

## Index

- Abbing, Roel Roscam, 184, 189  
Abiri, Gilad, 23  
*Abrams v. United States*, 135–36  
abuse. *See* brokered abuse  
ActivityPub, 130, 178, 181–82  
actual-malice standards, for defamation, 81  
administrative transparency, 116–17  
advertising caps, for newspapers, 275–78  
All Channel Receiver Act, U.S. (1962),  
205  
Alterman, Eric, 137  
alternate financing mechanisms, trust in media  
through, 12  
digital tax structures and, 12  
American Law Institute, 66  
annoyance, through brokered abuse, 123  
anti-abuse laws, 116  
Associated Press (AP), 223–26  
advantages of, 230–32  
competitive advantage of, 224  
hot news doctrine of, 225–26  
*International News Service v. AP*, 225–27, 232,  
236–39  
*Inter-Ocean Publishing Co. v. Associated Press*,  
224  
Stone and, 225–26  
AT&T, as monopoly, 261–62  
audience capture, 18  
*The Aurora*, 39  
Australia  
link tax in, 220, 222–23, 228–29  
critics of, 223  
long-term effects of, 240–41  
News Media and Digital Platforms Mandatory  
Bargaining Code, 220  
newspapers in, 221–23, 230–32  
Murdoch business model for, 233–34  
online classified ad revenues for,  
221–22  
revenue problems for, 221–22  
Bache, Benjamin Franklin, 39  
BACT. *See* best-available control technology  
“bad speech,” 131  
filtering of, 132  
Bambauer, Jane, 6, 18–34. *See also* newsgathering  
behavioral norms, trust in media institutions  
through, 12–15  
demonstrated humility, 14–16  
demonstrative neutrality, 14  
fact-checking, 13  
transparency, 14  
Benkler, Yochai, 9, 20, 226, 235–36  
*Berisha v. Lawson*, 73–75  
Gorsuch dissent in, 88–89  
Berners-Lee, Tim, 228–29  
best-available control technology (BACT),  
144  
Bhagwat, Ashutosh, 5–6, 19–20. *See also*  
gatekeepers  
Biden, Hunter, 44–45, 188–89  
big data, legal responsibility and, 173–75  
“big four,” in social media, 43–44  
boundary-work, in journalism, 60  
boyd, danah, 13–14  
Boyer, Amy, 112, 114  
Bozell, Brent, 137  
Brandeis, Louis (Justice), 46, 227  
Breitbart, 20  
broadcast-regulation cases, 142

- brokered abuse
  - Boyer and, 112, 114
  - in California
    - California Consumer Privacy Act, 118
    - data disclosure restrictions, 120–21
    - stalking restrictions in, 115
  - conceptual approach to, 112
  - data privacy laws, 118
  - First Amendment and, 115–16
  - gatekeeper rights, 117–18
  - Global Privacy Control, 120
  - harms of, 121–25
    - annoyance, 123
    - extrinsic, 122–25
    - intrinsic, 121–22
    - isolation, 122
    - trauma, 123–25
  - liability for conspiracy in, 115
  - regulatory responses to, 114–21
    - anti-abuse laws, 116
    - data collection limitations, 117–19
    - data disclosure restrictions, 119–21
    - mandating transparency, 116–17
    - prohibition of abusive acts, 114–16
    - victims' participation in, 116
  - risk of, 122
  - stalking, 115
    - under California law, 115
    - under Stored Communications Act, 118
  - transparency and, 116–17
    - administrative, 116–17
    - popular, 117
    - soft-touch, 117
    - targeted, 117
  - Youens and, 111, 114
- Buffett, Warren, 231, 265
- Bureau of Justice Statistics, 31–32
- Bureau of Labor Statistics, 31–32
- business and finance models
  - audience capture as element of, 18
  - trust in media through, 12
  - digital tax structures and, 12
- California
  - brokered abuse in
    - California Consumer Privacy Act, 118
    - data disclosure restrictions, 120–21
    - stalking restrictions in, 115
  - stalking in, 115
- California Consumer Privacy Act (2018), 118
- Canan, Penelope, 68–69
- Carey, James, 55
- Carlson, Tucker, 18, 23, 41–42
- Carroll, Erin, 6–7, 16–17, 40
  - on data collection by news media, 10–11
  - definition of news for, 19
- Cass-Gottlieb, Gina, 234
- Castaneda v. Olsher*, 165
- CBS Evening News with Walter Cronkite*, 37–38
- censorship
  - enclosure of information and, 238–40
  - property rights and, 238–40
- Census Bureau, 31–32
- Chan, Sharon, 54
- channel capacity, 133–35
  - by communications medium, 133
  - Shannon on, 133, 135
- Charles, Guy-Uriel, 16–17
- “cheap speech,” 66
  - Gorsuch critique of, 76
  - revolution in, 69
- Cheap Speech Revolution, 69
- Child Sexual Abuse Material (CSAM), 155
- Citizen United* case, 217
- closed protocols, in internet, 179
- CNN, 38
- Code of Ethics (Society of Professional Journalists), 21, 39
- Coe, Peter, 15–16
- Columbia Journalism Review*, 55
- commercial property owners, legal responsibilities for, 174–75
- common-law traditions, defamation laws and, 67, 71, 76–77
- Communications Act, U.S. (1934), 203
- Communications Decency Act, U.S. (1996), 69–70, 92–93, 132, 230
  - content moderation under, 192
  - speech regulation under, 145–46, 148
- community-centered journalism, 48, 54, 56
  - promotion of, 60
  - Solutions Journalism Network, 55
- Community-Centered Journalism* (Wenzel), 54
- confrontation of assumptions, in newsgathering, 27–29
- consensus reality, lack of, 42–43
- constitutional law. *See also* First Amendment
  - defamation laws and, 67–68, 70–71, 87, 97
  - under First Amendment, 64, 67–68
  - Fourteenth Amendment, 67–68
  - Gorsuch on, 72–73
  - Fourteenth Amendment, 67–68
  - subsidized media and, 248–56
  - subsidies as public forum, 248–50
  - trust in media institutions under, 11–12, 15–16
  - First Amendment context, 11–12

