## HOMOTOPY OF NATURAL TRANSFORMATIONS

K. A. HARDIE

1. Introduction. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a full subcategory of $\mathbf{T}$, the category of based topological spaces and based maps, and let $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ be the corresponding category of $n$-tuples. Let $S, T: \mathbf{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ be covariant functors which respect homotopy classes and let $u, v: S \rightarrow T$ be natural transformations. $u$ and $v$ are homotopic in $\mathbf{C}$, denoted $u \simeq v(\mathbf{C})$, if $u X \simeq v X: S X \rightarrow T X\left(X \in \mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$, that is to say, for every $X \in \mathbf{C}, u X$ and $v X$ are homotopic (all homotopies are required to respect base points). $u$ and $v$ are naturally homotopic in $\mathbf{C}$, denoted $u \simeq_{\mathrm{n}} v(\mathbf{C})$, if there exist morphisms

$$
u_{t} X: S X \rightarrow T X \quad(t \in I, X \in \mathbf{C})
$$

such that, for every $X \in \mathbf{C}, u_{t} X$ is a homotopy from $u X$ to $v X$ and such that, for every $t \in I, u_{t}: S \rightarrow T$ is a natural transformation. As examples, let $C, C^{\prime}: \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ be the reduced, unreduced cone functors respectively, and, for any $S$, $T$, let $c: S \rightarrow T$ denote the constant natural transformation (i.e. $c X=*$, the constant map $S X \rightarrow T X$, for each $X \in \mathbf{T})$. Then we certainly have

$$
i_{C} \simeq_{\mathrm{n}} c(\mathbf{T})
$$

where $i_{C}$ denotes the identity natural transformation $C \rightarrow C$. Since any point of a CW-complex is non-degenerate, it follows [7, p. 333, E (proposition)] that

$$
i_{C^{\prime}} \simeq c(\mathbf{C W})
$$

where $\mathbf{C W}$ is the full subcategory of based CW-complexes. However, the assertion $i_{C^{\prime}} \simeq_{\mathrm{n}} c(\mathbf{C})$ is false unless $\mathbf{C}$ contains only one-point spaces. For let $X$ have more than one point and let $*: X \rightarrow X$ be the constant map. Then it is easy to see that no null homotopy of the identity map $C^{\prime} X \rightarrow C^{\prime} X$ can commute with $C^{\prime}$ *.

One may ask the question: Does any fixed object $X$ of $\mathbf{T}^{n}$ have the property that $u X \simeq c X$ implies $u \simeq c(\mathbf{C})$ ? An answer is possible if one also restricts the class of functors to which $S$ and $T$ belong. Let $P$ be a based 0 -sphere and let $P \in \mathbf{T}^{n}$ also denote the $n$-tuple each of whose components is $P$. In this paper we shall consider the case $X=P \in \mathbf{T}^{n}$ and restrict $S$ and $T$ to the class of cellular $P$-functors which we define in $\S 2$. Let $\mathbf{W}$ be the full subcategory of countable CW-complexes. We shall prove the following result.
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Theorem 1.1. If $S, T: \mathbf{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ are cellular $P$-functors, if $T P$ is 1-connected and if $u: S \rightarrow T$ is a natural transformation such that $u P \simeq c P: S P \rightarrow T P$, then $u \simeq c(\mathbf{W})$. Moreover, TY is 1-connected $\left(Y \in \mathbf{W}^{n}\right)$.

It would be very satisfactory to be able to replace $c$ in Theorem 1.1 by an arbitrary natural transformation $v: S \rightarrow T$ satisfying $u P \simeq v P: S P \rightarrow T P$ but in the general case, I have not been able to achieve the desired extension. However, if $S$ is of the form $\Sigma S^{\prime}$, where $\Sigma: \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ denotes the reduced suspension functor, then $u-v: S \rightarrow T$ is defined and Theorem 1.1 implies that $u-v \simeq c(\mathbf{W})$ which in turn yields $u \simeq v(\mathbf{W})$. The stronger result may also be obtained if instead there is a weak homotopy equivalence $S \rightarrow \Sigma S^{\prime}$, but this lies outside the scope of the present work. The objective envisaged is a method of extending identities known to hold for "ordinary" homotopy operations to "generalized" homotopy operations. In particular, I hope to give (elsewhere) a proof along these lines of the Jacobi identity for generalized higher-order Whitehead products.

