

ON BIORTHOGONAL SYSTEMS AND
MAZUR'S INTERSECTION PROPERTY

JAN RYCHTÁŘ

We give a characterisation of Banach spaces X containing a subspace with a shrinking Markushevich basis $\{x_\gamma, f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$. This gives a sufficient condition for X to have a renorming with Mazur's intersection property.

A *biorthogonal system* in a Banach space X is a subset $\{x_\gamma, f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset X \times X^*$ such that $f_\gamma(x_{\gamma'}) = \delta_{\gamma\gamma'}$ for $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$. The biorthogonal system $\{x_\gamma, f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ in X is called *fundamental* if $X = \overline{\text{span}}\{x_\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. A *Markushevich basis* is a fundamental biorthogonal system $\{x_\gamma, f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ in X such that $\{f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ separates points of X . A Markushevich basis $\{x_\gamma, f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset X \times X^*$ is called *shrinking* if $X^* = \overline{\text{span}}\{f_\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. In this note we use Γ as a cardinal number.

A Banach space X is said to be an *Asplund space*, if every separable subspace of X has a separable dual. A Banach space X has *Mazur's intersection property* if every bounded closed convex set can be represented as an intersection of closed balls. A *density* of a topological space is the least cardinality of a dense set. We refer to [2] for undefined terms used in this paper.

It is known, [9, Theorem 7.18, Theorem 7.12], that if a dual unit ball of a Banach space X is a Corson compact, then $\text{dens } X = w^*\text{-dens } X^*$ and the following are equivalent.

- (i) X has a shrinking Markushevich basis,
- (ii) X is an Asplund space,
- (iii) X admits a Fréchet smooth norm.

Let us remark that if a norm on X is Fréchet smooth, then X has Mazur's intersection property, [1, Proposition 4.5].

When we do not assume that the dual unit ball is a Corson compact, then the above is no longer true. For example, the Banach space $C(K)$, where K is a Kunen's compact (see [8, 5]), is an Asplund space without shrinking Markushevich basis and without Mazur's intersection property ([6]).

Received 15th July, 2003

Research supported by NSERC 7926, FS Chia Ph.D. Scholarship for 2002/2003 and GAUK 277/2001, written as part of Ph.D. thesis under supervision of Professor N. Tomczak-Jaegermann and Professor V. Zizler. The author wishes to thank Professor G. Godefroy and Professor S. Todorčević for discussions on the subject of this note.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/04 \$A2.00+0.00.

The aim of this note is to prove a theorem in the spirit of equivalences above but without assuming anything about a dual unit ball.

THEOREM 1. *Let E be a Banach space. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *There is a subspace $Y \subset E$ with a shrinking Markushevich basis $\{x_\gamma, f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$.*
- (ii) *There is an Asplund space $X \subset E$ with $\text{dens } X = w^*\text{-dens } X^* = \Gamma$.*
- (iii) *There is a subspace $Z \subset E$ that admits a Fréchet smooth norm and such that $\text{dens } Z = w^*\text{-dens } Z^* = \Gamma$.*

Moreover, if one from the above occurs with $\Gamma = \text{dens } E^*$, then

- (iv) *E admits a norm with the Mazur intersection property.*

REMARK. The condition $\text{dens } E = \text{dens } E^*$ is necessarily for renorming with Mazur intersection property due to [3].

PROOF: Implications (i) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (ii). If Y has a shrinking Markushevich basis, then Y admits a Fréchet differentiable norm [2, Theorem 11.23]. Thus it is an Asplund space [2, Theorem 8.24]. It remains to show that $w^*\text{-dens } Y^* = \text{dens } Y = \Gamma$. Let $\{g_\alpha; \alpha \in A\} \subset Y^*$ be a weak* dense set. As the basis $\{x_\gamma, f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is shrinking, we may assume without loss of generality that $\{g_\alpha; \alpha \in A\} \subset \text{span}\{f_\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. For a contradiction, assume that $|A| < \Gamma$. Thus there is $\Gamma' < \Gamma$ such that

$$\{g_\alpha; \alpha \in A\} \subset \text{span}\{f_\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma'\}.$$

Hence, for $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma'$ and all $\alpha \in A$

$$|(f_\gamma - g_\alpha)(x_\gamma)| = 1,$$

a contradiction with the density of $\{g_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$. □

Implication (i) \Rightarrow (iv). Due to [6, Theorem 2.4], to show that E admits a norm with the Mazur intersection property, it is enough to construct a fundamental biorthogonal system $\{q_\gamma, x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset E^* \times E$. As we assume that $Y \subset E$ has a shrinking Markushevich basis, that is a fundamental biorthogonal system $\{f_\gamma, x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset Y^* \times Y$, we only need to show the following.

