ON EXPONENTIAL DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS CONTAINING THE EULER QUOTIENT

NOBUHIRO TERAI

(Received 22 February 2014; accepted 24 August 2014; first published online 14 October 2014)

Abstract

Let *a* and *m* be relatively prime positive integers with a > 1 and m > 2. Let $\phi(m)$ be Euler's totient function. The quotient $E_m(a) = (a^{\phi(m)} - 1)/m$ is called the *Euler quotient* of *m* with base *a*. By Euler's theorem, $E_m(a)$ is an integer. In this paper, we consider the Diophantine equation $E_m(a) = x^l$ in integers x > 1, l > 1. We conjecture that this equation has exactly five solutions (a, m, x, l) except for (l, m) = (2, 3), (2, 6), and show that if the equation has solutions, then $m = p^s$ or $m = 2p^s$ with *p* an odd prime and $s \ge 1$.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: primary 11D61.

Keywords and phrases: exponential Diophantine equations, Fermat quotient, Euler quotient, integer solutions.

1. Introduction

Let p be an odd prime and a a positive integer prime to p. The quotient

$$Q_p(a) = \frac{a^{p-1} - 1}{p}$$

is called the *Fermat quotient* of p with base a. By Fermat's little theorem, $Q_p(a)$ is an integer. Lucas [Lu] proved that $Q_p(2)$ is a square only for p = 3 and 7 (see also Dickson [D, Ch. IV, page 106]). To generalise Lucas' theorem, in the previous papers [OT, T], we studied the Diophantine equation

$$Q_p(a) = x^l \tag{1.1}$$

in integers x > 1, l > 1. In particular, we completely solved three cases of (1.1):

$$Q_p(a) = x^2$$
, $Q_p(r) = x^r$, $Q_p(2) = x^l$,

where *r* is an odd prime. Le [Le] showed that if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $p > 4 \cdot 10^{176}$, then equation (1.1) has no solutions with l > 2. Cao [Ca] improved Le's result by showing that if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then (1.1) has no solutions with l > 2. Moreover, Cao [Ca] proved that if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then the equation

$$Q_p(a) = 2^n x^l$$

^{© 2014} Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2014 \$16.00

N. Terai

has only the solution (a, p, n, x, l) = (3, 5, 1, 2, 3) with l > 2 and $n \ge 1$. Kihel and Levesque [KL] also established similar results.

Let *a* and *m* be relatively prime positive integers with a > 1 and m > 2. Let $\phi(m)$ be Euler's totient function. The quotient

$$E_m(a) = \frac{a^{\phi(m)} - 1}{m}$$

is called the *Euler quotient* of *m* with base *a*. (See Agoh *et al*. [ADS] for more on Fermat quotients and Euler quotients.) By Euler's theorem, $E_m(a)$ is an integer. In the case where m = p is an odd prime, we have $E_m(a) = Q_p(a)$.

In this paper, we consider the Diophantine equation

$$E_m(a) = x^l \tag{1.2}$$

in integers x > 1, l > 1. When (l, m) = (2, 3) or (2, 6), (1.2) becomes

$$a^2 - 3x^2 = 1$$
 or $a^2 - 6x^2 = 1$,

respectively. Since the above equations are Pell equations, there are infinitely many positive integer solutions a, x in each case. From now on, the cases

$$(l,m) = (2,3), (2,6)$$

are eliminated as 'exceptional cases'. As an analogue to the results for (1.1) containing Fermat quotients, we propose the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1.1. After eliminating 'exceptional cases' with (l, m) = (2, 3) and (2, 6), (1.2) has only the solutions (a, m, x, l) = (2, 7, 3, 2), (3, 5, 4, 2), (3, 10, 2, 3), (5, 3, 2, 3), (7, 6, 2, 3).

The following theorems are the main results of this paper.