For an application of Theorem 1.1 as it stands, let ${ }^{\dagger}$

$$
W(i) \in\left[\Sigma^{2} \wedge X, T_{1} \Sigma X\right] \quad\left(X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)\right)
$$

be the universal example for the third-order generalized Whitehead product [6]. Here $\wedge$ and $T_{1}$ denote the smash and the fat wedge functors. Let $p: T_{1} \rightarrow T_{1} / T_{2}$ be the projection which shrinks the thin wedge $T_{2}$. We have the following result.
Theorem 1.2. $p_{*} W(i)=0\left(X_{i} \in \mathbf{W}, i=1,2,3\right)$.
Proof. Let

$$
J X=\left(C X_{1} \times C X_{2} \times X_{3}\right) \cup\left(C X_{1} \times X_{2} \times C X_{3}\right) \cup\left(X_{1} \times C X_{2} \times C X_{3}\right)
$$

Then there is a homotopy equivalent transformation $\theta: J \rightarrow \Sigma^{2} \wedge$ and a natural transformation $\mu: J \rightarrow T_{1} \Sigma$ such that $W(i)=\left\{\mu \cdot \theta^{-1}\right\}$. Let $u=p \cdot \mu: J \rightarrow T_{1} \Sigma / T_{2} \Sigma$. We shall prove that $u \simeq c(\mathbf{W})$. We have $J P \cong S^{2}$ and $\left(T_{1} \Sigma / T_{2} \Sigma\right) P \cong S^{2} \vee S^{2} \vee S^{2}$, which is 1-connected. Since $J$ and $T_{1} \Sigma / T_{2} \Sigma$ are cellular $P$-functors, the required result will follow from Theorem 1.1 if we can prove that $\{u P\}=0 .\{u P\}$ is in effect an element of $\pi_{2}\left(S^{2} \vee S^{2} \vee S^{2}\right)$ and, since $\pi_{2}\left(S^{2} \vee S^{2} \vee S^{2}\right) \approx Z+Z+Z$, we need only observe that the projection of $\{u P\}$ on to one of the copies of $S^{2}$ is zero. This is so since the projection is a class which can be factored through $\left\{J\left(i_{P}, i_{P}, *\right)\right\}=0 \in[J P, J P]$. We remark that use of Theorem 1.1 is an essential feature of the foregoing proof, for whereas it can be argued similarly that $\left(T_{1} \Sigma / T_{2} \Sigma\right) X \cong \Sigma^{2}\left(X_{1} \wedge X_{2}\right) \vee \Sigma^{2}\left(X_{1} \wedge X_{3}\right) \vee \Sigma^{2}\left(X_{2} \wedge X_{3}\right)$ and similarly that the projections of $\{u X\}$ onto $\Sigma^{2}\left(X_{1} \wedge X_{2}\right), \Sigma^{2}\left(X_{1} \wedge X_{3}\right)$, and $\Sigma^{2}\left(X_{2} \wedge X_{3}\right)$ are trivial, this is not by itself sufficient to ensure that $\{u X\}=0$

[^0]since by the Hilton-Milnor theorem (see, e.g., [4, p. 13, Theorem 4]), $\left[J X,\left(T_{1} \Sigma / T_{2} \Sigma\right) X\right]$ contains, in addition to the summand
$$
\left[J X, \Sigma^{2}\left(X_{1} \wedge X_{2}\right)\right]+\left[J X, \Sigma^{2}\left(X_{1} \wedge X_{3}\right)\right]+\left[J X, \Sigma^{2}\left(X_{2} \wedge X_{3}\right)\right]
$$
summands of the form $\left[J X, \Sigma^{3}\left(X_{1} \wedge X_{2} \wedge X_{2} \wedge X_{3}\right)\right]$ which in general are non-trivial. The special case of Theorem 1.2 in which each $X_{i}$ is a suspension was proved by Porter [5, p. 43, Theorem 14.1].

I am grateful to Dr. Porter for sending me a copy of the relevant pages of his dissertation.
2. $P$-functors. In this section we recall the definition and principal properties of $P$-functors [1]. If $X, Y \in \mathbf{T}$, let $|X, Y|$ denote their morphism set. Let $\Pi$ I: $\mathbf{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ be the topological product functor (if $n=1$, then $\Pi$ is the identity functor) and let $\pi_{j} \in|\Pi X, \Pi X|$ denote the projection which leaves unaltered all the coordinates of $x \in \Pi X$ except the $j$ th which it replaces by $*$. Let $W \in \mathbf{T}$ and let the $n$-tuple $\phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)$ be such that

$$
\phi_{j} \in|W, W|, \phi_{j} \cdot \phi_{j}=\phi_{j}(1 \leqq j \leqq n), \phi_{i} \cdot \phi_{j}=\phi_{j} \cdot \phi_{i}(i \neq j)
$$

Associated with the pair ( $W, \phi$ ) is a covariant functor $\Phi: \mathbf{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ described as follows. If $Y \in \mathbf{T}^{n}$, then $\Phi Y$ is the space obtained from (the based topological product) $\Pi Y \times W$ by performing the identification