LEMMA 2. *Let E be a Banach space with $\text{dens } E^* = \Gamma$ and $Y \subset E$ be a closed subspace. Assume that there is a fundamental biorthogonal system $\{f_\gamma, x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset Y^* \times Y$. Then there is a fundamental biorthogonal system $\{q_\gamma, x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset E^* \times E$.*

PROOF: By a relabeling and rescaling, we may have a fundamental system $\{f_\gamma^n, x_\gamma^n\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Y^* \times Y$ such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma, \lim_n \|f_\gamma^n\| = 0$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, consider $f_\gamma^n \in E^*$. Let $\{g_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be a dense set of $B_{E^*} \cap Y^\perp$.

We claim, that $A = \{g_\gamma + f_\gamma^n\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is linearly dense in E^* . Indeed, let $G \in E^{**}$ be such that $G(f) = 0$ for every $f \in A$. Then $G(g_\gamma) = \lim_n G(g_\gamma + f_\gamma^n) = 0$ and thus $G \in (Y^\perp)^\perp = Y^{**}$. Hence $G = 0$ as $\{f_\gamma^n\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are linearly dense in Y^* .

Hence $\{g_\gamma + f_\gamma^n, x_\gamma^n\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E^* \times E$ is a fundamental biorthogonal system. □

REMARK. As $c_0(\Gamma) \subset C([0, \Gamma])$, Lemma 2 provides a direct proof of the fact that there is a fundamental biorthogonal system $\{f_\gamma, x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset C([0, \Gamma])^* \times C([0, \Gamma])$. Thus $C([0, \Gamma])$ admits a norm with Mazur's intersection property, see also [6, Lemma 3.5].

Thus it remains to prove the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

The proof goes in the spirit of [7, Theorem 1.a.5] and [4]. We shall use the concept of the Jayne-Rogers selector, see [1, Chapter 1]. The Jayne-Rogers selection map \mathcal{D}^X on an Asplund space X is a multi-valued map that satisfies the following.

- (i) $\mathcal{D}^X(x) = \{D_n^X(x); n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup D_\infty^X(x) \subset X^*$,
- (ii) D_n^X , for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are continuous functions from X to X^* ,
- (iii) $D_\infty^X(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n^X(x)$ for every $x \in X$,
- (iv) $D_\infty^X(x)(x) = \|x\|^2 = \|D_\infty^X(x)\|^2$,
- (v) $X^* = \overline{\text{span}} \mathcal{D}^X(X)$.

Such selector exists by [1, Theorem 1.5.2].

In order to construct $Y \subset X$ we shall define, by a transfinite induction, vectors $x_{\alpha+1} \in X$, subspaces $Y_\alpha \subset X$ and subsets $F_\alpha \subset X^*$, for all $\alpha < \Gamma$. Put $Y_0 = 0$ and $F_0 = 0$ and pick arbitrary nonzero $x_1 \in (F_0)_\perp = \{x \in X; f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in F_0\}$. Then put $Y_1 = \text{span}\{x_1\}$, and $F_1 = \{\mathcal{D}^X(x); x \in Y_1\}$. Let Y_α and F_α for $\alpha < \Gamma$ have been chosen. Notice that $\text{dens} Y_\alpha < \Gamma$ and thus $\text{dens} F_\alpha \leq \aleph_0$. $\text{dens} Y_\alpha < \Gamma$. Thus F_α is not w^* -dense and we can pick a nonzero vector $x_{\alpha+1} \in (F_\alpha)_\perp$. Set $Y_{\alpha+1} = \text{span}\{Y_\alpha \cup \{x_{\alpha+1}\}\}$ and $F_{\alpha+1} = \{\mathcal{D}^X(x); x \in Y_{\alpha+1}\}$.

If $\alpha \leq \Gamma$ is a limit ordinal, define $Y_\alpha = \overline{\text{span}} \cup_{\beta < \alpha} Y_\beta$ and $F_\alpha = \{\mathcal{D}^X(x), x \in Y_\alpha\}$.

Put $Y = \overline{\text{span}} \cup_{\alpha < \Gamma} Y_\alpha$. We shall show that Y has a shrinking Markushevich basis $\{x_{\alpha+1}, f_{\alpha+1}\}_{\alpha < \Gamma}$, where $\{x_{\alpha+1}\}_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ have been already chosen and their biorthogonals $f_{\alpha+1}$ will be defined by projections.

Clearly $Y = \overline{\text{span}}\{x_{\alpha+1}; \alpha < \Gamma\}$. Let us define projections $P_\alpha : Y \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \leq \Gamma$. First define projections $\tilde{P}_\alpha : \text{span}\{x_{\alpha+1}; \alpha < \Gamma\} \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ by letting $P_\alpha(x_\beta) = x_\beta$ if $\beta \leq \alpha$ and 0 otherwise. \tilde{P}_α are well defined and once we show that they all have norm 1, they will extend naturally onto desired projections on Y .