THEOREM 1.2. Suppose that a is even. After eliminating 'exceptional cases' with (l,m) = (2,3) and (2,6), (1.2) has only the solution (a,m,x,l) = (2,7,3,2).

THEOREM 1.3. After eliminating 'exceptional cases' with m = 3 and 6, the Diophantine equation

$$E_m(a) = x^2 \tag{1.3}$$

has only the solutions (a, m, x) = (2, 7, 3), (3, 5, 4).

THEOREM 1.4. Suppose that *m* has at least two odd prime divisors or $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Then (1.2) has no solutions.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorems 1.2–1.4.

COROLLARY 1.5. If (1.2) has solutions, then $m = p^s$ or $m = 2p^s$ with p an odd prime and $s \ge 1$.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we state several lemmas concerning exponential Diophantine equations such as

$$x^{m} - y^{n} = 1$$
, $x^{l} \pm 1 = 2y^{2}$, $x^{2} + 1 = 2y^{l}$,

with m > 1, n > 1 and l > 2. In Sections 3–5 we give the proofs of Theorems 1.2–1.4, respectively. Our method is to reduce equation (1.2) to deep results concerning the above equations due to Mihailescu [M] and Benett and Skinner [BS], by comparing a certain factorisation of $a^{\phi(m)} - 1$ with relatively prime factors and the prime factorisation of *m*. In Section 6, using the results of Cao [Ca], we show that if *m* has no prime divisor *p* of the form $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and l > 2, then (1.2) has only the solution (*a*, *m*, *x*, *l*) = (3, 10, 2, 3).

2. Preliminaries

We use the following lemmas to prove our Theorems 1.2-6.1.

LEMMA 2.1 (Cohn [Co]). The Diophantine equation

$$x^4 - Dy^2 = 1$$
 (D = 5, 10, 15, 30)

has only the positive integer solution (x, y) = (3, 4) if D = 5, (x, y) = (2, 1) if D = 15, and no solutions if D = 10, 30, respectively.

The following result is well known (cf. Nagell [N, Ch. VII, pages 229–230]).

LEMMA 2.2 (Nagell [N]). The Diophantine equation

$$x^4 \pm 1 = 2y^2$$

has no positive integer solutions x, y with xy > 1.

In Lemma 2.3, the case l > 4 follows from [BVY, Theorem 1.5]. Note that the cases l = 3, 4 can be easily solved by Magma [BC].

LEMMA 2.3 (Bennett *et al.* [BVY]). Let *l* be a positive integer with $l \ge 3$. Then the Diophantine equation

$$|x^l - 3y^l| = 2$$

has no integer solutions x, y with |xy| > 1.

The following result resolves Catalan's conjecture, which is one of the famous classical problems in number theory.

LEMMA 2.4 (Mihailescu [M]). Let x, y, m, n be positive integers with x, y, m, n > 1. Then the Diophantine equation

$$x^m - y^n = 1$$

has only the positive integer solution (x, y, m, n) = (3, 2, 2, 3).

LEMMA 2.5 (Bennett and Skinner [BS]). Let *l* be a positive integer with $l \ge 3$.

N. Terai

(i) The Diophantine equation

$$x^l + 1 = 2y^2$$

has only the positive integer solutions (x, y, l) = (1, 1, l), (23, 78, 3).

(ii) *The Diophantine equation*

$$x^l - 1 = 2y^2$$

has only the positive integer solution (x, y, l) = (3, 11, 5).

Lемма 2.6.

(i) (Störmer [S]) The Diophantine equation

$$x^2 + 1 = 2y^l$$

has no solutions in integers x > 1, $y \ge 1$ and $l \text{ odd} \ge 3$.

