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#### Abstract

If $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are free $R$-modules, then $M \cong F / H$ and $M \cong F^{\prime} / H^{\prime}$ are viewed as equivalent presentations of the $R$-module $M$ if there is an isomorphism $F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ which carries the submodule $H$ onto $H^{\prime}$. We study when presentations of modules of projective dimension 1 over Prüfer domains of finite character are necessarily equivalent.


1. Introduction. Let $R$ denote a commutative domain with 1 ; all $R$-modules are unital. In what follows, rk $M$ will denote the rank and gen $M$ the minimal cardinality of generating systems of the $R$-module $M$.

Let $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ be free $R$-modules, $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ submodules such that $F / H \cong F^{\prime} / H^{\prime}$. We say that $F / H$ and $F^{\prime} / H^{\prime}$ are equivalent presentations of the $R$-module $M \cong F / H$ if there is an isomorphism $\phi: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ which carries $H$ onto $H^{\prime}$.

Needless to say that, in general, there are no compelling reasons for the equivalence of two presentations of a module. Equivalent presentations of torsion-free abelian groups were investigated by J. Erdős [3]; his results were extended to the mixed case by Fuchs [4]. A more relevant study of presentations of abelian groups is due to Hill-Megibben [7]: they succeeded in giving a necessary and sufficient condition for the equivalence of two presentations. One of their numerous corollaries is the stacked bases theorem of Cohen-Gluck [2]. The results of [7] are extended to presentations over arbitrary valuation domains by L. Salce and P. Zanardo [unpublished].

The equivalence of presentations of finitely presented modules was established by Levy [9] and by Brewer-Klingler [1] over Prüfer domains of finite character (finite character means that every non-zero element is contained but in a finite number of maximal ideals) and over Prüfer domains of Krull dimension 1. Note that in the Prüfer case finite presentation is equivalent to finite generation plus having projective dimension $\leq 1$. Accordingly, in the infinitely generated case, it is natural to concentrate on modules of projective dimension $\leq 1$. It turns out that then the problem is still manageable, at least for torsion-free modules, though it is far from being a trivial generalization of the abelian group case. Let us note right away that over Prüfer domains torsion-freeness and flatness are equivalent.

An obvious necessary condition for the equivalence of the presentations $F / H$ and $F^{\prime} / H^{\prime}$ of an $R$-module $M$ is that the ranks satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rk} F=\operatorname{rk} F^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{rk} H=\operatorname{rk} H^{\prime} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Our main purpose here is to show that if $M$ is a flat $R$-module of projective dimension $\leq 1(R$ is a Prüfer domain of finite character), then $(*)$ is a sufficient condition as well; moreover, the equality $\mathrm{rk} H=\mathrm{rk} H^{\prime}$ alone implies that the presentations $F / H$ and $F^{\prime} / H^{\prime}$ are equivalent. (Observe that then $\mathrm{rk} F=\mathrm{rk} F^{\prime}$ is automatically satisfied because of $\mathrm{rk} F=\mathrm{rk} H+\mathrm{rk} F / H=\mathrm{rk} H+\mathrm{rk} M$.) The main idea of the proof is borrowed from Erdős [3]; however, several essential modifications were needed to settle the problem in our case.

If the condition of $M$ being flat is dropped, then we can establish only a sufficient condition for the equivalence of presentations of $M$. A main difficulty in obtaining a necessary and sufficient condition in the more general case lies in the fact that for the Hill-Megibben criterion the unique factorization of the integers seems to be a relevant feature. On the other hand, the hypothesis that the projective dimension of $M$ is $\leq 1$ is needed in order to assure that $H$ is projective-this property plays an essential role in our considerations.

Our results provide an additional evidence to justify our old claim that the behavior of modules of projective dimensions $\leq 1$ over Prüfer domains has a strong resemblance to modules over Dedekind domains (see [5]).
2. Preliminary lemmas. For the proof of our main results, we require a couple of preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 1. If $R$ is a Prüfer domain and $F$ is a projective $R$-module, then every finite rank pure submodule $H$ of $F$ is a summand of $F$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $F$ is a free $R$-module and $H$ is contained in a finitely generated free summand $F^{\prime}$ of $F$. Then the factor module $F^{\prime} / H$ is a finitely generated flat $R$-module, so it is projective. Therefore, $H$ is a summand of $F^{\prime}$ and hence of $F$.

