

THE ADJOINTS OF DIFFERENTIABLE MAPPINGS

S. YAMAMURO

(Received 14 December 1966)

The notion of *symmetric* (non-linear) mappings has been introduced by Vainberg [3, p. 56]. However, symmetric mappings of this type have not played any important rôle in non-linear functional analysis. Naturally, as in the case of linear mappings, the symmetric mappings should be defined in such a way that they are easy to handle and belong to the most elementary class of non-linear mappings.

In this paper, we shall introduce the notion of *adjoint* mappings of non-linear mappings and define symmetric mappings as the mappings which coincide with their adjoints. It will be seen that a mapping is symmetric if and only if it is potential. (See Theorem 1.) This means that our definition gives a natural generalization of the notion of symmetry for linear mappings, because it is evident that a linear mapping is symmetric if and only if it is potential.

An extensive study on the potential mappings can be found in Vainberg's book [3]. We shall spend most of this paper for the study on the notion of adjoint mappings.

1. Preliminaries

Let E be a real Hilbert space. A mapping f of E into itself is said to be (*Fréchet-*)*differentiable at* $a \in E$ if there exists a continuous linear mapping l of E into E such that

$$f(a+x) - f(a) = l(x) + r(a, x) \quad \text{for every } x \in E$$

where

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow 0} \|r(a, x)\|/\|x\| = 0.$$

The linear mapping l is determined uniquely and depends on the element a . We call it *the derivative of f at a* and denote it by $f'(a)$.

If a mapping f is differentiable at every point of E , f is said to be *differentiable*. In this case, $f'(x)$ is a mapping of E into the set \mathcal{L} of all continuous linear mappings of E into E . As is well known, the set \mathcal{L} is a Banach algebra with the norm:

$$\|l\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|l(x)\| \quad \text{for every } l \in \mathcal{L}.$$

If the mapping $f'(x)$ is continuous with respect to this norm topology, f is said to be *continuously differentiable*.

Throughout this paper, we denote by \mathcal{D} the set of all continuously differentiable mappings f such that $f(0) = 0$. Real numbers are denoted by the Greek letters.

2. *-admissibility

A mapping $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is said to be **-admissible* if there exists $g \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$(1) \quad g'(x) = (f'(x))^* \quad \text{for every } x \in E,$$

where $(f'(x))^*$ denotes the adjoint of the linear mapping $f'(x)$. In other words, $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is **-admissible* if there exists $g \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$(g'(x)(y), z) = (y, f'(x)(z))$$

for any x, y and z in E . ($f'(x)(z)$ is the value of $f'(x)$ at z , and $(y, f'(x)(z))$ is the inner product of y and $f'(x)(z)$.)

(2) *If $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is *-admissible, the mapping g in (1) is determined uniquely.*

To prove this, we have only to prove generally that, if $f, g \in \mathcal{D}$ and

$$f'(x) = g'(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in E,$$

we have $f(x) = g(x)$ for every $x \in E$. Let us consider abstract functions $f(\xi x)$ and $g(\xi x)$ of real variable ξ . Since

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} f(\xi x) = f'(\xi x)(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{d\xi} g(\xi x) = g'(\xi x)(x),$$

it follows from [Theorem 2.7, p. 34, [3]] that

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 f'(\xi x)(x) d\xi = \int_0^1 g'(\xi x)(x) d\xi = g(x).$$

In the sequel, we denote this uniquely determined mapping by f^* and call it *the adjoint of f* .

A linear mapping $l \in \mathcal{L}$ is always **-admissible* because $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and

$$l'(x) = l \quad \text{for every } x \in E,$$

and l^* defined in this way coincides with the usual adjoint.

The following properties of **-admissible* mappings are easily proved:

(3) *If f is *-admissible, f^* is also *-admissible and*

$$(f^*)^* = f.$$

(4) If f and g are $*$ -admissible, $f+g$ is $*$ -admissible and

$$(\alpha f + \beta g)^* = \alpha f^* + \beta g^*.$$

(5) If f is $*$ -admissible,

$$f^*(x) = \int_0^1 (f'(\xi x))^*(x) d\xi \quad \text{for every } x \in E.$$

The following property will be used frequently.

