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#### Abstract

We determine a bound for the valency in a family of dihedrants of twice odd prime orders which guarantees that the Cayley graphs are Ramanujan graphs. We take two families of Cayley graphs with the underlying dihedral group of order $2 p$ : one is the family of all Cayley graphs and the other is the family of normal ones. In the normal case, which is easier, we discuss the problem for a wider class of groups, the Frobenius groups. The result for the family of all Cayley graphs is similar to that for circulants: the prime $p$ is 'exceptional' if and only if it is represented by one of six specific quadratic polynomials.
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## 1. Introduction

A $k$-regular graph $X$ with standard assumptions is called Ramanujan if its largest nontrivial eigenvalue (in the sense of absolute value) is not greater than the Ramanujan bound $2 \sqrt{k-1}$. The Ramanujan property of a graph means that the associated Ihara zeta function (formulated in [7], [8]) satisfies the 'Riemann hypothesis', which enables us to have a good estimate for the number of prime cycles in the graph (see, for example, [9]). See also [6] for further relations between this property and various mathematical objects.

We considered the following problem in our previous paper [5]. Let $G$ be a finite group and $\mathcal{S}$ a set of Cayley subsets of $G$, and put

$$
\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{X}_{G, \mathcal{S}}=\{X(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\},
$$

where $X(S)$ is the Cayley graph of $G$ with respect to the Cayley subset $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Letting $\mathcal{L}=\{|G|-|S| \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ be the set of 'covalencies' of graphs in $\mathcal{X}$, we write $\mathcal{X}=\bigsqcup_{l \in \mathcal{L}} \mathcal{X}_{l}$, where $\mathcal{X}_{l}=\left\{X(S) \in \mathcal{X}| | G|-|S|=l\}\right.$. Notice that $\mathcal{X}_{1}=\left\{K_{|G|}\right\}$ if $1 \in \mathcal{L}$, where $K_{|G|}=X(G \backslash\{1\})$ is the complete graph with $|G|$-vertices. According to [1],

[^0]some neighbours of $K_{|G|}$ are expected to be Ramanujan. We want to estimate them precisely, that is, to determine the bound
$$
\hat{l}_{G, \mathcal{S}}=\max \left\{l \in \mathcal{L} \mid X \in \mathcal{X}_{k} \text { is Ramanujan for } 1 \leq k \leq l\right\}
$$
of edge-removal in $\mathcal{S}$ which preserves the Ramanujan property from the complete graph $K_{|G|}$.

Previously, we have discussed this problem when $\mathcal{X}$ is the family consisting of all circulants of odd prime orders. In this paper, we treat the cases when $\mathcal{X}$ is a family of dihedrants of twice odd prime orders. Specifically, we consider two families of Cayley graphs with the underlying group $D_{2 p}$ : the dihedral group of order $2 p$, whose Cayley subsets $\mathcal{S}$ are the set $\mathcal{S}_{A}$ of all Cayley subsets and the set $\mathcal{S}_{N}$ of all normal ones. Here, we call a Cayley subset normal if it is a union of conjugacy classes of $G$. The normal case is the easier to discuss, since the spectra of the normal Cayley graphs are given by an explicit formula (see Lemma 2.1). We discuss the problem, in this case, for a wider class of groups, the Frobenius groups. On the other hand, it is hard to handle the family for all Cayley subsets in general. However, we can study the family of all dihedrants in detail, since their eigenvalues can be explicitly written down. We prove the following result which is similar to the one for circulants obtained in [5].

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.5). In the family consisting of all dihedrants of twice odd prime orders $2 p$, the prime $p$ is 'exceptional' if and only if it is of the form of one of six specific quadratic polynomials.

The classical Hardy-Littlewood conjecture asserts that every quadratic polynomial represents an infinite number of primes under some standard conditions. So our result implies that there are an infinite number of exceptional primes if and only if the HardyLittlewood conjecture is true for at least one of these six quadratic polynomials.

Throughout the paper, we denote the set of all odd primes by $\mathbb{P}$ and the cyclic group of order $m$ by $\mathbb{Z}_{m}=\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Cayley graphs and their spectra. Let $X$ be a $k$-regular finite graph with $m$ vertices which is undirected, connected and simple. The adjacency matrix $A_{X}$ of $X$ is the symmetric matrix of size $m$ whose entry is one if the corresponding pair of vertices are connected by an edge and zero otherwise. We call the eigenvalues of $A_{X}$ the eigenvalues (or the spectra) of $X$. The set $\Lambda(X)$ of all eigenvalues of $X$ is given by

$$
\Lambda(X)=\left\{\lambda_{i} \mid k=\lambda_{0}>\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{m-1} \geq-k\right\}
$$

Let $\mu(X)$ be the largest nontrivial eigenvalue of $X$ in the sense of absolute value

$$
\mu(X)=\max \{|\lambda||\lambda \in \Lambda(X),|\lambda| \neq k\} .
$$

The graph $X$ is called a Ramanujan graph if $\mu(X) \leq 2 \sqrt{k-1}$. Here the constant $2 \sqrt{k-1}$ is often called the Ramanujan bound for $X$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{RB}(X)$.

Let $G$ be a finite group with the identity element 1 and $S$ be a Cayley subset of $G$, that is, a symmetric generating subset of $G$ without 1 . We denote by $X(S)$ the Cayley graph of $G$ with respect to the Cayley subset $S$. This is the undirected, connected and simple $|S|$-regular graph with the vertex set $G$ and the edge set $\left\{(x, y) \in G^{2} \mid x^{-1} y \in S\right\}$. In what follows, for a Cayley subset $S$, we write $\Lambda(S)=\Lambda(X(S)), \mu(S)=\mu(X(S))$, $\mathrm{RB}(S)=\mathrm{RB}(X(S))$ and so on. It is well known that the spectra of Cayley graphs are described by the irreducible characters of the underlying group, as follows.

