## EQUIVALENT PRESENTATIONS OF MODULES OVER PRÜFER DOMAINS

## LASZLO FUCHS AND SANG BUM LEE

ABSTRACT. If *F* and *F'* are free *R*-modules, then  $M \cong F/H$  and  $M \cong F'/H'$  are viewed as equivalent presentations of the *R*-module *M* if there is an isomorphism  $F \to F'$  which carries the submodule *H* onto *H'*. We study when presentations of modules of projective dimension 1 over Prüfer domains of finite character are necessarily equivalent.

1. **Introduction.** Let R denote a commutative domain with 1; all R-modules are unital. In what follows,  $\operatorname{rk} M$  will denote the rank and  $\operatorname{gen} M$  the minimal cardinality of generating systems of the R-module M.

Let *F* and *F'* be free *R*-modules, *H* and *H'* submodules such that  $F/H \cong F'/H'$ . We say that F/H and F'/H' are *equivalent presentations* of the *R*-module  $M \cong F/H$  if there is an isomorphism  $\phi: F \longrightarrow F'$  which carries *H* onto *H'*.

Needless to say that, in general, there are no compelling reasons for the equivalence of two presentations of a module. Equivalent presentations of torsion-free abelian groups were investigated by J. Erdős [3]; his results were extended to the mixed case by Fuchs [4]. A more relevant study of presentations of abelian groups is due to Hill-Megibben [7]: they succeeded in giving a necessary and sufficient condition for the equivalence of two presentations. One of their numerous corollaries is the stacked bases theorem of Cohen-Gluck [2]. The results of [7] are extended to presentations over arbitrary valuation domains by L. Salce and P. Zanardo [unpublished].

The equivalence of presentations of finitely presented modules was established by Levy [9] and by Brewer-Klingler [1] over Prüfer domains of finite character (finite character means that every non-zero element is contained but in a finite number of maximal ideals) and over Prüfer domains of Krull dimension 1. Note that in the Prüfer case finite presentation is equivalent to finite generation plus having projective dimension  $\leq 1$ . Accordingly, in the infinitely generated case, it is natural to concentrate on modules of projective dimension  $\leq 1$ . It turns out that then the problem is still manageable, at least for torsion-free modules, though it is far from being a trivial generalization of the abelian group case. Let us note right away that over Prüfer domains torsion-freeness and flatness are equivalent.

An obvious necessary condition for the equivalence of the presentations F/H and F'/H' of an *R*-module *M* is that the ranks satisfy

(\*)  $\operatorname{rk} F = \operatorname{rk} F'$  and  $\operatorname{rk} H = \operatorname{rk} H'$ .

Received by the editors September 11, 1996.

AMS subject classification: 13C11.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1998.

151

Our main purpose here is to show that if *M* is a flat *R*-module of projective dimension  $\leq 1$  (*R* is a Prüfer domain of finite character), then (\*) is a sufficient condition as well; moreover, the equality  $\operatorname{rk} H = \operatorname{rk} H'$  alone implies that the presentations F/H and F'/H' are equivalent. (Observe that then  $\operatorname{rk} F = \operatorname{rk} F'$  is automatically satisfied because of  $\operatorname{rk} F = \operatorname{rk} H + \operatorname{rk} F/H = \operatorname{rk} H + \operatorname{rk} M$ .) The main idea of the proof is borrowed from Erdős [3]; however, several essential modifications were needed to settle the problem in our case.

If the condition of M being flat is dropped, then we can establish only a sufficient condition for the equivalence of presentations of M. A main difficulty in obtaining a necessary and sufficient condition in the more general case lies in the fact that for the Hill-Megibben criterion the unique factorization of the integers seems to be a relevant feature. On the other hand, the hypothesis that the projective dimension of M is  $\leq 1$  is needed in order to assure that H is projective—this property plays an essential role in our considerations.

Our results provide an additional evidence to justify our old claim that the behavior of modules of projective dimensions  $\leq 1$  over Prüfer domains has a strong resemblance to modules over Dedekind domains (see [5]).

2. **Preliminary lemmas.** For the proof of our main results, we require a couple of preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If R is a Prüfer domain and F is a projective R-module, then every finite rank pure submodule H of F is a summand of F.