(6) If f is $*$ -admissible,

$$(f(x), x) = (f^*(x), x) \quad \text{for every } x \in E.$$

In fact, by making use of properties of the abstract integral (§ 2, Chapter I, [3]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x), x) &= \left(\int_0^1 f'(\xi x)(x) d\xi, x \right) \\ &= \int_0^1 (f'(\xi x)(x), x) d\xi \\ &= \int_0^1 ((f'(\xi x))^*(x), x) d\xi \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 (f'(\xi x))^*(x) d\xi, x \right) = (f^*(x), x). \end{aligned}$$

3. Symmetry and skew-symmetry

A mapping $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is said to be *symmetric* if it is $*$ -admissible and $f = f^*$. If f is $*$ -admissible and $f^* = -f$, it is said to be *skew-symmetric*.

From (3) and (4) it follows immediately that, if f is $*$ -admissible, $f+f^*$ is symmetric and $f-f^*$ is skew-symmetric.

The following theorem is a paraphrase of [Theorem 5.1, p. 56, [3]].

THEOREM 1. *A mapping f is symmetric if and only if it is potential; in other words, f is symmetric if and only if there exists a real-valued function $\phi(x)$ on E such that*

$$\phi(x+y) - \phi(x) = (f(x), y) + r(x, y)$$

for any x and y in E and

$$\lim_{\|y\| \rightarrow 0} |r(x, y)| / \|y\| = 0.$$

Next, we give a characterization for skew-symmetric mappings.

THEOREM 2. *A mapping f is skew-symmetric if and only if it is linear and $(f(x), x) = 0$ for every $x \in E$.*

PROOF. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$ be skew-symmetric. Then, it follows from (6) that

$$(f(x), x) = (f^*(x), x) = -(f(x), x)$$

for every $x \in E$. Therefore, $(f(x), x) = 0$ for every $x \in E$. Thus, we have only to prove that f is linear. At first, we prove that, if f is skew-symmetric, $(f(x + \xi y), y)$ is constant with respect to ξ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\xi} (f(x + \xi y), y) &= (f'(x + \xi y)(y), y) \\ &= ((f'(x + \xi y))^*(y), y) \\ &= ((f^*)'(x + \xi y)(y), y) \\ &= -(f'(x + \xi y)(y), y) \\ &= -\frac{d}{d\xi} (f(x + \xi y), y), \end{aligned}$$

hence it follows that

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} (f(x + \xi y), y) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \xi.$$

Therefore, especially, we have

$$(f(x + y), y) = (f(x), y) \quad \text{for any } x \text{ and } y \text{ in } E.$$

Similarly,

$$(f(x + y), x) = (f(y), x) \quad \text{for any } x \text{ and } y \text{ in } E.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$0 = (f(x + y), x + y) = (f(x + y), x) + (f(x + y), y).$$

These three equalities imply that

$$(f(x), y) = -(f(y), x) \quad \text{for any } x \text{ and } y \text{ in } E,$$

from which the linearity of f follows.

Conversely, if l is linear and $(l(x), x) = 0$ for any $x \in E$, we have

$$(l(x), y) + (l(y), x) = (l(x + y), x + y) - (l(x), x) - (l(y), y) = 0,$$

from which it follows that $l^* = -l$.

4. Conditions for *-admissibility

The adjoints of non-linear mappings, unlike the adjoints of continuous linear mappings, cannot always be defined. Theorem 1 suggests the existence of close connection between *-admissibility and potentiality. The following theorem makes the connection clear.

THEOREM 3. *$f \in \mathcal{D}$ is *-admissible if and only if there exists a skew-symmetric mapping $l \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $f + l$ is potential.*

PROOF. If $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is $*$ -admissible, we have that

$$f = \frac{1}{2}(f+f^*) + \frac{1}{2}(f-f^*),$$

$\frac{1}{2}(f+f^*)$ is symmetric (= potential by Theorem 1) and $\frac{1}{2}(f-f^*)$ is skew-symmetric. Therefore, we can take $\frac{1}{2}(f-f^*)$ as the mapping l in the theorem. Conversely, let us assume that $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $f+l$ is potential for some skew-symmetric mapping $l \in \mathcal{L}$. Then, since $f+l$ and l are $*$ -admissible, it follows from (4) that f is $*$ -admissible.

