# LATTICE ISOMORPHISMS OF LIE ALGEBRAS

#### D. W. BARNES

(received 16 March 1964)

### 1. Introduction

Let L be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over the field F. We denote by  $\mathscr{L}(L)$  the lattice of all subalgebras of L. By a lattice isomorphism (which we abbreviate to  $\mathscr{L}$ -isomorphism) of L onto a Lie algebra M over the same field F, we mean an isomorphism of  $\mathscr{L}(L)$  onto  $\mathscr{L}(M)$ . It is possible for non-isomorphic Lie algebras to be  $\mathscr{L}$ -isomorphic, for example, the algebra of real vectors with product the vector product is  $\mathscr{L}$ -isomorphic to any 2-dimensional Lie algebra over the field of real numbers. Even when the field F is algebraically closed of characteristic 0, the non-nilpotent Lie algebra  $L = \langle a, b_1, \dots, b_r \rangle$  with product defined by  $ab_i = b_i, b_i b_j = 0$  $(i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r)$  is  $\mathscr{L}$ -isomorphic to the abelian algebra of the same dimension<sup>1</sup>. In this paper, we assume throughout that F is algebraically closed of characteristic 0 and are principally concerned with semi-simple algebras. We show that semi-simplicity is preserved under  $\mathscr{L}$ -isomorphism, and that  $\mathscr{L}$ -isomorphic semi-simple Lie algebras are isomorphic.

We write mappings exponentially, thus the image of A under the map  $\varphi$  will be denoted by  $A^{\varphi}$ . If  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are elements of the Lie algebra L, we denote by  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  the subspace of L spanned by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , and denote by  $\langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  the subalgebra generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . For a single element  $a, \langle a \rangle = \langle \langle a \rangle \rangle$ . The product of two elements  $a, b \in L$  will be denoted by ab. We use brackets only for products of more than two elements. Put

 $\ell(L) =$ length of longest chain in  $\mathcal{L}(L)$ d(L) =dimension of L.

Then clearly  $d(L) \ge \ell(L)$ . If L is soluble, then

 $\ell(L) = d(L) =$ length of a composition series of L.

We remark that, if L is insoluble (over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0) then L has a subalgebra isomorphic to the simple algebra

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For some theorems on  $\mathcal{L}$ -isomorphisms between Lie algebras L, M both assumed nilpotent, see Barnes and Wall [1].

 $\mathfrak{A}_1$ . If R is the radical of L, then by Levi's theorem <sup>2</sup>, L has a subalgebra A isomorphic to L/R. A is semi-simple and (since L is insoluble) non-trivial. If  $\alpha$  is a root of A,  $e_{\alpha}$ ,  $e_{-\alpha}$ , eigenvectors for  $\alpha$  and  $-\alpha$  and  $h_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha}e_{-\alpha}$ , then  $\langle h_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha} \rangle$  is a subalgebra isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ .

If  $\langle h \rangle$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  and e is a corresponding eigenvector, then

$$0 < \langle h \rangle < \langle h, e \rangle < \mathfrak{A}_1$$

is a chain of length 3 in  $\mathscr{L}(\mathfrak{A}_1)$ . It follows that, if  $\ell(L) \leq 3$ , we have  $\ell(L) = d(L)$  since L must either be soluble or isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ .

#### 2. The radical

LEMMA 1. L is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  if and only if L has the properties: (i)  $\ell(L) = 3$ 

(ii) There exists H < L,  $\ell(H) = 1$  such that there are exactly two subalgebras A, B < L containing H.

(iii) For every U < A,  $U \neq 0$ , H, there exists V < B such that  $U \cup V = L$ . For every V < B,  $V \neq 0$ , H, there exists U < A such that  $U \cup V = L$ .

The subalgebras H with the above properties are the Cartan subalgebras. The subalgebra A > H has precisely one subalgebra  $E \neq 0$ , A which is not a Cartan subalgebra of L. E is a weight space for the representation of H on L.

PROOF. It is easily verified that if L is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ , then the Cartan subalgebras H of L have the properties (ii) and (iii), and that if E is a 1-dimensional subalgebra of L which is not a Cartan subalgebra, then E is contained in exactly one 2-dimensional subalgebra A, E is the only 1-dimensional subalgebra of A which is not a Cartan subalgebra of L, and E is a weight space for each Cartan subalgebra H < A. Thus to prove the lemma, it is sufficient to prove that (i), (ii), (iii) imply that L is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ .

Since  $\ell(L) = 3$ , we have d(L) = 3. It is sufficient to prove that L' = Las  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  is the only 3-dimensional algebra with this property. L can have no 1-dimensional ideal J since, if such a J existed, we would have either H = Jcontrary to (ii) or we could take A = H+J, U = J contrary to (iii). Thus  $d(L') \ge 2$ . Suppose d(L') = 2. Then L is soluble and, since it has no 1-dimensional ideal, L'' = 0. Since  $A \ne B$ , we can suppose  $A \ne L'$ . But then  $A \cap L'$  is 1-dimensional and is an ideal since it is an ideal in both Aand L'. Therefore L = L'.

COROLLARY 1. If L is  $\mathcal{L}$ -isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ , then L is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ . PROOF. The properties (i), (ii), (iii) are all properties of  $\mathcal{L}(L)$ .

<sup>8</sup> See Jacobson [2], p. 91.

COROLLARY 2. Let  $\varphi : \mathscr{L}(L) \to \mathscr{L}(M)$  be an  $\mathscr{L}$ -isomorphism. If L is soluble, then so is M.

**PROOF.** L has a subalgebra isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  if and only if M has. Thus L is insoluble if and only if M is insoluble.

LEMMA 2. The radical R of L is the intersection of the maximal soluble subalgebras of L.

**PROOF.** Every maximal soluble subalgebra of L contains R. We may therefore work in the algebra L/R and so need only consider the case R = 0.

Let H be a Cartan subalgebra of the semi-simple algebra L. Let  $e_{\alpha}$  be an eigenvector for the root  $\alpha$ . We suppose that the roots have been ordered in the usual manner<sup>3</sup>. Put

$$M = \langle H, e_{\alpha} | \alpha > 0 \rangle,$$
  
$$N = \langle H, e_{\alpha} | \alpha < 0 \rangle.$$

Then M, N are maximal soluble subalgebras of L (the Borel subalgebras) and  $M \cap N = H$ . It is therefore sufficient to prove that the intersection of the Cartan subalgebras of L is 0.

Suppose  $u \in \cap \{H | H \text{ Cartan subalgebra of } L\}$ . If x is a regular element of L, then the Fitting null component  $L_{0,x}$  of the representation of  $\langle x \rangle$  on L is a Cartan subalgebra of  $L^4$ . Since  $x \in L_{0,x}$  and the Cartan subalgebras of a semi-simple algebra are abelian, ux = 0 for all regular x. But the regular elements x are dense in L in the Zariski topology, and so span L. Thus u is in the centre of L and so u = 0.

THEOREM 1. Let L, M be finite dimensional Lie algebras over the algebraically closed field F of characteristic 0. Let  $\phi : \mathcal{L}(L) \to \mathcal{L}(M)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ isomorphism of L onto M, and let R be the radical of L. Then  $\mathbb{R}^{\varphi}$  is the radical of M.

**PROOF.** From Lemma 1 Corollary 2, it follows that  $\phi$  maps maximal soluble subalgebras of L to maximal soluble subalgebras of M. By Lemma 2, this implies that  $R^{\varphi}$  is the radical of M.

#### 3. Semi-simple algebras

We investigate semi-simple algebras by studying the subalgebras generated by the weight spaces for some Cartan subalgebra.

LEMMA 3. Let L be an insoluble algebra of dimension 4. Then  $L = R \oplus S$ (algebra direct sum) where  $R = \langle r \rangle$  is the radical of L and S is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ .

<sup>\*</sup> See Jacobson [2] p. 119.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Jacobson [2], p. 59 Theorem 1.

**PROOF.** L/R is semi-simple of dimension at most 4 and thus must be isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ . Thus the radical R is 1-dimensional and  $R = \langle r \rangle$  for some  $r \in L$ . By Levi's theorem, there exists a subalgebra S < L such that L = R + S and  $R \cap S = 0$ . To prove that  $L = R \oplus S$ , we have to prove that S is an ideal of L.

We can choose a basis h, e, f of S such that he = e, hf = -f, ef = hsince S is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{A}_1$ . Since R is an ideal,  $hr = \alpha r$ ,  $er = \beta r$ ,  $fr = \gamma r$ for some  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma \in F$ . By the Jacobi identity,

$$0 = (re)f + (fr)e + (ef)r = \alpha r$$
  

$$0 = (rh)e + (er)h + (he)r = \beta r$$
  

$$0 = (rf)h + (hr)f + (fh)r = \gamma r$$

and therefore  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ .

LEMMA 4. Let L be a semi-simple algebra and let  $\phi : \mathcal{L}(L) \to \mathcal{L}(M)$ be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -isomorphism. Let H be a Cartan subalgebra of L and let  $L_{\alpha}$  be the weight space of the root  $\alpha$ . Then  $H^{\varphi}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of M and  $L_{\alpha}^{\varphi}$  is the weight space of a root  $\alpha^{\varphi}$  of M.

