude drinks from the analyses; milk is an important source of nutrients and energy in the diets of 2-year-old children and might

generate additional 'eating occasions' not measured by our study if it was consumed alone (i.e. not in a meal or snack with solid foods). Finally, we collected no data on the foods consumed, energy or diet quality over the two days of diary recording. Recent analyses of the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey demonstrated that higher meal (but not snack) frequency in children aged 6–19 years is associated with greater diet quality⁽³⁵⁾, although no corresponding analyses appear to have been conducted in younger children.

Conclusion

Eating frequency at 2 years of age was not associated with past, current or subsequent BMI in very young children. Associations that are apparent in some studies in older children may be a function of changes in energy self-regulation with increasing age or of eating behaviours in response to weight.

Acknowledgements

Financial support: The POI study was funded by the Health Research Council of New Zealand (grant numbers 08/374, 12/281 and 12/310). R.W.T. is supported by the KPS Fellowship in Early Childhood Obesity. S.L.C. is supported by the University of Otago, Health Sciences Postdoctoral Fellowship. The funders had no role in study

design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. *Conflict of interest:* None. *Authorship:* R.W.T. was the co-principal investigator of the POI study, conceived the idea for this paper, and wrote the first and subsequent drafts of the manuscript. E.I. designed and completed all statistical analyses, was involved in writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions. A.-L.M.H. contributed to study design, was involved in writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions. A.R.G. contributed to study design, was involved in writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions. B.J.T. was the co-principal investigator of the POI study, contributed to study design, was involved in writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions. J.A.L. collected the data, was involved in writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions. M.H. collected the data, was involved in writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions. S.L.C. collected the data, was involved in writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions. R.S. collected the data, was involved in writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions. B.G. contributed to study design, had responsibility for the integrity of the diary data, was involved in writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions. *Ethics of human subject participation:* This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects/patients were approved by the New Zealand Lower South Regional Ethics Committee and the University of Otago Human Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all adult participants.