It should be remembered that, if f is $*$ -admissible, the skew-symmetric mapping in the above theorem can be determined uniquely. In fact, if there are two skew-symmetric mappings l_1 and l_2 such that $f+l_1$ and $f+l_2$ are symmetric, $l_1-l_2 = (f+l_1) - (f+l_2)$ is also symmetric. Therefore,

$$l_1-l_2 = (l_1-l_2)^* = l_1^*-l_2^* = l_2-l_1,$$

from which it follows that $l_1 = l_2$.

Thus, since non-zero skew-symmetric mappings are not symmetric, it is meant by

$$f = \frac{1}{2}(f+f^*) + \frac{1}{2}(f-f^*)$$

that the set of all $*$ -admissible mappings is the direct sum of the set of all symmetric mappings and the set of all skew-symmetric mappings. In other words, if we put

$$\begin{aligned} f_s &= \frac{1}{2}(f+f^*) \quad \text{and} \quad l_f = \frac{1}{2}(f-f^*), \\ f &= f_s + l_f \end{aligned}$$

is the unique expression of a $*$ -admissible mapping f as the sum of a symmetric mapping and a skew-symmetric mapping, and it is easy to see that

$$(7) \quad f^* = f - 2l_f.$$

Now, we give another characterization for the $*$ -admissibility.

THEOREM 4. $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is $*$ -admissible if and only if

$$f'(x) - (f'(x))^*$$

is independent of $x \in E$.

PROOF. If f is $*$ -admissible, we have by (7) that

$$f-f^* = 2l_f.$$

Therefore, since l_f is a linear mapping,

$$f'(x) - (f'(x))^* = (f-f^*)'(x) = 2l_f'(x) = 2l_f,$$

which means that $f'(x) - (f'(x))^*$ is independent of $x \in E$. Conversely, if $f'(x) - (f'(x))^*$ is independent of $x \in E$, we can put

$$f'(x) - (f'(x))^* = l.$$

Then, for $g = f - l$, we have

$$g'(x) = (f - l)'(x) = f'(x) - l = (f'(x))^*.$$

Therefore, by the definition of the *-admissibility, f is *-admissible.

The following fact follows immediately from this theorem.

- (8) *If $f \in \mathcal{D}$ is *-admissible and $f'(a)$ is symmetric for some $a \in E$, then f itself is symmetric.*

In fact, if f satisfies this condition, we have $f = f_s$, because

$$l_f = \frac{1}{2}(f'(a) - (f'(a))^*) = 0.$$

In particular, a *-admissible mapping f is symmetric if $f'(a) = 0$ for some $a \in E$.

5. *-admissibility for the twice differentiable mappings

For the twice differentiable mappings, we can have a simpler criterion for the *-admissibility. Let f be twice continuously differentiable; in other words, there exists a continuous linear mapping $f''(x)$ of E into \mathcal{L} such that

$$f'(x + y) - f'(x) = f''(x)(y) + r(x, y)$$

for every x and y in E , where

$$\lim_{\|y\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|r(x, y)\|}{\|y\|} = 0,$$

and $f''(x)$ is continuous with respect to $x \in E$. (Therefore, $f''(x)(y) \in \mathcal{L}$ for any x and y in E .)

THEOREM 5. *Let f be twice continuously differentiable. Then, f is *-admissible if and only if $f''(x)(x)$ is a symmetric mapping for every $x \in E$.*

PROOF. Let f be *-admissible. Then, by Theorem 4,

$$\begin{aligned} & f''(x)(x) - (f''(x)(x))^* \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [(f'(x + \varepsilon x) - f'(x)) - (f'(x + \varepsilon x) - f'(x))^*] \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [(f'(x + \varepsilon x) - (f'(x + \varepsilon x))^*) - (f'(x) - (f'(x))^*)] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if $f''(x)(x)$ is symmetric for every $x \in E$, since

$$f'(x) - f'(0) = \int_0^1 f''(\xi x)(x) d\xi,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) - (f'(x))^* &= \int_0^1 f''(\xi x)(x) d\xi + f'(0) \\ &\quad - \left(\int_0^1 f''(\xi x)(x) d\xi + f'(0) \right)^* \\ &= \int_0^1 f''(\xi x)(x) d\xi + f'(0) \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 (f''(\xi x)(x))^* d\xi - (f'(0))^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 4, f is $*$ -admissible.