Lemma 2.1 [2]. Let $X=X(S)$ be a Cayley graph of a finite group $G$ with respect to a Cayley subset $S$ and let $A_{X}$ be its adjacency matrix.
(1) $A_{X}=\sum_{s \in S} R_{s}$, where $R_{s}$ is the matrix of the right multiplication by $s \in G$ in the group algebra $\mathbb{C} G$.
(2) Let $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{h}$ be all of the irreducible characters of $G$ with $\operatorname{deg} \chi_{i}=n_{i}$. Then $\Lambda(S)=\left\{\lambda_{i, j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq h, 1 \leq j \leq n_{i}\right\}$, where the multiplicity of $\lambda_{i, j}$ is $n_{i}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{i, j}\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq n_{i}}$ is determined by the $n_{i}$ equations

$$
\lambda_{i, 1}^{t}+\cdots+\lambda_{i, n_{i}}^{t}=\sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{t} \in S} \chi_{i}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{t} s_{l}\right), \quad 1 \leq t \leq n_{i} .
$$

In particular, if $S$ is normal, that is, a union of conjugacy classes of $G$, then $\lambda_{i, 1}=\cdots=\lambda_{i, n_{i}}$. Therefore $\Lambda(S)=\left\{\lambda_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq h\right\}$, where the multiplicity of $\lambda_{i}$ is $n_{i}^{2}$ and

$$
\lambda_{i}=\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{s \in S} \chi_{i}(s) .
$$

2.2. Two formulations for a Ramanujan boundary problem. Let $G$ be a finite group and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a set consisting of Cayley subsets of $G$. For $S \in \mathcal{S}$, we define $l(S)=|G|-|S|=|G \backslash S|$ and call it a covalency of $X(S)$. Letting $\mathcal{L}=\{l(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}$, we write $\mathcal{S}=\bigsqcup_{l \in \mathcal{L}} \mathcal{S}_{l}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{l}=\{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid l(S)=l\}$. We consider the following bound for the covalency which guarantees the Ramanujan property:

$$
\hat{l}=\hat{l}(G)=\max \left\{l \in \mathcal{L} \mid X(S) \text { is Ramanujan for all } S \in \mathcal{S}_{k}(1 \leq k \leq l)\right\} .
$$

In view of Lemma 2.1, we will take the following two subsets as $\mathcal{S}$ : the set $\mathcal{S}_{N}$ of all normal Cayley subsets of $G$ and the set $\mathcal{S}_{A}$ of all Cayley subsets of $G$. These two sets are the same if $G$ is abelian. We write $\hat{l}_{N}$ and $\hat{l}_{A}$ for the bounds corresponding to these two cases. In general, $\hat{l}_{N}$ is easier to evaluate than $\hat{l}_{A}$, because the spectra for $X(S)$ with $S \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$ have a closed formula, as in Lemma 2.1, and we can find the trivial lower bound $l_{0}$ for $\hat{l}_{N}$ based on the following lemma.
Lemma 2.2. For $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}_{N}$, put $l_{0}=\max \{l \in \mathcal{L} \mid l \leq 2(\sqrt{|G|}-1)\}$. Then $l_{0} \leq \hat{l}_{N}$.
Proof. Take $S \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$ with $l(S) \leq \frac{1}{2}|G|$. It is enough to see that $X(S)$ is Ramanujan if $l(S) \leq 2(\sqrt{|G|}-1)$. For any nontrivial irreducible character $\chi_{i}$ of $G$,

$$
\lambda_{i}=\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{s \in S} \chi_{i}(s)=-\frac{1}{n_{i}} \sum_{s \in G \backslash S} \chi_{i}(s)
$$

Table 1. The character table of the Frobenius group $G=N \rtimes H$.

|  | 1 | $x_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ | $y_{j}(1 \leq j \leq h)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\chi_{\alpha}(1 \leq \alpha \leq h)$ | $\chi_{\alpha}(1)$ | $\chi_{\alpha}(1)$ | $\chi_{\alpha}\left(y_{j}\right)$ |
| $\phi_{\beta}(1 \leq \beta \leq k)$ | $\|H\| \psi_{\beta}(1)$ | $\sum_{z \in H} \psi_{\beta}\left(x^{z}\right)$ | 0 |

from the orthogonality. Since $\left|\chi_{i}(s)\right| \leq n_{i}$, this shows that $\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \leq \min \{|S|, l(S)\}=l(S)$. Hence, if $l(S) \leq \mathrm{RB}(S)=2 \sqrt{|G|-l(S)-1}$, or, equivalently, $l(S) \leq 2(\sqrt{|G|}-1)$, then $X(S)$ is Ramanujan. Note that $2(\sqrt{|G|}-1) \leq \frac{1}{2}|G|$ for any $G$.

