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#### Abstract

We completely determine the residual spectrum of the split exceptional group of type $G_{2}$, thus completing the work of Langlands and Moeglin-Waldspurger on the part of the residual spectrum attached to the trivial character of the maximal torus. We also give the Arthur parameters for the residual spectrum coming from Borel subgroups. The interpretation in terms of Arthur parameters explains the "bizarre" multiplicity condition in Moeglin-Waldspurger's work. It is related to the fact that the component group of the Arthur parameter is non-abelian.


1. Introduction. Let $F$ be a number field and (A) its ring of adeles. Let $G$ be a reductive group. A central problem in the theory of automorphic forms is to decompose the right regular representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ acting on the Hilbert space $L^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. It has the continuous spectrum and the discrete spectrum:

$$
L^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))=L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) \oplus L_{\mathrm{cont}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) .
$$

We are mainly interested in the discrete spectrum. Langlands [L4] described, using his theory of Eisenstein series, an orthogonal decomposition:

$$
L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))=\bigoplus_{(M, \pi)} L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{(M, \pi)},
$$

where $(M, \pi)$ is a Levi subgroup with a cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ taken modulo conjugacy. (Here we normalize $\pi$ so that the action of the maximal split torus in the center of $G$ at the archimedean places is trivial.) $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{(M, \pi)}$ is a space of residues of Eisenstein series associated to $(M, \pi)$. Here we note that the subspace

$$
\bigoplus_{(G, \pi)} L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathrm{~A}))_{(G, \pi)}
$$

is the space of cuspidal representations $L_{\text {cusp }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. Its orthogonal complement in $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ is called the residual spectrum and we denote it by $L_{\text {res }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. Therefore we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$
L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}\left(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})=L_{\text {cusp }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) \oplus L_{\text {res }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) .\right.
$$

Arthur described a conjectural decomposition of this space as follows:

$$
L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))=\bigoplus_{\psi} L^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{\psi},
$$

[^0]where $\psi$ runs, modulo conjugacy, through the set of morphism:
$$
\psi: L_{F} \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \mapsto G^{*},
$$
where $L_{F}$ is the conjectural tannakian group, $G^{*}$ is the Langlands' $L$-group and $\psi$ satisfies certain conditions; in particular, $\psi$ restricted to $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is algebraic, $\psi$ restricted to $L_{F}$ parametrize a cuspidal tempered representation of a Levi subgroup and the image of $\psi$ is not included in proper Levi subgroups. The space $L^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{\psi}$ is defined by local data (See Section 3.7).

The purpose of this paper is to determine explicitly $L_{\text {res }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ for $G$ the split exceptional group of type $G_{2}$. There are 3 Levi subgroups modulo conjugacy. Let $M_{1}$ be the Levi of the maximal parabolic subgroup $P_{1}$ attached to the long simple root and $M_{2}$ be the Levi of the maximal parabolic subgroup $P_{2}$ attached to the short simple root. Let $M_{0}=T$ be the maximal split torus. Let

$$
L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{M_{i}}=\bigoplus L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{\left(M_{i}, \pi\right)}
$$

Theorems 3.6.1, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.1 describe the decomposition of $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{M_{i}}$ for $i=0,1,2$, respectively. Due to the lack of information on the poles of the adjoint cube $L$-function of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ (symmetric cube $L$-function of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ twisted by a character) and insufficient bound for Fourier coefficients of cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$, our results on $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{M_{i}}, i=1,2$, are incomplete. Also we only consider the $K$-finite, $K_{\infty}$-invariant subspace of $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{T}$. We also give the Arthur parameter for automorphic representations in $L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathrm{~A}))_{T}$ and verify Arthur's conjecture, reformulated by Moeglin [M3].

In order to obtain the decomposition for $\left.L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(A))\right)_{T}$, we use the inner product formula (3.1) of the pseudo-Eisenstein series as in [M-W1]. For $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathrm{~A}))_{M_{i}}, i=$ 1, 2, we use the method in [Ki], where we calculated the residual spectrum of $\mathrm{Sp}_{4}$. We use the notation of [M-W1]. Let us explain in detail in each of the cases.

The most interesting one is the analysis of $L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{T}$. It was Langlands [L4, Appendix 3] who first calculated its $K$-fixed subspace. It is dimension 2. One is spherical and the other is a very interesting residual automorphic representation. Its Archimedean component is infinite dimensional, of class one and is not tempered. Moeglin and Waldspurger [M-W1, Appendix III] calculated $K$-finite, $K_{\infty}$-invariant subspace $V$ of $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathrm{~A}))_{(T, 1)}$, where 1 is the trivial character of $T$, whose cuspidal exponents are short roots. They found surprising results that only those which satisfy a certain condition appear in $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{T}$. Let us explain in detail. Let $J_{v}=\left\{\pi_{1 v}, \pi_{2 v}\right\}$ be a set of irreducible representations of $G_{v}$, where $\pi_{1 v}$ is spherical. For $S$ a finite set of finite places, set $\pi^{S}=\otimes_{v \notin S} \pi_{1 v} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} \pi_{2 v}$. Then

$$
V=\bigoplus_{S, \operatorname{card}(S) \neq 1} \pi^{S}
$$

The condition $\operatorname{card}(S) \neq 1$ is quite surprising ( $\left(\mathrm{In} \mathrm{Sp}_{4}\right.$ case [Ki], we have the condition "card $(S)$ even"). We can interpret their results in terms of Arthur parameters. In fact, the
condition comes from the Springer correspondence (see Section 3.7 for more details) and is related to the fact that $A(u)$ is non-abelian, i.e., $S_{3}$, the symmetric group on 3 letters. Recall that the Springer correspondence is an injective map from the set of irreducible characters of $W$, the Weyl group of $G$, into the set of pairs $(O, \eta)$, where $O$ is a unipotent orbit and $\eta$ is an irreducible character of $A(u)=C(u) / C(u)^{0}, u \in O$ and $C(u)$ is the centralizer of $u$. Let $\operatorname{Springer}(O)$ be the set of characters of $A(u)$ which are in the image of Springer correspondence. Then $J_{v}$ is associated with Springer $\left(G_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)\right)$, where $G_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$ is the sub-regular unipotent orbit of $G_{2}([\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{p} .401])$. We note that Moeglin [M1] proved that the residual spectrum attached to the trivial character of the torus is parametrized by distinguished unipotent orbits $O$ and $\operatorname{Springer}(O)$ and we can expect that the same thing would happen for all split groups.

Among non-trivial characters of $T(\mathbb{A}) / T(F)$, modulo conjugacy, the following characters of the torus contribute to the residual spectrum (see Section 3.6). Under the identification, $M_{1} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$, where $M_{1}$ is the Levi subgroup of $P_{1}, \chi=\chi(\mu, \nu), \mu$ and $\nu$ are grössencharacters of $F$.
(1) $\mu=\nu, \mu^{2}=1, \mu \neq 1$
(2) $\mu^{3}=1, \mu \neq 1, \nu=\mu^{2}$.

