

THE STABLE AND UNSTABLE TYPES OF CLASSIFYING SPACES

HYANG-SOOK LEE

ABSTRACT. The main purpose of this paper is to study groups G_1, G_2 such that $H^*(BG_1, \mathbf{Z}/p)$ is isomorphic to $H^*(BG_2, \mathbf{Z}/p)$ in \mathcal{U} , the category of unstable modules over the Steenrod algebra A , but not isomorphic as graded algebras over \mathbf{Z}/p .

0. Introduction. Let G be a finite group. A classification of the stable homotopy type of BG is given by Martino and Priddy's paper [4] in purely algebraic terms. It is known that the stable type of BG does not determine G up to isomorphism; however [4] shows that for each prime p , the local stable type of BG depends on the conjugacy classes of homomorphisms from p -groups Q into G . One application to the classification theorem in [4] is the case G_1, G_2 are finite groups with normal Sylow p -subgroups P_1, P_2 . Then BG_1 and BG_2 have the same stable homotopy type, localized at p , if and only if $P_1 \cong P_2$ (say P) and $W_{G_1}(P)$ is pointwise conjugate to $W_{G_2}(P)$ in $\text{Out}(P)$. The paper [4] gives the example of groups G_1, G_2 illustrating this theorem. For these groups $H^*(BG_1, \mathbf{Z}/p)$ and $H^*(BG_2, \mathbf{Z}/p)$ are isomorphic in \mathcal{U} , the category of unstable modules over the Steenrod algebra A , but are not isomorphic in \mathcal{K} , the category of unstable algebras over A . The goal of this note is to exhibit groups G_1, G_2 such that $H^*(BG_1, \mathbf{Z}/p)$ and $H^*(BG_2, \mathbf{Z}/p)$ are isomorphic in \mathcal{U} , but are not even isomorphic even as graded algebras over \mathbf{Z}/p . These algebras have the added advantage of a much smaller Krull dimension than those of [4].

Section One gives some information on the classification of the p -local stable homotopy type of BG . This includes the main classification theorem and its application in case of finite groups with normal Sylow p -subgroups. We give an example of two finite groups with stably homotopy equivalent classifying spaces localized at $p > 2$. Then in Section Two, we demonstrate the cohomology of these classifying spaces which are necessarily isomorphic in \mathcal{U} , are not isomorphic as graded algebras over \mathbf{Z}/p . To show this, we calculate the invariant elements of their cohomology groups in dimension 3 and 6, and then we compare cup products in dimension 6 so that we obtain the result that two cohomology rings have different algebra structures.

1. A classification of the stable type of BG . Let G be a finite group. We denote BG a classifying space of G , which has a contractible universal principal G bundle EG . With G. Carlsson's solution of the Segal conjecture it has become possible to determine the complete p -local stable decomposition $BG \simeq X_1 \vee X_2 \vee \cdots \vee X_n$. The suspension

Received by the editors April 18, 1996.
AMS subject classification: Primary: 55R35; secondary: 20J06.
©Canadian Mathematical Society 1997.

spectrum of BG and its wedge summands have played an important role in homotopy theory. In paper [5], the authors give a characterization of the indecomposable summands of BG in terms of modular representation theory of $\text{Out}(Q)$ modules for $Q < P$ the Sylow p -subgroup of G . This is the characterization which is used to study the stable type of BG in [4]. It is known that the stable type of BG does not determine G up to isomorphism. A simple example [due to N. Minami] is given by $Q_{4p} \times Z/2$ and $D_{2p} \times Z/4$ where p is an odd prime, Q_{4p} is the generalized quaternion group of order $4p$ and D_{2p} is the dihedral group of order $2p$. It is even worse for p -local classifying spaces since BG and $BG/O_{p'}(G)$ have isomorphic mod p homology and hence equivalent stable types. Here $O_{p'}(G)$ is the maximal normal subgroup of G of order prime to p . But there is a good result in this direction by Nishida.

THEOREM 1.1 [6]. *Let G_1, G_2 be finite groups with Sylow p -subgroups P_1, P_2 . If BG_1 and BG_2 are stably equivalent localized at p , then $P_1 \cong P_2$. ■*

However the following classification theorem which is established by J. Martino and S. Priddy gives us a necessary and sufficient condition.

THEOREM 1.2 [4]. *For two finite groups G_1, G_2 , the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *Localized at p , BG_1 and BG_2 are stably equivalent.*
- (2) *For every p -group Q , $F_p \text{Rep}(Q, G_1) \cong F_p \text{Rep}(Q, G_2)$ as $\text{Out}(Q)$ modules. $\text{Rep}(Q, G) = \text{Hom}(Q, G)/G$ with G acting by conjugation.*
- (3) *For every p -group Q , $F_p \text{Inj}(Q, G_1) \cong F_p \text{Inj}(Q, G_2)$ as $\text{Out}(Q)$ modules. $\text{Inj}(Q, G) < \text{Rep}(Q, G)$ consists of conjugacy classes of injective homomorphisms. ■*

This classification simplifies if G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup. Then the stable homotopy type depends on the *Weyl group* of the Sylow p -subgroup.

DEFINITION 1.3. Two subgroups $H, K < G$ are called *pointwise conjugate* in G if there is a bijection of sets $H \xrightarrow{\alpha} K$ such that $\alpha(h) = g_h^{-1}hg_h$ for $g_h \in G$ depending on $h \in H$. ■

Alternately it is easy to see that an equivalent condition is $|H \cap (g)| = |K \cap (g)|$ for all $g \in G$, where (g) denotes the conjugacy class of g . We assume G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup P . We set $G = P \rtimes H$ for p' -group H by Zassenhaus's theorem, and $G = P \cdot H$, $H \cap P = \{1\}$. Let $W_G(P)$ denote the *Weyl group* of $P < G$ i.e. $W_G(P) = N_G(P)/P \cdot C_G(P)$ where $N_G(P)$ is the normalizer and $C_G(P)$ is the centralizer of P in G . Then $W_G(P) \leq \text{Out}(P)$.

THEOREM 1.4 [4]. *Suppose G_1 and G_2 are finite groups with normal Sylow p -subgroups P_1 and P_2 . Then BG_1 and BG_2 have the same stable homotopy type, localized at p , if and only if $P_1 \cong P_2$ ($\approx P$ say) and $W_{G_1}(P)$ is pointwise conjugate to $W_{G_2}(P)$ in $\text{Out}(P)$. ■*

To see the relation between Theorem 1.2 and 1.4 refer to the paper [4].

Let us give G_1, G_2 such that BG_1 is stably equivalent to BG_2 localized at $p > 2$.