## 3. The normal cases

In this section, we discuss the determination of $\hat{l}_{N}$ for the normal dihedrants of order $2 p$ with $p \in \mathbb{P}$, that is, the normal Cayley graphs of the dihedral groups $D_{2 p}$. To do this, we study a wider class of graphs, the Cayley graphs of the Frobenius groups. We refer to [3] for the Frobenius groups. For a group $G$ and $x, y \in G$, we write $x^{y}=y^{-1} x y$ and $\operatorname{Conj}_{G}(x)$ for the conjugacy class of $x \in G$ in $G$. Let $c(G)$ denote the number of conjugacy classes in $G$. Throughout this section, we drop the subscript $N$ on $\mathcal{S}_{N}$ for brevity.
3.1. Spectra of normal Frobenius graphs. We recall the character table of the Frobenius group $G=N \rtimes H$, where $N$ and $H$ are subgroups of $G$ called the Frobenius kernel and complement, respectively. Notice that $r=(|N|-1) /|H|$ is a positive integer. It is known that a set of representatives of the conjugacy classes of $G$ can be taken as $\{1\} \sqcup\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k} \sqcup\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{h}$, where $x_{i} \in N(1 \leq i \leq k)$ and $y_{j} \in H(1 \leq j \leq h)$ with $k=(c(N)-1) /|H|$ and $h=c(H)-1$. Notice that $\operatorname{Conj}_{G}\left(x_{i}\right) \subset N$ and $\operatorname{Conj}_{G}\left(y_{j}\right) \subset G \backslash N$ and that

$$
\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{N}\left(x_{i}\right)\right||H|, \quad\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{G}\left(y_{j}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{H}\left(y_{i}\right)\right||N| .
$$

The irreducible characters of $G$ are given as follows. Since $H \simeq G / N$, a nontrivial irreducible character of $H$ corresponds to a character of $G$ whose kernel contains $N$. We write these as $\chi_{\alpha}(1 \leq \alpha \leq h)$. Moreover, for a nontrivial irreducible character $\psi_{\beta}$ of $N$, its induced character is again an irreducible character of $G$. We write these as $\phi_{\beta}=\operatorname{Ind}\left(\psi_{\beta}\right)(1 \leq \beta \leq k)$. Notice that

$$
\phi_{\beta}(x)=\frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{\substack{y \in G \\ x^{y} \in N}} \psi_{\beta}\left(x^{y}\right)=\sum_{z \in H} \psi_{\beta}\left(x^{z}\right) .
$$

It is known that these, together with the trivial character 1, exhaust all irreducible characters of $G$. The character table of $G$ is as shown in Table 1 .

Let us calculate the eigenvalues of normal Cayley graphs of Frobenius groups. For subsets $X \subset\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ and $Y \subset\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{h}$, we put $S_{X, Y}=S_{X} \sqcup S_{Y}$, where

$$
S_{X}=\bigsqcup_{x \in X} \operatorname{Conj}_{G}(x), \quad S_{Y}=\bigsqcup_{y \in Y} \operatorname{Conj}_{G}(y) .
$$

We say that $X \subset\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ (respectively, $Y \subset\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{h}$ ) is symmetric if $S_{X}$ (respectively, $S_{Y}$ ) is symmetric. It is clear that $S_{X, Y}$ is symmetric if and only if both $X$ and $Y$ are symmetric. Further,

$$
\mathcal{S} \subset\left\{S_{X, Y} \mid \text { both } X \subset\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k} \text { and } Y \subset\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{h} \text { are symmetric }\right\} .
$$

Notice that, if $S_{X, Y}$ on the right-hand side satisfies $\left|S_{X, Y}\right|>\frac{1}{2}|G|$, then it generates $G$ and hence is in $\mathcal{S}$ and, moreover, $Y \neq \emptyset$ because, otherwise, $\left|S_{X, Y}\right|=\left|S_{X, \emptyset}\right|<|N|-1$, which contradicts $\frac{1}{2}|G| \geq|N|$. This means that, in the determination of $l_{0}$ and $\hat{l}_{N}$, we may assume that $S \in \mathcal{S}$ is always of the form of $S=S_{X, Y}$ for some symmetric subsets $X \subset\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ and $\emptyset \neq Y \subset\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{h}$.

The following lemma is a consequence of Lemma 2.1 and the character table of $G$.
Lemma 3.1. For $X \subset\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ and $\emptyset \neq Y \subset\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{h}$,

$$
\Lambda\left(S_{X, Y}\right)=\left\{\lambda_{\mathbf{1}}\right\} \cup\left\{\lambda_{\chi_{\alpha}}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{h} \cup\left\{\lambda_{\phi_{\beta}}\right\}_{\beta=1}^{k},
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{\mathbf{1}}=\left|S_{X, Y}\right|=|H| \sum_{x \in X}\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{N}(x)\right|+|N| \sum_{y \in Y}\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{H}(y)\right|,  \tag{3.1}\\
& \lambda_{\chi_{\alpha}}=|H| \sum_{x \in X}\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{N}(x)\right|+\frac{|N|}{\chi_{\alpha}(1)} \sum_{y \in Y} \chi_{\alpha}(y)\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{H}(y)\right|, \\
& \lambda_{\phi_{\beta}}=\frac{1}{\psi_{\beta}(1)} \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{z \in H} \psi_{\beta}\left(x^{z}\right)\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{N}(x)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

have the multiplicities $1, \chi_{\alpha}(1)^{2}$ and $|H|^{2} \psi_{\beta}(1)^{2}$, respectively.
3.2. The boundary in the normal cases. To determine $l_{0}$ and $\hat{l}_{N}$, we first describe the set $\mathcal{L}=\{l(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}$. For symmetric subsets $X \subset\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ and $\emptyset \neq Y \subset\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{h}$, set

$$
a_{X}=r-\sum_{x \in X}\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{N}(x)\right|, \quad b_{Y}=|H|-1-\sum_{y \in Y}\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{H}(y)\right| .
$$

Then $0 \leq a_{X} \leq r, 0 \leq b_{Y}<|H|-1$ and, from (3.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\left(S_{X, Y}\right)=|G|-\left|S_{X, Y}\right|=1+a_{X}|H|+b_{Y}|N| . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $X, X^{\prime} \subset\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ and $\emptyset \neq Y, Y^{\prime} \subset\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{h}$ be symmetric subsets. Then $l\left(S_{X, Y}\right)=l\left(S_{X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}}\right)$ if and only if $\left(a_{X}, b_{Y}\right)=\left(a_{X^{\prime}}, b_{Y^{\prime}}\right)$.
Proof. From (3.2), $l\left(S_{X, Y}\right)=l\left(S_{X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}}\right)$ is equivalent to $\left(a_{X}-a_{X^{\prime}}\right)|H|+\left(b_{Y}-b_{Y^{\prime}}\right)|N|=0$. Since $\left(a_{X}-a_{X^{\prime}}\right)|H|<|N|$, it follows that $a_{X}=a_{X^{\prime}}$ and hence $b_{Y}=b_{Y^{\prime}}$.