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\pi_{j} y, w\right)=\left(y, \phi_{j} w\right) \quad(Y \in \Pi Y, w \in W, 1 \leqq j \leqq n) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi Y: Y \times W \rightarrow \Phi Y$ denote the identification map. Then if $f \in|Y, Z|$,

$$
\Phi f=\phi Z \cdot\left(\Pi f \times i_{W}\right) \cdot(\phi Y)^{-1}
$$

which is base-point preserving, single-valued, and hence continuous. $S: \mathbf{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ is a $P$-functor in $\mathbf{C}$ if $P \in \mathbf{C}$ and if, for some ( $W, \phi$ ), the restrictions of $S$ and $\Phi$ to $C^{n}$ are naturally equivalent. Examples of $P$-functors were given in [1]. We remark here that they include the various wedges, joins, suspensions, and their composites; however, Lemma 2.1 (below) yields a test for whether a given $S: \mathbf{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ is a $P$-functor or not.

If $Y \in T^{n}$, then a point of $\Pi Y$ may be regarded as an element of $|P, Y|$. Consequently, for every $S: \mathbf{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ and every $Y \in \mathbf{T}^{n}$, we may define a function $\psi_{S} Y: \Pi Y \times S P \rightarrow S Y$ by the rule

$$
\psi_{S} Y(y, x)=S y(x) \quad(y \in \Pi Y, x \in S P)
$$

$\psi_{S} Y$ certainly respects base-points. $S$ is valuable in $\mathbf{C}$ if $\psi_{S} Y$ is continuous for every $Y \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$.

Let $(W, \phi),\left(W^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ be pairs. A pair map $\bar{u}:(W, \phi) \rightarrow\left(W^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ is a morphism $\bar{u} \in\left|W, W^{\prime}\right|$ such that $u \cdot \phi_{j}=\phi_{j}{ }^{\prime} \cdot \bar{u}(1 \leqq j \leqq n)$. Associated with any functor $S: \mathbf{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ is a pair $\left(S P, \phi_{S}\right)$, where

$$
\left(\phi_{S}\right)_{j}=S\left(g^{j}\right) \quad(1 \leqq j \leqq n)
$$

and where $g^{j} \in|P, P|$ is the $n$-tuple such that

$$
\left(g^{j}\right)_{k}= \begin{cases}i_{P} & (k \neq j) \\ * & (k=j)\end{cases}
$$

The following result may be found in [1, Lemma 1.4].
Lemma 2.1. If $\Phi$ is the functor associated with the pair $(W, \phi)$, then $\Phi$ is valuable in $\mathbf{T}$ and there exists a pair equivalence v: $\left(\Phi P, \phi_{\Phi}\right) \rightarrow(W, \phi)$ such that $\psi_{\Phi}=\phi \cdot\left(i_{\Pi} \times v\right)$.

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that to test whether $S: \mathbf{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ is a $P$-functor, we need only set $(W, \phi)=\left(S P, \phi_{S}\right)$ and examine whether or not $S$ and the resulting $\Phi$ are naturally equivalent. The reader may find it helpful to perform the test, for example, on the reduced, unreduced cone functors $C, C^{\prime}: \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 proceeds by induction on $n$. The following result, which is that of [1, Lemma 1.5], will be required in § 3 in the proof of the case $n=1$ of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.2. If $S$ is a $P$-functor in $\mathbf{C}$, if $T$ is valuable in $\mathbf{C}$, and if $\bar{u}:\left(S P, \phi_{S}\right) \rightarrow\left(T P, \phi_{T}\right)$, is a pair map, then there exists a transformation $u: S \rightarrow T$ natural in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ such that $u P=\bar{u}$.

If $Y \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$, we remark that $u Y$ is the unique map which completes the following diagram:


Moreover, if $\bar{u}_{t}: S P \rightarrow T P$ is a homotopy which is a pair map for each $t \in I$, then $u_{t} Y: S Y \rightarrow T Y$ is a homotopy.

Let $Y^{\prime}=\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n-1}\right)$ be a fixed object of $\mathbf{C}^{n-1}$. We define $\left(Y^{\prime} \mid S\right): \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ to be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(Y^{\prime} \mid S\right) Y_{n}= & S\left(Y^{\prime}, Y_{n}\right)=S\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right) \quad\left(Y_{n} \in \mathbf{T}\right) \\
& \left(Y^{\prime} \mid S\right) f=S\left(i_{Y^{\prime}}, f\right) \quad\left(f \in\left|Y_{n}, Z\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if $X \in \mathbf{C}$ is fixed, we define $(S \mid X): \mathbf{T}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ to be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(S \mid X) Y^{\prime} & =S\left(Y^{\prime}, X\right) & & \left(Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{T}^{n-1}\right) \\
(S \mid X) f^{\prime} & =S\left(f^{\prime}, i_{X}\right) & & \left(f^{\prime} \in\left|Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(Y^{\prime} \mid S\right)$ and $(S \mid X)$ are partial functors of $S$ which arise naturally in certain inductive arguments. The following lemma is [1, Lemma 2.6], to which the condition $S P \in \mathbf{D}$ should be added to the hypothesis.

