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#### Abstract

Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ be a rational prime and let $h(-p)$ be the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$. In [1], Barrucand and Cohn show that $h(-p) \equiv 0 \bmod 8$ iff $p=x^{2}+32 y^{2}$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this article, we generalize their result to a family of relative quadratic extensions $K / F$, where $F_{k}$ is the maximum totally real subfield of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{k+2}}\right)$, and $K=F_{k}\left(\sqrt{-p_{k}}\right), p_{k}$ a power of a prime of $F_{k}$ from a family of positive density.


1. Introduction. Let $p \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ be a positive prime integer, and for any integer $n$, let $h(n)$ be the narrow class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$. It is well known that $h(-p) \equiv 0 \bmod 4$ and $h(-2 p) \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, and that the following statements are, in fact, true;
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{0}\right) h(-p) \equiv 0 \bmod 8 \operatorname{iff}(1-i / p)=1$ i.e. iff $1-\sqrt{-1}$ is a square modulo $p$.
$\left(\mathrm{B}_{0}\right) h(-2 p) \equiv 0 \bmod 8 \operatorname{iff}(\sqrt{-2} / p)=1$.
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{0}\right) h(-p)+h(-2 p) \equiv \frac{p-1}{2} \bmod 8$.
$\left(\mathrm{D}_{0}\right) h(-2 p) \equiv 0 \bmod 8$ iff $p=a^{2}+2 b^{2}$ with $a^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 16$
$h(-p) \equiv 0 \bmod 8$ iff $p=a^{2}+2 b^{2}$ with $a^{2} \equiv p \bmod 16($ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z})$.
We note that any two of the statements in $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ implies the third.
In more recent work, numerous authors, for instance Gras [3], Pioui [4], Stevenhagen [6] and Williams [7], have demonstrated that these results are actually part of a much broader family of congruences involving the various weighted sums of the class numbers of certain related quadratic number fields. In this article, we show that they can also be viewed as members of a sequence of results on the class numbers of more general relative quadratic extensions. More specifically, if $F_{k}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{k+2}}\right)^{+}, k \geq 0\left(\zeta_{n}\right.$ being any primitive $n$-th root of unity, $E^{+}$the maximal totally real subfield of a CM extension $E$ ), $\tau_{k}=2+2 \cos \left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right)$ and $A(2)^{k}=\left\{p \in \mathbb{Z}, p\right.$ prime : $p \equiv 1 \bmod 2^{k+3}$ with all the units of $F_{k}$ being squares $\left.\bmod p\right\}$, (note $\left.A(2)^{k} \supseteq A(2)^{k+1}\right)$, then we have the following;

