Superbubble H_{II} regions: how self-enriched should they be?

Aida Wofford¹

¹Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD, 21218 email: wofford@stsci.edu

Abstract. I modeled the pollution of low metallicity (Z=0.001) superbubble H II regions with the ejecta from single stellar populations of $10^4-10^6~\rm M_{\odot}$ in mass. I found that the He, C, N, and O abundance enhancements in the H II regions, due to pollution with the enriched winds from Wolf-Rayet stars, are insignificant at 5 Myr. The few localized metal enhancements observed so far in resolved extragalactic H II regions are not associated with superbubbles and remain to be modeled in detail.

Keywords. ISM: abundances, ISM: bubbles, ISM: evolution, H II regions

1. Introduction

A superbubble is the shell of gas produced when the winds and supernovae (SNe) of massive stars in a cluster sweep up the ISM. Some superbubbles contain H II regions. I took advantage of the relatively simple geometry of superbubble H II regions in order to estimate how self-enriched they should be. Here, self-enrichment is the pollution of H II regions with ejecta from embedded massive stars, on spatial scales of a few $10-100\,\mathrm{pc}$, and on time scales of a few Myr.

If self-enrichment is significant, then 1) it could explain the lack of galaxies more metal poor than I Zw 18; 2) it could explain why some H II galaxies with Wolf-Rayet (WR) star signatures show N and He enhancements; 3) if star formation is triggered by the evolution of a previous generation of stars, then it could occur in a chemically enriched environment; and 4) H II regions do not reflect the composition of the gas out of which their ionizing stars form, nor the composition of galaxies at large. Most giant H II regions do not show clear signs of self-enrichment but some do (e.g., Kobulnicky & Skillman 1996; López-Sánchez et al. 2007).

2. Model

The central star cluster is point-like. The H II region is a spherical shell of constant density and inner radius $r_1 \propto (L \times t^3/n_0)^{1/5}$, as given by the standard superbubble model of Mac Low, M.-M. & McCray, R. (1988), where L is the mechanical luminosity of the stellar winds and supernovae, t is the age, and n_0 is the density of the ambient undisturbed ISM. At 5 Myr, I uniformly polluted the volume of radius r_2 , where r_2 is the distance from the cluster to the outer edge of the H II region, with the cumulative mass ejected up to age $t=5\,\mathrm{Myr}$, by single stellar populations of masses 10^4 , 10^5 , or $10^6\,\mathrm{M}_\odot$. Note that chemical yields from supernovae were not included in the calculation because they are highly uncertain for the most massive stars. The stellar mass loss rate peaks at $\sim 4\,\mathrm{Myr}$, during the stellar WR phase. At 5 Myr, the enriched WR star ejecta has had enough time to reach the H II region. I studied self-enrichment up to 5 Myr

because at $t>5\,\mathrm{Myr}$ three problems arise: 1) the ionizing luminosity from the stars is insufficient to produce a fully ionized H II region, 2) the radius of the H II region becomes comparable with the thickness of the Galactic disk, and the equilibrium time scale of the H II becomes greater than 1 Myr. The stellar radiative, mechanical, and chemical feedbacks used as input to the models are from STARBURST99 (Leitherer et al. 1999; Vázquez & Leitherer 2005) and are based on the 1994 Geneva non-rotating high-mass loss stellar evolutionary tracks.

3. Results

Columns 1-5 of Table 1 give: cluster mass, adopted ISM density, H II region inner radius, and predicted H II region C and O enhancements due to self-enrichment (with respect to the initial ISM values $12 + \log(C/H) = 7.22$ and $12 + \log(O/H) = 7.53$), respectively. The maximum enhancements occur for $M_{\star} = 10^6 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $n_0 = 100 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. They would be unobservable considering the typical uncertainties in the chemical abundance determinations of giant H II regions. The predicted He and N enhancements are even smaller and are not shown.

$^{\rm M_{\star}}_{\rm M_{\odot}}$	$\stackrel{n_0}{\operatorname{cm}^{-3}}$	$\begin{array}{c} r_1 \\ pc \end{array}$	$\Delta \log({\rm C/H})$	$\Delta \log({\rm O/H})$
10^{4}	1	153	0.014	0.017
10^{4}	100	61	0.017	0.021
10^{5} 10^{5}	$\frac{1}{100}$	$\frac{243}{97}$	$0.016 \\ 0.017$	0.019 0.021
10^{6}	1	385	0.017	0.020
10^{6}	100	153	0.017	0.021

Table 1. Predictions of the extent of self-enrichment in C and O at 5 Myr.

4. Conclusion

At 5 Myr, my self-enrichment scenario produces in significant ($\leq 0.02\,\mathrm{dex}$) enhancements in He, C, N, and O, in superbubble H II regions with an initial metallicity of Z=0.001. The few enhancements detected so far are associated with younger H II regions at higher subsolar metallicities. In Wofford (2009), a detailed study of self-enrichment in oxygen based on the model presented here can be found.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NSF grant AST 03-07118 to the University of Oklahoma and partially supported by NASA grant N1317 to the Space Telescope Science Institute.

References

Kobulnicky, H. A. & Skillman, E. D. 1996, ApJ, 471, 211

Leitherer, C., Schaerer, D., Goldader, J. D., González Delgado, R. M., Robert, C., Kune, D. F., de Mello, D. F., Devost, D., & Heckman, T. M. 1999, ApJS, 123, 3

López-Sánchez, A. R., Esteban, C., García-Rojas, J., Peimbert, M., & Rodríguez, M. 2007, ApJ, 656, 168

Mac Low, M.-M. & McCray, R. 1988, ApJ, 324, 776

Vázquez, G. A. & Leitherer, C. 2005, ApJ, 621, 695

Wofford, A., MNRAS, 395, 1043



Bacham Reddy during his talk.



Carlos Allende Prieto during his talk.