EXAMPLE 1.5. Let p, l be different odd primes such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$. We set P be an elementary abelian p -group of rank l^2 , i.e. $P = (\mathbf{Z}/p)^{l^2}$. Then $\text{Out } P = \text{GL}_{l^2}(\mathbf{F}_p)$. Let $H'_1 = (\mathbf{Z}/l)^3$ and $H'_2 = U_3(\mathbf{F}_l)$ so that H'_1 is not isomorphic to H'_2 where $U_3(\mathbf{F}_l)$ is 3×3 upper triangular matrices over \mathbf{F}_l . Let Q_1, Q_2 be the subgroups of H'_1, H'_2 given by

$$Q_1 = \langle (1, 0, 0) \rangle,$$

$$Q_2 = \left\langle \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \right\rangle.$$

Then up to isomorphism $Q_i \cong Q (= \mathbf{Z}/l)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Thus the inclusion $\rho: Q \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_1(\mathbf{F}_p) = \mathbf{F}_p^*$ is a 1-dimensional representation where \mathbf{F}_p^* is a cyclic group of order $p - 1$ which has a generator ζ . (In fact this is a primitive $p - 1$ -th root of unity.) Now $l \mid p - 1$, hence we set $l \cdot k = p - 1$ for some k . Then $\zeta^{\frac{p-1}{l}} = \zeta^k = \omega$ is a primitive l -th root of unity. We define $\rho(q) = \omega$ where q is the generator of Q . Then ρ induces representations $f_1 = \text{Ind}_{Q_1}^{H'_1}(\rho): H'_1 \rightarrow \text{GL}_{l^2}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ and $f_2 = \text{Ind}_{Q_2}^{H'_2}(\rho): H'_2 \rightarrow \text{GL}_{l^2}(\mathbf{F}_p)$. These induced representations are defined by the following composition maps.

$$(*) \quad f_i = \text{Ind}_Q^{H'_i}(\rho) : H'_i \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q^{l^2} \rtimes \Sigma_{l^2} \xrightarrow{\rho^{l^2} \times 1} \text{GL}_1(\mathbf{F}_p)^{l^2} \rtimes \Sigma_{l^2} \longrightarrow \text{GL}_{l^2}(\mathbf{F}_p)$$

$$h \xrightarrow{\alpha} (q_1, \dots, q_{l^2}, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\rho^{l^2} \times 1} (\rho(q_1), \dots, \rho(q_{l^2}), \sigma) \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\bar{\sigma}}$$

where for fixed $i = 1, 2$ we define $q_k \in Q$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{l^2}$ by choosing coset representatives $\{s_k \mid k = 1, \dots, l^2\}$ for H'_i/Q and then setting $hs_k = s_{\sigma(k)}q_k$. $\mathbf{T}_{\bar{\sigma}}$ is the $l^2 \times l^2$ matrix with the $\rho(q_i)$'s replacing the ones of the permutation matrix $\bar{\sigma}$ in $\text{GL}_{l^2}(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

For $h \in H'_i$, $hs_k \in s_jQ$ for some $s_j \in \mathbb{R}_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j, k \leq l^2$) where \mathbb{R}_i is a set of coset representatives of H'_i/Q , hence there exists σ such that $\sigma(k) = j$ and $hs_k = s_{\sigma(k)}q_k$ for some $q_k \in Q$. Here $s_{\sigma(k)}$ and q_k are uniquely determined. Thus α is injective. Therefore the induced representations f_i ($i = 1, 2$) are injective. Now we set $f_1(H'_1) = H_1$ and $f_2(H'_2) = H_2$. These groups H_1 and H_2 act on P . It follows that $G_i = P \rtimes H_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) are not isomorphic and satisfy $O_{p'}(G_i) = 1$. This implies $H_i \cap C_{G_i}(P) = \{1\}$. Thus $W_{G_i}(P) = P \cdot H_i / P \cdot C_{G_i}(P) \cong H_i / H_i \cap C_{G_i}(P) = H_i$. Now we need to show that H_1 is pointwise conjugate to H_2 in $\text{GL}_{l^2}(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

If M is an $m_1 \times n_1$ matrix and N is an $m_2 \times n_2$ matrix, then we note that the tensor product of M and N is a matrix of size $m_1m_2 \times n_1n_2$. For a given matrix M , we denote ωM by M_ω for some $\omega \in \mathbf{F}_p$.

Let $h'_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $h'_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ and $h'_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ be the generators of H'_1 . Then by the representation map $(*)$, we get the generators $f_1(h'_1) = I \otimes I_\omega$, $f_1(h'_2) = I \otimes M$, $f_1(h'_3) = M \otimes I$, where I is an $l \times l$ identity matrix and M is the $l \times l$ permutation matrix of $(12 \cdots l)$. We set the images of the generators h_1, h_2, h_3 . Therefore H_1 is generated by $\langle h_1, h_2, h_3 \rangle$.

Let

$$\bar{h}'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{h}'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{h}'_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

be generators of H'_2 . Here $\bar{h}'_1 = [\bar{h}'_2, \bar{h}'_3]$. Then, similarly, we obtain the generators $\bar{h}_1 = I \otimes I_\omega, \bar{h}_2 = D \otimes M, \bar{h}_3 = M \otimes I$, where D is an $l \times l$ diagonal matrix with $\omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{l-1}, 1$ on the diagonal. We also have $\bar{h}_1 = [\bar{h}_2, \bar{h}_3]$. Thus H_2 is generated by $\langle \bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2, \bar{h}_3 \rangle$.

We claim H_1 is pointwise conjugate to H_2 in $\text{GL}_{l^2}(\mathbf{F}_p)$. First we notice $h_1 = \bar{h}_1, h_3 = \bar{h}_3$. Let J be a subgroup generated by $\langle h_1, h_3 \rangle$ in H_1 . Then for any $h \in J$, $(I \otimes D)^{-1}h(I \otimes D) = h \in H_2$. Now we consider the elements in $H_1 - J$ and $H_2 - J$. For the element $h \in H_1 - J$, h is of the form $\omega^k(I \otimes M^i)(M^j \otimes I) = \omega^k(M^j \otimes M^i)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq l-1, 1 \leq j, k \leq l$. Also for the element $\bar{h} \in H_2 - J$, \bar{h} is of the form $\omega^k(D \otimes M)^i(M^j \otimes I) = \omega^k(D^i \otimes M^i)(M^j \otimes I) = \omega^k(D^i M^j \otimes M^i)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq l-1, 1 \leq j, k \leq l$.