Put $l(a, b)=1+a|H|+b|N|$. From Lemma 3.2, we write $\mathcal{S}=\bigsqcup_{a \in A, b \in B} \mathcal{S}_{l(a, b)}$, where $A=\left\{a_{X} \mid X \subset\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}\right.$ is symmetric $\}, B=\left\{b_{Y} \mid \emptyset \neq Y \subset\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{h}\right.$ is symmetric $\}$.
We arrange the elements of $A$ and $B$ in ascending order, respectively: that is,

$$
A=\left\{a_{i} \mid a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{m}\right\}, \quad B=\left\{b_{i} \mid b_{1}<b_{2}<\cdots<b_{n}\right\},
$$

with $m=|A|$ and $n=|B|$. Here we observe that $a_{1}=0$ and $a_{m}=r$, which, respectively, correspond to the cases $X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ and $X=\emptyset$. Similarly, $b_{1}=0$, which corresponds to the case $Y=\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{h}$, and $b_{n}<|H|-1$ because $Y \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, when $h \geq 2$, since the centre of $H$ is nontrivial, there exists $y^{\prime} \in\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{h}$ such that $\operatorname{Conj}_{H}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}$. This implies that $b_{2}=1$ if $\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}$ is not symmetric (that is, $y^{\prime 2} \neq 1$ ) and $b_{2}=2$ otherwise. The relations among $l(a, b)$ for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =l\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)<l\left(a_{2}, b_{1}\right)<\cdots<l\left(a_{m}, b_{1}\right)=\left(b_{1}+1\right)|N|=|N| \\
<b_{2}|N|+1 & =l\left(a_{1}, b_{2}\right)<l\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)<\cdots<l\left(a_{m}, b_{2}\right)=\left(b_{2}+1\right)|N| \\
<b_{3}|N|+1 & =l\left(a_{1}, b_{3}\right)<l\left(a_{2}, b_{3}\right)<\cdots<l\left(a_{m}, b_{3}\right)=\left(b_{3}+1\right)|N| \\
& \vdots \\
<b_{n}|N|+1 & =l\left(a_{1}, b_{n}\right)<l\left(a_{2}, b_{n}\right)<\cdots<l\left(a_{m}, b_{n}\right)=\left(b_{n}+1\right)|N| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following is the main result in this section.
Theorem 3.3. Assume that $r=(|N|-1) /|H| \geq 4$.
(1) There exists $1 \leq i_{0}<m$ such that $l_{0}=l\left(a_{i_{0}}, b_{1}\right)<|N|$.
(2) $\hat{l}_{N}=l_{0}$.

Proof. Under the condition $r \geq 4$, we have $|H| \leq \frac{1}{4}(|N|-1)<\frac{1}{4}|N|$ and hence $2(\sqrt{|G|}-1)<2 \sqrt{|N||H|}<2 \sqrt{|N| \cdot \frac{1}{4}|N|}=|N|$. Therefore, the first assertion follows from the definition of $l_{0}$.

To prove the second assertion, it is enough to show that there exists $S \in \mathcal{S}_{l\left(a_{i_{0}+1}, b_{1}\right)}$ such that $X(S)$ is not Ramanujan. Actually, take any $S=S_{X, Y} \in \mathcal{S}_{l\left(a_{i_{0}+1}, b_{1}\right)}$. Then, since $Y=\left\{y_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{h}$, Lemma 3.1 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\chi_{\alpha}}\left(S_{X, Y}\right) & =|H| \sum_{x \in X}\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{N}(x)\right|+\frac{|N|}{\chi_{\alpha}(1)} \sum_{i=1}^{h} \chi_{\alpha}\left(y_{j}\right)\left|\operatorname{Conj}_{H}\left(y_{j}\right)\right| \\
& =|H|\left(\frac{|N|-1}{|H|}-a_{i_{0}+1}\right)+\frac{|N|}{\chi_{\alpha}(1)}\left(-\chi_{\alpha}(1)\right) \\
& =-\left(1+a_{i_{0}+1}|H|\right)=-l\left(a_{i_{0}+1}, b_{1}\right)=-l\left(S_{X, Y}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the second equality follows from the orthogonality of characters together with the fact that $\chi_{\alpha}$ is regarded as a nontrivial irreducible character of $H$. This implies that $\left|\lambda_{\chi_{\alpha}}\left(S_{X, Y}\right)\right|=l\left(S_{X, Y}\right)>l_{0}$ and hence $\left|\lambda_{\chi_{\alpha}}\left(S_{X, Y}\right)\right|>\operatorname{RB}(S)$, by the definition of $l_{0}$. This completes the proof.