- content moderation  
 under Communications Decency Act (Section 230), 192  
 in community guidelines, 150–51  
 on Facebook, 151–52, 188–89  
 conceptual approach to, 150–51, 177–78  
 enforcement mechanisms and rules, 151  
 differences in, 158–59  
 on Facebook, 152–53  
 implementation of, 159  
 transparency in, 159  
 under EU Digital Services Act, 191  
 on Facebook, 151–53  
 Community Standards for, 151–52  
 enforcement mechanisms, 152–53  
 on Fediverse, 184–90  
 benefits of, 186–90  
 content-moderation subsidiarity, 182–83  
 drawbacks of, 186–90  
 with filter bubbles, 189  
 Mastodon project, 184–87, 190–91  
 regulatory policies for, 191–92  
 platform governance and, 129–30  
 policies for  
 differences in, 157–58  
 violations of, 151  
 on Reddit, 155–56  
 for sexual content, 160  
 for Child Sexual Abuse Material, 155  
 on TikTok, 155  
 speech regulation and, 146–47  
 on TikTok, 154–55  
 for Child Sexual Abuse Material, 155  
 on YouTube, 153–54  
 on Zoom, 156–57  
 “Contract with America,” 42  
 Cooper, Kent, 237  
 Corporation for Public Broadcasting (CPB), 201–2  
 Cosby, Bill, 72–73  
 COVID-19 pandemic  
 misinformation about origins of, 45  
 newsgathering during, 19  
 CPB. *See* Corporation for Public Broadcasting  
 Cronkite, Walter, 5–6, 37–38, 44  
 CSAM. *See* Child Sexual Abuse Material  
*Curious City*, 54  
  
*Daily Memphian*, 244  
 data brokers. *See also* brokered abuse  
 as abuse enablers, 125  
 as information traffickers, 112–14  
 accessibility and, 113  
 consumer benefits, 113–14  
 privacy and, 112–13  
 transparency in, 113  
 data collection  
 brokered abuse and, 117–19  
 Carroll on, 10–11  
 by news media organizations, 10–11  
 data disclosure, restrictions on, 119–21  
 data privacy laws, 118  
 data repositories, for newsgathering, 31–32  
 Bureau of Justice Statistics, 31–32  
 Bureau of Labor Statistics, 31–32  
 Census Bureau, 31–32  
 decentralization  
 of Fediverse, 183–84, 187, 190–92  
 of social media, 178–84  
 defamation laws, defamation and actual-malice standards for, 81  
 American Law Institute on, 66  
*Berisha v. Lawson*, 73–75  
 Gorsuch dissent in, 88–89  
 “cheap speech” and, 66  
 Cheap Speech Revolution, 69  
 Gorsuch critique of, 76  
 common law and, 67, 71, 76–77  
 under Communications Decency Act, 69–70, 92–93  
 conceptual approach to, 63–65  
 constitutional law and, 67–68, 70–71, 87, 97  
 under First Amendment, 64, 67–68  
 under Fourteenth Amendment, 67–68  
 Gorsuch on, 72–73  
 decline in lawsuits, 72  
 development of, 64  
 disinformation and, 89–93  
 function of press for, 96–97  
 Gorsuch on crisis of, 97  
 on social media, 90–96  
 Dominion Voting Systems case, 81–82  
 function of press under, 93–97  
 disinformation and, 96–97  
 propaganda feedback loop and, 96–97  
 Gorsuch critique of, 67, 71–84, 89–93  
*Berisha v. Lawson*, 88–89  
 on “cheap speech,” 76  
 through constitutional reform, 72–73  
 on crisis of disinformation, 97  
 on reconsideration of *New York Times v. Sullivan*, 73, 75–76, 85–86  
 high-profile cases, 71–72  
 internet and, 69–70  
 legal costs under, 93  
 libel under, 71  
 limitations of, 80–81  
 Media Law Resource Center data on, 71–72