We show that $M^i \otimes M^i$ is similar to $D^i M^i \otimes M^i$ for each i, j . First it is enough to show that M^i is similar to $D^i M^i$. Here M^i is also a permutation matrix and $D^i M^i$ is a matrix replacing ones of M^i by $\omega^i, \omega^{2i}, \dots, \omega^{(l-1)i}, 1$. Then both M^i and $D^i M^i$ have the same characteristic polynomial $f(t) = t^l - 1 = 0$. To see this, let $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_p$ be an eigenvalue of M^i . Since M^i is a cyclic permutation matrix of order l , $\lambda^l = 1$ and λ is an l th root of unity. (i.e. λ is a root of $t^l - 1 = 0$.) Similarly, we can see $(D^i M^i)^l = I_{l \times l}$, since

$$\begin{aligned} (D^i M^i)^l &= D^i M^i D^i M^i \dots D^i M^i \\ &= D^i (M^i D^i M^{-i})(M^{2i} D^i M^{-2i}) \dots (M^{(l-1)i} D^i M^{-(l-1)i}) M^i \\ &= D^i \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (M^{kj} D^i M^{-kj})(M^l)^j \\ &= D^i \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} \tau_0^{kj}(D^i) \quad \text{since } M^l = I \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^l \tau_0^{kj}(D^i) \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^l \tau_0^{kj}(D) \right)^i \\ &= I \quad \text{since each diagonal entry is } \prod_{i=1}^l \omega^i = 1, \text{ for odd prime } l. \end{aligned}$$

Hence each eigenvalue of $D^i M^i$ is also a root of $t^l - 1 = 0$. We chose ω as a primitive l th root of unity. Then they have l distinct eigenvalues $\omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{l-1}, 1$ in \mathbf{F}_p , and hence they are diagonalizable. Thus there exist $P, Q \in \text{GL}_l(\mathbf{F}_p)$ such that $P^{-1}M^iP = D, Q^{-1}D^iM^iQ = D$, and hence $QP^{-1}M^iPQ^{-1} = (PQ^{-1})^{-1}M^i(PQ^{-1}) = D^iM^i$. Thus M^i is similar to D^iM^i . Now we choose $PQ^{-1} \otimes I \in \text{GL}_{l^2}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ such that

$(PQ^{-1} \otimes I)^{-1}(M^j \otimes M^i)(PQ^{-1} \otimes I) = (PQ^{-1})^{-1}M^j(PQ^{-1}) \otimes I^{-1}M^iI = D^iM^j \otimes M^i$. Therefore $M^j \otimes M^i$ is similar to $D^iM^j \otimes M^i$, $1 \leq i \leq l-1, 1 \leq j \leq l$. Obviously $\omega^k(M^j \otimes M^i)$ is similar to $\omega^k(D^iM^j \otimes M^i)$ where $1 \leq k \leq l$. This completes our claim. Therefore by Theorem 1.4, BG_1 is stably equivalent to BG_2 at $p > 2$. ■

Thus we conclude $H^*(BG_1, \mathbf{Z}/p)$ is isomorphic to $H^*(BG_2, \mathbf{Z}/p)$ in \mathcal{U} , the category of unstable modules over A . Now $H^*(BG_i, \mathbf{Z}/p) = H^*(BP \rtimes H_i, \mathbf{Z}/p) = H^*(BP, \mathbf{Z}/p)^{H_i}$. But we have $H^*(BP, \mathbf{Z}/p) = H^*(B(\mathbf{Z}/p)^{\mathbb{Z}^2}, \mathbf{Z}/p) = \mathbf{Z}/p[y_1, \dots, y_{l^2}] \otimes E[x_1, \dots, x_{l^2}]$ where $|x_i| = 1, |y_i| = 2, y_i = \beta x_i$ and β is the Bockstein homomorphism. Thus $H^*(BG_i, \mathbf{Z}/p) = (\mathbf{Z}/p[y_1, \dots, y_{l^2} : 2] \otimes E[x_1, \dots, x_{l^2} : 1])^{H_i}$ ($i = 1, 2$).

2. Unstable homotopy type of BG . In this section, we demonstrate two groups such that $H^*(BG_1)$ is isomorphic to $H^*(BG_2)$ in \mathcal{U} , but not isomorphic as graded algebras over \mathbf{Z}/p . From now on we consider the case $l = 3, p = 7$ in Example 1.8. Then $G_1 = P \rtimes H_1, G_2 = P \rtimes H_2$ where $P = (\mathbf{Z}/7)^9, H_1 \cong (\mathbf{Z}/3)^3, H_2 \cong U_3(\mathbf{F}_3)$ and $H_1, H_2 \leq GL_9(\mathbf{F}_7)$. According to the Theorem 1.4, BG_1 is stably homotopy equivalent to BG_2 , localized at $p = 7$. However, we shall show that $H^*(BG_1, \mathbf{Z}/7)$ is not even isomorphic to $H^*(BG_2, \mathbf{Z}/7)$ as graded algebras over $\mathbf{Z}/7$. Note $H^*(BG_i, \mathbf{Z}/7) = H^*(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_i} = (\mathbf{Z}/7[y_1, \dots, y_9 : 2] \otimes E[x_1, \dots, x_9 : 1])^{H_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. By using the representation map $(*)$ constructed in Section 1, we obtain the generators $h_1 = I \otimes 2I, h_2 = I \otimes M, h_3 = M \otimes I$ in H_1 and $\bar{h}_1 = I \otimes 2I, \bar{h}_2 = D \otimes M, \bar{h}_3 = I \otimes M$ in H_2 , where I is an 3×3 identity matrix, M is the permutation matrix of (123) and D is an 3×3 diagonal matrix with 2, 4, 1 on the diagonal.

First we give the straightforward calculation of the invariants of the action of H_1 and H_2 on $H^*(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)$ in dimension 3 and 6. (Here we give the invariants in dimension 6 relating to cup products.)

(1) Invariants in $H^*(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_1}$

(i) dimension 3

$$a_1 = x_1x_3x_2 + x_4x_6x_5 + x_7x_9x_8$$

$$a_2 = x_1x_7x_4 + x_2x_8x_5 + x_3x_9x_6$$

$$a_3 = x_1x_5x_9 + x_2x_6x_7 + x_3x_4x_8$$

$$a_4 = x_1x_8x_6 + x_2x_9x_4 + x_3x_7x_5$$

$$a_5 = x_1x_3x_5 + x_2x_1x_6 + x_3x_2x_4 + x_7x_9x_2 + x_8x_7x_3 + x_9x_8x_1 + x_4x_6x_8 + x_5x_4x_9 + x_6x_5x_7$$

$$a_6 = x_1x_3x_8 + x_2x_1x_9 + x_3x_2x_7 + x_4x_6x_2 + x_5x_4x_3 + x_6x_5x_1 + x_7x_9x_5 + x_8x_7x_6 + x_9x_8x_4$$