Lemma 2.3. If $\mathbf{C}$ is closed with respect to finite topological products, if $f \times i_{W}$ is an identification for every $W \in \mathbf{C}$ and every identification $f \in \mathbf{C}$, if $S P \in \mathbf{C}$ and if $S$ is a $P$-functor in $\mathbf{C}$, then $\left(Y^{\prime} \mid S\right)$ and $(S \mid X)$ are $P$-functors in $\mathbf{C}$.

A pair $(W, \phi)$ is cellular if $W \in \mathbf{W}$ and if $\phi_{j}$ is a cellular map whose image $\phi_{j}(W)$ is a subcomplex of $W(1 \leqq j \leqq n)$. $S$ is a cellular $P$-functor if $\left(S P, \phi_{S}\right)$ is a cellular pair and if $S$ is a $P$-functor in $\mathbf{W}$. Let $S$ be a cellular $P$-functor and let $Y, Z \in \mathbf{W}^{n}$. We shall require the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. (i) $S Y \in \mathbf{W}$; (ii) if $f \in|Y, Z|$ is an $n$-tuple of cellular maps, then Sf is cellular; (iii) if $X \in \mathbf{W}$ and $Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{W}^{n-1}$, then $\left(Y^{\prime} \mid S\right)$ and $(S \mid X)$ are cellular P-functors.

The proof of part (i) has essentially been given in [1, pp. 26, 27] and (ii) is a corollary of the proof of (i). Finally, Lemma 2.3, together with (ii), yields (iii).

The following lemma concerning adjunction spaces assembles mostly well-known results.

Lemma 2.5. If $A$ is a closed subset of $X$, if the injection $A \rightarrow X$ is a cofibration, if $f:(X, A) \rightarrow(Y, B)$ is an identification map which is a relative homeomorphism and if $f(A)=B$, then the injection $B \rightarrow Y$ is a cofibration and $f$ induces relative homology isomorphisms. If, further, $B$ and $(X, A)$ are 1-connected, then $Y$ is 1-connected.

Proof. It follows from [8, Satz 1 and Definition 2] that there exist maps $\psi: X \times I \rightarrow X, v: X \rightarrow I$, and $w: X \rightarrow I$ such that $\psi(x, 0)=x(x \in X)$, $\psi(x, 1) \in A$ if $v(x)<1, \psi(a, t)=a(a \in A, t \in I), v(A)=0, A=w^{-1}(0)$. Then if we define $\psi^{\prime}(y, t)=f \psi\left(f^{-1} y, t\right), v^{\prime} y=v f^{-1} y, w^{\prime} y=w f^{-1} y \quad(y \in Y$, $t \in I)$, we obtain single-valued and hence continuous maps $\psi^{\prime}: Y \times I \rightarrow Y$, $v^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow I$, and $w^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow I$ satisfying the conditions $\psi^{\prime}(y, 0)=y(y \in Y)$, $\psi^{\prime}(y, 1) \in B$ if $v^{\prime}(y)<1, \psi^{\prime}(b, t)=b(b \in B, t \in I), v^{\prime}(B)=0, B=w^{\prime-1}(0)$. Hence $B \rightarrow Y$ is a cofibration. An application of [2, p. 122, Corollary] now proves that $f$ induces relative homology isomorphisms. Finally, let $U=v^{-1}([0,1))$ and let $U^{\prime}=v^{-1}([0,1))$. Then $(X, U)$ and $U^{\prime}$ are 1-connected since there are deformation retractions of $(X, U)$ onto $(X, A)$ and of $U^{\prime}$ onto $B$. Since $Y=U^{\prime} \cup(Y-B)$, every path in $Y$ is the sum of a finite number of paths each of which is entirely contained in $U^{\prime}$ or entirely contained in $Y-B$. Hence it will suffice to prove that every path

$$
\lambda^{\prime}: I, \dot{I} \rightarrow Y-B,(Y-B) \cap U^{\prime}
$$

is homotopic relative to $\dot{I}$ to a path entirely contained in $U^{\prime}$. In view of the relative homeomorphism, there is a unique path $\lambda: I \rightarrow X$ such that $f \cdot \lambda=\lambda^{\prime}$, and we have $\lambda(\dot{I}) \subseteq U$. Since $(X, U)$ is 1 -connected, $\lambda$ is homotopic relative to $\dot{I}$ to a path $\mu$ in $U$. Such a homotopy composed with $f$ yields a homotopy relative to $\dot{I}$ of $\lambda^{\prime}$ to a path $\mu^{\prime}$ completely contained in $U^{\prime}$, which completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.