For Case (2), there is only one residual spectrum, which is the global Langlands' quotient of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{1}}^{G} \exp \left(\beta_{4}, H_{P_{1}} 0\right) \otimes\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{0}}^{\mathrm{GL}} \chi\right)$. Case (1) is more interesting. In this case the Eisenstein series has a pole at $\beta_{2}$, the sum of two simple positive roots. If $\mu_{\nu}$ is not trivial, then the character $\chi_{v} \otimes \exp \left(\beta_{2}, H_{B}()\right)$ is regular and we can apply Rodier's result $([\mathrm{R}])$ to analyze the image of the intertwining operator $R\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi\right)$. In particular, it is irreducible. If $\mu_{v}$ is trivial, then the image of $R\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi\right)$ is the same as the one Moeglin and Waldspurger found [M-W1, Appendix III]. It is the sum of two irreducible representations. Let $J\left(\chi_{v}\right)=\left\{\pi_{1 v}, \pi_{2 v}\right\}$ is the set of irreducible components. We put $\pi_{2 v}=0$ if $\chi_{v} \neq 1$. For $S$ a finite set of finite places, set $\pi^{S}=\otimes_{v \notin S} \pi_{1 v} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} \pi_{2 v}$. Then the residual spectrum attached to the character (1) is given by

$$
J(\chi)=\bigoplus_{S} \pi^{s} .
$$

There is no condition on $S$.
For $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{M_{1}}$, the Levi factor is $M_{1}=\mathrm{GL}_{2}$. We have to look at Eisenstein series associated to cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$. The $L$-functions in the constant terms of Eisenstein series are the adjoint cube $L$-function and Hecke $L$-function. We analyze the poles and the irreducibility of the images of local intertwining operators as in [Ki]. However, the pole of the adjoint cube $L$-function of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ is not known. We assume the location of poles. Also we need to assume certain estimates of Fourier coefficients of cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$. More precisely, if $\pi_{\nu}=\pi\left(\mu| |^{r}, \mu| |^{-r}\right)$ is a complementary series representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$, we assume that $r<\frac{1}{6}$. Right now the best known result is that $r<\frac{1}{5}$ due to Shahidi [S3]. Assuming these facts, we obtain a decomposition of $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{M_{1}}$, parametrized by cuspidal representations $\pi$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ with trivial central characters and $L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi, r_{3}^{0}\right) \neq 0$ and by monomial representations
of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$. Recall that a cuspidal representation $\sigma$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ is called monomial if $\sigma \simeq \sigma \otimes \eta$ for a quadratic grössencharacter $\eta$ of $F$.

For $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathrm{~A}))_{M_{2}}$, the Levi factor is $M_{2}=\mathrm{GL}_{2}$. The $L$-functions in the constant term of the Eisenstein series are just Jacquet-Langlands' $L$-function, its twist by a character, and a Hecke $L$-function. In this case, assuming the fact on the estimates of Fourier coefficients of cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$, we obtain a decomposition of $L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathrm{~A}))_{M_{2}}$, parametrized by cuspidal representations $\pi$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ with trivial central characters and $L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi\right) \neq 0$.
F. Shahidi brought to our attention the paper by Li and Schwermer [ $\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{Sc}]$ who studied the poles of Eisenstein series attached to the maximal parabolic subgroups over $\mathbb{Q}$. After this paper was accepted, the author received a preprint, "The residual spectrum of the group of type $G_{2}$," by S. Zampera. She obtained similar results. But her result does not have the interpretation in terms of Arthur parameters.
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2. Some facts about $G_{2}$; roots and parabolic subgroups. Let $G$ be a split group of type $G_{2}$. Fix a Cartan subgroup $T$ in $G$ and let $B=T U$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$. Let $K_{\infty}$ be the standard maximal compact subgroup in $G\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\infty}\right)$ and $K_{v}=G\left(O_{v}\right)$ for finite $v$. The product $K=K_{\infty} \times \Pi K_{v}$ is a maximal compact subgroup in $G(\mathrm{~A})$.

We follow Moeglin and Waldspurger [M-W1, Appendix III]. Let $\beta_{1}$ be a long simple root and $\beta_{6}$ a short one. Let

$$
\beta_{2}=\beta_{1}+\beta_{6}, \quad \beta_{3}=2 \beta_{1}+3 \beta_{6}, \quad \beta_{4}=\beta_{1}+2 \beta_{6}, \quad \beta_{5}=\beta_{1}+3 \beta_{6}
$$

then $\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{6}\right\}$ is a set of positive roots.
Let $\beta_{i}^{\vee}$ be a corresponding coroot of $\beta_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 6$. Then

$$
\beta_{2}^{\vee}=3 \beta_{1}^{\vee}+\beta_{6}^{\vee}, \quad \beta_{3}^{\vee}=2 \beta_{1}^{\vee}+\beta_{6}^{\vee}, \quad \beta_{4}^{\vee}=3 \beta_{1}^{\vee}+2 \beta_{6}^{\vee}, \quad \beta_{5}^{\vee}=\beta_{1}^{\vee}+\beta_{6}^{\vee}
$$

Let $P_{1}$ the maximal parabolic subgroup generated by $\beta_{1}$ (long root) and $P_{2}$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup generated by $\beta_{6}$ (short root). Then we have Levi decompositions (Shahidi [S4]):

$$
P_{1}=M_{1} N_{1}, \quad P_{2}=M_{2} N_{2}, \quad M_{1} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}, \quad M_{2} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2} .
$$

Under the identification $M_{1} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\beta_{1}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(t, t^{-1}\right), \beta_{6}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(t^{-1}, t^{2}\right), \beta_{2}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(t^{2}, t^{-1}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
\beta_{3}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}(t, 1), \beta_{4}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}(t, t), \beta_{5}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}(1, t)
\end{gather*}
$$

Under the identification $M_{2} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{1}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}(1, t), \beta_{6}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(t, t^{-1}\right), \beta_{2}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(t, t^{2}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
& \beta_{3}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}(t, t), \beta_{4}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(t^{2}, t\right), \beta_{5}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}(t, 1)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $X(T)$ (resp. $\left.X^{*}(T)\right)$ be the character (resp. cocharacter) group of $T$. Since $G$ is simply connected,

$$
X(T)=\mathbb{Z} \beta_{3}+\mathbb{Z} \beta_{4}, \quad X^{*}(T)=\mathbb{Z} \beta_{1}^{\vee}+\mathbb{Z} \beta_{6}^{\vee}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{a}^{*}=X(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{C}}^{*}=X(T) \otimes \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathfrak{a}=X^{*}(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}=\operatorname{Hom}(X(T), \mathbb{R}) \\
\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{C}}=X^{*}(T) \otimes \mathbb{C}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\left\{\beta_{3}, \beta_{4}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{1}^{\vee}, \beta_{6}^{\vee}\right\}$ are the pair of dual bases for $\boldsymbol{a}^{*}$ and $\mathfrak{a}$, respectively.
The positive Weyl Chamber in $a^{*}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{+} & =\left\{\Lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^{*} \mid\left\langle\Lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle>0 \text { for all } \alpha \text { positive roots }\right\} \\
& =\left\{a \beta_{3}+b \beta_{4} \mid a, b>0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The half sum of positive roots is $\rho_{B}=\beta_{3}+\beta_{4}$.
We list the elements of the Weyl group together with their actions on the positive roots.