References

- Koletzko B & Toschke AM (2010) Meal patterns and frequencies: do they affect body weight in children and adolescents? *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **50**, 100–105.
- Mesas AE, Munoz-Pareja M, Lopez-Garcia E *et al.* (2012) Selected eating behaviours and excess body weight: a systematic review. *Obes Rev* **13**, 106–135.
- Nicklas TA, Morales M, Linares A *et al.* (2004) Children's meal patterns have changed over a 21-year period: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *J Am Diet Assoc* **104**, 753–761.
- Panagiotakos DB, Antonogeorgos G, Papadimitriou A *et al.* (2008) Breakfast cereal is associated with a lower prevalence of obesity among 10–12-year-old children: the PANACEA study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* **18**, 606–612.
- Macdiarmid J, Loe J, Craig LCA *et al.* (2009) Meal and snacking patterns of school-aged children in Scotland. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **63**, 1297–1304.
- Murakami K & Livingstone MBE (2014) Associations of eating frequency with adiposity measures, blood lipid profiles and blood pressure in British children and adolescents. *Br J Nutr* **111**, 2176–2183.
- Huang TT, Horwath NC, Lin BH *et al.* (2004) Energy intake and meal portions: associations with BMI percentile in US children. *Obes Res* **12**, 1875–1885.
- Mota J, Fidalgo F, Silva R *et al.* (2008) Relationships between physical activity, obesity and meal frequency in adolescents. *Ann Hum Biol* **35**, 1–10.
- Antonogeorgos G, Panagiotakos DB, Papadimitriou A *et al.* (2012) Breakfast consumption and meal frequency interaction with childhood obesity. *Pediatr Obes* **7**, 65–72.
- Kaisari P, Yannakoulia M & Panagiotakos DB (2013) Eating frequency and overweight and obesity in children and adolescents: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* **131**, 958–967.
- Lioret S, Touvier M, Lafay L *et al.* (2008) Are eating occasions and their energy content related to child overweight and socioeconomic status? *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **16**, 2518–2523.
- He Q, Ding ZY, Fong DYT *et al.* (2000) Risk factors of obesity in preschool children in China: a population-based case-control study. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **24**, 1528–1536.
- Toschke AM, Kuchenhoff H, Koletzko B *et al.* (2005) Meal frequency and child obesity. *Obes Res* **13**, 1932–1938.
- Eloranta A-M, Lindi V, Schwab U *et al.* (2012) Dietary factors associated with overweight and body adiposity in Finnish children aged 6–8 years: the PANIC Study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **36**, 950–955.
- Thibault H, Carriere C, Langevin C *et al.* (2013) Prevalence and factors associated with overweight and obesity in French primary-school children. *Public Health Nutr* **16**, 193–201.
- Franco DL, Striegel-Moore RH, Thompson D *et al.* (2008) The relationship between meal frequency and body mass index in black and white adolescent girls: more is less. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **32**, 23–29.
- Ritchie L (2012) Less frequent eating predicts greater BMI and waist circumference in female adolescents. *Am J Clin Nutr* **95**, 290–296.
- Thompson OM, Ballew C, Resnicow K *et al.* (2006) Dietary pattern as a predictor of change in BMI z-score among girls. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **30**, 176–182.
- Johnson GJ & Anderson GH (2010) Snacking definitions: impact on interpretation of the literature and dietary recommendations. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **50**, 848–871.
- Nicklas TA, Baranowski T, Cullen KW *et al.* (2001) Eating patterns, dietary quality and obesity. *J Am Coll Nutr* **20**, 599–608.
- Jahns L, Siega-Ritz AM & Popkin BM (2001) The increasing prevalence of snacking among US children from 1977 to 1996. *J Pediatr* **138**, 493–498.
- Skinner JD, Ziegler P, Pac S *et al.* (2004) Meal and snack patterns of infants and toddlers. *J Am Diet Assoc* **104**, 65–70.
- Taylor BJ, Heath A-LM, Galland BC *et al.* (2011) Prevention of Overweight in Infancy (POI.nz) study: a randomised controlled trial of sleep, food and activity interventions for preventing overweight from birth. *BMC Public Health* **11**, 942.
- Fangupo LJ, Heath A-L, Williams SM *et al.* (2015) Impact of an early-life intervention on the nutrition behaviors of 2-year old children: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* **102**, 704–712.
- de Onis M, Onyango AW, Van den Broeck J *et al.* (2004) Measurement and standardization protocols for anthropometry used in the construction of a new international growth reference. *Food Nutr Bull* **25**, 1 Suppl., S27–S36.
- de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E *et al.* (2007) Development of a WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. *Bull World Health Organ* **85**, 660–667.
- White P, Gunston J, Salmon C *et al.* (2008) *Atlas of Socioeconomic Deprivation in New Zealand NZDep2006*. Wellington: Ministry of Health.

28. Statistics New Zealand (2004) Statistical standard for ethnicity. <http://www.stats.govt.nz/methods/classifications-and-standards/classification-related-stats-standards/ethnicity.aspx> (accessed June 2014).
29. Berteus Forslund H, Torgerson JS, Sjostrom L *et al.* (2005) Snacking frequency in relation to energy intake and food choices in obese men and women compared to a reference population. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **29**, 11–19.
30. Ministry of Health (2008) Food and nutrition guidelines for healthy infants and toddlers (aged 0–2): a background paper – partially revised December 2012. <http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/food-and-nutrition-guidelines-healthy-infants-and-toddlers-aged-0-2-background-paper-partially> (accessed August 2015).
31. Rolls BJ, Engell D & Birch LL (2000) Serving portion size influences 5-year-old but not 3-year old children's food intakes. *J Am Diet Assoc* **100**, 232–234.
32. Fox MK, Devaney B, Reidy K *et al.* (2006) Relationship between portion size and energy intake among infants and toddlers: evidence of self-regulation. *J Am Diet Assoc* **106**, 1 Suppl. 1, S77–S83.
33. Ventura AK & Birch LL (2008) Does parenting affect children's eating and weight status? *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **5**, 15.
34. Vaughn AE, Ward DS, Fisher JO *et al.* (2016) Fundamental constructs in food parenting practices: a content map to guide future research. *Nutr Rev* **74**, 98–117.
35. Murakami K & Livingstone MBE (2016) Meal and snack frequency in relation to diet quality in US children and adolescents: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2003–2012. *Public Health Nutr* **19**, 1635–1644.
36. Salmund C, Crampton P & Atkinson J (2007) *NZDep2006 Index of Deprivation User's Manual*. Wellington: Department of Public Health.