$$a_7 = x_1x_3x_4 + x_2x_1x_5 + x_3x_2x_6 + x_7x_9x_1 + x_8x_7x_2 + x_9x_8x_3 + x_4x_6x_7 + x_5x_4x_8 + x_6x_5x_9$$

$$a_8 = x_1x_3x_7 + x_2x_1x_8 + x_3x_2x_9 + x_7x_9x_4 + x_8x_7x_5 + x_9x_8x_6 + x_4x_6x_1 + x_5x_4x_2 + x_6x_5x_3$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_9 &= x_1x_3x_6 + x_2x_1x_4 + x_3x_2x_5 + x_7x_9x_3 + x_8x_7x_1 + x_9x_8x_2 + x_4x_6x_9 \\
&\quad + x_5x_4x_7 + x_6x_5x_8 \\
a_{10} &= x_1x_3x_9 + x_2x_1x_7 + x_3x_2x_8 + x_7x_9x_6 + x_8x_7x_4 + x_9x_8x_5 + x_4x_6x_3 \\
&\quad + x_5x_4x_1 + x_6x_5x_2 \\
a_{11} &= x_1x_4x_9 + x_2x_5x_7 + x_3x_6x_8 + x_7x_1x_6 + x_8x_2x_4 + x_9x_3x_5 + x_4x_7x_3 \\
&\quad + x_5x_8x_1 + x_6x_9x_2 \\
a_{12} &= x_1x_4x_8 + x_2x_5x_9 + x_3x_6x_7 + x_7x_1x_5 + x_8x_2x_6 + x_9x_3x_4 + x_4x_7x_2 \\
&\quad + x_5x_8x_3 + x_6x_9x_1
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) dimension 6

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1 &= x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_9 + x_2x_3x_1x_5x_6x_7 + x_3x_1x_2x_6x_4x_8 + x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_3 \\
&\quad + x_5x_6x_4x_8x_9x_1 + x_6x_4x_5x_9x_7x_2 + x_7x_8x_9x_1x_2x_6 + x_8x_9x_7x_2x_3x_4 \\
&\quad + x_9x_7x_8x_3x_1x_5 \\
e_2 &= x_1x_2x_3x_4x_8x_9 + x_2x_3x_1x_5x_9x_7 + x_3x_1x_2x_6x_7x_8 + x_4x_5x_6x_7x_2x_3 \\
&\quad + x_5x_6x_4x_8x_3x_1 + x_6x_4x_5x_9x_1x_2 + x_7x_8x_9x_1x_5x_6 + x_8x_9x_7x_2x_6x_4 \\
&\quad + x_9x_7x_8x_3x_4x_5 \\
e_3 &= x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_8 + x_2x_3x_1x_5x_6x_9 + x_3x_1x_2x_6x_4x_7 + x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_2 \\
&\quad + x_5x_6x_4x_8x_9x_3 + x_6x_4x_5x_9x_7x_1 + x_7x_8x_9x_1x_2x_5 + x_8x_9x_7x_2x_3x_6 \\
&\quad + x_9x_7x_8x_3x_1x_4 \\
e_4 &= x_1x_2x_3x_5x_7x_8 + x_2x_3x_1x_6x_8x_9 + x_3x_1x_2x_4x_9x_7 + x_4x_5x_6x_8x_1x_2 \\
&\quad + x_5x_6x_4x_9x_2x_3 + x_6x_4x_5x_7x_3x_1 + x_7x_8x_9x_2x_4x_5 + x_8x_9x_7x_3x_5x_6 \\
&\quad + x_9x_7x_8x_1x_6x_4 \\
e_5 &= x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_7 + x_2x_3x_1x_5x_6x_8 + x_3x_1x_2x_6x_4x_9 + x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_1 \\
&\quad + x_5x_6x_4x_8x_9x_2 + x_6x_4x_5x_9x_7x_3 + x_7x_8x_9x_1x_2x_4 + x_8x_9x_7x_2x_3x_5 \\
&\quad + x_9x_7x_8x_3x_1x_6 \\
e_6 &= x_1x_2x_3x_4x_7x_8 + x_2x_3x_1x_5x_8x_9 + x_3x_1x_2x_6x_9x_7 + x_4x_5x_6x_7x_1x_2 \\
&\quad + x_5x_6x_4x_8x_2x_3 + x_6x_4x_5x_9x_3x_1 + x_7x_8x_9x_1x_4x_5 + x_8x_9x_7x_2x_5x_6 \\
&\quad + x_9x_7x_8x_3x_6x_4 \\
e_7 &= x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7x_9 + x_2x_3x_5x_4x_8x_7 + x_3x_1x_6x_5x_9x_8 + x_4x_5x_7x_9x_1x_3 \\
&\quad + x_5x_6x_8x_7x_2x_1 + x_6x_4x_9x_8x_3x_2 + x_7x_8x_1x_3x_4x_6 + x_8x_9x_2x_1x_5x_4 \\
&\quad + x_9x_7x_3x_2x_6x_5 \\
e_8 &= x_1x_2x_4x_5x_7x_9 + x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8x_7 + x_3x_1x_6x_4x_9x_8 + x_4x_5x_7x_8x_1x_3 \\
&\quad + x_5x_6x_8x_9x_2x_1 + x_6x_4x_9x_7x_3x_2 + x_7x_8x_1x_2x_4x_6 + x_8x_9x_2x_3x_5x_4 \\
&\quad + x_9x_7x_3x_1x_6x_5 \\
e_9 &= x_1x_2x_3x_7x_8x_9 + x_4x_5x_6x_1x_2x_3 + x_7x_8x_9x_4x_5x_6
\end{aligned}$$

$$e_{10} = x_1x_2x_5x_6x_7x_9 + x_2x_3x_6x_4x_8x_7 + x_3x_1x_4x_5x_9x_8$$

$$e_{11} = x_1x_2x_4x_8x_6x_9 + x_2x_3x_5x_9x_4x_7 + x_3x_1x_6x_7x_5x_8$$

$$e_{12} = x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 + x_2x_1x_5x_4x_8x_7 + x_3x_2x_6x_5x_9x_8$$