We remark that, since $l\left(a_{i_{0}}, b_{1}\right) \leq 2(\sqrt{|G|}-1)<l\left(a_{i_{0}+1}, b_{1}\right)$ with $l\left(a_{i}, b_{1}\right)=1+a_{i}|H|$, $a_{i 0}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i_{0}}=\max \left\{a \in A \left\lvert\, a \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{|N||H|}-3}{|H|}\right.\right\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply the above theorem to dihedrants for

$$
D_{2 p}=\mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\left\langle x, y \mid x^{p}=y^{2}=1, y^{-1} x y=x^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

with $p \in \mathbb{P}$. This is a Frobenius group with $r=(p-1) / 2$. We can take representatives of the conjugacy classes of $D_{2 p}$ as $\{1\} \sqcup\left\{x^{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \sqcup\{y\}$. Since all the conjugacy classes $\operatorname{Conj}_{D_{2 p}}\left(x^{v}\right)=\left\{x^{v}, x^{-v}\right\}$ for $1 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ and $\operatorname{Conj}_{D_{2 p}}(y)=\left\{x^{a} y \mid 0 \leq a \leq\right.$ $p-1\}$ are symmetric, $A=\left\{i \left\lvert\, 0 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)\right.\right\}$ and $B=\{0\}$. Now, from Theorem 3.3 together with (3.3), we obtain the following result (noticing that $r \geq 4$ if $p \geq 11$ ).
Corollary 3.4. Let $G=D_{2 p}$, where $p \in \mathbb{P}$. If $p \geq 11$, then

$$
\hat{l}_{N}=l_{0}=2\left\lfloor\sqrt{2 p}-\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor-1,
$$

where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ is the largest integer not exceeding $x$.
Remark 3.5. There are several examples of Frobenius groups with $r=(|N|-1) /|H|$ $\leq 3$ for which the claims in Theorem 3.3 do not hold. For example, consider the dihedral groups $D_{2 p}$ for $p=3,5,7$, which correspond to the cases $r=1,2,3$, respectively. In these cases, $(m, n)=\left(\frac{1}{2}(p+1), 1\right)$ and we can check that $l_{0}=$ $l\left(a_{(p-1) / 2}, b_{1}\right)=p-2$. Moreover, $\hat{l}_{N}=l\left(a_{(p+1) / 2}, b_{1}\right)=p$ because the corresponding Cayley graph is $X\left(S_{0,\{y\}}\right)$, which is Ramanujan because $\Lambda\left(S_{\emptyset,\{y\}}\right)=\{ \pm p, 0\}$.

## 4. The cases with all Cayley subsets

The determination of $\hat{l}_{A}$ is much more difficult than that of $\hat{l}_{N}$ because of Lemma 2.1. In this section, we study this problem for the case of dihedrants. Throughout this section, we drop the subscript $A$ on $\mathcal{S}_{A}$, the set of all Cayley subsets of $D_{2 p}$.
4.1. Initial results. Let us consider the dihedrant $X(S)$ of $D_{2 p}$ with respect to $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Divide $D_{2 p}$ into two parts as $D_{2 p}=D_{1} \sqcup D_{2}$, where $D_{1}=\left\{1, x, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{p-1}\right\}$ and $D_{2}=\left\{y, x y, x^{2} y, \ldots, x^{p-1} y\right\}$. According to this decomposition, we write $S=S_{1} \sqcup S_{2}$ and $l(S)=l_{1}(S)+l_{2}(S)$, where $S_{i}=S \cap D_{i}$ and $l_{i}(S)=\left|D_{i} \backslash S_{i}\right|=p-\left|S_{i}\right|$ for $i=1,2$. Since any subset of $D_{2}$ is symmetric because the order of any element in $D_{2}$ is two, $S$ is symmetric if and only if $S_{1}$ is. This implies that $\left|S_{1}\right|$ is always even and hence $l_{1}(S)$ is odd. Define $z_{j}=z_{j}(S), w_{j}=w_{j}(S) \in \mathbb{C}(0 \leq j \leq p-1)$ by $z_{0}=\left|S_{1}\right|+\left|S_{2}\right|$, $w_{0}=\left|S_{1}\right|-\left|S_{2}\right|$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{j}=\sum_{x^{a} \in S_{1}} \omega^{j a}=-\sum_{x^{a} \in D_{1} \backslash S_{1}} \omega^{j a}, \quad w_{j}=\sum_{x^{a} y \in S_{2}} \omega^{j a}=-\sum_{x^{a} y \in D_{2} \backslash S_{2}} \omega^{j a} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq p-1$. Here, $\omega=e^{2 \pi i / p}$. Note that $z_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ because $S_{1}$ is symmetric. Then the eigenvalues of $X(S)$ are described by using $z_{j}$ and $w_{j}$ from Lemma 2.1.
Lemma 4.1.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Lambda(S)=\left\{\mu_{j}^{(+)}, \mu_{j}^{(-)} \mid 0 \leq j \leq p-1\right\} \text {, where } \mu_{j}^{( \pm)}=z_{j} \pm\left|w_{j}\right| .  \tag{1}\\
& \text { Let }\left|\mu_{j}\right|=\max \left\{\left|\mu_{j}^{(+)}\right|,\left|\mu_{j}^{()}\right|\right\} . \text {Then }\left|\mu_{j}\right|=\left|z_{j}\right|+\left|w_{j}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Now a lower bound of $\hat{l}_{A}$ follows from the trivial estimate for the eigenvalues.

Lemma 4.2. For $p \geq 29$, we have $\hat{l}_{A} \geq\lfloor 2 \sqrt{2 p}\rfloor-2$.
Proof. We first remark that $\mu_{0}^{(+)}=\left|S_{1}\right|+\left|S_{2}\right|=|S|$ is the largest eigenvalue of $X(S)$ and hence $\mu(S)=\max \left\{|\mu|\left|\mu \in \Lambda(S),|\mu| \neq \mu_{0}^{(+)}\right\}=\max \left\{\left|\mu_{0}^{(-)}\right|,\left|\mu_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|\mu_{p-1}\right|\right\}\right.$.