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume that *F* is a free *R*-module and *H* is contained in a finitely generated free summand F' of *F*. Then the factor module F'/H is a finitely generated flat *R*-module, so it is projective. Therefore, *H* is a summand of F' and hence of *F*.

LEMMA 2. A projective module of infinite rank over a Prüfer domain of finite character is free.

PROOF. This follows at once from Kaplansky [8] and Heitmann-Levy [6]. The next two results are analogs of lemmas on abelian groups due to Erdős [3].

LEMMA 3. A projective pure submodule H of a free R-module F over a Prüfer domain R of finite character contains a summand of F whose rank is the same as the rank of H. If H is of infinite rank, then this summand is free.

PROOF. If *H* is of finite rank, then by Lemma 1 it is a summand of *F*, and we are done. So assume *H* is of infinite rank  $\kappa$ .

Let  $B = \{b_{\alpha}\}$  be a basis of F, and consider finite subsets  $B_i$  of B such that  $\langle B_i \rangle \cap H \neq 0$ . Select a maximal pairwise disjoint set  $\Sigma$  of such subsets  $B_i$ , and a nonzero  $h_i$  in each  $\langle B_i \rangle \cap H$ . Let  $\langle h_i \rangle_*$  denote the pure submodule generated by  $h_i$ , *i.e.*,  $\langle h_i \rangle_* / \langle h_i \rangle$  is the torsion submodule of  $H / \langle h_i \rangle$ . Note that  $\langle h_i \rangle_*$  is a summand of  $\langle B_i \rangle$ , and hence G =  $\bigoplus \langle h_i \rangle_*$  is a (projective) summand of F, and so of H. Write  $F = \langle B_i \mid B_i \in \Sigma \rangle \oplus K$ where K is generated by the basis elements not in any member of  $\Sigma$ . Now  $K \cap H \neq 0$ is impossible, because then the basis elements  $b_\alpha$  occurring in a linear combination of a non-zero element in this intersection form a finite subset disjoint from every finite subset in  $\Sigma$ , contradicting the maximality of  $\Sigma$ . Therefore,  $K \cap H = 0$ . Manifestly, the cardinality of the set of all basis elements  $b_\alpha$  occurring in members of  $\Sigma$  is the same as the cardinality of  $\Sigma$ . Hence  $K \cap H = 0$  implies that rk  $G = \operatorname{rk} \langle B_i \mid B_i \in \Sigma \rangle = \operatorname{rk} F/K \ge \operatorname{rk} H = \kappa$ . Now G is a projective module of infinite rank, so it is free by Lemma 2.

The crucial lemma is the following.

LEMMA 4. Let F be a free module of infinite rank over a Prüfer domain R of finite character, and H a projective pure submodule of F. Assume that S is a generating set of F/H whose cardinality is equal to  $\operatorname{rk} F$ , and T is a subset of F/H disjoint from S satisfying |T| = |S|. If  $|S| = \operatorname{rk} H$ , then F has a basis B which is mod H a complete set of representatives of  $S \cup T$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $|S| = \operatorname{rk} F = \operatorname{rk} H = \kappa$ . In view of Lemma 3, *H* contains a free summand *G* of *F* with  $\operatorname{rk} G = \kappa$ . Choose a basis *Y* of *G* and extend it to a basis  $C = \{b_{\alpha}\}$  of *F*. Next, well-order *C* in such a way that the elements of  $Y = C \cap H$  precede the other basis elements in *C*. Moreover, we may assume that the well-ordering is done in such a way that *Y* has order type  $\kappa$ .

We are going to change the basis C to get one with the desired property. We use four steps in order to accomplish this goal.

STEP 1. We modify C such that the new basis C' will have the property that it contains Y and two elements of C' are congruent mod H if and only if both belong to H.

If a basis element  $b_{\beta}$  in *C* is in the same coset mod *H* as a basis element  $b_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha < \beta$  in the well-ordering, then we replace  $b_{\beta}$  in the basis *C* by  $b_{\beta} - b_{\gamma}$  with the first  $b_{\gamma}$  congruent to  $b_{\beta} \mod H$ .