We conclude this section with the inductive argument which reduces the proof of Theorem 1.1 to the case $n=1$. Suppose that the assertions of Theorem 1.1 hold whenever $n \leqq m-1 \quad(m \geqq 2)$. Let $Y \in \mathbf{W}^{m}$, let $Y^{\prime}=\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m-1}\right) \in \mathbf{W}^{m-1}$ and let $\left(Y^{\prime} \mid u\right):\left(Y^{\prime} \mid S\right) \rightarrow\left(Y^{\prime} \mid T\right)$, be the natural transformation such that

$$
\left(Y^{\prime} \mid u\right) Y_{m}=u Y \in|S Y, T Y|=\left|\left(Y^{\prime} \mid S\right) Y_{m},\left(Y^{\prime} \mid T\right) Y_{m}\right| \quad\left(Y_{m} \in \mathbf{W}\right)
$$

Similarly, if $X \in \mathbf{W}$, let $(u \mid X):(S \mid X) \rightarrow(T \mid X)$ be the natural transformation such that

$$
(u \mid X) Y^{\prime}=u\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{m-1}, X\right) \quad\left(Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{W}^{m-1}\right)
$$

Then $(u \mid P) P=u P \simeq c P,(S \mid P)$ and $(T \mid P)$ are cellular $P$-functors and $(T \mid P) P=T P$ is 1 -connected. Hence the inductive hypothesis implies that $(u \mid P) \simeq c \quad(\mathbf{W})$ and that $(T \mid P) Y^{\prime}$ is 1 -connected $\left(Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{W}^{m-1}\right)$. Thus $\left(Y^{\prime} \mid u\right) P=(u \mid P) Y^{\prime} \simeq c Y^{\prime}$, for each $Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{W}^{m-1}$. By Lemma 2.4, ( $\left.Y^{\prime} \mid S\right)$ and ( $Y^{\prime} \mid T$ ) are cellular $P$-functors and since $\left(Y^{\prime} \mid T\right) P=(T \mid P) Y^{\prime}$ is 1-connected, a second application of the inductive hypothesis yields $\left(Y^{\prime} \mid u\right) \simeq c(\mathbf{W})$ and $\left(Y^{\prime} \mid T\right) Z$ 1-connected $(Z \in \mathbf{W})$, for each $Y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{W}^{m-1}$. It follows that $u \simeq c(\mathbf{W})$ and that $T Y$ is 1 -connected $\left(Y \in \mathbf{W}^{m}\right)$.
3. The case of $n=1$. For the proof of the case $n=1$ of Theorem 1.1 we shall require the notion of a singular homotopy equivalence of functors. Let $u: S \rightarrow T$ be a natural transformation. $u$ is a singular homotopy equivalence (SHE) in $\mathbf{C}$ if, for each $X \in \mathbf{C}^{n}, u X$ is an SHE. We recall that this means that $u X$ induces a one-to-one correspondence between the path components of $S X$ and $T X$ and that, for every $x \in S X$,

$$
(u X)_{*}: \pi_{q}(S X, x) \rightarrow \pi_{q}(T X,(u X) x) \quad(q>0)
$$

are isomorphisms.
For the remainder of this section we shall assume that $n=1$. If $(W, \phi)$ is a pair, let $W_{0}=\phi_{1}(W)$, let $j: W_{0} \rightarrow W$ be the injection, and let $\phi: W \rightarrow W_{0}$ also denote the map which agrees with $\phi_{1} .(W, \phi)$ is cofibrant if $j$ is a cofibration. Thus every cellular pair is cofibrant. ( $W, \phi$ ) is fibrant if $\phi$ is a Hurewicz fibration and bifibrant if it is both fibrant and cofibrant. We shall prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. If $(W, \phi)$ is a cellular pair, then there exists a bifibrant pair $(E, p)$ and a pair map $v:(W, \phi) \rightarrow(E, p)$ such that $v_{0}=v \mid W_{0}: W_{0} \rightarrow E_{0}$ is a homeomorphism and v: $W \rightarrow E$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Using Lemma 3.1 we shall prove the following result.
Lemma 3.2. If $T$ is a cellular $P$-functor (with $n=1$ ), then there exists a $P$-functor $R$ in $\mathbf{W}$, and a natural transformation v: $T \rightarrow R$ such that $\left(R P, \phi_{R}\right)$ is fibrant and such that $v$ is an SHE in $\mathbf{W}$.

One further basic lemma will be needed, the proof of which we postpone.
Lemma 3.3. Let $u:(W, \phi) \rightarrow(V, \psi)$ be a pair map, where $(W, \phi)$ is cofibrant and $(V, \psi)$ is fibrant. If $u: W \rightarrow V$ is null-homotopic, then there exists a homotopy $u_{t}: W \rightarrow V$ with $u_{0}=u$ and $u_{1}=*$ such that, for each $t \in I, u_{t}:(W, \phi) \rightarrow(V, \psi)$ is a pair map.