- defamation laws, defamation and (cont.)  
 methodological approach, 64–65  
*New York Times v. Sullivan*, 67–68, 72–74, 82–83  
 case elements, 86–87  
 Supreme Court reconsideration of, 73, 75–76, 82–83, 85–86  
 privacy laws compared to, 63  
 reform of, 66–67, 89–93  
 critiques of, 67, 71–84  
 future approaches to, 83–84  
 history of, 67–71  
 Restatement of Defamation Law, 66  
 under Restatement (Second) of Torts, 64, 66, 69  
 scope of, 63  
 slander under, 71  
 Smartmatic case, 81–82  
 social media and, 90–96  
 statutory law and, 67, 70–71  
 Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation, 68–69  
*Stratton Oakmont, Inc. v. Prodigy Servs. Co.*, 69–70  
 Thomas critique of, 72–74  
 on reconsideration of *New York Times v. Sullivan*, 73  
 tort law and, 77  
 democracy, public trust in journalism as influence on, 60  
 Democracy Fund, 55  
 demonstrated humility, for media institutions, 14–16  
 demonstrative neutrality, 14  
*Department of the Air Force v. Rose*, 104  
 Depp, Johnny, 72, 78  
*Detroit Free Press v. Department of Justice*, 100–1  
 digital almanacs, 31–32  
 Digital Services Act, EU (2022), 191  
 digital tax structures, 12  
 disclosure. *See* data disclosure  
 disinformation  
 defamation laws and, 89–93  
 function of press with, 96–97  
 Gorsuch on crisis of disinformation, 97  
 on social media, 90–96  
 Disraeli, Isaac, 227  
 distrust  
 as comparative concept, 8  
 as episodic, 8  
*Doe v. McMillan*, 103–4  
 Dominion Voting Systems defamation case, 81–82  
 Donovan, Joan, 13–14  
 Dorsey, Jack, 178, 181. *See also* X  
 Dotson, Taylor, 13  
 ECJ. *See* European Court of Justice  
 Edelson, Laura, 129–30  
 Education Lab, 54  
 educational requirements, for local television news, 200–3  
 Educational Television Facilities Act, U.S. (1962), 201  
*Elements of Journalism*, 21  
*The Elements of Journalism* (Kovach and Rosensteil), 48, 53  
 Ely, John Hart, 11  
 email systems, 164–67  
 employee background checks, as legal responsibility, 173–74  
 enclosure, of information, 226–28  
 censorship and, 238–40  
 hot news doctrine and, 226–27  
 hyperlinks and, 229  
 link tax and, 226–27  
 property rights and, 227–28  
 engagement journalism, 48, 54–56  
 Education Lab, 54  
 Epstein, Richard, 227  
*Estate of Campagna v. Pleasant Point Properties, LLC*, 166–67  
 European Court of Justice (ECJ), privacy laws in, 105  
 extrinsic harms, of brokered abuse, 122–25  
 face surveillance, 122  
 Facebook, 22  
 content moderation policies on, 151–53, 188–89  
 Community Standards for, 151–52  
 enforcement mechanisms, 152–53  
 fact-checking, trust in media institutions through, 13  
 Fairness Doctrine (FCC), 39  
 repeal of, 40–41  
 fair-use rights, 230  
 Faris, Robert, 9  
 Federal Communications Commission (FCC), 37  
 Communications Act and, 203  
 Fairness Doctrine, 39  
 repeal of, 40–41  
 local television news guidelines, 200–1, 203–5  
 Low Power Television Service, 200–1  
 Fediverse  
 ActivityPub, 130, 178, 181–82  
 applications of, 181–84  
 content moderation on, 184–90  
 benefits of, 186–90  
 content-moderation subsidiarity, 182–83  
 drawbacks of, 186–90  
 with filter bubbles, 189

- Mastodon project, 184–87, 190–91
  - regulatory policies for, 191–92
  - decentralization of, 183–84, 187, 190–92
  - definition of, 178
- filter bubbles, content moderation with, 189
- First Amendment, 1
  - brokered abuse and, 115–16
  - Communications Decency Act and, 132
  - defamation laws under, 64, 67–68
  - New York Times v. Sullivan*, 56–57, 64
  - newsgathering and, 25
  - for newspapers, 278–79
  - platform governance and, 129
  - social media and, 44
  - speech regulation under, 136, 138, 142–43
  - subsidized media under, 256–58
  - trust in media and, 11–12
- Florida Star v. B.J.F.*, 109
- FOIA. *See* Freedom of Information Act
- Fox News, 23, 38
  - Dominion Voting Systems defamation case, 81–82
  - political partisanship and, 18, 41
  - Smartmatic defamation case, 81–82
- Frances v. Kings Park Manor, Inc.*, 166
- Franks, Mary Anne, 60
- free speech, theories of, 46
- Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), U.S. (1966), 51–52
- Frydenberg, Josh, 221
  
- Gadja, Amy, 64–65
- gatekeepers, in media
  - brokered abuse by, 117–18
  - conceptual approach to, 35
  - definition and scope of, 35
  - institutional media, 36–38
    - commercial radio, 36
    - newspapers, 36
    - television networks, 36–38
  - new, 42–46
    - lack of consensus reality and, 42–43
    - social media and, 43–45
- newspapers
  - as institutional media, 36
  - objectivity of, 38–39
  - objectivity of, 38–40
    - in newspapers, 38–39
    - Society of Professional Journalists' Code of Ethics, 39
    - in television networks, 39–40
  - old, 36–42
    - collapse of, 40–42
    - overview of, 46
    - political tribalism through, 20
    - public role of, 35
    - social media and, 41
      - as new gatekeeper, 43–45
    - television networks
      - as institutional media, 36–38
      - objectivity of, 39–40
  - Germany, property rights in, 229–30
  - Gieryn, Thomas, 60
  - Giffords, Gabby, 79–80
  - Gill v. New York City Housing Authority*, 166
  - Gingrich, Newt, 42
  - Global Privacy Control, 120
  - “Goldilocks zone,” for newspapers, 243
  - “good speech,” 131
  - Gorsuch, Neil (Justice), 64
    - critique of defamation laws, 67, 71–84, 89–93
      - Berisha v. Lawson*, 88–89
      - on “cheap speech,” 76
      - through constitutional reform, 72–73
      - crisis of disinformation and, 97
      - reconsideration of *New York Times v. Sullivan* and, 73, 75–76, 85–86
  - government speech, 253–56
  - graded prediction tools, for newsgathering, 28–30
  - Grant, Adam, 13
  - Greeley, Horace, 39, 225, 237
  - Gurri, Martin, 24
  