(2) Invariants in $H^*(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_2}$

(i) dimension 3

$$\bar{a}_1 = x_1x_3x_2 + x_4x_6x_5 + x_7x_9x_8$$

$$\bar{a}_2 = x_1x_7x_4 + x_2x_8x_5 + x_3x_9x_6$$

$$\bar{a}_3 = x_1x_5x_9 + x_2x_6x_7 + x_3x_4x_8$$

$$\bar{a}_4 = x_1x_8x_6 + x_2x_9x_4 + x_3x_7x_5$$

$$\bar{a}_5 = x_1x_3x_5 + 2x_2x_1x_6 + 4x_3x_2x_4 + x_7x_9x_2 + 2x_8x_7x_3 + 4x_9x_8x_1 + x_4x_6x_8 + 2x_5x_4x_9 + 4x_6x_5x_7$$

$$\bar{a}_6 = x_1x_3x_8 + 4x_2x_1x_9 + 2x_3x_2x_7 + x_7x_9x_5 + 4x_8x_7x_6 + 2x_9x_8x_4 + x_4x_6x_2 + 4x_5x_4x_3 + 2x_6x_5x_1$$

$$\bar{a}_7 = x_1x_3x_4 + 2x_2x_1x_5 + 4x_3x_2x_6 + x_7x_9x_1 + 2x_8x_7x_2 + 4x_9x_8x_3 + x_4x_6x_7 + 2x_5x_4x_8 + 4x_6x_5x_9$$

$$\bar{a}_8 = x_1x_3x_7 + 4x_2x_1x_8 + 2x_3x_2x_9 + x_7x_9x_4 + 4x_8x_7x_5 + 2x_9x_8x_6 + x_4x_6x_1 + 4x_5x_4x_2 + 2x_6x_5x_3$$

$$\bar{a}_9 = x_1x_3x_6 + 2x_2x_1x_4 + 4x_3x_2x_5 + x_7x_9x_3 + 2x_8x_7x_1 + 4x_9x_8x_2 + x_4x_6x_9 + 2x_5x_4x_7 + 4x_6x_5x_8$$

$$\bar{a}_{10} = x_1x_3x_9 + 4x_2x_1x_7 + 2x_3x_2x_8 + x_7x_9x_6 + 4x_8x_7x_4 + 2x_9x_8x_5 + x_4x_6x_3 + 4x_5x_4x_1 + 2x_6x_5x_2$$

$$\bar{a}_{11} = x_1x_4x_9 + x_2x_5x_7 + x_3x_6x_8 + x_7x_1x_6 + x_8x_2x_4 + x_9x_3x_5 + x_4x_7x_3 + x_5x_8x_1 + x_6x_9x_2$$

$$\bar{a}_{12} = x_1x_4x_8 + x_2x_5x_9 + x_3x_6x_7 + x_7x_1x_5 + x_8x_2x_6 + x_9x_3x_4 + x_4x_7x_2 + x_5x_8x_3 + x_6x_9x_1$$

(ii) dimension 6

$$\bar{e}_1 = x_1x_3x_2x_4x_6x_8 + 2x_2x_1x_3x_5x_4x_9 + 4x_3x_2x_1x_6x_5x_7 + x_4x_6x_5x_7x_9x_2 + 2x_5x_4x_6x_8x_7x_3 + 4x_6x_5x_4x_9x_8x_1 + x_7x_9x_8x_1x_3x_5 + 2x_8x_7x_9x_2x_1x_6 + 4x_9x_8x_7x_3x_2x_4$$

$$\bar{e}_2 = x_1x_3x_2x_5x_7x_9 + 4x_2x_1x_3x_6x_8x_7 + 2x_3x_2x_1x_4x_9x_8 + x_4x_6x_5x_8x_1x_3 + 4x_5x_4x_6x_9x_2x_1 + 2x_6x_5x_4x_7x_3x_2 + x_7x_9x_8x_2x_4x_6 + 4x_8x_7x_9x_3x_5x_4 + 2x_9x_8x_7x_1x_6x_5$$

$$\bar{e}_3 = x_1x_3x_2x_4x_6x_7 + 2x_2x_1x_3x_5x_4x_8 + 4x_3x_2x_1x_6x_5x_9 + x_4x_6x_5x_7x_9x_1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2x_5x_4x_6x_8x_7x_2 + 4x_6x_5x_4x_9x_8x_3 + x_7x_9x_8x_1x_3x_4 + 2x_8x_7x_9x_2x_1x_5 \\
& +4x_9x_8x_7x_3x_2x_6 \\
\bar{e}_4 = & x_1x_3x_2x_4x_7x_9 + 4x_2x_1x_3x_5x_8x_7 + 2x_3x_2x_1x_6x_9x_8 + x_4x_6x_5x_7x_1x_3 \\
& +4x_5x_4x_6x_8x_2x_1 + 2x_6x_5x_4x_9x_3x_2 + x_7x_9x_8x_1x_4x_6 + 4x_8x_7x_9x_2x_5x_4 \\
& +2x_9x_8x_7x_3x_6x_5 \\
\bar{e}_5 = & x_1x_3x_2x_4x_6x_9 + 2x_2x_1x_3x_5x_4x_7 + 4x_3x_2x_1x_6x_5x_8 + x_4x_6x_5x_7x_9x_3 \\
& +2x_5x_4x_6x_8x_7x_1 + 4x_6x_5x_4x_9x_8x_2 + x_7x_9x_8x_1x_3x_6 + 2x_8x_7x_9x_2x_1x_4 \\
& +4x_9x_8x_7x_3x_2x_5 \\
\bar{e}_6 = & x_1x_3x_2x_6x_7x_9 + 4x_2x_1x_3x_4x_8x_7 + 2x_3x_2x_1x_5x_9x_8 + x_4x_6x_5x_9x_1x_3 \\
& +4x_5x_4x_6x_7x_2x_1 + 2x_6x_5x_4x_8x_3x_2 + x_7x_9x_8x_3x_4x_6 + 4x_8x_7x_9x_1x_5x_4 \\
& +2x_9x_8x_7x_2x_6x_5 \\
\bar{e}_7 = & x_1x_2x_4x_6x_7x_9 + x_2x_3x_5x_4x_8x_7 + x_3x_1x_6x_5x_9x_8 + x_4x_5x_7x_9x_1x_3 \\
& +x_5x_6x_8x_7x_2x_1 + x_6x_4x_9x_8x_3x_2 + x_7x_8x_1x_3x_4x_6 + x_8x_9x_2x_1x_5x_4 \\
& +x_9x_7x_3x_2x_6x_5 \\
\bar{e}_8 = & x_1x_2x_4x_5x_7x_9 + x_2x_3x_5x_6x_8x_7 + x_3x_1x_6x_4x_9x_8 + x_4x_5x_7x_8x_1x_3 \\
& +x_5x_6x_8x_9x_2x_1 + x_6x_4x_9x_7x_3x_2 + x_7x_8x_1x_2x_4x_6 + x_8x_9x_2x_3x_5x_4 \\
& +x_9x_7x_3x_1x_6x_5 \\
\bar{e}_9 = & x_1x_2x_3x_7x_8x_9 + x_4x_5x_6x_1x_2x_3 + x_7x_8x_9x_4x_5x_6 \\
\bar{e}_{10} = & x_1x_2x_5x_6x_7x_9 + x_2x_3x_6x_4x_8x_7 + x_3x_1x_4x_5x_9x_8 \\
\bar{e}_{11} = & x_1x_2x_4x_8x_6x_9 + x_2x_3x_5x_9x_4x_7 + x_3x_1x_6x_7x_5x_8 \\
\bar{e}_{12} = & x_1x_3x_4x_6x_7x_9 + x_2x_1x_5x_4x_8x_7 + x_3x_2x_6x_5x_9x_8
\end{aligned}$$

Next we compute cup products of the generators of $H^*(BG_i, \mathbf{Z}/7)$ in dimension **3**. Table 1 and Table 2 show the cup products in dimension **6**. Each a_j and \bar{a}_j ($j = 1, \dots, 12$) is the generator of $H^3(BG_i, \mathbf{Z}/7)$. These cup product structures give the main clue for proving the Proposition 2.1.