Assume that $l(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} p$, which implies that $l_{i}(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} p$ for $i=1,2$. Then, from (4.1), for $1 \leq j \leq p-1$, we see that $\left|\mu_{j}\right|=\left|z_{j}\right|+\left|w_{j}\right| \leq \min \left\{\left|S_{1}\right|, l_{1}(S)\right\}+\min \left\{\left|S_{2}\right|, l_{2}(S)\right\} \leq$ $l_{1}(S)+l_{2}(S)=l(S)$. Moreover, $\mu_{0}^{(-)}=2\left|S_{1}\right|-2 p+l(S) \leq l(S)$. Thus $\mu(S) \leq l(S)$. Therefore, if $l(S) \leq \mathrm{RB}(S)=2 \sqrt{2 p-l(S)-1}$ or, equivalently, $l(S) \leq\lfloor 2 \sqrt{2 p}\rfloor-2$, then $X(S)$ is Ramanujan. Notice that $2 \sqrt{2 p}-2 \leq \frac{1}{2} p$ when $p \geq 29$.

From this lemma, together with the result in the previous section, we can narrow the candidates for $\hat{l}_{A}$ down to at most two.

Theorem 4.3. Assume that $p \geq 29$ and put $\hat{l}_{N}=2\left\lfloor\sqrt{2 p}-\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor-1$, as in Corollary 3.4.
(1) If $\lfloor 2 \sqrt{2 p}\rfloor$ is even, then $\hat{l}_{A}=\hat{l}_{N}+1$.
(2) If $\lfloor 2 \sqrt{2 p}\rfloor$ is odd, then $\hat{l}_{A}=\hat{l}_{N}$ or $\hat{l}_{A}=\hat{l}_{N}+1$.

Proof. We first remark that, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
2\left\lfloor\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor-1= \begin{cases}\lfloor 2 \alpha\rfloor-2-1 & \text { if } 0 \leq \alpha-\lfloor\alpha\rfloor<\frac{1}{2} \text { or, equivalently, }\lfloor 2 \alpha\rfloor \text { is even, } \\ \lfloor 2 \alpha\rfloor-2 & \text { if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha-\lfloor\alpha\rfloor<1 \text { or, equivalently, }\lfloor 2 \alpha\rfloor \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

Using this formula with $\alpha=\sqrt{2 p}$, we see that $\lfloor 2 \sqrt{2 p}\rfloor-2$ coincides with $\hat{l}_{N}+1$ (respectively, $\hat{l}_{N}$ ) if $\lfloor 2 \sqrt{2 p}\rfloor$ is even (respectively, odd) and hence, from Lemma 4.2, $\hat{l}_{A} \geq \hat{l}_{N}+1$ (respectively, $\hat{l}_{A} \geq \hat{l}_{N}$ ). The results follow because $\hat{l}_{A}<l_{1}=\hat{l}_{N}+2$, where $l_{1}=\min \left\{l \in \mathcal{L}_{N} \mid l>l_{0}\right\}$ with $\mathcal{L}_{N}=\left\{l(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_{N}\right\}$.

From this theorem, $\hat{l}_{A}=\hat{l}_{N}+\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{p} \in\{0,1\}$. Similarly to the case of circulants [5], we call $p$ exceptional if $\lfloor 2 \sqrt{2 p}\rfloor$ is odd and $\varepsilon=1$ and ordinary otherwise.
4.2. A characterisation of exceptional primes. Assume that $\lfloor 2 \sqrt{2 p}\rfloor$ is odd. For $l \in \mathcal{L}$, let $\mu(l)=\max \left\{\mu(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_{l}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{RB}(l)=\operatorname{RB}(S)=2 \sqrt{2 p-l-1}$ for $S \in \mathcal{S}_{l}$. From the definition, $p$ is exceptional if and only if $\mu\left(\hat{l}_{N}+1\right) \leq \operatorname{RB}\left(\hat{l}_{N}+1\right)$. To study this inequality, we first construct $S \in \mathcal{S}_{\hat{l}_{N}+1}$ such that $\mu\left(\hat{l}_{N}+1\right)=\mu(S)$.

For $l \in \mathcal{L}$, let $L(l)=\left\{\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2} \mid l_{1}+l_{2}=l, l_{1}\right.$ odd $\}$. Further, for $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right) \in L(l)$, define $S^{\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}=S_{1}^{\left(l_{1}\right)} \sqcup S_{2}^{\left(l_{2}\right)} \in \mathcal{S}_{l}$ by $S_{1}^{\left(l_{1}\right)}=D_{1} \backslash\left\{1, x^{ \pm 1}, x^{ \pm 2}, \ldots, x^{ \pm\left(l_{1}-1\right) / 2}\right\}$ and $S_{2}^{\left(l_{2}\right)}=D_{2} \backslash\left\{y, x y, x^{2} y, \ldots, x^{l_{2}-1} y\right\}$. One sees that $l_{i}\left(S^{\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)}\right)=l_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ and

$$
z_{j}=\sum_{h=-\left(l_{1}-1\right) / 2}^{\left(l_{1}-1\right) / 2} \omega^{h j}=\frac{\sin \pi j l_{1} / p}{\sin \pi j / p}, \quad w_{j}=\sum_{h=0}^{l_{2}-1} \omega^{h j}=\omega^{j\left(l_{2}-1\right) / 2} \frac{\sin \pi j l_{2} / p}{\sin \pi j / p},
$$

and hence $\left|\mu_{j}\right|=\left|\mu_{j}\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)\right|$ can be written as

$$
\left|\mu_{j}\right|=\left|z_{j}\right|+\left|w_{j}\right|=\frac{\sin \pi j l_{1} / p}{\sin \pi j / p}+\frac{\sin \pi j l_{2} / p}{\sin \pi j / p}=2 \frac{\sin \pi j l / 2 p}{\sin \pi j / p} \cos \frac{\pi j\left|l_{1}-l_{2}\right|}{2 p} .
$$