  - Hardin, Russell, 8
  - Hartzog, Woodrow, 121
  - Heard, Amber, 72
  - Hearst, William Randolph, 36, 38–39, 224–25, 237
  - Hirschman, Albert, 183
  - Holmes, Oliver Wendell, 6, 25
    - free speech theory, 46
  - hot news doctrine, 195–96, 220
    - of Associated Press, 225–26
    - enclosure of information and, 226–27
    - property rights and, 236–37
    - Stone on, 227, 232
  - Hotelling theory, 20
  - The Huffington Post*, 231–32
  - humility. *See* demonstrated humility
  - The Huntley-Brinkley Report*, 37
  - hyperlinks
    - enclosure of information and, 229
    - link tax and, 228–29
  - incompetence, of media, perceptions of, 10–11
  - inductive reasoning, trust in media institutions and, 8
  - information asymmetries, 138–39

- information overload, 138. *See also* “too much information”
- information theory, 133–35  
for Shannon, 132–33  
social media and, 132  
“too much information” concept, 132
- information trafficking. *See also* disinformation  
by data brokers, 112–14  
accessibility and, 113  
consumer benefits, 113–14  
privacy and, 112–13  
transparency in, 113
- institutional media. *See also* newspapers; television  
networks; traditional news media; trusted communicators  
future survival of, 195–97  
as gatekeepers, 36–38  
commercial radio, 36  
newspapers, 36  
television networks, 36–38
- institutional performance model, for journalism, 50
- interactive news media, 27  
newsgathering through, 31
- International News Service v. AP*, 225–27, 232, 236–39
- internet  
closed protocols, 179  
defamation laws and, 69–70  
global implications of, 1  
history of, 179–81  
newsgathering through  
as source material, 21  
targeted news feeds, 22  
of true-but-misleading information, 21–23  
newspapers challenged by, 266–67  
open protocols, 179  
early challenges to, 179
- Internet of Things, 171–73
- internet postage, 263–64, 272–75
- Inter-Ocean Publishing Co. v. Associated Press*, 224
- intrinsic harms, of brokered abuse, 121–22
- irresponsibility, legal. *See also* responsibility;  
Reverse Spider-Man principle  
complicity limits and, 167–68  
email systems, 164–67  
landlords, 165–67  
limits on private companies, 168–70  
social media companies, 170  
moral norms and, 169, 175  
telephone and telegraph companies, 163–64
- isolation, through brokered abuse, 122
- Itsovitch v. Whitaker*, 99
- Jarvis, Jeff, 235–36
- Jones, Alex, 23, 72
- Jones, RonNell Andersen, 58–59, 64
- journalism, journalists and, public trust in. *See also specific topics*  
boundary-work in, 60  
community-centered, 48, 54, 56  
promotion of, 60  
Solutions Journalism Network, 55  
defamation laws and, 93–97  
disinformation and, 96–97  
propaganda feedback loop and, 96–97  
definition and purpose of, 50  
deliberation in public squares and, 52–56  
democracy influenced by, 60  
*The Elements of Journalism*, 48, 53  
engagement, 48, 54–56  
engagement, Education Lab, 54  
institutional performance model for, 50  
Marshall Project, 108  
national, 60  
primary purpose of, 50  
privacy right for mug shots and, 107–10  
public interest journalism, 221  
in public surveys, 52–53  
role of law in, 56–59  
under Freedom of Information Act, 51–52  
in state legislatures, 59  
social, 48  
social, as movement, 55  
Society of Professional Journalists and, 21, 39  
Society of Professional Journalists and, Code of Ethics for, 21, 39, 204  
watchdog, 48  
under Freedom of Information Act, 51–52  
*New York Times*, 50  
public trust in, 49–52  
Supreme Court cases and, 49–52  
by *Washington Post*, 50
- Joyce v. York*, 99
- Kadri, Thomas, 65
- Keller, Bill, 231
- Kennedy, Robert F., Jr., 41–42
- King, Martin Luther, Jr., 82
- Klobuchar, Amy, 43
- knowledge. *See* gatekeepers; trusted communicators
- knowledge gaps, 13
- Kovach, Bill, 48, 53