| $w$ | decomposition | $\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{3}$ | $\beta_{4}$ | $\beta_{5}$ | $\beta_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | $\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{3}$ | $\beta_{4}$ | $\beta_{5}$ | $\beta_{6}$ |
| $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $-\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{6}$ | $\beta_{5}$ | $\beta_{4}$ | $\beta_{3}$ | $\beta_{2}$ |
| $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1}$ | $-\beta_{3}$ | $-\beta_{2}$ | $-\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{6}$ | $\beta_{5}$ | $\beta_{4}$ |
| $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1}$ | $-\beta_{5}$ | $-\beta_{4}$ | $-\beta_{3}$ | $-\beta_{2}$ | $-\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{6}$ |
| $\rho_{4}$ | $\rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6}$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $-\beta_{6}$ | $-\beta_{5}$ | $-\beta_{4}$ | $-\beta_{3}$ | $-\beta_{2}$ |
| $\rho_{5}$ | $\rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6}$ | $\beta_{3}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $-\beta_{6}$ | $-\beta_{5}$ | $-\beta_{4}$ |
| $\rho_{6}$ | $\rho_{6}$ | $\beta_{5}$ | $\beta_{4}$ | $\beta_{3}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $-\beta_{6}$ |
| $\sigma\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ | $\rho_{6} \rho_{1}$ | $-\beta_{5}$ | $-\beta_{6}$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{3}$ | $\beta_{4}$ |
| $\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)$ | $\rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1}$ | $-\beta_{3}$ | $-\beta_{4}$ | $-\beta_{5}$ | $-\beta_{6}$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ |
| $\sigma(\pi)$ | $\rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1}$ | $-\beta_{1}$ | $-\beta_{2}$ | $-\beta_{3}$ | $-\beta_{4}$ | $-\beta_{5}$ | $-\beta_{6}$ |
| $\sigma\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)$ | $\rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6}$ | $\beta_{5}$ | $\beta_{6}$ | $-\beta_{1}$ | $-\beta_{2}$ | $-\beta_{3}$ | $-\beta_{4}$ |
| $\sigma\left(\frac{5 \pi}{3}\right)$ | $\rho_{1} \rho_{6}$ | $\beta_{3}$ | $\beta_{4}$ | $\beta_{5}$ | $\beta_{6}$ | $-\beta_{1}$ | $-\beta_{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3. Decomposition of $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{T^{*}}$. We fix an additive character $\psi=\otimes_{\nu} \psi_{\nu}$ of $A / F$ and let $\xi(z, \mu)$ be the Hecke $L$-function with the ordinary $\Gamma$-factor so that it satisfies the functional equation $\xi(z, \mu)=\epsilon(z, \mu) \xi\left(1-z, \mu^{-1}\right)$, where $\epsilon(z, \mu)=\Pi_{\nu} \epsilon\left(z, \mu_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)$ is
the usual $\epsilon$-factor (see, for example, [Go, p158]). If $\mu$ is the trivial character $\mu_{0}$, then we write simply $\xi(z)$ for $\xi\left(z, \mu_{0}\right)$. We have the Laurent expansion of $\xi(z)$ at $z=1$ :

$$
\xi(z)=\frac{c(F)}{z-1}+a+\cdots .
$$

3.1. Definition of Eisenstein Series. For a unitary character $\chi$ of $T(\mathbb{A}) / T(F)$ and for each $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{C}}^{*}$, let $I(\Lambda, \chi)=\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G} \chi \otimes \exp \left(\Lambda, H_{B}()\right)$ be the induced representation, where $H_{B}$ is the homomorphism $H_{B}: T(\mathbb{A}) \mapsto a$ defined by

$$
\exp \left\langle\chi, H_{B}(t)\right\rangle=\prod_{v}\left|\chi_{v}\left(t_{v}\right)\right|_{v}
$$

We form the Eisenstein series:

$$
E(g, f, \Lambda)=\sum_{\gamma \in B(F) \backslash G(F)} f(\gamma g),
$$

where $f \in I(\Lambda, \chi)$. The Eisenstein series converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda \in C^{+}+\rho_{B}$ and extends to a meromorphic function of $\Lambda$. It is an automorphic form and the constant term of $E(g, f, \Lambda)$ along $B$ is given by

$$
E_{0}(g, f, \Lambda)=\int_{U(F) \backslash U(A)} E(u g, f, \Lambda) d u=\sum_{w \in W} M(w, \Lambda, \chi) f(g)
$$

where $W$ is the Weyl group and for sufficiently regular $\Lambda$,

$$
M(w, \Lambda, \chi) f(g)=\int_{\left.U_{w}(A)\right)} f\left(w^{-1} u g\right) d u
$$

where $U_{w}=U \cap w \bar{U} w^{-1}, \bar{U}$ is the unipotent radical opposed to $U$. Then $M(w, \Lambda, \chi)$ defines a linear map from $I(\Lambda, \chi)$ to $I(w \Lambda, w \chi)$ and satisfies the functional equation of the form

$$
M\left(w_{1} w_{2}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=M\left(w_{1}, w_{2} \Lambda, w_{2} \chi\right) M\left(w_{2}, \Lambda, \chi\right) .
$$

The Eisenstein series satisfies the functional equation

$$
E(g, M(w, \Lambda, \chi) f, w \Lambda)=E(g, f, \Lambda)
$$

Let $S$ be a finite set of places of $F$, including all the archimedean places such that for every $v \notin S$, $\chi_{v}$ and $\psi_{v}$ are unramified and if $f=\otimes f_{v}$, for $v \notin S, f_{v}$ is the unique $K_{v}$-fixed function normalized by $f_{v}\left(e_{v}\right)=1$. We have

$$
M(w, \Lambda, \chi)=\bigotimes_{v} M\left(w, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)
$$

Then by applying Gindikin-Karpelevic method (Langlands [L4]), we can see that for $v \notin S$,

$$
M\left(w, \Lambda, \chi_{\nu}\right) f_{v}=\prod_{\alpha>0, w \alpha<0} \frac{L\left(\left\langle\Lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle, \chi_{\nu} \circ \alpha^{\vee}\right)}{L\left(\left\langle\Lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle+1, \chi_{\nu} \circ \alpha^{\vee}\right)} \tilde{f}_{v},
$$

where $L\left(s, \eta_{v}\right)$ is the local Hecke $L$-function attached to a character $\eta_{v}$ of $F_{v}^{\times}$and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\tilde{f}_{v}$ is the $K_{\nu}$-fixed function in the space of $I(w \Lambda, w \chi)$ satisfying $\tilde{f}_{v}\left(e_{v}\right)=1$. For any $v$, let

$$
r_{v}(w)=\prod_{\alpha>0, w \alpha<0} \frac{L\left(\left\langle\Lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle, \chi_{v} \circ \alpha^{\vee}\right)}{L\left(\left\langle\Lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle+1, \chi_{v} \circ \alpha^{\vee}\right) \epsilon\left(\left\langle\Lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle, \chi_{v} \circ \alpha^{\vee}, \psi_{v}\right)} .
$$

We normalize the intertwining operator $M\left(w, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)$ for all $v$ by

$$
M\left(w, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)=r_{v}(w) R\left(w, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)
$$

Let $R(w, \Lambda, \chi)=\otimes_{v} R\left(w, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)$ and $R(w, \Lambda, \chi)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
R\left(w_{1} w_{2}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=R\left(w_{1}, w_{2} \Lambda, w_{2} \chi\right) R\left(w_{2}, \Lambda, \chi\right)
$$

We know, by Winarsky [Wi] for $p$-adic cases and Shahidi [S2, pl10] for real and complex cases, that

$$
M\left(w, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right) \prod_{\alpha>0, w \alpha<0} L\left(\left\langle\Lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle, \chi_{v} \circ \alpha^{\vee}\right)^{-1}
$$

is holomorphic for any $v$. So for any $v, R\left(w, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)$ is holomorphic for $\Lambda$ with $\operatorname{Re}\left(\left\langle\Lambda, \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle\right)>-1$, for all positive $\alpha$ with $w \alpha<0$.

We note that a character $\chi$ of $T(F) \backslash T(\mathrm{~A})$ defines a cuspidal representation of $T$. For any $w \in W, w T w^{-1}=T$ and so $(T, w \chi)$ is conjugate to $(T, \chi)$.

Let $I(\chi)$ be the set of entire functions $f$ of Paley-Wiener type such that $f(\Lambda) \in I(\Lambda, \chi)$ for each $\Lambda$. Let

$$
\theta_{f}(g)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{2} \int_{\operatorname{Re} \Lambda=\Lambda_{0}} E(g, f(\Lambda), \Lambda) d \Lambda
$$

where $\Lambda_{0} \in \rho_{B}+C^{+}$. Then we have
LEMMA ([L4]). $\quad L^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{(T, \chi)}$ spanned by $\theta_{f}$ for all $f \in I(w \chi)$ as $w \chi$ runs through all distinct conjugates of $\chi$.
$L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathrm{~A}))_{(T, \chi)}$ is the discrete part of $L^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathrm{~A}))_{(T, \chi)}$. It is the set of iterated residues of $E(g, f(\Lambda), \Lambda)$ of order 2.