Lemma 2. A projective module of infinite rank over a Prüfer domain of finite character is free.

Proof. This follows at once from Kaplansky [8] and Heitmann-Levy [6].
The next two results are analogs of lemmas on abelian groups due to Erdős [3].
LEMMA 3. A projective pure submodule $H$ of a free $R$-module Fover a Prüfer domain $R$ of finite character contains a summand of $F$ whose rank is the same as the rank of $H$. If $H$ is of infinite rank, then this summand is free.

Proof. If $H$ is of finite rank, then by Lemma 1 it is a summand of $F$, and we are done. So assume $H$ is of infinite rank $\kappa$.

Let $B=\left\{b_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a basis of $F$, and consider finite subsets $B_{i}$ of $B$ such that $\left\langle B_{i}\right\rangle \cap H \neq 0$. Select a maximal pairwise disjoint set $\Sigma$ of such subsets $B_{i}$, and a nonzero $h_{i}$ in each $\left\langle B_{i}\right\rangle \cap H$. Let $\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle_{*}$ denote the pure submodule generated by $h_{i}$, i.e., $\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle_{*} /\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle$ is the torsion submodule of $H /\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle$. Note that $\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle_{*}$ is a summand of $\left\langle B_{i}\right\rangle$, and hence $G=$
$\oplus\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle_{*}$ is a (projective) summand of $F$, and so of $H$. Write $F=\left\langle B_{i} \mid B_{i} \in \Sigma\right\rangle \oplus K$ where $K$ is generated by the basis elements not in any member of $\Sigma$. Now $K \cap H \neq 0$ is impossible, because then the basis elements $b_{\alpha}$ occurring in a linear combination of a non-zero element in this intersection form a finite subset disjoint from every finite subset in $\Sigma$, contradicting the maximality of $\Sigma$. Therefore, $K \cap H=0$. Manifestly, the cardinality of the set of all basis elements $b_{\alpha}$ occurring in members of $\Sigma$ is the same as the cardinality of $\Sigma$. Hence $K \cap H=0$ implies that $\mathrm{rk} G=\operatorname{rk}\left\langle B_{i} \mid B_{i} \in \Sigma\right\rangle=\operatorname{rk} F / K \geq \operatorname{rk} H=\kappa$. Now $G$ is a projective module of infinite rank, so it is free by Lemma 2.

The crucial lemma is the following.
LEMMA 4. Let $F$ be a free module of infinite rank over a Prüfer domain $R$ of finite character, and $H$ a projective pure submodule of $F$. Assume that $S$ is a generating set of $F / H$ whose cardinality is equal to $\operatorname{rk} F$, and $T$ is a subset of $F / H$ disjoint from $S$ satisfying $|T|=|S|$. If $|S|=\mathrm{rk} H$, then $F$ has a basis $B$ which is $\bmod H$ a complete set of representatives of $S \cup T$.

Proof. Suppose $|S|=\operatorname{rk} F=\operatorname{rk} H=\kappa$. In view of Lemma 3, $H$ contains a free summand $G$ of $F$ with rk $G=\kappa$. Choose a basis $Y$ of $G$ and extend it to a basis $C=\left\{b_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $F$. Next, well-order $C$ in such a way that the elements of $Y=C \cap H$ precede the other basis elements in $C$. Moreover, we may assume that the well-ordering is done in such a way that $Y$ has order type $\kappa$.

We are going to change the basis $C$ to get one with the desired property. We use four steps in order to accomplish this goal.

STEP 1. We modify $C$ such that the new basis $C^{\prime}$ will have the property that it contains $Y$ and two elements of $C^{\prime}$ are congruent $\bmod H$ if and only if both belong to $H$.

If a basis element $b_{\beta}$ in $C$ is in the same coset $\bmod H$ as a basis element $b_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha<\beta$ in the well-ordering, then we replace $b_{\beta}$ in the basis $C$ by $b_{\beta}-b_{\gamma}$ with the first $b_{\gamma}$ congruent to $b_{\beta} \bmod H$.

STEP 2. We pass to a new basis $C^{\prime \prime}$ of $F$ which contains $\kappa$ elements of $Y$ and every element of $S$ is represented by exactly one basis element in $C^{\prime \prime}$.