(ii) (Ljunggren [Lj]) The Diophantine equation

$$x^2 + 1 = 2y^4$$

has only the positive integer solution (x, y) = (1, 1), (239, 13).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let (x, y, z) be a solution of (1.2). Suppose that *a* is even. When m = 3, (1.2) becomes

$$a^2 - 1 = 3x^l.$$

Since *a* is even, we have the following two cases:

$$\begin{cases} a+1 = x_1^l \\ a-1 = 3x_2^l \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} a+1 = 3x_1^l \\ a-1 = x_2^l, \end{cases}$$

where x_1 and x_2 are positive integers with $x = x_1x_2$. Subtracting the two equations in each pair yields

$$|X^l - 3Y^l| = 2,$$

where $X = x_1$, $Y = x_2$ or $X = x_2$, $Y = x_1$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that the above equation has no positive integer solutions with |XY| > 1. We may thus suppose that m > 3.

Write the factorisation of an odd *m* as

$$m=p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2}\cdots p_r^{e_r},$$

where the p_k for $1 \le k \le r$ are distinct odd primes such that $3 \le p_i < p_j$ with $1 \le i < j \le r$ and the e_k for $1 \le k \le r$ are positive integers. Then

$$\phi(m) = p_1^{e_1-1} p_2^{e_2-1} \cdots p_r^{e_r-1} (p_1-1)(p_2-1) \cdots (p_r-1).$$

14

On exponential Diophantine equations containing the Euler quotient

Note that $\phi(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^r}$, and

$$\phi(m)/2^r > 1 \Longleftrightarrow m > 3.$$

Now (1.2) can be written as

$$(A^{2^{r-1}}+1)(A^{2^{r-2}}+1)\cdots(A^2+1)(A+1)(A-1) = mx^l,$$
(3.1)

where A is a power of the form

$$A = a^{\phi(m)/2^r}.$$

Since *a* is even, the factors of the left-hand side of (3.1) are pairwise relatively prime. Furthermore, the number of distinct prime divisors of *m* is *r*, while the number of (relatively prime) factors of the left-hand side of (3.1) is r + 1. We therefore conclude that

$$A^{2^{k}} + 1 = x_0^l \tag{3.2}$$

or

$$A - 1 = x_0^l \tag{3.3}$$

for some integer k with $0 \le k \le r - 1$ and $x_0|x$. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that (3.2) has only the solution $(A, k, x_0, l) = (2^3, 0, 3, 2)$ and (3.3) has no solutions. Consequently we obtain (a, m, x, l) = (2, 7, 3, 2).

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let (x, y, z) be a solution of (1.3). If *a* is even, then it follows from Theorem 1.2 that (1.3) has only the solution (a, m, x) = (2, 7, 3). We may thus suppose that *a* is odd.

We now follow the notation and the line of proof of Theorem 1.2. Since a is odd, either m or x is even. Write the factorisation of m as

$$m = 2^{e_0} p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r},$$

where the p_k for $1 \le k \le r$ are distinct odd primes and e_0 is a nonnegative integer. Note that

$$\phi(m)/2^r > 2 \iff m \neq 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30$$

If m = 5, 10, 15, 30, then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that (1.3) has only the solution (a, m, x) = (3, 5, 4). Since l = 2, the cases m = 3, 6 can be eliminated by our assumption. We may thus suppose that $m \neq 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, 30$. Now (1.3) can be written as

$$(A^{2^{r-1}}+1)(A^{2^{r-2}}+1)\cdots(A^2+1)(A+1)(A-1) = 2^s p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} x_1^2,$$
(4.1)

where *A* is a power of the form $A = a^{\phi(m)/2^r}$ with $\phi(m)/2^r > 2$ and *s* is a positive integer. (Define x_1 by $x = 2x_1$ if *m* is odd, and $x = x_1$ if *m* is even.) Since *a* is odd, the greatest common divisor of the factors of the left-hand side of (4.1) is equal to 2. As in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we therefore conclude that

$$A^{2^{\kappa}} + 1 = 2^{s_0} x_0^2 \tag{4.2}$$

or

16

$$A - 1 = 2^{s_0} x_0^2 \tag{4.3}$$

for some integer k with $0 \le k \le r - 1$, $s_0 = 1, 2$ and $x_0|2x_1$. It follows from Lemmas 2.2, 2.4, 2.5 that (4.2) has only the solution $(A, k, s_0, x_0) = (23^3, 0, 1, 78)$, and (4.3) has only the solution $(A, s_0, x_0) = (3^5, 1, 11)$. But these yield no solutions of (1.3).

5. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let (x, y, z) be a solution of (1.2). By Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we may suppose that *a* is odd and $l \ge 3$. We now follow the notation and the line of the proof of Theorem 1.2.

First consider the case where m has at least two odd prime divisors. Since a is odd, either m or x is even. Write the factorisation of m as

$$m = 2^{e_0} p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r},$$

where the p_k for $1 \le k \le r$ are distinct odd primes and e_0 is a nonnegative integer. Now (1.2) can be written as

$$(A^{2^{r-1}}+1)(A^{2^{r-2}}+1)\cdots(A^2+1)(A+1)(A-1) = 2^s p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} x_1^l,$$

where $A = a^{\phi(m)/2^r}$ and *s* is a positive integer. (Define x_1 by $x = 2x_1$ if *m* is odd, and $x = x_1$ if *m* is even.) Note that for $k \ge 1$ and two odd primes *p*, *q* with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we have

$$A^{2^{k}} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \quad A^{2^{k}} + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}, \quad p - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Using these properties, we conclude that

$$A^{2^k} + 1 = 2x_0^l \tag{5.1}$$

for some integer k with $1 \le k \le r - 1$ and $x_0|x_1$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that (5.1) has no solutions.

Next consider the case where $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. When m = 4, (1.2) becomes

$$a^2 - 1 = 4x^l.$$

This implies that $a + 1 = 2x_1^l$ and $a - 1 = 2x_2^l$, and hence $1 = x_1^l - x_2^l$, which is impossible. Thus, $m = 2^s$ with $s \ge 3$ or $m = 4m_0$ with m_0 odd > 1. Then, as above, (1.2) can be reduced to solving (5.1). Therefore, (1.2) has no solutions.

6. The case where $m = p^s$ or $m = 2p^s$

It follows from Corollary 1.5 that if (1.2) has solutions, then $m = p^s$ or $m = 2p^s$ with p an odd prime and $s \ge 1$.

Suppose that $m = p^s$. Then (1.2) becomes

$$(A^{p^{s-2}} - 1) \cdot \frac{A^{p^{s-1}} - 1}{A^{p^{s-2}} - 1} = p^s x^l$$

with $A = a^{p-1}$. Recall that $gcd(c - 1, (c^p - 1)/(c - 1)) = p$ and $(c^p - 1)/(c - 1) \equiv p \pmod{p^2}$, for an odd prime p and a positive integer c with $c - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $A - 1 \equiv a^{p-1} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ from Fermat's little theorem, we obtain

$$A^{p^{s-2}} - 1 = p^{s-1}x_1^l, \quad \frac{A^{p^{s-1}} - 1}{A^{p^{s-2}} - 1} = px_2^l,$$

with $x_1x_2 = x$. Repeating this, (1.2) with $m = p^s$ can be reduced to solving

$$a^{p-1} - 1 = pu^l \tag{6.1}$$

with $x \equiv 0 \pmod{u}$. Similarly, the case $m = 2p^s$ also yields the equation

$$a^{p-1} - 1 = 2pu^l, (6.2)$$

since $(A^{p^{j}} - 1)/(A^{p^{j-1}} - 1)$ is odd. By the results of Cao [Ca], we see that if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and l > 2, then (6.1) has no solutions, and (6.2) has only the solution (a, p, u, l) = (3, 5, 2, 3). To sum up, we have shown the following result.

THEOREM 6.1. Suppose that *m* has no prime divisor *p* of the form $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and l > 2. Then (1.2) has only the solution (a, m, x, l) = (3, 10, 2, 3).