PROPOSITION. Let $p_{k}$ be a totally positive representative of a principal ideal $\rho_{k}^{f_{k}}$ where $f_{k}$ is the narrow class number of $F_{k}$, and $\rho_{k}$ is a prime ideal dividing $p \in A(2)^{k}$. Then, if $h(\mu)$ is the class number of $F_{k}(\sqrt{\mu})$, we have $h\left(-p_{k}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod 4$ and $h\left(-\tau_{k} p_{k}\right) \equiv$ $0 \bmod 4$, and in fact
$\left(A_{k}\right) h\left(-p_{k}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod 8$ iff $\left(1-\zeta_{2^{k+2}} / p\right)=1$.
$\left(B_{k}\right) h\left(-\tau_{k} p_{k}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod 8$ iff $\left(\sqrt{-\tau_{k}} / p\right)=1$.
( $\left.C_{k}\right) h\left(-p_{k}\right)+h\left(-\tau_{k} p_{k}\right) \equiv \frac{p-1}{2^{k+1}} \bmod 8$.
$\left(D_{k}\right) h\left(-\tau_{k} p_{k}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod 8$ iff $p_{k}^{c_{k}}=a^{2}+\tau_{k} b^{2} a, b \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{k}}$, with $\mathcal{N}_{F_{k} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(a^{2}\right) \equiv 1 \bmod 2^{k+4}$ $h\left(-p_{k}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod 8$ iff $p_{k}^{c_{k}}=a^{2}+\tau_{k} b^{2}$ with $\mathcal{N}_{E_{k} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(a^{2}\right) \equiv p \bmod 2^{k+4}$
where $c_{k}$ is the class number of $F_{k}\left(\sqrt{-\tau_{k}}\right)$ which, like $f_{k}$, is odd. [2; 13.7]
In Section 2 of this paper, we obtain $\left(\mathrm{A}_{k}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{B}_{k}\right)$, essentially using an extension of Redei's [5] machinery for determining the 8 -rank of the classgroup of any quadratic number field. In Section 3 we make some elementary computations involving units to obtain $\left(\mathrm{C}_{k}\right)$, and use the reciprocity laws for Hilbert symbols to prove $\left(\mathrm{D}_{k}\right)$. Finally, in Section 4, we compute the Dirichlet density of the sets $A(2)^{k}$.
2. Let $p$ be in $A(2)^{k}$, and let $p_{k}$ be a totally positive representative of a principal ideal $\rho_{k}^{c_{k}}, \rho_{k}$ a prime ideal dividing $p$. Moreover, let $E_{k}=F_{k}\left(\sqrt{p_{k}}\right)$, and $L_{k}=F_{k}\left(\sqrt{-p_{k}}\right)$. By class field theory we note that $E_{k} / F_{k}$ is ramified only at $\rho_{k}$ and that $L_{k} / F_{k}$ is ramified at $\rho_{k}$, the infinite places of $F_{k}$, and $\tau_{k}$-a uniformizer for the unique dyadic prime of $F_{k}$. (Since all of the units of $F_{k}$ are squares modulo $\rho_{k}$, there exists a unique quadratic $\bmod \rho_{k}$ ray class character on the ideals of $F_{k}$. If $F_{k}\left(\sqrt{\beta_{k}}\right)$ is the corresponding quadratic extension, then $\beta_{k}$ is totally positive, and is divisible by only one prime ideal, $\rho_{k}$. Thus we see that $p_{k} \mid \beta_{k}^{c_{k}}$, and that we may assume $\beta_{k}=\varepsilon p_{k}, \varepsilon$ being some totally positive unit of $F_{k}$. But since $F_{k}$ has odd narrow class number, any totally positive unit must be a square. Therefore $E_{k}=F_{k}\left(\sqrt{p_{k}}\right)=F_{k}\left(\sqrt{\beta_{k}}\right)$. To obtain the conductor for $L_{k}$, we simply observe that it is one of the three quadratic subfields of $E_{k} R_{k}$, where $R_{k}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{k+2}}\right)$.)

We now let $F$ be any totally real number field with odd narrow class number, $E=$ $F(\sqrt{D})$ a totally complex quadratic extension of $F$. In [2; 19.2,19.3], it is shown that the 2-torsion subgroup of $C(E),{ }_{2} C(E)$, is generated by the classes of those prime ideals of $E$ dividing $D$. Moreover, every unramified quartic extension corresponds to a 'splitting' of these primes into disjoint sets $D_{1}, D_{2}$ for which $D_{2}$ is a square modulo all the primes in $D_{1}$, and $D_{1}$ is a square modulo those primes in $D_{2}$. In our case, we see immediately that 2 -rank $C\left(L_{k}\right)=4$-rank $C\left(L_{k}\right)=1$. To determine the 8 -rank, we follow Redei's constructions.

We begin by observing that the extension $F_{k}\left(\sqrt{p_{k}}, i\right)=M_{k} \supseteq L_{k}$ is unramified of degree 2. Moreover, there exists an extension $Q_{k} \supseteq M_{k} \supseteq L_{k}$ such that $Q_{k} / L_{k}$ is unramified of degree 4 . This can actually be constructed by observing that if $\rho_{k}=\rho_{k}^{\prime} \rho_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2 k+2}\right)=F_{k}(i)$, the fact that the units of $F_{k}$, hence of $F_{k}(i)=R_{k}$, are all squares modulo $p\left(\right.$ i.e. $R_{k} / F_{k}$ is a type I CM extension [C-H 13.4,13.6]), implies by class field theory the existence of quadratic extensions $H_{k}^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{k+2}}, \alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right), H_{k}^{\prime \prime}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{k+2}}, \alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{k+2}}\right)$ ramified only at $\rho_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\rho_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. If we set $Q_{k}=M_{k}\left(\alpha_{k}^{\prime}\right)=M_{k}\left(\alpha_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, then $Q_{k} / L_{k}$ will be unramified of degree 4 and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(Q_{k} / F_{k}\right) \simeq D_{8}$.

