https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043887113000178 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Vol. 65 · No. 3 WORLD POLITICS

July • 2013

WORLD POLITICS

A Quarterly Journal of International Relations

Volume 65, Number 3 July 2013

UNDER THE EDITORIAL SPONSORSHIP OF

PRINCETON INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL AND REGIONAL STUDIES

PUBLISHED BY CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

EDITORIAL BOARD

ATUL KOHLI, Chair

Anna Grzymała-Busse, Torben Iversen, Stathis Kalyvas, Jonathan Kirshner, Michael Mastanduno, Kevin O'Rourke, Jonas Pontusson, Daniel N. Posner, Kenneth A. Schultz, Beth A. Simmons, Edward S. Steinfeld, Michael Tomz, Lily L. Tsai, Nicolas van de Walle, John Waterbury, Daniel Ziblatt

Editorial Committee: Mark R. Beissinger, Thomas J. Christensen, Christina L. Davis, G. John Ikenberry, Amaney A. Jamal, Harold James, Atul Kohli (*Chair*), Douglas S. Massey, Deborah J. Yashar

Associate Editors: Daniela Campello, Rafaela Dancygier, David Leheny, Grigore Pop-Eleches, Kristopher W. Ramsay, Jacob N. Shapiro, Keren Yarhi-Milo

Executive Editor: ILENE P. COHEN Assistant Editor: JOY M. SCHARFSTEIN Office Coordinator: CAROLE FRANTZEN

The editors invite submission of articles, research notes, and review articles bearing upon problems in international relations and comparative politics. Manuscripts and notes should be double-spaced and submitted through the Web-based submission system, Manuscript Central, at http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/wp. Research articles and review articles may be up to 12,500 words in length, including notes and references; research notes may be up to 10,000 words, including notes and references. Tables, figures, and appendixes need not be included in the word count. Word count should be indicated. Manuscripts that exceed the limit *will not be considered.* Guidelines for review articles, guidelines for special issues, tables of contents, and other information can be found the *World Politics* home page, at http://www.princeton.edu/piirs/ worldpolitics-journal.

Authors can expect to receive decisions on their submissions within four months. Procedures for reviewing manuscripts are based on the anonymity of the author and the confidentiality of readers' and editors' reports; author anonymity is preserved, as well, during the editorial decision-making process. Self-references should therefore be removed. Referees are drawn from Princeton and other institutions; published articles have usually been reviewed by at least one editor and two readers from other institutions. Referees for the previous calendar year are acknowledged annually in issue 4 of the journal. In the case of an article deemed to be inappropriate for *World Politics*, the editors strive to notify the author within a month of submission that the article has been withdrawn from consideration.

World Politics does not accept manuscripts that have already been published, are scheduled for publication elsewhere, or have been simultaneously submitted to another journal; this applies to both print and online formats. Statements of fact and opinion appearing in the journal are made on the responsibility of the authors alone and do not imply the endorsement of the editors or publisher. The journal does not publish communications to the editor or rejoinders to specific articles. Scholars who believe they have been challenged are encouraged to submit an article that will advance the scholarly debate.

WORLD POLITICS

Vol. 65

•

July 2013

No. 3

•

CONTENTS

Female Combatants and the Perpetration o	f	
Violence: Wartime Rape in the Sierra Leone Civil War	Dara Kay Cohen	383
Business and Welfare State Development: Why Did Employers Accept Social Reforms?	Thomas Paster	416
Globalizing Electoral Politics: Political Competence and Distributional Bargaining in the European Union	Christina J. Schneider	452
When Can Liberal States Avoid Unwanted Immigration? Self-Limited Sovereignty and Guest Worker Recruitment in	l	
Switzerland and Germany	Antje Ellermann	491
Union Density and Political Strikes	Johannes Lindvall	539
The Contributors		ii
Abstracts		iii

THE CONTRIBUTORS

DARA KAY COHEN is an assistant professor at the John F. Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University. She is currently writing a book on the causes of rape during civil war. She can be reached at *dara_cohen@hks.harvard.edu*.

THOMAS PASTER is a research fellow at the Max Planck Institute for the Study of Societies in Cologne, Germany. He is the author of *The Role of Business in the Development of the Welfare State and Labor Markets in Germany* (2012). He can be reached at *Thomas.Paster@mpifg.de*.

CHRISTINA J. SCHNEIDER is an associate professor of political science at the University of California, San Diego. She studies the interrelationships between international cooperation and distributional conflict on the domestic and international levels with a focus on the European Union and multilateral aid institutions. She is the author of *Conflict, Negotiation, and European Union Enlargement* (2012). She can be reached at *cjschneider@ucsd.edu*.

ANTJE ELLERMANN is an associate professor of political science at the University of British Columbia. She is the author of *States against Migrants: Deportation in Germany and the United States* (2009). She is currently working on a book on the comparative politics of immigration policy in liberal democracies. She can be reached at *antje.ellermann@ubc.ca*.

JOHANNES LINDVALL is an associate professor of political science at Lund University, Sweden. He is the author of *Mass Unemployment and the State* (2010). He can be reached at *johannes*. *lindvall@svet.lu.se*.