With this information, we prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1. $H^*(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_1}$ and $H^*(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_2}$ are not isomorphic as graded algebras over $\mathbf{Z}/7$.

PROOF. Suppose $\varphi_* : H^*(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_1} \longrightarrow H^*(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_2}$ is an isomorphism as graded algebras over $\mathbf{Z}/7$. We consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } f_u & \longrightarrow & H^3(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_1} \otimes H^3(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_1} & \xrightarrow{f_u} & H^6(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_1} \\
& & \downarrow \varphi_3 \otimes \varphi_3 & & \downarrow \varphi_3 \otimes \varphi_3 & & \downarrow \varphi_6 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } g_u & \longrightarrow & H^3(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_2} \otimes H^3(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_2} & \xrightarrow{g_u} & H^6(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_2}
\end{array}$$

where f_u and g_u are cup product maps and the rows are exact.

	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5	a_6	a_7	a_8	a_9	a_{10}	a_{11}	a_{12}
a_1	0	0	0	0	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	0	0
a_2	0	0	0	0	0	0	e_3	$6e_4$	$6e_5$	e_6	e_7	e_8
a_3	0	0	0	0	$6e_1$	$6e_2$	e_3	0	0	e_6	$6e_7$	$6e_8$
a_4	0	0	0	0	$6e_1$	$6e_2$	0	e_4	e_5	0	e_7	e_8
a_5	$6e_1$	0	e_1	e_1	0	α_1	0	0	0	0	0	0
a_6	$6e_2$	0	e_2	e_2	$6\alpha_1$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a_7	$6e_3$	$6e_3$	$6e_3$	0	0	0	0	0	0	α_2	0	0
a_8	$6e_4$	e_4	0	$6e_4$	0	0	0	0	α_3	0	0	0
a_9	$6e_5$	e_5	0	$6e_5$	0	0	0	$6\alpha_3$	0	0	0	0
a_{10}	$6e_6$	$6e_6$	$6e_6$	0	0	0	$6\alpha_2$	0	0	0	0	0
a_{11}	0	$6e_7$	e_7	$6e_7$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	α_4
a_{12}	0	$6e_8$	e_8	$6e_8$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$6\alpha_4$	0

* $\alpha_1 = 3e_9 + 3e_{10} + 3e_{11}$, $\alpha_2 = 3e_9 + 4e_{10} + 3e_{12}$, $\alpha_3 = 4e_9 + 3e_{11} + 3e_{12}$,
 $\alpha_4 = 3e_{10} + 4e_{11} + 3e_{12}$

TABLE 1: Cup products in $H^6(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_1}$

	\bar{a}_1	\bar{a}_2	\bar{a}_3	\bar{a}_4	\bar{a}_5	\bar{a}_6	\bar{a}_7	\bar{a}_8	\bar{a}_9	\bar{a}_{10}	\bar{a}_{11}	\bar{a}_{12}
\bar{a}_1	0	0	0	0	\bar{e}_1	\bar{e}_2	\bar{e}_3	\bar{e}_4	\bar{e}_5	\bar{e}_6	0	0
\bar{a}_2	0	0	0	0	0	0	$4\bar{e}_3$	$5\bar{e}_4$	$5\bar{e}_5$	$4\bar{e}_6$	\bar{e}_7	\bar{e}_8
\bar{a}_3	0	0	0	0	$5\bar{e}_1$	$5\bar{e}_2$	\bar{e}_3	0	0	\bar{e}_6	$6\bar{e}_7$	$6\bar{e}_8$
\bar{a}_4	0	0	0	0	$3\bar{e}_1$	$3\bar{e}_2$	0	\bar{e}_4	\bar{e}_5	0	\bar{e}_7	\bar{e}_8
\bar{a}_5	$6\bar{e}_1$	0	$2\bar{e}_1$	$4\bar{e}_1$	0	β_1	$4\bar{e}_4$	\bar{e}_7	$6\bar{e}_6$	$3\bar{e}_8$	$5\bar{e}_3$	$5\bar{e}_5$
\bar{a}_6	$6\bar{e}_2$	0	$2\bar{e}_2$	$4\bar{e}_2$	$6\beta_1$	0	$3\bar{e}_7$	$6\bar{e}_3$	\bar{e}_8	$4\bar{e}_5$	$5\bar{e}_4$	$5\bar{e}_6$
\bar{a}_7	$6\bar{e}_3$	$3\bar{e}_3$	$6\bar{e}_3$	0	$3\bar{e}_4$	$4\bar{e}_7$	0	$5\bar{e}_8$	$2\bar{e}_2$	β_2	$3\bar{e}_5$	$3\bar{e}_1$
\bar{a}_8	$6\bar{e}_4$	$2\bar{e}_4$	0	$6\bar{e}_4$	$6\bar{e}_7$	\bar{e}_3	$2\bar{e}_8$	0	β_3	$5\bar{e}_1$	$6\bar{e}_6$	$6\bar{e}_2$
\bar{a}_9	$6\bar{e}_5$	$2\bar{e}_5$	0	$6\bar{e}_5$	\bar{e}_6	$6\bar{e}_8$	$5\bar{e}_2$	$6\beta_3$	0	$2\bar{e}_7$	$6\bar{e}_1$	$6\bar{e}_3$
\bar{a}_{10}	$6\bar{e}_6$	$3\bar{e}_6$	$6\bar{e}_6$	0	$4\bar{e}_8$	$3\bar{e}_5$	$6\beta_2$	$2\bar{e}_1$	$5\bar{e}_7$	0	$3\bar{e}_2$	$3\bar{e}_4$
\bar{a}_{11}	0	$6\bar{e}_7$	\bar{e}_7	$6\bar{e}_7$	$2\bar{e}_3$	$2\bar{e}_4$	$4\bar{e}_5$	\bar{e}_6	\bar{e}_1	$4\bar{e}_2$	0	β_4
\bar{a}_{12}	0	$6\bar{e}_8$	\bar{e}_8	$6\bar{e}_8$	$2\bar{e}_5$	$2\bar{e}_6$	$4\bar{e}_1$	\bar{e}_2	\bar{e}_3	$4\bar{e}_4$	$6\beta_4$	0