In order to decompose $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{(T, \chi)}$, we use the inner product formula of two pseudo-Eisenstein series: Let $\chi$ and $\chi^{\prime}$ be conjugate characters and $f \in I(\chi), f^{\prime} \in I\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
\left\langle\theta_{f}, \theta_{f^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{\operatorname{Re} \Lambda=\Lambda_{0}} \sum_{w \in W\left(\chi, \chi^{\prime}\right)}\left(M(w, \Lambda) f(\Lambda), f^{\prime}(-w \bar{\Lambda})\right) d \Lambda
$$

where $W\left(\chi, \chi^{\prime}\right)=\left\{w \in W \mid w \chi=\chi^{\prime}\right\}$. Let $\{d \chi \mid d \in D\}$ be the set of distinct conjugates of $\chi$.

In order to deal with the distinct conjugates of $\chi$ simultaneously, we consider, for $f \in I(\chi)$,

$$
A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=\sum_{d \in D} \sum_{w \in W(\chi, d \chi)}\left(M(w, \Lambda, \chi) f(\Lambda), f_{d}^{\prime}(-w \bar{\Lambda})\right)
$$

where $f_{d}^{\prime} \in I(d \chi)$. Since $W=\bigcup_{d \in D} W(\chi, d \chi)$, for simplicity, we write it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=\sum_{w \in W}\left(M(w, \Lambda, \chi) f(\Lambda), f^{\prime}(-w \bar{\Lambda})\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have the adjoint formula for the intertwining operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(M(w, \Lambda, \chi) f(\Lambda), f^{\prime}(-w \bar{\Lambda})\right)=\left(f(\Lambda), M\left(w^{-1},-w \bar{\Lambda}, w \chi\right) f^{\prime}(-w \bar{\Lambda})\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left(R(w, \Lambda, \chi) f(\Lambda), f^{\prime}(-w \bar{\Lambda})\right)=\left(f(\Lambda), R\left(w^{-1},-w \bar{\Lambda}, w \chi\right) f^{\prime}(-w \bar{\Lambda})\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We use this adjoint formula and calculate the residue of $A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)$ to obtain the residual spectrum $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{(T, \chi)}$.

Let $S_{i}=\left\{\Lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{C}}^{*}:\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle=1\right\}$ and we introduce a coordinate on $S_{i}$ as follows: $\Lambda=z u_{i}+\frac{\beta_{i}}{2}$, where $u_{1}=\beta_{4}, u_{2}=\beta_{5}, u_{3}=\beta_{6}, u_{4}=\beta_{1}, u_{5}=\beta_{2}, u_{6}=\beta_{3}$. We note that $\left\langle u_{i}, \beta_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle=0$.

In order to get discrete spectrum, we have to deform the contour $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda=\Lambda_{0}$ to $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda=0$. Since the poles of the functions $M(w, \Lambda, \chi)$ all lie on $S_{i}$ which is defined by real equations, we can represent the process of deforming the contour and the singular hyperplanes $S_{i}$ as dashed lines by the following diagram in the real plane as in [L4, Appendix 3].

The integral at $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda=0$,

$$
\frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{2}} \int_{\mathrm{Re} \Lambda=0} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) d \Lambda
$$

gives the continuous spectrum of dimension 2. As can be seen in the diagram, if we move the contour along the dotted line indicated we may pick up residues at the points $\lambda_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 6$ :

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re} \Lambda=\lambda_{i}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{i}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) d \Lambda
$$

where $\Lambda \in S_{i}$. Then we deform the contours $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda=\lambda_{i}$ to $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda=\frac{\beta_{i}}{2}$, i.e., the origin of $S_{i}$. The integrals at $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda=\frac{\beta_{i}}{2}$,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re} \Lambda=\frac{\beta_{i}}{2}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{i}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) d \Lambda
$$

give the continuous spectrum of dimension 1. The square integrable residues which arise during the deformation span the discrete spectrum.

As we see in the diagram, we have to consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{3}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{1}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) \\
& \operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{2}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{1}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{\frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{2}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) \\
& \operatorname{Rese}_{\beta_{4}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{5}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) \\
& \operatorname{Res}_{\rho_{B}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) \\
& \operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{4}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) \\
& \operatorname{Res}_{\frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\chi$ a character of $T(\mathbb{A}) / T(F)$, let $\chi_{i}=\chi \circ \beta_{i}^{\vee}$.
Then

$$
\chi_{2}=\chi_{1}^{3} \chi_{6}, \chi_{3}=\chi_{1}^{2} \chi_{6}, \chi_{4}=\chi_{1}^{3} \chi_{6}^{2}, \chi_{5}=\chi_{1} \chi_{6} .
$$

Set

$$
M^{i}(w, \Lambda, \chi)=\frac{\xi(2)}{c(F)} \operatorname{Res}_{s_{i}} M(w, \Lambda, \chi) .
$$

Let $W_{i}=\left\{w \in W \mid w \beta_{i}<0\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 6$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{S_{i}} A\left(f, f^{\prime}, \Lambda\right)=\sum_{w \in W_{i}}\left(M^{i}(w, \Lambda, \chi) f(\Lambda), f^{\prime}(-w \bar{\Lambda})\right)
$$

3.2. Calculation of $\operatorname{Res}_{S_{1}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) . \quad M(w, \Lambda, \chi)$ has a pole at $S_{1}$ if $\chi_{1}=1$.

On $S_{1}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{2}^{\vee}\right\rangle=z+\frac{3}{2},\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{3}^{\vee}\right\rangle=z+\frac{1}{2} \\
\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{4}^{\vee}\right\rangle=2 z,\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{5}^{\vee}\right\rangle=z-\frac{1}{2},\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{6}^{\vee}\right\rangle=z-\frac{3}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 3.2.1.

$$
\begin{gathered}
M^{1}\left(\rho_{1}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=R\left(\rho_{1}, \Lambda, \chi\right) \\
M^{1}\left(\rho_{2}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) R\left(\rho_{2}, \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\xi\left(z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{6}\right)} \\
M^{1}\left(\rho_{3}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(2 z, \chi_{6}^{2}\right) \xi\left(z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) R\left(\rho_{3}, \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\xi\left(z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \xi\left(2 z+1, \chi_{6}^{2}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(2 z, \chi_{6}^{2}\right) \varepsilon\left(z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{6}\right)} \\
M^{1}\left(\sigma\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{6}\right)}{\xi\left(z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{6}\right)} R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right) \\
M^{1}\left(\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right) \\
=\frac{\xi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \xi\left(2 z, \chi_{6}^{2}\right) \quad R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\xi\left(z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \xi\left(2 z+1, \chi_{6}^{2}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{3}{2} \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(2 z, \chi_{6}^{2}\right)} \\
M^{1}(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi)=\frac{\xi\left(2 z, \chi_{6}^{2}\right) \xi\left(z-\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{6}\right)}{\xi\left(z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \xi\left(2 z+1, \chi_{6}^{2}\right)} \\
\cdot \frac{R(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi)}{\varepsilon\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(2 z, \chi_{6}^{2}\right) \varepsilon\left(z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(z-\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{6}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 3.2.2. If $\chi$ is not trivial, then $\operatorname{Res}_{S_{1}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)$ has a pole at $z=\frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $\Lambda=\beta_{2}$ when $\chi_{6}^{2}=1, \chi_{6} \neq 1$ and the residue is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{2}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{1}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=c_{1}\left(f\left(\beta_{2}\right), R\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi\right)\right) \\
\left(R\left(\rho_{5}, " \beta_{2} ", \chi\right) f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}\right)+c_{2} R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \beta_{4}, \rho_{3} \chi\right) f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)+c_{3} R\left(\rho_{6}, \beta_{4}, \frac{2 \pi}{3} \chi\right) f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ are constants and $R\left(\rho_{5}\right.$, " $\beta_{2}$ ", $\left.\chi\right)=\otimes_{v} R\left(\rho_{5}\right.$, " $\beta_{2}$ ", $\left.\chi_{v}\right)$ and $R\left(\rho_{5}, ~ " ~ \beta_{2}\right.$ ", $\left.\chi_{v}\right)$ is the value at $\beta_{2}$ of the restriction $\left.R\left(\rho_{5}, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)\right|_{S_{1}}$. It is an isomorphism from $I\left(\beta_{2}, \chi\right)$ to $I\left(\beta_{2}, \rho_{5} \chi\right)$.