- landlords, 165–67
- law. *See also specific topics*
- role in journalism, 56–59
    - under Freedom of Information Act, 51–52
    - through state legislation, 59
  - trust in media institutions through, 15–16
    - constitutional law, 11–12, 15–16
    - constitutional protections, 15–16
    - purpose of law, 15
- Lee, Laurie Thomas, 195, 247
- libel laws, libel suits and defamation laws and, 71
- goals of, 79
  - New York Times* and, 79–80
  - by state, 86
  - Trump and, 72–73
- Lidsky, Lyriisa, 64
- link tax, 195–96. *See also* hot news doctrine
- in Australia, 220, 222–23, 228–29
    - critics of, 223
    - long-term effects of, 240–41
  - enclosure of information and, 226–27
  - hyperlinks and, 228–29
  - property rights and, 236–38
  - quasi-property and, 228
- listeners' rights, 138
- local journalism, 26
- local television news
- advertiser demand for, 210–15
    - loss of revenues, 214–15
  - All Channel Receiver Act, 205
  - commitment to localism, 203–5
  - consumer demand for, 210–15
  - Corporation for Public Broadcasting and, 201–2
  - under Educational Television Facilities Act, 201
  - FCC guidelines for, 200–1, 203–5
    - Low Power Television Service, 200–1
  - Multichannel Video Programming Distributors, 212, 218
  - National Telecommunications and Information Administration, 201–2
  - newspapers compared to, 199–215
  - Public Broadcasting Act, 201
  - regulation of, 199–207
    - educational requirements, 200–3
    - local requirements, 200–3
    - ownership limits, 203
    - protectionist rules, 205–7
    - public interest requirements, 203–5
  - revenue model for, 215–18
  - under Saving Local News Act, 202, 217
  - success of, 199–215
    - analysis of, 215–18
    - sustainability of, 215
    - technical advantages of, 207–10
- localism
- commitment to, 203–5
  - of subsidized media, 251–52
- Los Angeles Times*, 47, 49
- Low Power Television Service (LPTS), 200–1
- Macauley, Thomas Babington, 227
- Mansoux, Aymeric, 184, 189
- marketplace of ideas concept, speech regulation
- in, 135–36, 148–49
- Marshall Project, 108
- Mastodon project, 184–87, 190–91
- Matzko, Paul, 195–96
- McCarthy, Joseph, 37
- McChesney, Bob, 258–59
- McKee v. Cosby*, 72–73
- media. *See also* subsidized media; *specific topics*
- definition and scope of, 9
  - media law, 1
  - Media Law Resource Center, 71–72
  - Meta (company). *See* Facebook
  - Miami Herald Publishing Co. v. Tornillo*, 56–57
  - microtargeting, trust in media and, 11, 13
  - Mills v. Alabama*, 56
  - mind-switching facts, 28–31
  - Minow, Martha, 15, 26
    - on social media, 15
  - monopolies
    - AT&T as, 261–62
    - newspapers as, 265–69
    - ruinous competition and, 268
  - Moore, Roy, 71–72
  - moral norms, 169, 175
  - mug shots, privacy rights for, 99–107
    - access limitations, 107
    - Department of the Air Force v. Rose*, 104
    - Detroit Free Press v. Department of Justice*, 100–1
    - Doe v. McMillan*, 103–4
    - future approaches to, 108–9
    - Itsovitch v. Whitaker*, 99
    - journalism reforms, 107–10
    - Joyce v. York*, 99
    - Paul v. Davis*, 101, 103
    - public right to know and, 100
    - on Smoking Gun website, 98–99
    - on television shows, 106
    - Times Picayune Publishing Group v. United States Department of Justice*, 102–3
    - United States Department of Justice v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press*, 104

- Multichannel Video Programming Distributors (MVPD), 212, 218
- Murdoch, Rupert, 228–29, 270  
link tax and, 234–36  
newspaper business model, 233–34  
consolidation strategy, 234–36
- Murrow, Edward R., 37, 41–42, 231
- Murrow-Cronkite Effect, 40
- Musk, Elon, 181, 190–91. *See also* X
- MVPD. *See* Multichannel Video Programming Distributors
- The Nation*, 239
- National Endowment for the Arts v. Finley*, 254–56
- National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), 201–2
- Nebraska Press Association v. Stuart*, 51
- Neff, Timothy, 245
- negative speech, 139, 143
- new gatekeepers, 42–46  
lack of consensus reality among, 42–43  
social media and, 43–45
- New York Herald*, 39
- New York Times*, 20, 27, 33, 36  
libel lawsuits against, 79–80  
objectivity goals of, 38–39  
operational losses, 233  
revenue declines for, 231  
on social media, 43
- New York Times Co. v. United States*, 51
- New York Times v. Sullivan*, 56–57, 64  
defamation law and, 67–68, 72–74, 82–83  
case elements, 86–87  
Supreme Court reconsideration of, 73, 75–76, 82–83, 85–86
- New York Tribune*, 39
- news. *See also* local journalism; news media;  
newsgathering; *specific topics*  
definition of, 19
- news consumers, personal choice for news sources, 1
- news deserts, 242
- news media. *See also specific topics*  
audience capture and, 18  
data collection on consumers, 10–11  
as ecosystem, 9  
fragmentation of, 268, 272–73  
interactive, 27  
practices of freedom for, 16–17  
social media as, 9  
social purpose of, 9
- News Media and Digital Platforms Mandatory Bargaining Code, in Australia, 220
- news producers, competition between, 21–22
- newsgathering  
competition between news producers, 21–22  
conceptual approach to, 18  
during COVID-19 pandemic, 19  
data repositories, 31–32  
Bureau of Justice Statistics, 31–32  
Bureau of Labor Statistics, 31–32  
Census Bureau, 31–32  
digital almanacs, 31–32  
*Elements of Journalism*, 21  
First Amendment theory and, 25  
future directions for, 25–26  
Hotelling theory, 20  
human element of, 23–25  
hypertargeting by news organizations, 22  
through interactive media, 31  
through internet  
source material, 21  
targeted news feeds, 22  
of true-but-misleading information, 21–23  
self-guiding tools for, 26–33  
confrontation of assumptions, 27–29  
definition of mind-switching facts, 28–31  
graded prediction tools, 28–30  
infrastructure for, 31–32  
simulations, 30–31  
wagers, 29–30  
with social media, 22  
through targeted news feeds, 22  
by news organizations, 22  
of true-but-misleading information, 18–23  
through internet, 21–23  
proportionality problem, 19–21
- Newspaper Preservation Act, U.S. (1970), 203
- newspapers, as traditional news source. *See also specific newspapers*  
in Australia, 221–23, 230–32  
online classified ad revenues for, 221–22  
revenue problems for, 221–22  
decline of, 198–99  
disruption to gatekeeper status, 1, 198–99  
as gatekeeper  
as institutional media, 36  
objectivity of, 38–39  
“Goldilocks zone” for, 243  
industry response of  
to internet challenges, 266–67  
to news competition, 271–72  
to social media, 269–71  
local television news compared to, 199–215  
as monopoly, 265–69  
under Newspaper Preservation Act, 203  
Society of Professional Journalists’ Code of Ethics, 21, 39