**PROOF.** Since L is semi-simple,  $L_{\alpha}$  is a 1-dimensional and so is a subalgebra. Thus  $L_{\alpha}^{\phi}$  is defined. There exist  $e_{\alpha}$ ,  $e_{-\alpha}$ ,  $h_{\alpha}$  such that  $L_{\alpha} = \langle e_{\alpha} \rangle$ ,  $L_{-\alpha} = \langle e_{-\alpha} \rangle$ ,  $h_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha} e_{-\alpha} \in H$  and  $h_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha}$ ,  $h_{\alpha} e_{-\alpha} = -e_{-\alpha}$ . By Lemma 1, we need only consider the case d(H) > 1. There exist  $h_1, \dots, h_s$  such that  $h_{\alpha}, h_1, \dots, h_s$  is a basis of H and  $\alpha(h_i) = 0$ .

Put  $K = H^{\varphi}$ ,  $\langle k_i \rangle = \langle h_i \rangle^{\phi}$ . By Lemma 1, we can choose  $k_{a^{\phi}}$ ,  $f_{a^{\phi}}$ ,  $f_{-a^{\phi}}$  such that

$$\langle k_{a \bullet} \rangle = \langle h_a \rangle^{\phi}, \langle f_{a \bullet} \rangle = \langle e_a \rangle^{\phi}, \langle f_{-a \bullet} \rangle = \langle e_{-a} \rangle^{\phi},$$

and

$$k_{a^{\phi}}f_{a^{\phi}} = f_{a^{\phi}}, \quad k_{a^{\phi}}f_{-a^{\phi}} = -f_{-a^{\phi}}, \quad f_{a^{\phi}}f_{-a^{\phi}} = k_{a^{\phi}}.$$

Since  $\langle h_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}, h_i \rangle$  is an insoluble algebra of dimension 4 with radical  $\langle h_i \rangle$ ,  $\langle k_{a\phi}, f_{a\phi}, f_{-a\phi}, h_i \rangle$  is insoluble of dimension 4 with radical  $\langle k_i \rangle$ . By Lemma 3,  $k_i k_{a\phi} = k_i f_{a\phi} = k_i f_{-a\phi} = 0$ . Thus  $k_{a\phi}$  is in the centre of  $K = \langle k_{a\phi}, k_1, \cdots, k_{a} \rangle$ . But the  $k_{a\phi}$  span K and so K is abelian. For all  $k \in K$ ,  $k f_{a\phi} \in \langle f_{a\phi} \rangle$ . Thus  $f_{a\phi}$  is an eigenvector for the representation of K on M. Put  $k f_{a\phi} = \alpha^{\phi}(k) f_{a\phi}$ . Then  $\alpha^{\phi}(k)$  is a weight of the representation of K on M.

Suppose  $y \in N(K) = \{m | m \in M, mK \subseteq K\}$ . Put  $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle^{\phi-1}$ . Then  $x = h + \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}, h \in H, \lambda_{\alpha} \in F$ . For all  $k \in K$ ,  $ky \in K$  and so  $\langle K, y \rangle$  is a subalgebra. Therefore, for all  $h' \in H$ ,

D. W. Barnes

$$\begin{aligned} h'x &= \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} \alpha(h') e_{\alpha} \in \langle H, x \rangle \\ &= \mu x + h'' \quad (\mu \in F, h'' \in H). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\sum \alpha \lambda_{\alpha} \alpha(h') e_{\alpha} = \mu(h') \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$  for all  $h' \in H$ . Suppose  $\lambda_{\alpha_1}, \lambda_{\alpha_2} \neq 0$ . Then  $\alpha_1(h') = \alpha_2(h')$  for all  $h' \in H$ , that is,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ . Therefore  $x = h + \lambda e_{\alpha}$  and  $y = k + \rho f_{\alpha}$  for some  $k \in K$ ,  $\rho \in F$  since

$$y \in \langle x \rangle^{\phi} \leq \langle x, e_{\alpha} \rangle^{\phi} = \langle h \rangle^{\phi} \cup \langle e_{\alpha} \rangle^{\phi}.$$

But  $k_{a^{\phi}}(k+\rho f_{a^{\phi}}) = \rho f_{a^{\phi}}$ . Since  $k_{a^{\phi}} y \in K$ , we must have  $\rho = 0$ . Therefore N(K) = K and K is a Cartan subalgebra of M, the  $\alpha^{\varphi}$  are roots. Since M is semi-simple, the weight spaces  $M_{\phi_{\alpha}}$  corresponding to the roots  $\alpha^{\varphi}$  are 1-dimensional. But  $f_{\alpha^{\phi}} \in M_{\alpha^{\phi}}$  and therefore  $L_{\alpha}^{\phi} = M_{\alpha^{\phi}}$ .