REMARK 6.2. In general, it is difficult to solve (6.1) and (6.2) when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ (cf. Cao [Ca] and Le [Le]). But for (l, m) = (3, 3), (3, 6), (6.1) and (6.2) can be reduced to the following elliptic curves and so can be easily solved by Magma:

$$E_9: Y^2 = X^3 + 9$$

with X = 3u and Y = 3a, and rank $E_9(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and all integer points on E_9 are $(X, Y) = (-2, \pm 1), (0, \pm 3), (3, \pm 6), (6, \pm 15), (40, \pm 253);$

$$E_{36}: Y^2 = X^3 + 36$$

with X = 6u and Y = 6a, and rank $E_{36}(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and all integer points on E_{36} are $(X, Y) = (-3, \pm 3), (0, \pm 6), (4, \pm 10), (12, \pm 42)$. Consequently, (1.2) with (l, m) = (3, 3), (3, 6) has only the solutions (a, m, x, l) = (5, 3, 2, 3), (7, 6, 2, 3), respectively. These are fourth and fifth solutions listed in Conjecture 1.1.

References

- [ADS] T. Agoh, K. Dilcher and L. Skula, 'Fermat quotients for composite moduli', J. Number Theory 66 (1997), 29–50.
 - [BS] M. A. Bennett and C. Skinner, 'Ternary Diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms', *Canad. J. Math.* **56** (2004), 23–54.
- [BVY] M. A. Bennett, V. Vatsal and S. Yazdani, 'Ternary Diophantine equations of signature (p, p, 3)', Compositio Math. 140 (2004), 1399–1416.
 - [BC] W. Bosma and J. Cannon, Handbook of Magma Functions, Department of Mathematics, University of Sydney, available online at http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/.
 - [Ca] Z. Cao, 'The Diophantine equations $x^4 y^4 = z^p$ and $x^4 1 = dy^q$ ', C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Can. **21** (1999), 23–27.

N. Terai

- [Co] J.H.E. Cohn, 'The Diophantine equations $x^4 Dy^2 = 1$, II', Acta Arith. **78** (1996/1997), 401–403.
- [D] L.E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Vol. I (Chelsea, New York, 1971).
- [KL] O. Kihel and C. Levesque, 'On a few Diophantine equations related to Fermat's last theorem', *Canad. Math. Bull.* 45 (2002), 247–256.
- [Le] M. H. Le, 'A note on the Diophantine equation $x^{p-1} 1 = py^{q}$ ', C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Can. **15** (1993), 121–124.
- [Lj] W. Ljunggren, 'Zur Theorie der Gleichung $x^2 + 1 = Dy^4$ ', Avh. Norske Vid. Akad. Oslo **5** (1942), 1–27.
- [Lu] E. Lucas, *Théorie des nombres* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1891), reprinted (A. Blanchard, Paris, 1961).
- [M] P. Mihilescu, 'Primary cyclotomic units and a proof of Catalan's conjecture', J. reine angew. Math. 572 (2004), 167–195.
- [N] T. Nagell, Introduction to Number Theory, 2nd edn (Chelsea, New York, 1964).
- [OT] H. Osada and N. Terai, 'Generalization of Lucas' Theorem for Fermat's quotient', C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Can. 11 (1989), 115–120.
 - [S] C. Störmer, 'L'équation *m* arctan $1/x + n \arctan 1/y = k\pi/4$ ', *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **27** (1899), 160–170.
 - [T] N. Terai, 'Generalization of Lucas' Theorem for Fermat's quotient II', *Tokyo J. Math.* **13** (1990), 277–287.

NOBUHIRO TERAI, Department of Computer Science and Intelligent Systems, Faculty of Engineering,

Oita University, 700 Dannoharu, Oita 870-1192,

Ona Oniversity, 700 Dannonaru, Ona 870-1

Japan

e-mail: terai-nobuhiro@oita-u.ac.jp