Proof. The local intertwining operators are holomorphic at $z=\frac{1}{2}$ except $R\left(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)$ when $\chi_{v}=1$. However, Moeglin-Waldspurger [M-W1, Appendix III] showed that the restriction $\left.R\left(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)\right|_{s_{1}}$ is holomorphic at $z=\frac{1}{2}$ : Let $\chi_{v}=1$. By the cocycle relation, $R\left(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)=R\left(\rho_{5}, \rho_{2} \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right) R\left(\rho_{2}, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)$. The pole is contained in $R\left(\rho_{5}, \rho_{2} \Lambda, \chi_{\nu}\right)$. However, the restriction $\left.R\left(\rho_{5}, \rho_{2} \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)\right|_{S_{1}}$ of $R\left(\rho_{5}, \rho_{2} \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)$ onto $S_{1}$ is holomorphic at $\beta_{2}$. If $\chi_{v}$ is not trivial, $R\left(\rho_{5}, \rho_{2} \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)$ is holomorphic at $\beta_{2}$.

Therefore, all the poles are contained in the normalizing factors. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{2}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{1}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)= & \frac{c(F) \xi\left(1, \chi_{6}\right)}{\xi(2) \xi\left(3, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(1, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(2, \chi_{6}\right)}\left(R\left(\rho_{3}, \beta_{2}, \chi\right) f\left(\beta_{2}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), \beta_{2}, \chi\right) f\left(\beta_{2}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{c(F) \xi\left(2, \chi_{6}\right)}{\xi(2) \xi\left(3, \chi_{6}\right) \varepsilon\left(2, \chi_{6}\right)}\left(R\left(\sigma(\pi), \beta_{2}, \chi\right) f\left(\beta_{2}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to use the adjoint formula (3.2), we note that $\rho_{3}=\rho_{2} \sigma\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)^{-1}=$ $\sigma\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)=\rho_{2} \rho_{6}$. We also note that $\sigma(\pi)=\rho_{2} \rho_{5}=\rho_{5} \rho_{2}$ and so we have $R\left(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)=$ $R\left(\rho_{2}, \rho_{5} \Lambda, \rho_{5} \chi_{v}\right) R\left(\rho_{5}, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)$. By the same reasoning as above, if $\chi_{v}=1, R\left(\rho_{5}, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right) \mid s_{1}$ is holomorphic at $\beta_{2}$ and we denote its value by $R\left(\rho_{5}\right.$, " $\beta_{2}$ ", $\left.\chi_{v}\right)$. If $\chi_{\nu}$ is not trivial, then $R\left(\rho_{5}, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)$ is holomorphic at $\beta_{2}$ and in this case, $R\left(\rho_{5}, \beta_{2}, \chi_{v}\right)=R\left(\rho_{5}\right.$, " $\beta_{2}$ ", $\left.\chi_{v}\right)$.

Proposition 3.2.3. If $\chi$ is trivial, then $\operatorname{Res}_{s_{1}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)$ has a pole at $z=\frac{1}{2}$, i.e. at $\beta_{2}$ and $z=\frac{3}{2}$, i.e., at $\beta_{3}$.
(1) $\operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{2}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{1}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)$ was calculated by Moeglin-Waldspurger.
(2) $\operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{3}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{1}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=0$.

Proof. (1) See [M-W1, Appendix IV].
(2) At $z=\frac{3}{2}, M^{1}\left(\rho_{3}, \Lambda, \chi\right)$ and $M^{1}(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi)$ have simple poles. So the residue is given by
$\operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{3}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{1}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=\frac{\xi(3) c(F)}{\xi(4)^{2}}\left(\left(R\left(\rho_{3}, \beta_{3}, \chi\right)-R\left(\sigma(\pi), \beta_{3}, \chi\right)\right) f\left(\beta_{3}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{3}\right)\right)$.
But $R\left(\sigma(\pi), \beta_{3}, \chi\right)=R\left(\rho_{3} \rho_{6}, \beta_{3}, \chi\right)=R\left(\rho_{3}, \beta_{3}, \chi\right) R\left(\rho_{6}, \beta_{3}, \chi\right)$ and $R\left(\rho_{6}, \beta_{3}, \chi\right)=i d$ by the following Lemma. So $\operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{3}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{1}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=0$.

Lemma 3.2.4. Suppose a character $\chi_{v}$ satisfies $\rho_{6} \chi_{v}=\chi_{v}$. Then for any real number $t$, the normalized intertwining operator $R\left(\rho_{6}, t \beta_{3}, \chi_{v}\right)$ is a self-intertwining operator of $I\left(t \beta_{3}, \chi_{\nu}\right)$. It acts like the identity.

Proof. Since $\rho_{6} \beta_{3}=\beta_{3}$, it is a self-intertwining operator of $I\left(t \beta_{3}, \chi_{v}\right)$. Since $\left\langle\beta_{3}, \beta_{6}^{\vee}\right\rangle=0, R\left(\rho_{6}, t \beta_{3}, \chi_{v}\right)$ is actually an intertwining operator for the Levi subgroup $M_{2} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$, where $P_{2}=M_{2} N_{2}$ is the maximal parabolic subgroup attached to $\beta_{6}$. Under the identification $M_{2} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}, R\left(\rho_{6}, t \beta_{3}, \chi_{v}\right)$ is an intertwining operator of $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{0}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{2}} \mu| |^{t} \times$ $\left.\mu\left|\left.\right|^{t}\right.$. Since $\left.\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{0}}^{\mathrm{GL}} \mu\right|\right|^{t} \times \mu| |^{t}$ is irreducible, $R\left(\rho_{6}, t \beta_{3}, \chi_{v}\right)$ acts like a scalar. But it acts like the identity on the K-fixed vector. Therefore it is the identity.
3.3. Calculation of $\operatorname{Res}_{s_{2}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) . \quad M(w, \Lambda, \chi)$ has a pole at $S_{2}$ if $\chi_{2}=1$, i.e., $\chi_{1}^{3} \chi_{6}=$ 1.

On $S_{2}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{1}^{\vee}\right\rangle=-z+\frac{1}{2},\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{3}^{\vee}\right\rangle=z+\frac{1}{2} \\
\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{4}^{\vee}\right\rangle=3 z+\frac{1}{2},\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{5}^{\vee}\right\rangle=2 z,\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{6}^{\vee}\right\rangle=3 z-\frac{1}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 3.3.1.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
M^{2}\left(\rho_{2}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(-z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \quad R\left(\rho_{2}, \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\xi\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \varepsilon\left(-z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right)} \\
M^{2}\left(\rho_{3}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(-z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \xi\left(3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right) \xi\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{-2}\right)}{\xi\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \xi\left(3 z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right) \xi\left(2 z+1, \chi_{1}^{-2}\right)} \\
\cdot \frac{R\left(\rho_{3}, \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\varepsilon\left(-z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \varepsilon\left(3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right) \varepsilon\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{-2}\right)} \\
M^{2}\left(\rho_{4}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \xi\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{-2}\right) \xi\left(3 z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right)}{\xi\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \xi\left(2 z+1, \chi_{1}^{-2}\right) \xi\left(3 z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right)} \\
\cdot \frac{R\left(\rho_{4}, \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \varepsilon\left(3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right) \varepsilon\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{-2}\right) \varepsilon\left(3 z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right)} \\
M^{2}\left(\sigma\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(-z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right)}{\xi\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(-z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right)} R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right) \\
M^{2}\left(\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(-z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \xi\left(3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right)}{\xi\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \xi\left(3 z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right)} \\
M^{2}(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi)=\frac{R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\xi\left(-z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \varepsilon\left(3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right)} \\
\xi\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \xi\left(2 z+1, \chi_{1}^{-2}\right) \xi\left(3 z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right)
\end{array} \quad R(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi)\right]\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon\left(-z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \varepsilon\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{-2}\right) \varepsilon\left(3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right) \varepsilon\left(3 z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-3}\right)} .\right.
$$

Proposition 3.3.2. $\operatorname{Res}_{S_{2}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)$ has a simple pole at $z=\frac{1}{6}$, i.e. $\Lambda=\frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}$ when $\chi_{1}^{3}=1$, i.e., $\chi_{6}=1$ and $\operatorname{Res}_{\frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{2}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=0$.