Take $x \in \text{span}\{x_{\alpha+1}; \alpha < \Gamma\}$ and fix $\alpha \leq \Gamma$. Then by the properties of the Jayne-Rogers selector and due to the choice of $\{x_{\alpha+1}; \alpha < \Gamma\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{P}_\alpha(x)\|^2 &= D_\infty^X(\tilde{P}_\alpha(x))(\tilde{P}_\alpha(x)) = D_\infty^X(\tilde{P}_\alpha(x))(x) \\ &\leq \|x\| \cdot \|D_\infty^X(\tilde{P}_\alpha(x))\| = \|x\| \cdot \|\tilde{P}_\alpha(x)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|\tilde{P}_\alpha\| = 1$.

Define $f_{\alpha+1} \in Y^*$ for $\alpha < \Gamma$ such that $\|f_{\alpha+1}\| = 1$ and $f_{\alpha+1} \in (P_{\alpha+1} - P_\alpha)^* Y^*$. Clearly $\{x_{\alpha+1}, f_{\alpha+1}\}_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ is a biorthogonal system.

We shall show that the projection $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \Gamma}$ are shrinking. From that it follows that $\overline{\text{span}}\{f_{\alpha+1}; \alpha < \Gamma\} = Y^*$.

Let $\alpha \leq \Gamma$ be a fixed limit ordinal and set $Z = P_\alpha Y$. Let $f \in Z^*$ be arbitrary. We need to show that there exist a sequence of ordinals $\beta_n \rightarrow \alpha$ and $g_n \in P_{\beta_n}^* Z^*$ such that $g_n \rightarrow f$ in Z^* . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Denote \mathcal{D}^Z the restriction of \mathcal{D}^X on Z , that is $D_k^Z(z) = D_k^X(z)|_Z$ for all $z \in Z$. Clearly \mathcal{D}^Z is the Jayne-Rogers selection map for Z . As $Z \subset X$ is an Asplund space, $Z^* = \overline{\text{span}}\mathcal{D}^Z(Z)$. Thus

$$\left\| f - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_{k_i}^Z(z_i) + \sum_{i=n+1}^m D_{\infty}^Z(z_i) \right) \right\| < \varepsilon,$$

where $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $z_i \in Z$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Because D_∞^Z is a pointwise limit of D_n^Z , there are $k_i \in \mathbb{N}, i = n + 1, \dots, m$ such that

$$\left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^m D_{k_i}^Z(z_i) \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Because D_n^Z are continuous, there is $\beta < \alpha$ such that

$$\left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^m D_{k_i}^Z(z'_i) \right\| < \varepsilon,$$

for $z'_i \in P_\beta Z$.

Thus it remains to show that $\mathcal{D}^Z(P_\beta(Z)) \subset P_\beta^* Z^*$ for $\beta < \alpha$. Let $z \in P_\beta Z$. By the choice of $\{x_{\alpha+1}; \alpha < \Gamma\}$ we know that $\mathcal{D}^Z(z)(x_\gamma) = 0$ for $\gamma > \beta$. Thus

$$P_\beta^*(\mathcal{D}^Z(z))(x) = \mathcal{D}^Z(z)(P_\beta x) = \mathcal{D}^Z(z)(x),$$

for all $x \in Z$, and it was exactly what we needed to prove.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Deville, G. Godefroy and V. Zizler, *Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces*, Pitmen Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics **64** (Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, 1993).
- [2] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, J. Pelant and V. Zizler, *Functional analysis and infinite dimensional geometry*, CMS Books in Mathematics **8** (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001).
- [3] J.R. Giles, D.A. Gregory, and B. Sims, 'Characterization of normed linear spaces with Mazur's intersection property', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **18** (1978), 471–476.
- [4] G. Godefroy, 'Asplund spaces and decomposable nonseparable Banach spaces', *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **25** (1995), 1013–1024.
- [5] P. Holický, M. Šmídek, L. Zajíček, 'Convex functions with non-Borel set of Gâteaux differentiability points', *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **39** (1998), 469–482.

- [6] M. Jiménez Sevilla and J. P. Moreno, 'Renorming Banach spaces with the Mazur intersection property', *J. Funct. Anal.* **144** (1997), 486–504.
- [7] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1977).
- [8] S. Negrepontis, 'Banach spaces and topology', in *Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology*, (K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, Editors) (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984), pp. 1045–1142.
- [9] V. Zizler, 'Nonseparable Banach spaces', in *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. II*, (W.B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, Editors) (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2003).

Department of Mathematical and Statistical Science
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G1
Canada
e-mail: jrychta@math.ualberta.ca