6. Products of $*$ -admissible mappings

The product fg of two mappings $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}$ is defined by

$$(fg)(x) = f(g(x)) \quad \text{for every } x \in E.$$

It is well-known that $fg \in \mathcal{D}$ and

$$(fg)'(x) = f'(g(x))g'(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in E.$$

As is easily seen from this equality, the product of two $*$ -admissible mappings is not always $*$ -admissible. In this section, we shall take a deeper look into this fact. We begin with some lemmas.

(9) *Let $l \in \mathcal{L}$ and $E_x = \{\xi x \mid -\infty < \xi < \infty\}$ be a one-dimensional closed subspace generated by a single element $x \in E$. If $l(x) \in E_x$ for every $x \in E$, there exists a number α such that $l = \alpha 1$, where 1 is the identity mapping.*

PROOF. By the assumption, there exists a real-valued function $\phi(x)$ such that

$$l(x) = \phi(x)x \quad \text{for every } x \in E.$$

We have only to prove that $\phi(x)$ is a constant function. Let x and y be arbitrary non-zero elements. If $(x, y) = 0$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x)x + \phi(y)y &= l(x) + l(y) = l(x+y) \\ &= \phi(x+y)x + \phi(x+y)y, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(\phi(x)x, x) + (\phi(y)y, x) = (\phi(x+y)x, x) + (\phi(x+y)y, x),$$

hence it follows that

$$(10) \quad \phi(x) = \phi(x+y).$$

If $y \in E_x$, since $y = \alpha x$ for some α and

$$\phi(y)y = l(y) = l(\alpha x) = \alpha\phi(x)x = \phi(x)(\alpha x) = \phi(x)y,$$

we have

$$(11) \quad \phi(x) = \phi(y).$$

Now generally, since E_x is a closed linear subspace, there exist $y_1 \in E_x$ and $y_2 \in E_x^\perp = \{z \in E \mid (x, z) = 0\}$ such that

$$y = y_1 + y_2.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y) &= \phi(y_1 + y_2) \\ &= \phi(y_1) && \text{(by (10))} \\ &= \phi(x) && \text{(by (11))}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\phi(x)$ is a constant function.

(12) *Let f be symmetric. If lf is symmetric for every $l \in \mathcal{L}$, then $f = 0$.*

PROOF. From the assumption that $(lf)^* = lf$, we have

$$l'f(x) = f'(x)l^* \quad \text{for every } x \in E.$$

Now, let a be a fixed element. Since

$$l'(a) = f'(a)l^* \quad \text{for every } l \in \mathcal{L}$$

$f'(a)$ cannot be in the form of $\alpha 1$. Therefore, by (9), there exists a non-zero element b such that

$$f'(a)(b) \notin E_b.$$

Since E_b is a closed linear subspace, there exists a non-zero element c such that

$$(f'(a)(b), c) = 0 \text{ and } (b, c) = 1.$$

Now, let x be an arbitrary element and consider the linear mapping $l(y) = (y, c)x$, which is obviously symmetric. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(a)(x) &= f'(a)((b, c)x) = f'(a)l(b) \\ &= l'f(a)(b) = (f'(a)(b), c)x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since x is arbitrary, $f'(a) = 0$, which is true for every $a \in E$. Therefore, $f = 0$, because $f(0) = 0$.

Now, we can prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$. If lf is $*$ -admissible for every $l \in \mathcal{L}$, the mapping f is linear.*

PROOF. Let an element a be fixed and let us consider the mapping

$$g = f - f'(a).$$

It is easy to see that $g'(a) = 0$ and lg is $*$ -admissible for every $l \in \mathcal{L}$. Therefore, g is symmetric by (8), and it follows from Theorem 4 that

$$(lg)'(x) - ((lg)'(x))^* = (lg)'(a) - ((lg)'(a))^* = lg'(a) - (lg'(a))^* = 0,$$

which means that lg is symmetric for every $l \in \mathcal{L}$. Therefore, $g = 0$ by (12), or $f = f'(a)$; in other words, f is linear.