* $\beta_1 = 3\bar{e}_9 + 6\bar{e}_{10} + 5\bar{e}_{11}$, $\beta_2 = 6\bar{e}_9 + \bar{e}_{10} + 3\bar{e}_{12}$, $\beta_3 = 2\bar{e}_9 + 5\bar{e}_{11} + 3\bar{e}_{12}$,
 $\beta_4 = 3\bar{e}_{10} + 4\bar{e}_{11} + 3\bar{e}_{12}$

TABLE 2: Cup products in $H^6(BP, \mathbf{Z}/7)^{H_2}$

Therefore the diagram commutes, i.e. $\varphi_6 \circ f_u = g_u \circ (\varphi_3 \otimes \varphi_3)$. This implies $\varphi_6(a_i a_j) = \varphi_3(a_i) \varphi_3(a_j)$, that is, its algebraic structure is preserved under the map φ_* . Then $\text{Ker } f_u \cong \text{Ker } g_u$. We consider $\text{Ker } f_u = \{ \sum n_{ij} a_i \otimes a_j \mid f_u(\sum n_{ij} a_i \otimes a_j) = \sum n_{ij} a_i a_j = 0 \}$. We briefly explain how to compute a basis \bar{X} for $\text{Ker } f_u$. By inspection of Table 1, if the cup product is zero, then it is obvious. Otherwise, we consider the elements whose image is a scalar multiple of $e_i, i = 1, \dots, 9$. For example, in case of $e_1, f_u(n_1 a_1 \otimes a_5 + n_2 a_3 \otimes a_5 + n_3 a_4 \otimes a_5) = n_1 e_1 + 6n_2 e_1 + 6n_3 e_1 = (n_1 + 6n_2 + 6n_3) e_1$. To find basis elements in $\text{Ker } f_u$, we set $(n_1 + 6n_2 + 6n_3) e_1 = 0$. Then $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (1, 1, 0)$ or $(1, 0, 1)$ over $\mathbf{Z}/7$. Therefore we

can let $a_1 \otimes a_5 + a_3 \otimes a_5$ and $a_1 \otimes a_5 + a_4 \otimes a_6$ belong to \bar{X} . Proceeding in a similar manner we determine the following basis.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X} = \{ & a_1 \otimes a_1, a_2 \otimes a_2, a_3 \otimes a_3, a_4 \otimes a_4, a_5 \otimes a_5, a_6 \otimes a_6, a_7 \otimes a_7, a_8 \otimes a_8, a_9 \otimes a_9, a_{10} \otimes \\ & a_{10}, a_{11} \otimes a_{11}, a_{12} \otimes a_{12}, a_1 \otimes a_2, a_1 \otimes a_3, a_1 \otimes a_4, a_1 \otimes a_{11}, a_1 \otimes a_{12}, a_2 \otimes \\ & a_3, a_2 \otimes a_4, a_2 \otimes a_5, a_2 \otimes a_6, a_3 \otimes a_4, a_3 \otimes a_8, a_3 \otimes a_9, a_4 \otimes a_7, a_4 \otimes a_{10}, a_5 \otimes \\ & a_7, a_5 \otimes a_8, a_5 \otimes a_9, a_5 \otimes a_{10}, a_5 \otimes a_{11}, a_5 \otimes a_{12}, a_6 \otimes a_7, a_6 \otimes a_8, a_6 \otimes a_9, a_6 \otimes \\ & a_{10}, a_6 \otimes a_{11}, a_6 \otimes a_{12}, a_7 \otimes a_8, a_7 \otimes a_9, a_7 \otimes a_{11}, a_7 \otimes a_{12}, a_8 \otimes a_{10}, a_8 \otimes \\ & a_{11}, a_8 \otimes a_{12}, a_9 \otimes a_{10}, a_9 \otimes a_{11}, a_9 \otimes a_{12}, a_{10} \otimes a_{11}, a_{10} \otimes a_{12}, a_1 \otimes a_5 + a_3 \otimes \\ & a_5, a_1 \otimes a_5 + a_4 \otimes a_5, a_1 \otimes a_6 + a_3 \otimes a_6, a_1 \otimes a_6 + a_4 \otimes a_6, a_1 \otimes a_7 + 6(a_3 \otimes \\ & a_7), a_2 \otimes a_7 + 6(a_3 \otimes a_7), a_1 \otimes a_3 + 6(a_4 \otimes a_8), a_2 \otimes a_8 + a_4 \otimes a_8, a_1 \otimes a_9 + 6(a_4 \otimes \\ & a_9), a_2 \otimes a_9 + a_4 \otimes a_9, a_1 \otimes a_{10} + 6(a_3 \otimes a_{10}), a_2 \otimes a_{10} + 6(a_3 \otimes a_{10}), a_2 \otimes a_{11} + \\ & 6(a_4 \otimes a_{11}), a_3 \otimes a_{11} + a_4 \otimes a_{11}, a_2 \otimes a_{12} + 6(a_4 \otimes a_{12}), a_3 \otimes a_{12} + a_4 \otimes a_{12} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $|\bar{X}| = 66$. Thus the dimension of $\text{Ker } f_u$ is 66.