If now we set $\left(\tau_{k}\right)=\tau^{2}=1$ in $\mathrm{C}\left(L_{k}\right)$, then $\tau \neq 1$, since $\tau_{k}, \rho_{k}$ are the only finite primes ramifying to $L_{k}$, and the prime above $\rho_{k}$ must have odd order (since $\left(\sqrt{-p_{k}}\right)$ is already principal), the class of $\tau$ must generate $C\left(L_{k}\right)_{2}$ by itself. Therefore 8 -rank $C\left(L_{k}\right)=1$ iff $\tau$ splits to $Q_{k}$, iff the unique dyadic prime of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2}{ }^{k+2}\right)$ splits to $Q_{k}$, iff $\chi_{\rho_{k}^{\prime}}\left(1-\zeta_{2}{ }^{k+2}\right)=1$, where $\chi_{\rho_{k}^{\prime}}$ is the unique $\bmod \rho_{k}^{\prime}$ quadratic ray class character on the ideals of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{k+2}}\right)$, iff
$1-\zeta_{2^{k+2}}$ is a square modulo $p\left(\right.$ i.e. $\left.\left(1-\zeta_{2^{k+2}} / p\right)=1\right)$. This gives us $\left(\mathrm{A}_{k}\right)$ simply as a consequence of Redei's machinery.

To obtain ( $\mathrm{B}_{k}$ ) we argue similarly, replacing $F_{k}\left(\sqrt{-p_{k}}\right)$ with $F_{k}\left(\sqrt{-\tau_{k} p_{k}}\right)$ for $L_{k}$, $F_{k}\left(\sqrt{p_{k}}, \sqrt{-\tau_{k}}\right)$ for $M_{k}$ and $F_{k}\left(\sqrt{-\tau_{k}}\right)$ for $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{k+2}}\right)$. We need only note that here $\sqrt{-\tau_{k}}$ serves as a uniformizer for the dyadic prime of $F_{k}\left(\sqrt{-\tau_{k}}\right)$, and that the units here continue to be squares modulo $p$ [C-H 13.4,13.6].
3. For $k \geq 0$, let $\varepsilon_{k}=\cot \left(\pi / 2^{k+2}\right)=\cot \left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right)+\csc \left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right) \in F_{k}$. We note

$$
\varepsilon_{k}=\cot \left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right)+\sqrt{1+\cot ^{2}\left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right)}
$$

and let

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{k}=\cot \left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right)-\sqrt{1+\cot ^{2}\left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right)}
$$

so that $\varepsilon_{k} \bar{\varepsilon}_{k}=-1, k \geq 1$ (for example, $\varepsilon_{0}=1, \varepsilon_{1}=1+\sqrt{2}, \varepsilon_{2}=1+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{2(2+\sqrt{2})}$ ). Now recall that $\zeta_{2^{k+3}}=\cos \left(\pi / 2^{k+2}\right)+i \sin \left(\pi / 2^{k+2}\right)$, so that

$$
\varepsilon_{k}+i=\csc \left(\pi / 2^{k+2}\right) \zeta_{2^{k+3}}
$$

implying that if $p \equiv 1 \bmod 2^{k+3}, \varepsilon_{k}+i$ is in the same square class modulo $p$ as $\csc \left(\pi / 2^{k+2}\right)$ iff $p \equiv 1 \bmod 2^{k+4}$. Taking norms, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}_{1} / \mathbf{Q}}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+i\right)= \\
& \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{N}_{R_{2} / \mathbf{Q}}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right.}(1+i)= \\
& =\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}_{1} / \mathbf{Q}}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{2}+i\right)\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{2}+i\right)\right) \\
& \\
& =\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}_{1} / \mathbf{Q}}\left(-2+2 \varepsilon_{1} i\right) \\
& \\
& =\mathcal{N}_{R_{1} / \mathbf{Q}}(2 i) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}_{1} / \mathbf{Q}}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+i\right) \\
& \\
& =2^{4} 2^{2} 2^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and in general, by induction,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}_{k} / \mathbf{Q}}\left(\varepsilon_{k}+i\right) & =\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}_{k-1} / \mathbf{Q}}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{k}+i\right)\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{k}+i\right)\right) \\
& =\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}_{k-1} / \mathbf{Q}}\left(-2+2 \varepsilon_{k-1} i\right) \\
& =\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}_{k-1} / \mathbf{Q}}(2 i) \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}_{k-1} / \mathbf{Q}}\left(\varepsilon_{k-1}+i\right) \\
& =2^{2^{k}} \cdots 2^{4} 2^{2} 2^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which in turn implies