STEP 2. We pass to a new basis C'' of F which contains  $\kappa$  elements of Y and every element of S is represented by exactly one basis element in C''.

Consider a set  $S' = \{s_{\rho}\}$  ( $\rho < \lambda \leq \kappa$ ) of representatives of elements of *S* which have no representatives in the basis *C'*. If *S'* is empty, there is nothing to do. If it is not empty, then we proceed as follows. Without loss of generality we may assume that the representatives  $s_{\rho} \in S'$  have been selected such that in their representations as linear combinations of the basis elements in *C'* no basis element from *Y* occurs. We split *Y* into two disjoint subsets:  $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$  such that  $|Y_1| = \kappa$  and there is a bijection  $f: Y_2 \to S'$ . Using *f*, the basis elements  $b_{\rho} \in Y_2$  are replaced by  $b_{\rho} + f(b_{\rho})$ .

STEP 3. We find a new basis B' with the property that every element of S is represented by exactly one basis element in B', and all the other basis elements in B' (exactly  $\kappa$  of them) belong to H.

We concentrate on those basis elements  $b_{\alpha} \in C''$  which do not belong either to H or to a coset in S. Since S generates  $F \mod H$ , to every  $b_{\alpha} \in C''$  there is at least one

linear combination  $x_{\alpha}$  of the basis elements in C'' representing elements of S such that  $b_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha} \in H$ . For each  $b_{\alpha} \in C''$  which is not in H or in a coset of S, select such an  $x_{\alpha}$  and replace  $b_{\alpha}$  in C'' by  $b_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha}$ .

STEP 4. Finally, we obtain a new basis *B* of *F* which is mod *H* a complete set of representatives of  $S \cup T$ .

We focus our attention on the set *T*. For each coset in *T* choose a representative  $v_{\beta} \in F$ , expressed in terms of basis elements in *B'* representing cosets in *S*. Owing to  $|T| = \kappa = |B' \cap H|$ , there is a bijection between the elements  $\{b_{\beta}\}$  of *B'* not representing elements of *S* and the set  $\{v_{\beta} + H\}$  of cosets (where we have the corresponding elements carrying the same indices). If in the basis *B'*, the element  $b_{\beta}$  of *B'* is replaced by  $b_{\beta} + v_{\beta}$ , then we arrive at a basis with the desired properties.

This completes the proof.

It is worth while observing that the set  $S \cup T$  generates the module F/H, thus under the hypotheses of Lemma 4, *F* has a basis whose elements are incongruent mod *H*.

In some cases the condition stated in the preceding lemma is automatically satisfied. Indeed, we can verify the following simple fact valid over any domain R; this was proved by Hill-Megibben [7, Corollary 1.3] for abelian groups:

LEMMA 5. If  $M \cong F/H$  is a presentation of an *R*-module *M* such that  $\operatorname{rk} F > \operatorname{gen} M \ge \aleph_0$ , then the submodule *H* of *F* contains a summand *G* of *F* with  $\operatorname{rk} G = \operatorname{rk} F$ .

PROOF. Let  $\phi: F \to M$  be the canonical epimorphism (with kernel *H*). Evidently, there is a summand  $F_1$  of *F* with  $\operatorname{rk} F_1 = \operatorname{gen} M$  which is mapped by  $\phi$  onto *M*. Write  $F = F_1 \oplus F_2$  and denote the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $F_j$  by  $\phi_j$  (j = 1, 2). As  $\phi_1$  is surjective and  $F_2$  is projective, there is a map  $\rho: F_2 \to F_1$  such that  $\phi_2 = \phi_1 \rho$ . Then  $G = \{x - \rho x \mid x \in F_2\}$  is a complement of  $F_1$  in *F* contained in *H* whose rank is necessarily equal to  $\operatorname{rk} F$ .

3. **The main result.** We are now ready to verify our main result which we have already mentioned in the Introduction.