Next we consider $\text{Ker } g_u = \{ \sum n_{ij} \bar{a}_i \otimes \bar{a}_j \mid g_u(\sum n_{ij} \bar{a}_i \otimes \bar{a}_j) = \sum n_{ij} \bar{a}_i \bar{a}_j = 0 \}$. We use the same method as \bar{X} to compute a basis \bar{Y} for $\text{Ker } g_u$. Thus by inspection of Table 2, \bar{Y} consists of the following elements.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y} = \{ & \bar{a}_1 \otimes \bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2 \otimes \bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_3 \otimes \bar{a}_3, \bar{a}_4 \otimes \bar{a}_4, \bar{a}_5 \otimes \bar{a}_5, \bar{a}_6 \otimes \bar{a}_6, \bar{a}_7 \otimes \bar{a}_7, \bar{a}_8 \otimes \bar{a}_8, \bar{a}_9 \otimes \\ & \bar{a}_9, \bar{a}_{10} \otimes \bar{a}_{10}, \bar{a}_{11} \otimes \bar{a}_{11}, \bar{a}_{12} \otimes \bar{a}_{12}, \bar{a}_1 \otimes \bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_1 \otimes \bar{a}_3, \bar{a}_1 \otimes \bar{a}_4, \bar{a}_1 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}, \bar{a}_1 \otimes \\ & \bar{a}_{12}, \bar{a}_2 \otimes \bar{a}_3, \bar{a}_2 \otimes \bar{a}_4, \bar{a}_2 \otimes \bar{a}_5, \bar{a}_2 \otimes \bar{a}_6, \bar{a}_3 \otimes \bar{a}_4, \bar{a}_3 \otimes \bar{a}_8, \bar{a}_3 \otimes \bar{a}_9, \bar{a}_4 \otimes \bar{a}_7, \bar{a}_4 \otimes \\ & \bar{a}_{10}, \bar{a}_1 \otimes \bar{a}_5 + \bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}, \bar{a}_3 \otimes \bar{a}_5 + 5(\bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_4 \otimes \bar{a}_5 + 3(\bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_7 \otimes \\ & \bar{a}_{12} + 3(\bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_8 \otimes \bar{a}_{10} + 5(\bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_1 \otimes \bar{a}_6 + 2(\bar{a}_{10} \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_3 \otimes \bar{a}_6 + \\ & 3(\bar{a}_{10} \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_4 \otimes \bar{a}_6 + 6(\bar{a}_{10} \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_7 \otimes \bar{a}_9 + 4(\bar{a}_{10} \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_8 \otimes \bar{a}_{12} + 5(\bar{a}_{10} \otimes \\ & \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_1 \otimes \bar{a}_7 + \bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{12}, \bar{a}_2 \otimes \bar{a}_7 + 4(\bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{12}), \bar{a}_3 \otimes \bar{a}_7 + \bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{12}, \bar{a}_5 \otimes \\ & \bar{a}_{11} + 5(\bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{12}), \bar{a}_6 \otimes \bar{a}_8 + 6(\bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{12}), \bar{a}_1 \otimes \bar{a}_8 + 2(\bar{a}_{10} \otimes \bar{a}_{12}), \bar{a}_2 \otimes \bar{a}_8 + \\ & 3(\bar{a}_{10} \otimes \bar{a}_{12}), \bar{a}_4 \otimes \bar{a}_8 + 2(\bar{a}_{10} \otimes \bar{a}_{12}), \bar{a}_5 \otimes \bar{a}_7 + \bar{a}_{10} \otimes \bar{a}_{12}, \bar{a}_6 \otimes \bar{a}_{11} + 3(\bar{a}_{10} \otimes \\ & \bar{a}_{12}), \bar{a}_1 \otimes \bar{a}_9 + 2(\bar{a}_7 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_2 \otimes \bar{a}_9 + 3(\bar{a}_7 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_4 \otimes \bar{a}_9 + 2(\bar{a}_7 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_5 \otimes \\ & \bar{a}_{12} + 3(\bar{a}_7 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_6 \otimes \bar{a}_{10} + \bar{a}_7 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}, \bar{a}_1 \otimes \bar{a}_{10} + \bar{a}_8 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}, \bar{a}_2 \otimes \bar{a}_{10} + 4(\bar{a}_8 \otimes \\ & \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_3 \otimes \bar{a}_{10} + \bar{a}_8 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}, \bar{a}_5 \otimes \bar{a}_9 + 6(\bar{a}_8 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_6 \otimes \bar{a}_{12} + 5(\bar{a}_8 \otimes \bar{a}_{11}), \bar{a}_2 \otimes \\ & \bar{a}_{11} + 3(\bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{10}), \bar{a}_3 \otimes \bar{a}_{11} + 4(\bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{10}), \bar{a}_4 \otimes \bar{a}_{11} + 3(\bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{10}), \bar{a}_5 \otimes \bar{a}_8 + \\ & 3(\bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{10}), \bar{a}_6 \otimes \bar{a}_7 + 2(\bar{a}_9 \otimes \bar{a}_{10}), \bar{a}_2 \otimes \bar{a}_{12} + 4(\bar{a}_7 \otimes \bar{a}_8), \bar{a}_3 \otimes \bar{a}_{12} + 3(\bar{a}_7 \otimes \\ & \bar{a}_8), \bar{a}_4 \otimes \bar{a}_{12} + 4(\bar{a}_7 \otimes \bar{a}_8), \bar{a}_5 \otimes \bar{a}_{10} + 5(\bar{a}_7 \otimes \bar{a}_8), \bar{a}_6 \otimes \bar{a}_9 + 4(\bar{a}_7 \otimes \bar{a}_8), 5(\bar{a}_5 \otimes \\ & \bar{a}_6) + (\bar{a}_7 \otimes \bar{a}_{10}) + 6(\bar{a}_{11} \otimes \bar{a}_{12}), 4(\bar{a}_5 \otimes \bar{a}_6) + (\bar{a}_8 \otimes \bar{a}_9) + 6(\bar{a}_{11} \otimes \bar{a}_{12}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $|\bar{Y}| = 68$. Thus the dimension of $\text{Ker } g_u$ is 68.

Since $\text{Ker } f_u$ and $\text{Ker } g_u$ have different dimensions, $\text{Ker } f_u$ is not isomorphic to $\text{Ker } g_u$. Thus our assumption leads to a contradiction. Therefore $\varphi_6(a_i a_j) \neq \varphi_3(a_i) \varphi_3(a_j)$. This means the algebraic structure is not preserved under the map φ_* . This completes the proof. ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This paper is based on part of my thesis written under the supervision of Professor Stewart Priddy at Northwestern University. I am pleased to acknowledge my sincere thanks to him for his advice, encouragement and help.

REFERENCES

1. L. Evens, *The Cohomology of Groups*, Oxford Science Publications, 1991.
2. H. W. Henn, J. Lannes and L. Schwartz, *Analytic functors unstable algebras and cohomology of classifying spaces*, Contemporary Math. **96**, Amer. Math. Soc. (1989), 197–220.
3. P. Landrock, *Finite Group Algebra and Their Modules*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983.
4. J. Martino and S. Priddy, *A classification of the stable type of BG*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (1) **27**(1992), 165–170.
5. ———, *The complete stable splitting of the classifying space of a finite group*, Topology **31**(1992), 143–156.
6. G. Nishida, *Stable homotopy type of classifying spaces of finite groups*, Algebraic and Topological Theories (1985), 391–404.
7. S. Priddy, *Recent progress in stable splittings*, Homotopy Theory, Proc. of Durham Symposium 1985, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **117**(1987), 149–174.
8. J. P. Serre, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1977.
9. N. Steenrod and D. B. A. Epstein, *Cohomology Operations*, Ann. Math. Studies **50**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1962.
10. R. Switzer, *Algebraic Topology—Homotopy and Homology*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975.

Department of Mathematics
Ewha Women's University
Seoul, 120 - 750
Korea
e-mail: hsl@mm.ewha.ac.kr