$$
\frac{\varepsilon_{k}+i}{1-\zeta_{2^{k+2}}}=\left(1-\zeta_{2^{k+2}}\right)^{2+4+\cdots+2^{k}} \mu
$$

where $\mu$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{k+2}}\right)$.
But as $p \in A(2)^{k}$, all units of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{k+2}}\right)$ are squares $\bmod p$, hence $1-\zeta_{2^{k+2}}$ and $\csc \left(\pi / 2^{k+2}\right)$ belong in the same square class. Moreover

$$
\csc \left(\pi / 2^{k+2}\right)=\frac{2 \cos \left(\pi / 2^{k+2}\right)}{\sin \left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right)}=\csc \left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right) \sqrt{2+2 \cos \left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right)}=\csc \left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right) \sqrt{\tau_{k}}
$$

essentially by half angle formula. But we claim that $\csc \left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right)$ is itself a square $\bmod p$ and as such, $\csc \left(\pi / 2^{k+2}\right), \sqrt{\tau_{k}}$ and $\sqrt{-\tau_{k}}$ are all in the same square class. To justify this claim, we need only note the following sequence of identities. Let $\theta_{k}=-i \varepsilon_{k}$ and $\bar{\theta}_{k}=$ $-i \bar{\varepsilon}_{k}$. As $\varepsilon_{k} \bar{\varepsilon}_{k}=-1, \theta_{k} \bar{\theta}_{k}=1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(1+\bar{\theta}_{k}\right)^{2} \theta_{k}=2+\theta_{k}+\bar{\theta}_{k} \\
\left(1-i \bar{\varepsilon}_{k}\right)^{2}\left(-i \varepsilon_{k}\right)=2-2 i \varepsilon_{k-1} \\
\left(1-i \bar{\varepsilon}_{k}\right)^{2} \varepsilon_{k}=2\left(\varepsilon_{k-1}+i\right) \\
\left(\frac{1-i \bar{\varepsilon}_{k}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \varepsilon_{k}=\varepsilon_{k-1}+i
\end{gathered}
$$

( $k \geq 1$ ) implying that $\bmod p, \varepsilon_{k}$ and $\varepsilon_{k-1}+i$, hence $\csc \left(\pi / 2^{k+1}\right)$ are all in the same square class. But again, $p \in A(2)^{k}$, and as such $\varepsilon_{k}$, a unit in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{k+}}\right)^{+}$is a $\bmod p$ square by assumption. Thus we have $\mathrm{C}_{k}$.

Given this, to show $\mathrm{D}_{k}$, we need only compute $\left(\sqrt{-\tau_{k}} / p\right)=\left(-\tau_{k} / p\right)_{4}$. To this end we note that if $c_{k}$ is the narrow class number of $F_{k}\left(\sqrt{-\tau_{k}}\right)$, then $c_{k}$ is odd [2; 13.7] and we may write $p_{k}^{c_{k}}=a_{k}^{2}+\tau_{k} b_{k}^{2}$ with $a_{k}, b_{k} \in O_{F_{k}}$. Now $\bmod p_{k}, a_{k}^{2} \equiv-\tau_{k} b_{k}^{2}$, implying that $-\tau_{k}$ is a 4 th power iff $a_{k} b_{k}$ is a square $\bmod p_{k}$ i.e. iff $\left(a_{k} b_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{p_{k}}=1$. By Hilbert reciprocity, we have

$$
\left(a_{k} b_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{p_{k}}=\prod_{\omega \nmid p_{k}}\left(a_{k} b_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{\omega}=\prod_{\omega \nmid p_{k}}\left(a_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{\omega} \prod_{\omega \nmid p_{k}}\left(b_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{\omega}
$$