Now let us write $\hat{l}_{N}=\lfloor 2 \sqrt{2 p}\rfloor-2$ as $\hat{l}_{N}=4 k+r$ for some $k \geq 0$ and $r \in\{1,3\}$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\left(\check{l}_{1}, \check{l}_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{l}_{N}+1\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{l}_{N}+1\right)\right)$ if $r=1$ and $\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{l}_{N}+3\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{l}_{N}-1\right)\right)$ otherwise. Then,

$$
\mu\left(\hat{l}_{N}+1\right)=\mu\left(S^{\left(\check{l}_{1}, \check{l}_{2}\right)}\right)=\left|\mu_{1}\left(\check{l}_{1}, \check{l}_{2}\right)\right| .
$$

Proof. Consider when $\left|l_{1}-l_{2}\right|$ takes its minimum under the condition that $l_{1}+l_{2}=$ $\hat{l}_{N}+1$ and $l_{1}$ is odd. Note that, since $p$ is prime, $\left|\mu_{1}\left(\check{l}_{1}, \breve{l}_{2}\right)\right|$ is the maximum among $\left|\mu_{j}\left(\check{l}_{1}, \check{l}_{2}\right)\right|$ for $1 \leq j \leq p-1$.

When $\hat{l}_{N}=4 k+r$, we see that $p \in I_{r, k} \cap \mathbb{P}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{r, k} & =\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid\lfloor 2 \sqrt{2 t}\rfloor-2=4 k+r\} \\
& =\left[2 k^{2}+(r+2) k+\frac{1}{8}(r+2)^{2}, 2 k^{2}+(r+3) k+\frac{1}{8}(r+3)^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that $p$ can be expressed as $p=f_{r, c_{r}}(k)$ for some integers $k \geq 3$ and $c_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $-k+2 \leq c_{1} \leq 1$, if $r=1$, and $-k+4 \leq c_{3} \leq 4$, otherwise. Here,

$$
f_{r, c_{r}}(t)=2 t^{2}+(r+3) t+c_{r} .
$$

Let $I_{r}=\bigsqcup_{k \geq 3} I_{r, k} \cap \mathbb{P}$ and $C_{r}=\{r-4, r-2, r\}$. Moreover, for $c_{r} \in C_{r}$, define an integer $k_{r, c_{r}} \geq 3$ by $\left(k_{1,-3}, k_{1,-1}, k_{1,1}\right)=(5,3,3)$ and $\left(k_{3,-1}, k_{3,1}, k_{3,3}\right)=(7,3,3)$. We now obtain the following theorem, which gives a characterisation for exceptional primes.

Theorem 4.5. A prime $p \in I_{r}$ with $p \geq 29$ is exceptional if and only if it is of the form of $p=f_{r, c_{r}}(k)$ for some $c_{r} \in C_{r}$ and $k \geq k_{r, c_{r}}$.

Proof. To clarify when the inequality $\mu\left(\hat{l}_{N}+1\right)=\left|\mu_{1}\left(\check{l}_{1}, \check{l}_{2}\right)\right| \leq \mathrm{RB}\left(\hat{l}_{N}+1\right)$ holds, we introduce an interpolation function $d_{r}(t)$ of the difference between $\left|\mu_{1}\left(\check{l}_{1}, \check{l}_{2}\right)\right|$ and $\mathrm{RB}\left(\hat{l}_{N}+1\right)$ on $I_{r, k}$. Set

$$
d_{r}(t)=2 \frac{\sin \pi(4 k+r+1) / 2 t}{\sin \pi / t} \cos \frac{\pi(r-1)}{2 t}-2 \sqrt{2 t-4 k-r-2} .
$$

One can see that $d_{r}(t)$ is monotonically decreasing on $I_{r, k}$ for sufficiently large $k$. Moreover, at $t=p=f_{r, c_{r}}(k) \in I_{r, k} \cap \mathbb{P}$,

$$
d_{r}(p)=\frac{3(r+3)^{2}-24 c_{r}-16 \pi^{2}}{24} k^{-1}+O\left(k^{-2}\right)
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mu_{1}\left(\check{l}_{1}, \check{l}_{2}\right)\right| & =2 \frac{\sin \pi(4 k+r+1) /\left(2\left(2 k^{2}+(r+3) k+c_{r}\right)\right)}{\sin \pi /\left(2 k^{2}+(r+3) k+c_{r}\right)} \cos \frac{\pi(r-2)}{2\left(2 k^{2}+(r+3) k+c_{r}\right)} \\
& =4 k+r+1-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} \frac{1}{k}+O\left(k^{-2}\right), \\
\operatorname{RB}\left(\hat{l}_{N}+1\right) & =2 \sqrt{2\left(2 k^{2}+(r+3) k+c_{r}\right)-4 k-r-2} \\
& =4 k+r+1-\frac{(r+3)^{2}-8 c_{r}}{8} \frac{1}{k}+O\left(k^{-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows $d_{r}(p)<0$ for sufficiently large $k$ if and only if $3(r+3)^{2}-24 c_{r}-16 \pi^{2}<0$, that is, if $c_{r} \in C_{r}$ (note that $c_{r}$ should be odd because $p$ is). In fact, for each $r \in\{1,3\}$ and $c_{r} \in C_{r}$, we can check that the inequality $d_{r}(p)<0$ with $p=f_{r, c_{r}}(k)$ holds if and only if $k \geq k_{r, c_{r}}$.