THEOREM 7. *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$. If fl is $*$ -admissible for every $l \in \mathcal{L}$, the mapping f is linear.*

PROOF. We consider the same g as in the proof of Theorem 6. It is clear that $g'(a) = 0$ and gl is $*$ -admissible for every $l \in \mathcal{L}$. Therefore, for any $l \in \mathcal{L}$ such that

$$a \in l(E),$$

we have, for $a = l(b)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (gl)'(x) - ((gl)'(x))^* &= (gl)'(b) - ((gl)'(b))^* \\ &= g'(l(b))l - l^*g'(l(b)) \\ &= g'(a)l - l^*g'(a) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we have

$$g'(l(x))l = l^*g'(l(x)) \quad \text{for every } l \in \mathcal{L} \text{ such that } a \in l(E),$$

or

$$(13) \quad g'(y)l = l^*g'(y) \quad \text{for every } l \in \mathcal{L} \text{ such that } a \in l(E) \text{ and } y \in l(E).$$

Now, let c be an arbitrary element and let us consider the following symmetric linear mapping

$$l(x) = x + (c, x)c.$$

Since

$$l(y - (c, y)(1 + \|c\|^2)^{-1}c) = y \quad \text{for every } y \in E,$$

we have $l(E) = E$. Therefore, for this mapping l , we have

$$g'(y)l(x) = lg'(y)(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in E \text{ and } y \in E,$$

which is equivalent to

$$g'(y)(x) + (c, x)g'(y)(c) = g'(y)(x) + (c, g'(y)(x))c,$$

or

$$(c, x)g'(y)(c) = (c, g'(y)(x))c.$$

Therefore, $g'(y)$ has the following property: if $(c, x) = 0$, then $(c, g'(y)(x)) = 0$. Now, let us assume that $g'(y) \neq 0$. Then, $g'(y)$ satisfies the condition of (9). Therefore, $g'(y)$ should be in the form of $\alpha 1$ for some α . However, this is impossible, because it should satisfy the equality (13).

REMARK. Let f be $*$ -admissible and $l \in \mathcal{L}$ be symmetric. Let us consider the mapping

$$g = lf l.$$

This is $*$ -admissible, because, since

$$g'(x) = lf'(l(x))l,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g'(x))^* &= (lf'(l(x))l)^* = l(f'(l(x)))^*l \\ &= l(f^*)'(l(x))l = (lf^*l)'(x), \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$(lf l)^* = lf^*l.$$

Although the mappings of Hammerstein type are not always $*$ -admissible, we can sometimes associate the mapping of the above type to the original mapping of Hammerstein type. In fact, if l is a positive definite, symmetric mapping, to the mapping lf , which is the general form of the mapping of Hammerstein type, we can associate the mapping $l_1 f l_1$ where l_1 is the square root of l . This method has been effectively used in § 10 of [3].

7. Ranges and null sets

For a mapping f of E into itself we denote its range and null set by $R(f)$ and $N(f)$, respectively; in other words, we put

$$R(f) = f(E) \text{ and } N(f) = \{x \in E \mid f(x) = 0\}.$$

For a linear mapping l , it is well known that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{R(l)}^\perp &= N(l^*), & \overline{R(l)} &= N(l^*)^\perp, \\ \overline{R(l^*)}^\perp &= N(l), & \overline{R(l^*)} &= N(l)^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Naturally, in the case of non-linear mappings, we cannot have such precise relations like these.

(15) For any $f \in \mathcal{D}$, we have the following relations:

$$R(f)^\perp = \bigcap_{x \in E} R(f'(x))^\perp = \bigcap_{x \in E} N(f'(x)^*).$$

PROOF. Let $a \in R(f)^\perp$. Then, for any $x \in E$ and $y \in E$, we have

$$(a, f'(x)(y)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [(a, f(x + \varepsilon y) - f(x))] = 0,$$

which means that $a \in R(f'(x))^\perp$ for every $x \in E$. Conversely, if $a \in R(f'(x))^\perp$ for every $x \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a, f(x)) &= \left(a, \int_0^1 f'(\xi x)(x) d\xi\right) \\ &= \int_0^1 (a, f'(\xi x)(x)) d\xi = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence it follows that $a \in R(f)^\perp$. The second equality follows immediately from (14).

For any subset M of E , we denote the smallest linear subset containing M by $[M]$. Then, it is obvious that the following equalities follow from (15).

(16) For any $f \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$[\overline{R(f)}] = \bigcup_{x \in E} \overline{R(f'(x))} = \bigcup_{x \in E} N((f'(x))^*)^\perp.$$

On the other hand, as to the relation between $N(f)$ and $N(f'(x))$, we have only the following inequality.