THEOREM 6. Let *R* be a Prüfer domain of finite character, and *F*, *F'* free *R*-modules. Two presentations, F/H and F'/H', of a flat (i.e. torsion-free) *R*-module *M* of projective dimension  $\leq 1$  are equivalent if and only if

$$\operatorname{rk} H = \operatorname{rk} H'.$$

PROOF. Only sufficiency requires a proof. Suppose rk H = rk H'; as already noted above, this implies rk F = rk F'. Actually, we are going to prove a bit more than stated, viz. we will show that every isomorphism

$$\psi: M = F/H \longrightarrow F'/H' = M'$$

is induced by an isomorphism

$$\phi: F \longrightarrow F'$$
 with  $\phi(H) = H'$ .

Choose a set *S* of generators of M = F/H of minimal cardinality  $\kappa$ , and pick a subset *T* of *M* of the same cardinality, disjoint from *S*. This can be done as follows. If the characteristic of *R* is not 2, then after dropping from *S* one member of additive inverse pairs among the elements of *S*, we can choose *T* to consist of the additive inverses of elements of  $S \setminus H$ . If the characteristic of *R* is 2, then choose *T* to be  $s_0 + s$  with a fixed element  $s_0$  of *S* and *s* ranging over all elements of *S* after deleting from *S* generators of this form.

We clearly have  $\kappa \leq \operatorname{rk} F$ . Let S', T' denote the sets in M' corresponding to S, T under the isomorphism  $\psi$ . We distinguish three cases.

CASE I.  $\operatorname{rk} H = \kappa$ . Then  $\operatorname{rk} H' = \kappa$  likewise. In view of Lemma 4, there exist a basis *B* of *F* and a basis *B'* of *F'* which are complete sets of representatives of  $S \cup T \mod H$  and  $S' \cup T' \mod H'$ , respectively. (If *S*, *T* are chosen so as not to contain 0, then *B* will be disjoint from *H*.) The correspondence  $B \to B'$  which is well defined by mapping  $b \in B$  upon  $b' \in B'$  if and only if  $\psi$  maps the coset b + H upon b' + H' extends uniquely to an isomorphism  $\phi: F \to F'$  under which *H'* is clearly the image of *H*. Thus the two presentations are equivalent.

CASE II. rk  $H > \kappa$ . Pick a free *R*-module *G* whose rank is rk *H*, then replace *F* by  $F \oplus G$  and F' by  $F' \oplus G$ , but keep *H* and *H'*. Application of Case I to the *R*-module  $M \oplus G$  (with  $\psi$  extended by the identity map on *G*) implies the existence of an isomorphism  $\phi: F \oplus G \longrightarrow F' \oplus G$  with  $\phi H = H'$  inducing  $\psi$ . It is self-evident that  $\phi F = F'$ .

CASE III. rk  $H < \kappa$ . There is a decomposition  $F = F_1 \oplus F_2$  such that  $H \le F_1$  and rk  $H = \text{rk } F_1 < \text{rk } F_2 = \kappa$ . Thus  $M = F_1/H \oplus F_2$ , and  $\psi$  yields a similar decomposition  $M = F'_1/H' \oplus F'_2$ . Case I guarantees the existence of an isomorphism  $F_1 \to F'_1$  mapping H upon H'; this along with  $F_2 \to F'_2$  (restriction of  $\psi$ ) provides a desired isomorphism  $\phi: F \to F'$ .

REMARK. A careful examination of the proof reveals that the finite character of the Prüfer domain has been used only to guarantee that *G* of Lemma 3 is free whenever it is of infinite rank. Consequently, it is enough to require that every projective *R*-module of infinite rank  $\kappa$  contains a free summand of the same rank  $\kappa$ . It is straightforward to see that this is the case if and only if every projective *R*-module of countable rank contains a free summand of rank  $\geq 1$ . This condition is satisfied, for instance, if *R* is *of countable character* in the sense that every non-zero element of *R* is contained in at most countably many maximal ideals. Thus Theorem 6 continues to hold for Prüfer domains of countable character.

We turn our attention to a more general situation, by dropping the condition of flatness. From the proofs of Lemma 4 and Theorem 6 it is easy to obtain a sufficient condition on the equivalence of presentations for arbitrary *R*-modules of projective dimension  $\leq 1$ .