COROLLARY. Let L, M be  $\mathcal{L}$ -isomorphic Lie algebras over the algebraically closed field F of characteristic 0. Then d(L) = d(M).

PROOF. Let  $\phi : \mathcal{L}(L) \to \mathcal{L}(M)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -isomorphism. Let R be the radical of L. Then  $R^{\phi}$  is the radical of M and  $d(R) = d(R^{\phi})$  since  $R, R^{\phi}$  are soluble. Thus we need only consider the case R = 0. Let H be a Cartan subalgebra of L. Then  $H^{\phi}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of M and  $d(H) = d(H^{\phi})$ . To every root  $\alpha$  of L, there corresponds a root  $\alpha^{\phi}$  of M, and the  $\alpha^{\phi}$  are all the roots of M by Lemma 4 applied to  $\phi$  and  $\phi^{-1}$ . This correspondence is one-to-one. Since d(L) = d(H) + 2s where 2s is the number of roots, we have d(L) = d(M).

**THEOREM 2.** Let L, M be  $\mathcal{L}$ -isomorphic Lie algebras over the algebraically closed field F of characteristic 0. Suppose L is semi-simple. Then L and M are isomorphic.

**PROOF.** Let  $\varphi : \mathscr{L}(L) \to \mathscr{L}(M)$  be an  $\mathscr{L}$ -isomorphism. We use the notation of the proof of Lemma 4 for Cartan subalgebras, weight spaces, etc. We have the one-to-one correspondence  $\alpha \leftrightarrow \alpha^{\phi}$  between the roots of L and M by Lemma 4 applied to  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}$ . By a well-known result<sup>5</sup>, it is sufficient to prove for all roots  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  of L that  $(-\alpha)^{\phi} = -(\alpha^{\phi})$ , that  $\alpha + \beta$  is a root of L if and only if  $\alpha^{\phi} + \beta^{\phi}$  is a root of M, and that if  $\alpha + \beta$  is a root of L, then  $(\alpha + \beta)^{\phi} = \alpha^{\phi} + \beta^{\phi}$ .

 $\alpha + \beta = 0$  if and only if  $\langle \langle e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta} \rangle \rangle \cap H \neq 0$ . This property is preserved by  $\mathscr{L}$ -isomorphisms, so  $(-\alpha)^{\phi} = -(\alpha^{\phi})$ . If  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ , then

 $\langle \langle e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta} \rangle \rangle \subseteq \langle e_{\gamma} | \gamma = r\alpha + s\beta$  root of L; r, s non-negative integers  $\rangle$ .

 $\alpha + \beta$  is a root if and only if  $\langle \langle e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta} \rangle \rangle \supset \langle e_{\gamma} \rangle$  for some  $\gamma \neq \alpha, \beta$ . Therefore  $\alpha + \beta$  is a root if and only if  $\alpha^{\phi} + \beta^{\phi}$  is a root.

<sup>5</sup> This is essentially the assertion of [3] p. 11-06, Corollary 2.

474

Suppose  $\alpha + \beta$  is a root.  $\langle e_{\alpha+\beta} \rangle$  is characterised by

(i) 
$$\langle e_{\alpha+\beta} \rangle \subset \langle e_{\alpha} \rangle \cup \langle e_{\beta} \rangle$$
 and  
(ii)  $\langle e_{\alpha+\beta} \rangle \subset \langle e_{\gamma} \rangle \cup \langle e_{\delta} \rangle \subseteq \langle e_{\alpha} \rangle \cup \langle e_{\beta} \rangle, \gamma, \delta \neq \alpha+\beta$ 

implies either  $\gamma = \alpha$ ,  $\delta = \beta$  or  $\gamma = \beta$ ,  $\delta = \alpha$ . Therefore  $(\alpha + \beta)^{\phi} = \alpha^{\phi} + \beta^{\phi}$ .

## References

- [1] Barnes, D. W. and Wall, G. E., On normaliser preserving lattice isomorphisms between nilpotent groups (to appear).
- [2] Jacobson, N., Lie algebras, Interscience Tracts No. 10. New York, 1962.
- [3] Séminaire "Sophus Lie": 1954/55, Théorie des algèbres de Lie, topologie des groupes de Lie (Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris, 1955).

University of Sydney.

[6]