Proof of Theorem $1.1(n=1)$. Let $v: T \rightarrow R$ be as in Lemma 3.2. Then $v P \cdot u P \simeq v P \cdot c P=*: S P \rightarrow R P$. Applying Lemma 3.3 with

$$
(W, \phi)=\left(S P, \phi_{S}\right), \quad(V, \psi)=\left(R P, \phi_{R}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad u=v \cdot u
$$

it follows that there exists a homotopy $\bar{w}_{t}$ with $\bar{w}_{0}=v P \cdot u P$ and $\bar{w}_{1}=*$ such that $\bar{w}_{t}:\left(S P, \phi_{S}\right) \rightarrow\left(R P, \phi_{R}\right)$ is a pair map, for each $t \in I$. By the remarks following Lemma 2.2 we have, for every $Y \in \mathbf{W}$,

$$
v Y \cdot u Y \simeq *: S Y \rightarrow R Y
$$

But $S Y$ is a CW-complex and $v Y$ is a singular homotopy equivalence, hence there is no obstruction to defining a homotopy $u Y \simeq *: S Y \rightarrow T Y$ and we may conclude that $u \simeq c(\mathbf{W})$. Since $T$ is a $P$-functor in $\mathbf{W}$, we see that

$$
\psi_{T} Y: Y \times T P,(Y \times T *) \cup(* \times T P) \rightarrow T Y, T *
$$

is a relative homeomorphism and an identification map (here $*$ denotes a space with just one point), for every $Y \in \mathbf{W} . T *$ is 1 -connected, since it is a retract of $T P$, and hence, in view of Lemma 2.5 , it will be sufficient to prove that $(X, A)$ is 1 -connected, where $X=Y \times T P$ and

$$
A=(Y \times T *) \cup(* \times T P)
$$

We recall that the pair $(X, A)$ is 1 -connected if every point of $X$ can be joined by a path to some point of $A$, and if every map $(I, \dot{I}) \rightarrow(X, A)$ is homotopic relative to $\dot{I}$ to some map of $I$ into $A$. Since $T P$ is 1 -connected, the first condition is certainly satisfied. For the second condition we can assume without loss of generality that $Y$ is arcwise-connected. In that case $A$ is arcwise-connected, and therefore any path beginning and ending in $A$ is homotopic to a path in $A$ followed by a loop in $X$ based at $(*, *)$ followed by a path in $A$. Since $T P$ is 1 -connected, the loop in $X$ is homotopic to a loop in $Y \times(*)$. Hence the original path is homotopic relative to $\dot{I}$ to a path in $A$, as required.

In the proof of Lemma 3.3 we shall need certain results concerning separation elements. Let $H: W \times I \rightarrow V$ be a homotopy. The reverse homotopy $r H: W \times I \rightarrow V$ is such that

$$
r H(w, t)=H(w, 1-t) \quad(w \in W, t \in I)
$$

$H$ is a null homotopy if $H(W \times(1))=* \in V$. If $H, H^{\prime}$ are homotopies such
that $H|W \times(1)=H| W \times(0)$, then their conjunction is the homotopy $H \oplus H^{\prime}$ such that

$$
H \oplus H^{\prime}(w, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
H(w, 2 t) & \left(0 \leqq t \leqq \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
H^{\prime}(w, 2 t-1) & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leqq t \leqq 1\right)
\end{array} \quad(w \in W) .\right.
$$

We recall that the reduced suspension of $W$ is the space $\Sigma W=(W \times I) / A$, where

$$
A=(W \times(0)) \cup(W \times(1)) \cup((*) \times I)
$$

Let $H, H^{\prime}: W \times I \rightarrow V$ be null homotopies such that

$$
H\left|W \times(0)=H^{\prime}\right| W \times(1)
$$

Then their separation element is the class

$$
d\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(r H \oplus H^{\prime}\right) \cdot q^{-1}\right\} \in[\Sigma W, V]
$$

where $q: W \times I \rightarrow \Sigma W$ is the identification. We recall that the track addition in $[\Sigma W, V]$ is given by the rule

$$
\left\{H \cdot q^{-1}\right\}+\left\{H^{\prime} \cdot q^{-1}\right\}=\left\{\left(H \oplus H^{\prime}\right) \cdot q^{-1}\right\}
$$

where $H(A)=H^{\prime}(A)=*$. The proofs of the following two lemmas are straightforward and will be omitted.

Lemma 3.4. If $d\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)$ is defined and $H^{\prime \prime}(A)=*$, then $d(H, H)=0$, $d\left(H^{\prime}, H\right)=-d\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)$, and $d\left(H, H^{\prime} \oplus H^{\prime \prime}\right)=d\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)+\left\{H^{\prime \prime} \cdot q^{-1}\right\}$.