ABSTRACTS

Female Combatants and the Perpetration of Violence wartime rape in the sierra leone civil war

By DARA KAY COHEN

Much of the current scholarship on wartime violence, including studies of the combatants themselves, assumes that women are victims and men are perpetrators. However, there is an increasing awareness that women in armed groups may be active fighters who function as more than just cooks, cleaners, and sexual slaves. In this article, the author focuses on the involvement of female fighters in a form of violence that is commonly thought to be perpetrated only by men: the wartime rape of noncombatants. Using original interviews with ex-combatants and newly available survey data, she finds that in the Sierra Leone civil war, female combatants were participants in the widespread conflict-related violence, including gang rape. A growing body of evidence from other conflicts suggests that Sierra Leone is not an anomaly and that women likely engage in conflict-related violence, including sexual violence, more often than is currently believed. Many standard interpretations of wartime rape are undermined by the participation of female perpetrators. To explain the involvement of women in wartime rape, the author argues that women in armed group units face similar pressure to that faced by their male counterparts to participate in gang rape. The study has broad implications for future avenues of research on wartime violence, as well as for policy.

BUSINESS AND WELFARE STATE DEVELOPMENT

WHY DID EMPLOYERS ACCEPT SOCIAL REFORMS?

By THOMAS PASTER

In recent years, employer-centered explanations of welfare state development have begun to challenge conventional labor-centered and state-centered explanations. These new explanations suggest that sector-specific business interests and cross-class alliances propelled the adoption and expansion of social programs (the business interests thesis). This article presents a novel explanation of differences in business support for welfare state expansion based on a diachronic analysis of the German case and shadow case studies of Sweden and the United States. The article suggests that when looking at changes in employers' positions across time rather than across sectors, political constraints turn out to be the central factor explaining variation in employers' support for social reforms (the political accommodation thesis). The article identifies two goals of business intervention in welfare state development: pacification and containment. In the case of pacification, business interests propel social policy expansion; in the case of containment, they constrain it. Business chooses pacification when revolutionary forces challenge capitalism and political stabilization thus becomes a priority. Business chooses containment when reformist forces appear likely to succeed in expanding social protection and no revolutionary challenge exists. The article shows that changes over time in the type of political challenges that business interests confront best explain the variation in business support for labor-friendly social reforms.

GLOBALIZING ELECTORAL POLITICS

POLITICAL COMPETENCE AND DISTRIBUTIONAL BARGAINING IN THE EUROPEAN UNION By CHRISTINA J. SCHNEIDER

This article analyzes electoral cycles in distributional bargaining in the European Union. The author argues that governments attempt to increase their EU membership benefits above average levels in the preelection period, hoping to appear politically competent to voters. The theory discusses when and how EU members can increase these gains before elections through negotiations in the Council of Ministers. A time-series cross-sectional analysis of EU member states' annual budget negotiations from 1977 to 2006 supports the existence of conditional electoral cycles in distributional bargaining and generally points to the importance of accounting for such cycles when analyzing patterns of international cooperation.

WHEN CAN LIBERAL STATES AVOID UNWANTED IMMIGRATION?

SELF-LIMITED SOVEREIGNTY AND GUEST WORKER RECRUITMENT IN SWITZERLAND AND GERMANY

By ANTJE ELLERMANN

Advanced democracies, it is commonly argued, are unable to prevent unwanted immigration because their sovereignty is "self-limited" by virtue of their normative, legal, and economic liberalism. This article challenges this claim by examining a critical test case that is at the heart of self-limited sovereignty arguments: guest worker recruitment in postwar Switzerland and West Germany. The author shows that, contrary to conventional wisdom, the unintended settlement of guest workers was not a universal given but instead was far less extensive in Switzerland than in West Germany. This difference in exposure to unwanted immigration, she argues, was the result of path-dependent processes that can be traced back to the inception of each country's recruitment program. Whereas West German officials made no concerted effort to control settlement until the program's termination, Swiss policy from its beginning was marked by stateenforced worker rotation and the prevention of family unification. To account for these critical differences in policy design, the article argues that each guest worker system was fundamentally shaped by two sets of factors. First, program design varied depending on whether or not political elites could draw policy lessons from past experience with temporary worker programs. Where past recruitment had resulted in unwanted settlement, as had been the case in Switzerland, political elites sought to adopt policy provisions designed to prevent the past from repeating itself. Where past policy failure was absent, as was the case in West Germany, policymakers were less concerned with preempting settlement. Second, recruitment policy reflected the degree to which policymakers were able to operate autonomously from cross-cutting interests. Whereas the West German government could pursue recruitment relatively insulated from both business and popular pressure, Swiss policymakers had to repeatedly accommodate both sets of actors, in the process devising a recruitment system firmly premised on the principle of worker rotation.

UNION DENSITY AND POLITICAL STRIKES

By JOHANNES LINDVALL

Why do trade unions organize antigovernment strikes in some countries but not in others? This article argues that there is a curvilinear relationship between union density and political strike activity. Political strikes are rare in countries with low union density, since effective protests require a basic level of organizational capacity. They are also rare in countries with high union density, since a government that faces a strong union movement has powerful incentives to adjust its policies in order to avoid open confrontation. But political strikes are relatively common in countries with moderate levels of union density, since it is difficult for governments and unions to find viable compromises when the strength of the unions is not secure. The empirical part of the article estimates the relationship between union density and the likelihood of political strikes in two samples of advanced democracies.