For $r \in\{1,3\}$ and $c_{r} \in C_{r}$, let $J_{r, c_{r}}=\left\{f_{r, c_{r}}(k) \in I_{r} \mid k \geq k_{r, c_{r}}\right\}$, that is, let $J_{r, c_{r}}$ be the set of exceptional primes $p$ of the form $p=f_{r, c_{r}}(k)$. The classical Hardy-Littlewood conjecture [4] asserts that if a quadratic polynomial $f(t)=a t^{2}+b t+c$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the conditions that $a>0,(a, b, c)=1, a+b$ and $c$ are not both even and $D=b^{2}-4 a c$ is not a square, then there are an infinite number of primes represented by $f(t)$ and, moreover, that $\pi(f ; x)=\#\{k \leq x \mid f(k) \in \mathbb{P}\}$ has the asymptotic behaviour

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(f ; x) \sim \frac{C(f)}{2} \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad C(f)=2 \prod_{p \geq 3}\left(1-\frac{\left(\frac{D}{p}\right)}{p-1}\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Here, $C(f)$ is called the Hardy-Littlewood constant and $(D / p)$ is the Legendre symbol. From Theorem 4.5, the existence of an infinite number of exceptional primes is related to this conjecture.

Corollary 4.6. There are an infinite number of exceptional primes if the HardyLittlewood conjecture is true for at least one of $f_{r, c_{r}}(t)$ with $r \in\{1,3\}$ and $c_{r} \in C_{r}$.

Remark 4.7. From (4.2), we can expect that $\pi\left(f_{r, c_{r}} ; x\right) \sim \frac{1}{2} C\left(f_{r, c_{r}}\right) x / \log x$, where

$$
\frac{C\left(f_{r, c_{r}}\right)}{2}=\prod_{p \geq 3}\left(1-\frac{\left(\frac{c_{r}^{\prime}}{p}\right)}{p-1}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0.671043 \ldots, & r=1, c_{1}=-3 \\
1.03566 \ldots, & r=1, c_{1}=-1 \\
1.84998 \ldots, & r=1, c_{1}=1 \\
(1.14801 \ldots, & r=3, c_{3}=-1 \\
0.757353 \ldots, & r=3, c_{3}=1 \\
1.38332 \ldots, & r=3, c_{3}=3
\end{array},\right.\end{cases}
$$

with $c_{1}^{\prime}=4-2 c_{1}$ and $c_{3}^{\prime}=9-2 c_{3}$.
Remark 4.8. The existence of an infinite number of ordinary primes can be verified as follows. Let $J=\bigsqcup_{r \in\{1,3\}} \bigsqcup_{c_{r} \in C_{r}} J_{r, c_{r}}$. For a positive integer $a$, let

$$
J_{r, c_{r}}(a)=\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid 0 \leq n \leq a-1 \text { and } n \equiv f_{r, c_{r}}(k)(\bmod a) \text { for some } 0 \leq k \leq a-1\right\}
$$

and $J(a)=\bigcup_{r \in\{1,3\}} \bigcup_{c_{r} \in C_{r}} J_{r, c_{r}}(a)$. If we can find $b \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, a-1\} \backslash J(a)$ satisfying $(a, b)=1$, then $\{a t+b \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap J=\emptyset$ and, from the Dirichlet theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions, there are an infinite number of primes in $\{a t+b \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. These are ordinary primes, by Theorem 4.5. To achieve this purpose, one may take, for example, $(a, b)=(29,4),(35,8)$ or $(40,33)$.

## References

[1] N. Alon and Y. Roichman, 'Random Cayley graphs and expanders', Random Structures Algorithms 5 (1994), 271-284.
[2] L. Babai, 'Spectra of Cayley Graphs', J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 27 (1979), 180-189.
[3] C. Curtis and I. Reiner, Methods of Representation Theory. Vol. I. With Applications to Finite Groups and Orders, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Wiley-Interscience, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1981).
[4] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, 'Some problems of 'partitio numerorum', III: On the expression of a number as a sum of primes', Acta Math. 44 (1923), 1-70.
[5] M. Hirano, K. Katata and Y. Yamasaki, 'Ramanujan circulant graphs and the conjecture of HardyLittlewood and Bateman-Horn', submitted, 2016.
[6] A. Lubotzky, 'Expander graphs in pure and applied mathematics', Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 49 (2012), 113-162.
[7] T. Sunada, 'L-functions in geometry and some applications', in: Proc. Taniguchi Symp. (1985), Curvature and Topology of Riemannian Manifolds, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1201 (Springer, Berlin, 1986), 266-284.
[8] T. Sunada, 'Fundamental groups and Laplacians', in: Proc. Taniguchi Symp. (1987), Geometry and Analysis on Manifolds, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1339 (Springer, Berlin, 1988), 248-277.
[9] A. Terras, Zeta Functions of Graphs, A Stroll Through the Garden, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 128 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011).

MIKI HIRANO, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ehime University, Bunkyo-cho, Matsuyama, 790-8577, Japan e-mail: hirano@math.sci.ehime-u.ac.jp

KOHEI KATATA, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ehime University, Bunkyo-cho, Matsuyama, 790-8577, Japan
e-mail: katata@math.sci.ehime-u.ac.jp
YOSHINORI YAMASAKI, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ehime University, Bunkyo-cho, Matsuyama, 790-8577, Japan
e-mail: yamasaki@math.sci.ehime-u.ac.jp


[^0]:    Miki Hirano is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 24540022; Yoshinori Yamasaki is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 24740018.
    (C) 2016 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2016 \$16.00