Consider a set $S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{\rho}\right\}(\rho<\lambda \leq \kappa)$ of representatives of elements of $S$ which have no representatives in the basis $C^{\prime}$. If $S^{\prime}$ is empty, there is nothing to do. If it is not empty, then we proceed as follows. Without loss of generality we may assume that the representatives $s_{\rho} \in S^{\prime}$ have been selected such that in their representations as linear combinations of the basis elements in $C^{\prime}$ no basis element from $Y$ occurs. We split $Y$ into two disjoint subsets: $Y=Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$ such that $\left|Y_{1}\right|=\kappa$ and there is a bijection $f: Y_{2} \longrightarrow S^{\prime}$. Using $f$, the basis elements $b_{\rho} \in Y_{2}$ are replaced by $b_{\rho}+f\left(b_{\rho}\right)$.

STEP 3. We find a new basis $B^{\prime}$ with the property that every element of $S$ is represented by exactly one basis element in $B^{\prime}$, and all the other basis elements in $B^{\prime}$ (exactly $\kappa$ of them) belong to $H$.

We concentrate on those basis elements $b_{\alpha} \in C^{\prime \prime}$ which do not belong either to $H$ or to a coset in $S$. Since $S$ generates $F \bmod H$, to every $b_{\alpha} \in C^{\prime \prime}$ there is at least one
linear combination $x_{\alpha}$ of the basis elements in $C^{\prime \prime}$ representing elements of $S$ such that $b_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha} \in H$. For each $b_{\alpha} \in C^{\prime \prime}$ which is not in $H$ or in a coset of $S$, select such an $x_{\alpha}$ and replace $b_{\alpha}$ in $C^{\prime \prime}$ by $b_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha}$.

Step 4. Finally, we obtain a new basis $B$ of $F$ which is $\bmod H$ a complete set of representatives of $S \cup T$.

We focus our attention on the set $T$. For each coset in $T$ choose a representative $v_{\beta} \in F$, expressed in terms of basis elements in $B^{\prime}$ representing cosets in $S$. Owing to $|T|=\kappa=$ $\left|B^{\prime} \cap H\right|$, there is a bijection between the elements $\left\{b_{\beta}\right\}$ of $B^{\prime}$ not representing elements of $S$ and the set $\left\{v_{\beta}+H\right\}$ of cosets (where we have the corresponding elements carrying the same indices). If in the basis $B^{\prime}$, the element $b_{\beta}$ of $B^{\prime}$ is replaced by $b_{\beta}+v_{\beta}$, then we arrive at a basis with the desired properties.

This completes the proof.
It is worth while observing that the set $S \cup T$ generates the module $F / H$, thus under the hypotheses of Lemma 4, $F$ has a basis whose elements are incongruent $\bmod H$.

In some cases the condition stated in the preceding lemma is automatically satisfied. Indeed, we can verify the following simple fact valid over any domain $R$; this was proved by Hill-Megibben [7, Corollary 1.3] for abelian groups:

LEMMA 5. If $M \cong F / H$ is a presentation of an $R$-module $M$ such that $\operatorname{rk} F>$ gen $M \geq \aleph_{0}$, then the submodule $H$ of $F$ contains a summand $G$ of $F$ with $\mathrm{rk} G=\mathrm{rk} F$.

Proof. Let $\phi: F \rightarrow M$ be the canonical epimorphism (with kernel $H$ ). Evidently, there is a summand $F_{1}$ of $F$ with $\operatorname{rk} F_{1}=$ gen $M$ which is mapped by $\phi$ onto $M$. Write $F=F_{1} \oplus F_{2}$ and denote the restriction of $\phi$ to $F_{j}$ by $\phi_{j}(j=1,2)$. As $\phi_{1}$ is surjective and $F_{2}$ is projective, there is a map $\rho: F_{2} \rightarrow F_{1}$ such that $\phi_{2}=\phi_{1} \rho$. Then $G=\left\{x-\rho x \mid x \in F_{2}\right\}$ is a complement of $F_{1}$ in $F$ contained in $H$ whose rank is necessarily equal to $\mathrm{rk} F$.
3. The main result. We are now ready to verify our main result which we have already mentioned in the Introduction.