(17) For any $f \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$\bigcap_{x \in E} N(f'(x)) \subset N(f).$$

PROOF. If $f'(x)(a) = 0$ for every $x \in E$, since

$$f'(\xi a)(a) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \xi,$$

we have

$$f(a) = \int_0^1 f'(\xi a)(a) d\xi = 0,$$

which means that $a \in N(f)$.

By the relations (15), (16) and (17), the following theorem can be easily proved.

THEOREM 8. *Let f be $*$ -admissible. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} R(f)^\perp &\subset N(f^*), \quad N(f^*)^\perp \subset \overline{[R(f)]}, \\ R(f^*)^\perp &\subset N(f), \quad N(f)^\perp \subset \overline{[R(f^*)]}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. Each of the relations of the above theorem cannot be replaced by the equality. For example, for the mapping

$$f(x) = (\xi_1^2, \xi_1) \text{ where } x = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$$

of a two-dimensional Euclidean space into itself, we have

$$R(f)^\perp = \{0\} \text{ and } N(f^*) = \{x = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \mid \xi_1^2 + \xi_2 = 0\}.$$

As is easily seen, Theorem 8 can be expressed in the following form.

(18) *Let f be a $*$ -admissible mapping such that $R(f)$ (resp. $R(f^*)$) is closed. Then, either*

1° for any $y \in E$ there exist $x_i \in E$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and numbers α_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) such that

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f(x_i) \text{ (respectively } y = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f^*(x_i))$$

or

2° there exists an element $a \neq 0$ such that

$$f^*(a) = 0 \text{ (respectively } f(a) = 0).$$

In fact, if $[R(f)] = E$, we have 1° and, if $[R(f)] \neq E$, since $R(f) \neq 0$, any non-zero element in $R(f)$ satisfies 2°.

8. The mapping degree

For the definition of the mapping degree we refer to [2], in which the following theorem has been proved:

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$ be completely continuous (i.e., continuous and transforms every bounded set into a compact set). For a real number λ which is not a proper value of $f'(0)$, we consider the vector field

$$f_\lambda(x) = \lambda x - f(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in E.$$

Then, there exists a sphere $S = S_r = \{x \in E \mid \|x\| \leq r\}$ such that the mapping degree $d(f_\lambda, S, 0)$ of f_λ at 0 relative to S is equal to $(-1)^\beta$, where β is the sum of the multiplicities of all the proper values λ' of $f'(0)$ such that $\lambda\lambda' > 0$ and $|\lambda'| < |\lambda|$. (cf. Theorem 4.7, p. 136, [1]).

The purpose of this section is to obtain a relation between the mapping degrees of f and f^* by making use of the above theorem of Leray and Schauder. We begin with the following theorem.

THEOREM 9. *Let f be *-admissible. Then, f is completely continuous if and only if f^* is completely continuous.*

PROOF. Let f be completely continuous. Then, by [Theorem 7, p. 51, [3]], $f'(x)$ is a completely continuous linear mapping for each $x \in E$. Therefore, $(f^*)'(x) = (f'(x))^*$ is also completely continuous for each $x \in E$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4, we have

$$f - f^* = f'(x) - (f'(x))^* \quad \text{for every } x \in E.$$

Therefore, f^* is completely continuous. The converse can be proved similarly.

Now, let f be a *-admissible completely continuous mapping. Since f^* is also completely continuous, $(f^*)'(0) = (f'(0))^*$, $(f'(0))^*$ has the same proper value as those of $f'(0)$ and the multiplicities of the common proper

values coincide, the following theorem follows from the above theorem of Leray and Schauder.

THEOREM 10. *Let f be a $*$ -admissible completely continuous mapping. For a real number λ which is not a proper value of $f'(0)$, let us consider the vector field $f_\lambda(x) = \lambda x - f(x)$ for every $x \in E$. Then,*

$$f_\lambda^*(x) = \lambda x - f^*(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in E,$$

and there exists a sphere S such that

$$d(f_\lambda, S, 0) = d(f_\lambda^*, S, 0).$$

References

- [1] M. A. Krasnoseliski, *Topological methods in the theory of non-linear integral equations* (translated by A. H. Armstrong, Pergamon Press, 1964).
- [2] J. Leray and J. Schauder, 'Topologie et équations fonctionnelles', *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* 51 (1934), 45—78.
- [3] M. M. Vainberg, *Variational methods for the study of non-linear operators* (translated by A. Feinstein, Holden-Day, 1964).

Australian National University
Canberra