Lemma 3.5. $d\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)=0$ if and only if there exists a homotopy $\theta: W \times I \times I \rightarrow V$ such that $\theta(w, 1, t)=*, \theta(w, 0, t)=H(w, 0)=H^{\prime}(w, 0)$, $\theta(w, t, 0)=H(w, t), \theta(w, t, 1)=H^{\prime}(w, t)$ for all $w \in W$ and all $t \in I$.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let $F: u \simeq *: W \times I \rightarrow V$ be a homotopy. We first show that $F$ can be replaced by a homotopy $F^{\prime}: u \simeq *: W \times I \rightarrow V$ such that $F^{\prime}\left(W_{0} \times I\right) \subseteq V_{0}$. Let $F_{0}=F \mid W_{0} \times I$. Then, since $u$ is a pair map, $F_{0}\left|W_{0} \times(0)=\psi_{1} \cdot F_{0}\right| W_{0} \times(0)$ and if we set

$$
H=F \oplus\left(\left(r F_{0} \oplus \psi_{1} \cdot F_{0}\right) \cdot\left(\phi \times i_{I}\right)\right): W \times I \rightarrow V
$$

and $H_{0}=H \mid W_{0} \times I$ we find, after expanding by means of Lemma 3.4, that

$$
d\left(H_{0}, \psi_{1} \cdot H_{0}\right)=d\left(\psi_{1} \cdot F_{0}, \psi_{1} \cdot F_{0}\right)=0 \in\left[\Sigma W_{0}, V\right] .
$$

In consequence of Lemma 3.5, there exists $\theta: W_{0} \times I \times I \rightarrow V$ such that $\theta(w, 1, t)=*, \quad \theta(w, 0, t)=u(w), \quad \theta(w, t, 0)=H_{0}(w, t), \quad$ and $\quad \theta(w, t, 1)=$ $\psi \cdot H_{0}(w, t)\left(w \in W_{0}, t \in I\right)$. Let

$$
B=(W \times(0)) \cup\left(W_{0} \times I\right) \cup(W \times(1))
$$

and let $\theta$ be extended to a map $\theta: B \times I \rightarrow V$ by defining $\theta(w, 1, t)=*$, $\theta(w, 0, t)=u(w)(w \in W, t \in I)$. Since the injection $B \rightarrow W \times I$ is a cofi-
bration, $\theta$ may be extended further to a map $\theta: W \times I \times I \rightarrow V$. If we now set

$$
F^{\prime}(w, t)=\theta(w, t, 1) \quad(w \in W, t \in I),
$$

we obtain a homotopy $F^{\prime}: u \simeq *$ with the desired property.
The next stage of the proof is to replace $F^{\prime}$ by a homotopy

$$
G: u \simeq *: W \times I \rightarrow V
$$

such that $G\left(W_{0} \times I\right) \subseteq V_{0}$ and for which there exists a homotopy

$$
\mu: \psi \cdot G \simeq G \cdot\left(\phi \times i_{I}\right): W \times I \times I \rightarrow V_{0}
$$

such that $\mu(w, 0, t)=\psi \cdot u(w)$ and $\mu(w, 1, t)=* \quad(w \in W, t \in I)$. Let $H=r F^{\prime} \cdot\left(\phi \times i_{I}\right) \oplus \psi \cdot F^{\prime}: W \times I \rightarrow V_{0}$. Then

$$
\left\{H_{0} \cdot q_{0}{ }^{-1}\right\}=d\left(F_{0}{ }^{\prime}, F_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right)=0 \in\left[\Sigma W_{0}, V_{0}\right] .
$$

Since the injection $A \rightarrow W \times I$ is a cofibration, there exists a homotopy relative to $A$ from $H$ to $H^{\prime}$, where $H^{\prime}: W \times I \rightarrow V_{0}$ is such that $H^{\prime}\left(W_{0} \times I\right)=*$. Moreover, it follows that

$$
\left\{H^{\prime} \cdot q^{-1}\right\}=\left\{H \cdot q^{-1}\right\}=d\left(F^{\prime} \cdot\left(\phi \times i_{I}\right), \psi \cdot F^{\prime}\right) \in\left[\Sigma W, V_{0}\right]
$$

Now let $G=F^{\prime} \oplus r H^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d\left(G \cdot\left(\phi \times i_{I}\right), \psi \cdot G\right)=d\left(F^{\prime} \cdot\left(\phi \times i_{I}\right) \oplus r H^{\prime} \cdot\left(\phi \times i_{I}\right), \psi \cdot F^{\prime} \oplus r \psi \cdot H^{\prime}\right) \\
=d\left(F^{\prime} \cdot\left(\phi \times i_{I}\right) \oplus r H^{\prime} \cdot\left(\phi \times i_{I}\right), \psi \cdot F^{\prime}\right)+\left\{r H^{\prime} \cdot q^{-1}\right\} \\
=-d\left(\psi \cdot F^{\prime}, F^{\prime} \cdot\left(\phi \times i_{I}\right)\right)-\left\{r H^{\prime} \cdot\left(\phi \times i_{I}\right) \cdot q^{-1}\right\}-\left\{H^{\prime} \cdot q^{-1}\right\} \\
=\left\{H^{\prime} \cdot q^{-1}\right\}-\left\{* \cdot q^{-1}\right\}-\left\{H^{\prime} \cdot q^{-1}\right\}=0,
\end{array}
$$