- strategies to save industry, 261–64, 280
  - advertising caps, 275–78
  - First Amendment issues, 278–79
  - internet postage, 263–64, 272–75
- Newton, Kenneth, 50
- Nixon, Richard, 50
- noise-regulation cases, 142
- noisy speech externalities. *See also* speech regulation
  - “bad speech,” 131
    - filtering of, 132
  - channel capacity, 133–35
    - communications medium and, 133
    - Shannon on, 133, 135
  - conceptual approach to, 131–33
  - “good speech,” 131
  - legal responses to, 144–45
    - best-available control technology, 144
    - pollution control, 146
  - negative, 139, 143
  - Posner on, 137, 139
  - signal-to-noise ratio, 132–33
    - command and control rules, 144
    - technical responses to, 143–44
  - social media and, 140
    - X (Twitter), 141, 147–48
- Norris, Pippa, 50
- Norton, Helen, 5–6, 8–17, 40. *See also* trust
- NTIA. *See* National Telecommunications and Information Administration
- Nunes, Devin, 71–72
- objectivity, of gatekeepers, 38–40
  - newspapers, 38–39
  - Society of Professional Journalists’ Code of Ethics, 39
  - television networks, 39–40
- Och, Adolph, 36, 38–39
- old gatekeepers, in media, 36–42. *See also* institutional media; traditional news media
  - collapse of, 40–42
- online classified ad revenues, for Australian newspapers, 221–22
- online criminal arrest information, privacy rights and, 108–9
  - public opinion on, 109
- open protocols, on internet, 179
  - early challenges to, 179
- Overdoing Democracy* (Talisso), 56
- Packingham v. North Carolina*, 57
- Palin, Sarah, 71–72, 79–80
- partisanship, political
  - Fox News and, 18, 41
  - gatekeepers’ role in, 20
  - trust in media influenced by, 9
- Paul v. Davis*, 101, 103
- PeerTube, 182
- Pentagon Papers, 50
- Pickard, Victor, 245
- Pitney, Mahlon, 239
- platform design, trust in media institutions
  - through, 12–15
  - self-interest and, 10–11
- platform governance
  - ActivityPub, 130
  - content moderation and, 129–30
  - Fediverse and, 130
  - First Amendment and, 129
  - Reverse Spider-Man principle, 130
- political partisanship. *See* partisanship
- PolitiFact, 94
- pollution control, 146
- popular transparency, 117
- Posner, Richard, 137, 139
- Postal Service v. Council of Greenburgh Civic*, 278–79
- practices of freedom, 16–17
- the press. *See* journalism; news media; newspapers
- Pring, George, 68–69
- privacy laws, privacy rights and. *See also* mug shots
  - conceptual approach to, 63–65
  - data, 118
  - data brokers and, 112–13
  - defamation laws compared to, 63
  - in European Court of Justice, 105
  - Florida Star v. B.J.F.*, 109
  - methodological approach, 64–65
  - for online criminal arrest information, 108–9
    - public opinion on, 109
  - scope of, 63
  - under Second Restatement of Torts, 105, 108–10
  - “Streisand Effect” and, 116
- private subsidized media, 245–48
  - direct subsidies for, 247–48
- propaganda feedback loop, defamation and, 96–97
- property rights
  - ensorship and, 238–40
  - fair-use rights, 230
  - in Germany, 229–30
  - hot news doctrine and, 236–37
  - information and, 227–28
  - link tax and, 228, 236–38
- proportionality problem, 19–21
- Prosser, William, 66
- Public Broadcasting Act, U.S. (1967), 201