Proof. All the local intertwining operators are holomorphic at $z=\frac{1}{6}$. So all the poles are contained in the normalizing factors. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{\frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{2}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) \\
& =(*)\left(\left(R\left(\rho_{3}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right)-R\left(\sigma(\pi), \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right)\right) f\left(\frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+(* *)\left(\left(-R\left(\rho_{4}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right)+R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right)\right) f\left(\frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $(*)$ and $(* *)$ being constants depending on $\chi_{1}$. Here $R\left(\sigma(\pi), \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right)=$ $R\left(\rho_{3}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right) R\left(\rho_{6}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right)$ and $R\left(\rho_{4}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right)=R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right) R\left(\rho_{6}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right)$. Since $\rho_{6} \chi=\chi$, by Lemma 3.2.4, $R\left(\rho_{6}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right)=i d$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Res}_{\frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{2}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=0$.
3.4. Calculation of $\operatorname{Res}_{S_{5}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) . \quad M(w, \Lambda, \chi)$ has a pole at $S_{5}$ if $\chi_{5}=1$, i.e. $\chi_{1} \chi_{6}=$ 1. On $S_{5}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{1}^{\vee}\right\rangle=z-\frac{1}{2},\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{2}^{\vee}\right\rangle=2 z,\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{3}^{\vee}\right\rangle=z+\frac{1}{2} \\
\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{4}^{\vee}\right\rangle=z+\frac{3}{2},\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{6}^{\vee}\right\rangle=-z+\frac{3}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 3.4.1.

$$
\begin{gathered}
M^{5}\left(\rho_{3}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \quad R\left(\rho_{3}, \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\xi\left(z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(2 z+1, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \varepsilon\left(z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right)} \\
M^{5}\left(\rho_{4}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right)}{\xi\left(2 z+1, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(-z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right)} \\
\cdot \frac{R\left(\rho_{4}, \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\varepsilon\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right)} \\
M^{5}\left(\rho_{5}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) R\left(\rho_{5}, \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\xi\left(z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(-z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right)} \\
M^{5}(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi)=\frac{\xi\left(z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right)}{\xi\left(z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(2 z+1, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi\left(-z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right)} \\
\cdot \frac{R(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi)}{\varepsilon\left(z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right)} \\
M^{5}\left(\sigma\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right)}{\xi\left(z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(-z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right)} \\
M^{5}\left(\sigma\left(\frac{5 \pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\xi\left(-z+\frac{5}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right) \varepsilon\left(-z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{-1}\right)} R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{5 \pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 3.4.2. If $\chi$ is not trivial, $\operatorname{Res}_{S_{5}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)$ has a simple pole at $\Lambda=\beta_{4}$, i.e., $z=\frac{1}{2}$, when $\chi_{1}^{2}=1, \chi_{1} \neq 1$ and its residue is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{4}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{5}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)= & c_{1}\left(R\left(\rho_{3}, \beta_{4}, \chi\right) f\left(\beta_{4}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +c_{2}\left(\left(R\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \chi\right)+R\left(\sigma(\pi), \beta_{4}, \chi\right)\right) f\left(\beta_{4}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{1}=\frac{c(F) \xi\left(0, \chi_{1}\right)}{\xi(2) \xi\left(3, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(2, \chi_{1}\right)}$ and $c_{2}=\frac{c(F) \xi\left(1, \chi_{1}\right)^{2}}{\xi(2) \xi\left(3, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(2, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(1, \chi_{1}\right)^{2}}$.
Proof. All the local intertwining operators are holomorphic at $z=\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore all the poles are contained in the normalizing factors. Our assertion follows from the straightforward computation.

Proposition 3.4.3. If $\chi$ is trivial, $\operatorname{Res}_{S_{5}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)$ has a triple pole at $z=\frac{1}{2}$. Moeglin-Waldspurger calculated the residue.
3.5. Calculation of $\operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) . \quad M(w, \Lambda, \chi)$ has a pole at $S_{6}$ if $\chi_{6}=1$. On $S_{6}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{1}^{\vee}\right\rangle=z-\frac{1}{2}, \quad\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{2}^{\vee}\right\rangle=3 z-\frac{1}{2}, \quad\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{3}^{\vee}\right\rangle=2 z \\
\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{4}^{\vee}\right\rangle=3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \quad\left\langle\Lambda, \beta_{5}^{\vee}\right\rangle=z+\frac{1}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 3.5.1.

$$
\begin{gathered}
M^{6}\left(\rho_{4}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(3 z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \xi\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right)}{\xi\left(3 z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \xi\left(2 z+1, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right)} \\
\cdot \frac{R\left(\rho_{4}, \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\varepsilon\left(3 z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \varepsilon\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \varepsilon\left(3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right)} \\
M^{6}\left(\rho_{5}, \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \quad R\left(\rho_{5}, \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\xi\left(3 z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right)} \\
M^{6}(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi)=\frac{\xi\left(z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(3 z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \xi\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{2}\right)}{\xi\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(3 z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \xi\left(2 z+1, \chi_{1}^{2}\right)} \\
\cdot \frac{R(\sigma(\pi), \Lambda, \chi)}{\varepsilon\left(z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(3 z-\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \varepsilon\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \varepsilon\left(3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right)} \\
M^{6}\left(\sigma\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right) \quad \\
=\frac{\xi\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi\left(3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \quad R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right)}{\xi\left(2 z+1, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi\left(3 z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \xi\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(2 z, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \varepsilon\left(3 z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}^{3}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right)} \\
M^{6}\left(\sigma\left(\frac{5 \pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right)=\frac{\xi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right)}{\xi\left(z+\frac{3}{2}, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(z+\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{1}\right)} R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{5 \pi}{3}\right), \Lambda, \chi\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

All the local intertwining operators are holomorphic at $z=\frac{1}{6}, z=\frac{1}{2}, z=\frac{3}{2}$ and so all the poles are contained in the normalizing factors.

Proposition 3.5.2. If $\chi$ is not trivial, $\operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)$ has a simple pole at $\Lambda=\frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}$, i.e., $z=\frac{1}{6}$ if $\chi_{1}^{3}=1$. $\operatorname{Res}_{\frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=0$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{\frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) \\
& =c_{1}\left(\left(-R\left(\rho_{4}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}, \chi\right)+R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right), \frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}, \chi\right)\right) f\left(\frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+c_{2}\left(\left(R\left(\rho_{5}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}, \chi\right)-R\left(\sigma(\pi), \frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}, \chi\right)\right) f\left(\frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ depend on $\chi_{1}$. But

$$
\begin{gathered}
R\left(\rho_{4}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}, \chi\right)=R\left(\rho_{6},-\frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right) R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right), \frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}, \chi\right) \\
R\left(\sigma(\pi), \frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}, \chi\right)=R\left(\rho_{5}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}, \chi\right) R\left(\rho_{2}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}, \chi\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\sigma\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right) \chi=\chi$ and $\rho_{6} \rho_{1} \chi=\rho_{1} \chi$ and

$$
R\left(\rho_{2}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}, \chi\right)=R\left(\rho_{1}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \rho_{1} \chi\right) R\left(\rho_{6}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \rho_{1} \chi\right) R\left(\rho_{1}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}, \chi\right)
$$

By Lemma 3.2.4, $R\left(\rho_{6},-\frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \chi\right)$ and $R\left(\rho_{6}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{3}, \rho_{1} \chi\right)$ are the identity. By the cocycle relation of the normalized intertwining operators, $R\left(\rho_{2}, \frac{1}{3} \beta_{5}, \chi\right)$ is also the identity. Therefore, the residue is zero.