THEOREM 6. Let $R$ be a Prüfer domain of finite character, and $F, F^{\prime}$ free $R$-modules. Two presentations, $F / H$ and $F^{\prime} / H^{\prime}$, of a flat (i.e. torsion-free) $R$-module $M$ of projective dimension $\leq 1$ are equivalent if and only if

$$
\mathrm{rk} H=\mathrm{rk} H^{\prime} .
$$

Proof. Only sufficiency requires a proof. Suppose rk $H=$ rk $H^{\prime}$; as already noted above, this implies $\mathrm{rk} F=\mathrm{rk} F^{\prime}$. Actually, we are going to prove a bit more than stated, viz. we will show that every isomorphism

$$
\psi: M=F / H \rightarrow F^{\prime} / H^{\prime}=M^{\prime}
$$

is induced by an isomorphism

$$
\phi: F \rightarrow F^{\prime} \quad \text { with } \quad \phi(H)=H^{\prime} .
$$

Note that the submodules $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ are pure (since $M$ is flat and $R$ is Prüfer) and projective (since p.d. $M \leq 1$ ). Hence if $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ are of finite rank, then by Lemma 1 they are summands of $F$ and $F^{\prime}$, respectively. In this case $M$ is projective, and the equivalence of the two presentations of $M$ is obvious. Hence, in the balance of the proof we may suppose that $\operatorname{rk} H=\operatorname{rk} H^{\prime}$ is infinite.

Choose a set $S$ of generators of $M=F / H$ of minimal cardinality $\kappa$, and pick a subset $T$ of $M$ of the same cardinality, disjoint from $S$. This can be done as follows. If the characteristic of $R$ is not 2 , then after dropping from $S$ one member of additive inverse pairs among the elements of $S$, we can choose $T$ to consist of the additive inverses of elements of $S \backslash H$. If the characteristic of $R$ is 2 , then choose $T$ to be $s_{0}+s$ with a fixed element $s_{0}$ of $S$ and $s$ ranging over all elements of $S$ after deleting from $S$ generators of this form.

We clearly have $\kappa \leq \operatorname{rk} F$. Let $S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}$ denote the sets in $M^{\prime}$ corresponding to $S, T$ under the isomorphism $\psi$. We distinguish three cases.

CASE I. rk $H=\kappa$. Then rk $H^{\prime}=\kappa$ likewise. In view of Lemma 4, there exist a basis $B$ of $F$ and a basis $B^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ which are complete sets of representatives of $S \cup T \bmod H$ and $S^{\prime} \cup T^{\prime} \bmod H^{\prime}$, respectively. (If $S, T$ are chosen so as not to contain 0 , then $B$ will be disjoint from $H$.) The correspondence $B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ which is well defined by mapping $b \in B$ upon $b^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}$ if and only if $\psi$ maps the coset $b+H$ upon $b^{\prime}+H^{\prime}$ extends uniquely to an isomorphism $\phi: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ under which $H^{\prime}$ is clearly the image of $H$. Thus the two presentations are equivalent.

CASE II. rk $H>\kappa$. Pick a free $R$-module $G$ whose rank is $\mathrm{rk} H$, then replace $F$ by $F \oplus G$ and $F^{\prime}$ by $F^{\prime} \oplus G$, but keep $H$ and $H^{\prime}$. Application of Case I to the $R$-module $M \oplus G$ (with $\psi$ extended by the identity map on $G$ ) implies the existence of an isomorphism $\phi: F \oplus G \longrightarrow F^{\prime} \oplus G$ with $\phi H=H^{\prime}$ inducing $\psi$. It is self-evident that $\phi F=F^{\prime}$.

CASE III. rk $H<\kappa$. There is a decomposition $F=F_{1} \oplus F_{2}$ such that $H \leq F_{1}$ and $\operatorname{rk} H=\operatorname{rk} F_{1}<\operatorname{rk} F_{2}=\kappa$. Thus $M=F_{1} / H \oplus F_{2}$, and $\psi$ yields a similar decomposition $M=F_{1}^{\prime} / H^{\prime} \oplus F_{2}^{\prime}$. Case I guarantees the existence of an isomorphism $F_{1} \rightarrow F_{1}^{\prime}$ mapping $H$ upon $H^{\prime}$; this along with $F_{2} \rightarrow F_{2}^{\prime}$ (restriction of $\psi$ ) provides a desired isomorphism $\phi: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$.