- public forum, subsidized media as  
 constitutionality of, 248–50  
 limitations of public forum, 253  
 localism and, 251–52  
 professionalism of, 252–53  
 quality of, 252–53  
 viewpoint discrimination and, 250–53
- public interest journalism, 221
- public interest requirements, for local television  
 news, 203–5
- public media, subsidized media and, 245–48  
 options for, 246–47
- public right to know, 100
- Pulitzer, Joseph, 36, 38–39
- QAnon conspiracy theory, 42
- quasi-property, 228
- Reddit, content moderation policies on, 155–56
- responsibility, legal  
 big data and, 173–75  
 for businesses, 171–75  
 commercial property owners, 174–75  
 employee background checks, 173–74  
 conceptual approach to, 175–76  
 customer/seller interaction with products,  
 171–73  
 future of, 171–75  
 Internet of Things, 171–73
- Restatement of Defamation Law (American law of  
 Institute), 66
- Restatement (Second) of Torts, U.S. (1977)  
 defamation laws and, 64, 66, 69  
 privacy rights and, 105, 108–10
- Reverse Spider-Man Principle, 161–63. *See also*  
 irresponsibility  
 platform governance and, 130
- The Revolt of the Public* (Gurri), 24
- Roberts, Hal, 9
- Rochko, Eugene, 186
- Roe v. Wade*, 27
- Roosevelt, Franklin Delano, 36, 272
- Rosen, Jay, 55–56
- Rosenberger v. Rector and Visitors of the University  
 of Virginia*, 248–50
- Rosensteil, Tom, 48, 53
- Rozenshtein, Alan, 130
- ruinous competition, 268
- Salk, Jonas, 40
- Sandmann, Nicholas, 78
- Saving Local News Act, U.S. (2021), 202, 217
- Schneier, Bruce, 141
- Schwarlose, Richard, 224
- self-interest, trust in media institutions and,  
 10–11  
 through microtargeting, 11  
 through platform design and interfaces, 10–11
- Selinger, Evan, 121
- sexual content, content moderation for, 160  
 for Child Sexual Abuse Material, 155  
 on TikTok, 155
- Shannon, Claude  
 on channel capacity, 133, 135  
 channel-capacity theorem, 132  
 information theory, 132  
 on social media, 132
- Sheppard v. Maxwell*, 51
- signal-to-noise ratio, 132–33  
 command and control rules, 144  
 technical responses to, 143–44
- Sims, Rod, 234
- simulations, for newsgathering, 30–31
- Skinner-Thompson, Scott, 122
- slander, defamation laws and, 71
- SLAPPs. *See* Strategic Lawsuits Against Public  
 Participation
- Smartmatic defamation case, 81–82
- Smoking Gun website, 98–99
- social journalism, 48  
 as movement, 55
- social media. *See also* content moderation;  
 Fediverse; *specific platforms*  
 “big four” in, 43–44  
 as closed platform, 179–84  
 advantages of, 180  
 disadvantages of, 180–81  
 moderators trilemma, 181  
 as walled gardens, 179–80  
 decentralized, 178–84  
 defamation laws and, 90–96  
 disinformation on, 90–96  
 First Amendment law and, 44  
 as gatekeeper, 41  
 as new gatekeeper, 43–45  
 global implications of, 1  
 information theory and, 132  
 legal limits on, 170  
 Minow on, 15  
 as news source, 9  
 newsgathering through, 22  
 newspapers influenced by, 269–71  
 noisy speech externalities and, 140  
 X (Twitter), 141, 147–48  
 Shannon on, 132
- Society of Professional Journalists, 21, 39, 204
- soft-touch transparency, 117
- Solutions Journalism Network, 55

- speech. *See also* noisy speech externalities
- “bad,” 131
    - filtering of, 132
  - “cheap,” 66
    - Gorsuch critique of, 76
    - revolution in, 69
  - “good,” 131
  - government, 253–56
  - negative, 139, 143
  - speech regulation, of noisy speech externalities, 135–48
    - broadcast-regulation cases, 142
    - under Communications Decency Act (Section 230), 145–46, 148
    - content-moderation technologies, 146–47
    - externalities argument for, 138–40
    - under First Amendment, 136, 138, 142–43
    - information asymmetries, 138–39
    - information overload, 138
    - listeners’ rights idea, 138
    - in marketplace of ideas, 135–36, 148–49
    - noise-regulation cases, 142
    - scarcity issues, 142
    - “too much information” concept and, 136–38
    - Ward v. Rock Against Racism*, 143
  - stalking, restrictions against
    - brokered abuse and, 115
    - in California, 115
  - state capture, subsidized media and, 248–56
  - statutory law, defamation law and, 67, 70–71
  - Stone, Melville, 225–27, 230–32, 236–37. *See also* hot news doctrine
  - Stored Communications Act, U.S. (1986), 118
  - Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (SLAPPs), 68–69
  - Stratton Oakmont, Inc. v. Prodigy Servs. Co.*, 69–70
  - “Streisand Effect,” 116
  - subsidized media
    - conceptual approach to, 242–45
    - constitutionality of, 248–56
      - subsidies as public forum, 248–50
    - design of, 258–60
      - content classification, 258
    - under First Amendment, 256–58
    - government speech and, 253–56
    - news deserts, 242
    - private, 245–48
      - direct subsidies for, 247–48
    - as public forum
      - constitutionality of, 248–50
      - as limited, 253
      - localism and, 251–52
      - professionalism of, 252–53
      - quality of, 252–53
      - viewpoint discrimination and, 250–53
    - public media and, 245–48
      - options for, 246–47
    - state capture and, 248–56
  - Sunstein, Cass, 137
  - Supreme Court, U.S. *See also specific cases*
    - Citizen United* case, 217
    - journalism cases at, 51–52, 58–59
      - Miami Herald Publishing Co. v. Tornillo*, 56–57
      - Mills v. Alabama*, 56
      - Nebraska Press Association v. Stuart*, 51
      - New York Times Co. v. United States*, 51
      - New York Times v. Sullivan*, 56–57, 64, 67–68
      - Packingham v. North Carolina*, 57
      - Sheppard v. Maxwell*, 51
      - watchdog journalism and, 49–52
  - Sweet, Melissa, 235–36
  - Talisse, Robert B., 56
  - Tannen, Deborah, 24
  - targeted news feeds, newsgathering through, 22
    - by news organizations, 22
  - targeted transparency, 117
  - telephone and telegraph companies, 163–64
  - television networks, as traditional news source. *See also* local television news
    - ABC, 37
    - CBS, 37
    - CNN, 38
    - Cronkite and, 5–6, 37–38
    - disruption to gatekeeper status, 1
    - Federal Communications Commission and, 37
      - Fairness Doctrine, 39–41
    - Fox News, 23, 38
      - Dominion Voting Systems defamation case, 81–82
      - political partisanship and, 18, 41
      - Smartmatic defamation case, 81–82
    - as gatekeeper
      - as institutional media, 36–38
      - objectivity of, 39–40
    - The Huntley-Brinkley Report*, 37
    - NBC, 37
      - privacy rights for mug shots, 106
    - Thomas, Clarence (Justice), 64
      - critique of defamation laws, 72–74
      - reconsideration of *New York Times v. Sullivan*, 73
    - TikTok, content moderation policies on, 154–55
      - for Child Sexual Abuse Material, 155
    - Times Picayune Publishing Group v. United States Department of Justice*, 102–3