Proposition 3.5.3. $A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)$ has a simple pole at $\Lambda=\beta_{4}$, i.e., $z=\frac{1}{2}$ if $\chi_{1}^{3}=1$, $\chi_{1}^{2} \neq 1$ or $\chi_{1}^{2}=1, \chi_{1} \neq 1$.
(1) $\chi_{1}^{3}=1, \chi_{1}^{2} \neq 1$. The residue is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{4}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=c\left(f\left(\beta_{4}\right), R\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \chi\right)\left(f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)+R\left(\rho_{1}, \beta_{4}, \chi\right) f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)\right)\right. \text {, } \\
& \text { where } c=\frac{c(F) \xi\left(1, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi\left(1, \chi_{1}\right)}{\xi(2) \xi\left(2, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \xi\left(2, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(1, \chi_{1}^{2}\right) \varepsilon\left(1, \chi_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) $\chi_{1}^{2}=1, \chi_{1} \neq 1$. The residue is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{4}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=c_{1} & \left(\left(R\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \chi\right)+R\left(\sigma(\pi), \beta_{4}, \chi\right)\right) f\left(\beta_{4}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)\right) \\
& +c_{2}\left(R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right), \beta_{4}, \chi\right) f\left(\beta_{4}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { where } c_{1}=\frac{\xi\left(1, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(1, \chi_{1}\right) \quad c(F)}{\xi\left(2, \chi_{1}\right) \xi\left(2, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(1, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(2, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(1, \chi_{1}\right) \xi(2)} \text { and } c_{2}=\frac{c(F) \xi\left(1, \chi_{1}\right)}{\xi(2) \xi\left(3, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(2, \chi_{1}\right) \varepsilon\left(1, \chi_{1}\right)} \text {. }
$$

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 3.5.1 and straightforward computation.
Proposition 3.5.4. If $\chi$ is trivial, $\operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)$ has a triple pole at $\Lambda=\beta_{4}$. Moeglin-Waldspurger calculated the residue.

Proposition 3.5.5. If $\chi$ is trivial, $\operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)$ has a simple pole at $\Lambda=\rho_{B}$, i.e., $z=\frac{3}{2}$. The residue is given by

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{\rho_{B}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=\frac{c(F) \xi\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)}{\xi\left(\frac{9}{2}\right) \xi(4)}\left(R\left(\sigma(\pi), \rho_{B}, \chi\right) f\left(\rho_{B}\right), f^{\prime}\left(\rho_{B}\right)\right)
$$

This gives the constant.
3.6. Conclusion. Let $J(\chi)$ be the subspace of $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{(T, \chi)}$, which is $K$-finite and $K_{\infty}$-invariant.
3.6.1. $\chi$ is trivial. We can see from the above calculation (Propositions 3.2.3, 3.3.2, 3.4.3, 3.5.4, 3.5.5) that Moeglin and Waldspurger obtained all the residual spectrum attached to the trivial character of the torus. We summarize their results; $J(1)$ is isomorphic to the sum of the trivial representation and the image $\left(1+\frac{1}{2} E\right) R\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}\right) I\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{f}$, where $I\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{f}$ is the $K_{\infty}$-invariant subspace of $I\left(\beta_{2}\right)$ and $E=\otimes_{v} E_{v}$. Here $E_{\nu}$ is defined as follows: Let $\left.R\left(\rho_{5}, \rho_{2} \Lambda\right)\right|_{S_{1}}$ be the restriction of $R\left(\rho_{5}, \rho_{2} \Lambda\right)$ to $S_{1}$. It is holomorphic at $\beta_{2}$. Let $E_{v}$ be the value of $\left.R\left(\rho_{5}, \rho_{2} \Lambda\right)\right|_{S_{1}}$ at $\beta_{2}$.

Then $R_{v}\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}\right) I_{v}\left(\beta_{2}\right)=\pi_{1 v} \oplus \pi_{2 v}$, where $\pi_{1 v}$ is spherical and

$$
E_{v}\left(f_{v}\right)=\left\{\begin{align*}
f_{v}, & \text { if } f_{v} \in \pi_{1 v}  \tag{3.3}\\
-2 f_{v}, & \text { if } f_{v} \in \pi_{2 v}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Let $S$ be a finite set of finite places and $\pi^{S}=\otimes_{v \notin S} \pi_{1 v} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} \pi_{2 v}$. Then

$$
J(1)=\pi_{0} \oplus \bigoplus_{S, \operatorname{card}(S) \neq 1} \pi^{S}
$$

where $\pi_{0}$ is the trivial representation.
3.6.2. $\chi$ is non-trivial. From Propositions 3.2.2, 3.4.2 and 3.5.3, only the following characters contribute to the residual spectrum:
(1) $\chi: \chi_{1}=1, \chi_{6}^{2}=1, \chi_{6} \neq 1$
(2) $\chi^{\prime}=\rho_{6} \chi: \chi_{1}^{\prime 2}=1, \chi_{1}^{\prime} \neq 1, \chi_{6}^{\prime}=\chi_{1}^{\prime}$
(3) $\chi^{\prime \prime}=\rho_{1} \rho_{6} \chi: \chi_{1}^{\prime \prime 2}=1, \chi_{1}^{\prime \prime} \neq 1, \chi_{6}^{\prime \prime}=1$
(4) $\tilde{\chi}: \tilde{\chi}^{3}=1, \tilde{\chi}_{1} \neq 1, \tilde{\chi}_{6}=1$

Under the identification $M_{1} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$, where $M_{1}$ is the Levi subgroup of $P_{1}$, the above characters are given by $\chi=\chi(\mu, \nu)$, where $\mu, \nu$ are grössencharacters of $F$ :
(1) $\chi=\chi(\mu, \nu), \mu=\nu, \mu^{2}=1, \mu \neq 1$
(2) $\rho_{6} \chi=\chi(\mu, \nu), \mu=1, \nu^{2}=1, \nu \neq 1$
(3) $\rho_{1} \rho_{6} \chi=\chi(\mu, \nu), \mu^{2}=1, \mu \neq 1, \nu=1$
(4) $\tilde{\chi}=\chi(\mu, \nu), \mu^{3}=1, \mu \neq 1, \nu=\mu^{2}$

CASE 1. $\chi: \chi_{1}=1, \chi_{6}^{2}=1, \chi_{6} \neq 1$
From Proposition 3.2.2,
$\operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{2}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{1}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=c_{1}\left(f\left(\beta_{2}\right), R\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi\right)\right.$

$$
\left(R\left(\rho_{5}, " \beta_{2} ", \chi\right) f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}\right)+c_{2} R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \beta_{4}, \rho_{3} \chi\right) f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)+c_{3} R\left(\rho_{6}, \beta_{4}, \frac{2 \pi}{3} \chi\right) f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)\right),
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ are constants and $R\left(\rho_{5}, ~ " \beta_{2} ", \chi\right)=\otimes_{v} R\left(\rho_{5}, " \beta_{2}\right.$ ", $\left.\chi_{v}\right)$ and $R\left(\rho_{5}, " \beta_{2}\right.$ ", $\left.\chi_{v}\right)$ is the value at $\beta_{2}$ of the restriction $\left.R\left(\rho_{5}, \Lambda, \chi_{v}\right)\right|_{S_{1}}$. It is an isomorphism from $I\left(\beta_{2}, \chi\right)$ to $I\left(\beta_{2}, \rho_{5} \chi\right)$.