COROLLARY 7. Let F and F' be free modules over a Prüfer domain R, and assume F/H and F'/H' are presentations of the R-module M of projective dimension 1. If

- (*i*)  $\operatorname{rk} F = \operatorname{rk} F'$ ;
- (ii) H contains a free summand of F of rank gen M;
- (iii) H' contains a free summand of F' of rank gen M,

then every isomorphism  $\psi: F/H \to F'/H'$  is induced by an isomorphism  $\phi: F \to F'$  such that  $\phi(H) = H'$ .

PROOF. In the proofs above the flatness of M was used only to ascertain that conditions (ii) and (iii) were satisfied. Therefore, assuming (ii) and (iii), and choosing a generating set S of M of cardinality gen M, the argument above establishes the present claim as well (in view of Remark above, the condition of R being of finite character is dropped).

From the last corollary it follows at once:

COROLLARY 8. Let *R* be a Prüfer domain, and F/H, F'/H' two presentations of the *R*-module *M* of projective dimension 1 where *F*, *F'* are free *R*-modules. Then there is a free *R*-module *G* of rank  $\leq \text{gen } M$  such that

$$(F \oplus G)/(H \oplus G)$$
 and  $(F' \oplus G)/(H' \oplus G)$ 

are equivalent presentations of M.

4. **Application.** Finally, we mention an application of our results. This is an analog of one obtained by Erdős [3] for abelian groups.

COROLLARY 9. Let R be a Prüfer domain of finite character, and N a submodule of an R-module M such that M/N is flat of projective dimension 1. If

 $\aleph_0 \leq \operatorname{gen} M/N$  and  $\operatorname{gen} N \leq \operatorname{gen} M/N$ ,

then M has a generating system of cardinality gen M/N whose elements are pairwise incongruent mod N.

PROOF. Represent *M* as F/H with a free *R*-module *F* such that  $\operatorname{rk} F = \operatorname{gen} M$ . Then *N* will be of the form F'/H with a submodule *F'* of *F* containing *H*. Notice that *F'* is projective, since  $F/F' \cong M/N$  has projective dimension  $\leq 1$ . Furthermore, in view of  $\operatorname{rk} F' = \operatorname{rk} H + \operatorname{gen} N \leq \operatorname{gen} M + \operatorname{gen} N = \operatorname{gen} M/N$  (the last equality is a consequence of the hypothesis  $\operatorname{gen} N \leq \operatorname{gen} M/N$ ) we can choose a free *R*-module *G* such that  $\operatorname{rk}(G \oplus F)/(G \oplus F') = \operatorname{rk}(G \oplus F')$ . We now appeal to the remark made after Lemma 4 to conclude that the free *R*-module  $G \oplus F$  has a basis *B* whose elements mod  $G \oplus F'$  represent different elements of M/N. As *B* mod *H* generates *M*, this yields a generating system for *M* of the desired kind.

156

## REFERENCES

- 1. J. Brewer and L. Klingler, *Pole assignability and the invariant factor theorem in Priifer domains and Dedekind domains*. J. Algebra 114(1987), 536–545.
- **2.** J. Cohen and H. Gluck, *Stacked bases for modules over principal ideal domains*. J. Algebra **14**(1970), 493–505.
- **3.** J. Erdős, Torsion-free factor groups of free abelian groups and a classification of torsion-free abelian groups. Publ. Math. Debrecen **5**(1957), 172–184.
- 4. L. Fuchs, Abelian Groups. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1958.
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_, Note on modules of projective dimension one. In: Abelian Group Theory, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York etc., 1986.
- 6. R. C. Heitmann and L. S. Levy, 1 1/2 and 2 generator ideals in Prüfer domains. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 5(1975), 361–373.
- 7. P. Hill and C. Megibben, *Generalizations of the stacked bases theorem*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **312**(1989), 377–402.
- Kaplansky, Modules over Dedekind rings and valuation rings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72(1952), 327– 340.
- 9. L. S. Levy, Invariant factor theorem for Prüfer domains of finite character. J. Algebra 106(1987), 259-264.

Department of Mathematics Tulane University New Orleans, Louisiana 70118 U.S.A. e-mail: fuchs@mailhost.tcs.tulane.edu Department of Mathematical Education Sangmyung University Seoul 110-743 Korea