- Tocqueville, Alexis de, 273
- “too much information,” as concept, 136–38
- information theory and, 132
- tort law, defamation laws and, 77
- traditional news media. *See also* newspapers; television networks; trust
- methodological approach to, 1–2
- transparency
- brokered abuse and, 116–17
- administrative transparency, 116–17
- popular transparency, 117
- soft-touch transparency, 117
- targeted transparency, 117
- in content moderation, 159
- for data brokers, 113
- trust in media institutions through, 14
- trauma, through brokered abuse, 123–25
- true-but-misleading information, 18–23
- through internet, 21–23
- proportionality problem, 19–21
- Trump, Donald, 18, 23, 71–72
- libel law reform under, 72–73
- trust, in media institutions. *See also* distrust; *specific topics*
- through alternate financing mechanisms, 12
- digital tax structures and, 12
- through behavioral norms, 12–15
- demonstrated humility, 14–16
- demonstrative neutrality, 14
- fact-checking, 13
- transparency, 14
- through business and finance models, 12
- digital tax structures and, 12
- as comparative concept, 8
- conceptual approach to, 8–12
- under constitutional law, 11–12, 15–16
- First Amendment context, 11–12
- encouragement of trustworthy behaviors
- through alternate business and financing models, 12
- definition of trustworthiness, 16
- through law, 15–16
- through norms, 12–15
- through platform design, 12–15
- as episodic, 8
- inductive reasoning and, 8
- institutional constraints for, 10
- knowledge gaps and, 13
- through law, 15–16
- constitutional law, 11–12, 15–16
- constitutional protections, 15–16
- purpose of law, 15
- media arrogance and, 11
- microtargeting as factor in, 11, 13
- partisanship as influence on, 9
- perception of incompetence and, 10–11
- through platform design, 12–15
- self-interest through, 10–11
- public decline in, 5–7, 47–48
- self-interest as factor in, 10–11
- through microtargeting, 11
- through platform design and interfaces, 10–11
- trusted communicators, of knowledge. *See also* gatekeepers
- Cronkite as, 5–6, 44
- CBS *Evening News with Walter Cronkite*, 37–38
- Murrow-Cronkite Effect, 40
- Murrow as, 37, 41–42
- Murrow-Cronkite Effect, 40
- role of, 35
- 24-hour cable news industry. *See also* Fox News
- alternative content through, 20
- CNN, 38
- Twitter. *See* X
- United States (U.S.). *See also specific topics*
- All Channel Receiver Act, 205
- Communications Act, 203
- Communications Decency Act, 69–70, 92–93, 132, 230
- content moderation under, 192
- speech regulation under, 145–46, 148
- Educational Television Facilities Act, 201
- National Telecommunications and Information Administration, 201–2
- Newspaper Preservation Act, 203
- Public Broadcasting Act, 201
- Restatement (Second) of Torts, 64, 66, 69, 105, 108–10
- Saving Local News Act, 202, 217
- Stored Communications Act, 118
- United States Department of Justice v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press*, 104
- U.S. *See* United States
- Usher, Nikki, 243
- viewpoint discrimination, 250–53
- Villard, Garrison, 239
- Volokh, Eugene, 130
- Wade, Dean John, 66
- Wadlow, Christopher, 239
- wagers, as newsgathering tool, 29–30
- Wall Street Journal*, 22
- Ward v. Rock Against Racism*, 143
- Warren, Elizabeth, 43
- Washington Post*, on social media, 43

- watchdog journalism, 48
  - under Freedom of Information Act, 51–52
  - by *New York Times*, 50
  - Pentagon Papers, 50
  - public trust in, 49–52
  - in Supreme Court cases, 49–52
  - by *Washington Post*, 50
- Wenzel, Andrea, 54–56, 60. *See also* engagement journalism
- West, Sonja, 58–59
- Williams, Nick, 47, 49
- Woodcock, Ramsi, 196–97, 263
- World Wide Web. *See also* internet
  - hyperlinks and, 228–30
- X (Twitter), 141, 147–48, 188–89
- Yale Free Expression Scholars Conference, 23
- Youens, Liam, 111, 114
- YouTube, content moderation policies on, 153–54
- Zipursky, Benjamin, 69
- Zoom, content moderation policies on, 156–57
- Zuckerberg, Mark, 44–45, 178. *See also* Facebook