We note that as $p_{k}$ is totally positive, we may ignore infinite primes as for these $\left(x, p_{k}\right)_{\omega}=$ $1 \forall x \in O_{F_{k}}$. Now if $b_{k}=\tau_{k}^{\beta_{k}} b_{k}^{\prime}$, then

$$
\prod_{\omega \nmid p_{k}}\left(b_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{\omega}=\prod_{\omega X p_{k} \tau_{k}}\left(b_{k}^{\prime}, p_{k}\right)_{\omega}\left(\tau_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{\tau_{k}}^{\beta_{k}}
$$

But $p \in A(2)^{k}$ implies that $p$ splits to $F_{k+1}$, hence $\left(\tau_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{\tau_{k}}=\left(\tau_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{p_{k}}=1$. Moreover, if $v \mid b_{k}, v$ finite and nondyadic, then $\bmod v, p_{k} \equiv a_{k}^{2}$. Therefore $\left(b_{k}^{\prime}, p_{k}\right)_{v}=1$ and $\Pi_{\omega \nmid p_{k}}\left(b_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{\omega}=1$

Finally, we note both $p_{k}, \tau_{k} \mid a_{k}$ and if $v \mid a_{k}$, then $\bmod v, p_{k} \equiv \tau_{k} b_{k}^{2}$, hence $\left(a_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{v}=$ $\left(a_{k}, \tau_{k}\right)_{v}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{\omega X p_{k}}\left(a_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{\omega} & =\prod_{\omega \nmid p_{k_{k} \tau_{k}}}\left(a_{k}, p_{k}\right)_{\omega}=\prod_{\omega X p_{k} \tau_{k}}\left(a_{k}, \tau_{k}\right)_{\omega} \\
& =\prod_{\omega \nmid \tau_{k}}\left(a_{k}, \tau_{k}\right)_{\omega} \\
& =\left(a_{k}, \tau_{k}\right)_{\tau_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\tau_{k}$ is totally positive. But $\left(a_{k}, \tau_{k}\right)_{\tau_{k}}=1 \operatorname{iff} \mathcal{N}_{E_{k} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(a_{k}\right)^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 2^{k+4}$, the Hilbert symbol $\left(\alpha, \tau_{k}\right)_{\tau_{k}}$ corresponding to the extension $F_{k+1} / F_{k}$. Thus, by $\left(\mathrm{C}_{k}\right)$, we have both parts of $\left(\mathrm{D}_{k}\right)$.
4. In this section, we compute the density in the set of primes of $A(2)^{k}$. We begin by noting [C-H 13.7] that as $F_{k}$ has units with independent signs, $U_{k}^{+}=U_{k}^{2}$, where $U_{k}, U_{k}^{+}$are, respectively, the units and totally positive units of $F_{k}$. As such, there exists a unit $\varepsilon^{(1)}$, which is negative at precisely one embedding of $F_{k}$. Thus by considering the various conjugates of $\varepsilon^{(1)},\left\{\varepsilon^{(1)}, \ldots, \varepsilon^{(k)}\right\}$, we obtain a complete system of representatives for $U_{k} / U_{k}^{2}$.

Therefore, $p \in A(2)^{k}$ iff $p \equiv 1 \bmod 2^{k+3}$ and $p$ splits to $E_{k}=F_{k}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon^{(1)}}, \ldots, \sqrt{\varepsilon^{(k)}}\right)$, that is, iff $p$ splits to $E_{k} F_{k+1}$. As $E_{k} \cap F_{k+1}=F_{k}$ (by checking ramification at the infinite primes), we have $\left[E_{k} F_{k+1}: \mathbb{Q}\right]=2^{k+2^{k}+1}=d_{k}$ and that the Dirichlet density, $\delta\left(A(2)^{k}\right)=$ $1 / d_{k}$.

In conclusion, the author wishes to thank Drs. P. E. Conner, J. Hurrelbrink and P. Stevenhagen, for their support and numerous helpful conversations.
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