so that a homotopy $\mu$ with the required properties does exist. Finally, we recall that $\psi: V \rightarrow V_{0}$ is a fibration and that $B \rightarrow W \times I$ is a cofibration. By a lifting homotopy extension property [10, Theorem 4] it follows that we may lift $\mu$ to a homotopy $\nu: W \times I \times I \rightarrow V$ such that

$$
\psi \cdot \nu=\mu, \nu(w, t, 0)=G(w, t), \nu(x, t)=G X \quad(w \in W, t \in I, x \in B) .
$$

If we now set

$$
u_{t}(w)=\nu(w, t, 1) \quad(w \in W, t \in I)
$$

then we obtain a homotopy with the desired properties, for we have

$$
u_{t} \cdot \phi_{1} w=\nu(\phi w, t, 1)=G(\phi w, t)=\mu(w, t, 1)=\psi \cdot \nu(w, t, 1)=\psi_{1} \cdot u_{i} w,
$$

as required.
Proof of Lemma 3.1. If $x \in W_{0}$, let $\gamma_{x} \in W_{0}{ }^{I}$ denote the constant path at $x$, let $E=\left\{(w, \lambda) \in W \times W_{0}{ }^{I} \mid \lambda(0)=\phi w\right\}$ and let

$$
p_{1}(w, \lambda)=\left(\lambda(1), \gamma_{\lambda(1)}\right), \quad v w=\left(w, \gamma_{\phi w}\right) \quad\left(w \in W, \lambda \in W_{0}^{I}\right) .
$$

Then $v$ is a pair map, $v_{0}$ is certainly a homeomorphism, and it is well known
[9, p. 99, Theorem 9], that $v$ is a homotopy equivalence and $p$ is a fibration. It remains to prove that the injection $E_{0} \rightarrow E$ is a cofibration. Since ( $W, \phi$ ) is cellular, $W_{0} \rightarrow W$ is a cofibration. But $\left(W, W_{0}\right)$ and $\left(E, E_{0}\right)$ are homotopy equivalent pairs and therefore, by [8, p. 85, Corollary 1], $\left(E, E_{0}\right)$ has the weak homotopy extension property. By [8, p. 85, Corollary 3], it is sufficient to demonstrate the existence of a continuous function $f: E \rightarrow I$ such that $f^{-1}(0)=E_{0}$. Now $W_{0}$ is a countable CW-complex, and hence is an $\boldsymbol{\aleph}_{0}$-space. By [3, p. 984, property (J)], $W_{0}{ }^{I}$ is an $\boldsymbol{X}_{0}$-space, hence paracompact and hence normal. Hence [3, p. 983, property (D)] implies that $W_{0}{ }^{I}$ is perfectly normal. Therefore $W_{0}{ }^{\prime}$, the subspace of constant paths, is a closed $G_{\delta}$ in $W_{0}{ }^{I}$. It follows that there exists a continuous function $h: W_{0}{ }^{I} \rightarrow I$ such that $h^{-1}(0)=W_{0}{ }^{\prime}$. If we now set $f(w, \lambda)=\frac{1}{2}(g w+h \lambda)$, where $g: W \rightarrow I$ is such that $W_{0}=g^{-1}(0)$, we obtain the desired function, which completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Applying Lemma 3.1 to the cellular pair ( $T P, \phi_{T}$ ), let $R$ be the functor corresponding to the associated pair $(E, p)$. Then ( $R P, \phi_{R}$ ) is fibrant, being equivalent to the pair ( $E, p$ ). Moreover, the pair map $v$ determines a unique natural transformation $v: T \rightarrow R$. It remains to prove that $v$ is an SHE in $\mathbf{W}$. An application of Lemma 2.5 shows that

$$
\psi_{T} Y: Y \times T P,(Y \times T *) \cup(* \times T P) \rightarrow T Y, T *,
$$

and

$$
\psi_{R} Y: Y \times R P,(Y \times R *) \cup(* \times R P) \rightarrow R Y, R *
$$

induce relative homology isomorphisms $(Y \in \mathbf{W}) . T Y$ and similarly $R Y$ are 1 -connected, as proved earlier; hence we may argue as in [1, p. 27, proof of Theorem 4.1, case $n=1$ ] that $v$ is an SHE. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 1.1.
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[^0]:    $\dagger[A, B]$ denotes the set of based homotopy classes of based maps from $A$ to $B$.