REMARK. A careful examination of the proof reveals that the finite character of the Prüfer domain has been used only to guarantee that $G$ of Lemma 3 is free whenever it is of infinite rank. Consequently, it is enough to require that every projective $R$-module of infinite rank $\kappa$ contains a free summand of the same rank $\kappa$. It is straightforward to see that this is the case if and only if every projective $R$-module of countable rank contains a free summand of rank $\geq 1$. This condition is satisfied, for instance, if $R$ is of countable character in the sense that every non-zero element of $R$ is contained in at most countably many maximal ideals. Thus Theorem 6 continues to hold for Prüfer domains of countable character.

We turn our attention to a more general situation, by dropping the condition of flatness. From the proofs of Lemma 4 and Theorem 6 it is easy to obtain a sufficient condition on the equivalence of presentations for arbitrary $R$-modules of projective dimension $\leq 1$.

Corollary 7. Let $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ be free modules over a Prüfer domain $R$, and assume $F / H$ and $F^{\prime} / H^{\prime}$ are presentations of the $R$-module $M$ of projective dimension 1. If
(i) $\mathrm{rk} F=\mathrm{rk} F^{\prime}$;
(ii) $H$ contains a free summand of $F$ of rank gen $M$;
(iii) $H^{\prime}$ contains a free summand of $F^{\prime}$ of rank gen $M$,
then every isomorphism $\psi: F / H \rightarrow F^{\prime} / H^{\prime}$ is induced by an isomorphism $\phi: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ such that $\phi(H)=H^{\prime}$.

Proof. In the proofs above the flatness of $M$ was used only to ascertain that conditions (ii) and (iii) were satisfied. Therefore, assuming (ii) and (iii), and choosing a generating set $S$ of $M$ of cardinality gen $M$, the argument above establishes the present claim as well (in view of Remark above, the condition of $R$ being of finite character is dropped).

From the last corollary it follows at once:
Corollary 8. Let $R$ be a Prüfer domain, and $F / H, F^{\prime} / H^{\prime}$ two presentations of the $R$-module $M$ of projective dimension 1 where $F, F^{\prime}$ are free $R$-modules. Then there is a free $R$-module $G$ of rank $\leq \operatorname{gen} M$ such that

$$
(F \oplus G) /(H \oplus G) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(F^{\prime} \oplus G\right) /\left(H^{\prime} \oplus G\right)
$$

are equivalent presentations of $M$.
4. Application. Finally, we mention an application of our results. This is an analog of one obtained by Erdős [3] for abelian groups.

COROLLARY 9. Let $R$ be a Prüfer domain of finite character, and $N$ a submodule of an $R$-module $M$ such that $M / N$ is flat of projective dimension 1 . If

$$
\aleph_{0} \leq \operatorname{gen} M / N \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{gen} N \leq \operatorname{gen} M / N
$$

then $M$ has a generating system of cardinality gen $M / N$ whose elements are pairwise incongruent $\bmod N$.

Proof. Represent $M$ as $F / H$ with a free $R$-module $F$ such that $\operatorname{rk} F=$ gen $M$. Then $N$ will be of the form $F^{\prime} / H$ with a submodule $F^{\prime}$ of $F$ containing $H$. Notice that $F^{\prime}$ is projective, since $F / F^{\prime} \cong M / N$ has projective dimension $\leq 1$. Furthermore, in view of $\operatorname{rk} F^{\prime}=\operatorname{rk} H+\operatorname{gen} N \leq \operatorname{gen} M+\operatorname{gen} N=\operatorname{gen} M / N$ (the last equality is a consequence of the hypothesis gen $N \leq \operatorname{gen} M / N)$ we can choose a free $R$-module $G$ such that $\operatorname{rk}(G \oplus$ $F) /\left(G \oplus F^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{rk}\left(G \oplus F^{\prime}\right)$. We now appeal to the remark made after Lemma 4 to conclude that the free $R$-module $G \oplus F$ has a basis $B$ whose elements $\bmod G \oplus F^{\prime}$ represent different elements of $M / N$. As $B \bmod H$ generates $M$, this yields a generating system for $M$ of the desired kind.
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