Here we recall the inner product formula (3.1) and our short-hand notation. Note that $\sigma(\pi) \in W(\chi, \chi), \rho_{3} \in W\left(\chi, \rho_{6} \chi\right)$ and $\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right) \in W\left(\chi, \rho_{1} \rho_{6} \chi\right)$. Therefore, the above $f^{\prime}$ 's
are all in different spaces. Since $R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \beta_{4}, \rho_{3} \chi\right)$ and $R\left(\rho_{6}, \beta_{4}, \frac{2 \pi}{3} \chi\right)$ are intertwining operators and $R\left(\rho_{5}\right.$, " $\beta_{2}$ ", $\left.\chi\right)$ is an isomorphism, $J(\chi)$ is isomorphic to the image

$$
R\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi\right) I\left(\beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi\right)_{f}=\bigotimes_{v<\infty} R_{v}\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right) I_{v}\left(\beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right)
$$

We already know from Moeglin-Waldspurger that if $\chi_{v}$ is trivial,

$$
R_{v}\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right) I_{v}\left(\beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right)=\pi_{1 v} \oplus \pi_{2 v}
$$

Suppose $\chi_{v}$ is not trivial. Then $\chi_{v} \otimes \exp \left(\beta_{2}, H_{B}()\right)$ is a regular character of $T$. So we can apply Rodier's result as follows: By the cocycle relation,

$$
R_{v}\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right)=R_{v}\left(\rho_{5},-\beta_{2}, \rho_{5} \chi_{v}\right) R_{v}\left(\sigma(\pi), \beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right)
$$

From Rodier [R, Cor 3 in p417], $R_{v}\left(\sigma(\pi), \beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right) I_{v}\left(\beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right)$ and the unique irreducible subrepresentation of $I_{v}\left(-\beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right)$ have the same Jacquet module. Therefore, $R_{v}\left(\sigma(\pi), \beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right) I_{v}\left(\beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right)$ is the unique irreducible subrepresentation of $I_{\nu}\left(-\beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{\nu}\right)$. Since $R_{v}\left(\rho_{5},-\beta_{2}, \rho_{5} \chi_{v}\right)$ is an isomorphism, $R_{v}\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right) I_{v}\left(\beta_{2}, \rho_{2} \chi_{v}\right)$ is the unique irreducible subrepresentation of $I\left(-\beta_{2}, \chi_{v}\right)$.

Let $J_{v}=\left\{\pi_{1 v}, \pi_{2 v}\right\}$. If $\chi_{v}$ is not trivial, we take $\pi_{2 v}=0$. Let $S$ be a finite set of finite places and $\pi^{S}=\otimes_{v \notin S} \pi_{1 v} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} \pi_{2 v}$. Then

$$
J(\chi)=\bigoplus_{S} \pi^{s}
$$

There is no condition on $S$.
CASE 2. $\chi^{\prime}=\rho_{6} \chi: \chi_{1}^{\prime 2}=1, \chi_{1}^{\prime} \neq 1, \chi_{6}^{\prime}=\chi_{1}^{\prime}$
From Proposition 3.4.2 and the adjoint formula (3.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{4}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{5}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) \\
& =c_{1}\left(f\left(\beta_{4}\right), R\left(\rho_{3}, \beta_{2}, \rho_{3} \chi^{\prime}\right) f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+c_{2}\left(f\left(\beta_{4}\right), R\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \chi^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)+R\left(\rho_{1}, \beta_{4}, \chi^{\prime}\right) f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall the inner product formula (3.1). We note that $\rho_{4} \in W\left(\rho_{6} \chi, \rho_{1} \rho_{6} \chi\right)$ since $\rho_{4} \rho_{6} \chi=\rho_{1} \rho_{6} \chi$ and $\sigma(\pi) \in W\left(\rho_{6} \chi, \rho_{6} \chi\right), \rho_{3} \in W\left(\rho_{6} \chi, \chi\right)$. Therefore, the above $f^{\prime}$ 's are all in different spaces. Here

$$
R\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \chi^{\prime}\right) I\left(\beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \chi^{\prime}\right)=\bigotimes_{v} R_{v}\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \chi_{v}^{\prime}\right) I_{v}\left(\beta_{4}, \rho_{v} \chi_{v}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Under the identification $M_{1} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}, \rho_{6} \chi=\chi(\mu, \nu), \mu=1, \nu^{2}=1, \nu \neq 1$. By inducing in stages, $\left.I\left(\beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \chi_{v}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{1}}^{G} \exp \left(\beta_{4}, H_{P_{1}}\right)\right) \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_{B_{0}}^{G L_{2}} \rho_{4} \chi_{v}^{\prime}$, where $B_{0}$ is a Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2} . \pi_{v}=\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{0}}^{\mathrm{GL}} \rho_{4} \chi_{v}^{\prime}$ is irreducible. Therefore, $R_{v}\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \chi_{v}^{\prime}\right) I_{v}\left(\beta_{4}, \rho_{v} \chi_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ is the Langlands' quotient of $\left.\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{1}}^{G} \exp \left(\beta_{4}, H_{P_{1}}\right)\right) \otimes \pi_{v}$. In particular, it is irreducible.

We have $\rho_{3}=\rho_{1} \rho_{4} \rho_{6}$ and $\rho_{4} \chi^{\prime}=\chi$. So

$$
R\left(\rho_{3}, \beta_{2}, \chi_{v}\right)=R\left(\rho_{1},-\beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \rho_{6} \chi_{v}\right) R\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \rho_{6} \chi_{v}\right) R\left(\rho_{6}, \beta_{2}, \chi_{v}\right)
$$

If $\chi_{v}$ is not trivial, then $R\left(\rho_{1},-\beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \rho_{6} \chi_{v}\right)$ and $R\left(\rho_{6}, \beta_{2}, \chi_{v}\right)$ are isomorphisms. Therefore, the image of $R\left(\rho_{3}, \beta_{2}, \chi_{v}\right)$ is irreducible.

If $\chi_{v}$ is trivial, $R\left(\rho_{6}, \beta_{2}, \chi_{v}\right)$ is not an isomorphism and we proceed as follows: Since $\rho_{3}=\rho_{1} \sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), R\left(\rho_{3}, \beta_{2}, \chi_{v}\right)=R\left(\rho_{1},-\beta_{4}, \sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), \chi_{v}\right) R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), \beta_{2}, \chi_{v}\right)$. As in Lemma 3.2.4, we can show that $R\left(\rho_{1},-\beta_{4}, \sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right) \chi_{v}\right)$ is the identity. Also from [M-W1, equation (15)], $R_{v}\left(\rho_{6},-\beta_{2}\right)\left(R_{v}\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)-E_{v} R_{v}\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)\right)=0$. From (3.3), $E_{v} f=-2 f$ for $f \in \pi_{2 v}$ and so $R_{v}\left(\rho_{6},-\beta_{2}\right) f=0$ for $f \in \pi_{2 v}$. Therefore $R_{v}\left(\rho_{6},-\beta_{2}\right) R_{v}\left(\beta_{2}, \beta_{2}\right) I\left(\beta_{2}\right)$ is irreducible. Since $\rho_{6} \rho_{2}=\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)$, the image of $R_{v}\left(\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), \beta_{2}\right)$ is irreducible. It is isomorphic to the image $R_{v}\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}\right) I\left(\beta_{4}\right)$.

Therefore, $J\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to the image $R\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \chi^{\prime}\right) I\left(\beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \chi^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\rho_{6} \beta_{4}=$ $\beta_{2}, J\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to none other than $\otimes_{v} \pi_{1 v}$ in Case 1.

CASE 3. $\chi^{\prime \prime}=\rho_{1} \rho_{6} \chi: \chi_{1}^{\prime \prime 2}=1, \chi_{1}^{\prime \prime} \neq 1, \chi_{6}^{\prime \prime}=1$
From Proposition 3.5.3 and the adjoint formula (3.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{4}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)=c_{1} & \left(f\left(\beta_{4}\right), R\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \chi^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)+R\left(\rho_{1}, \beta_{4}, \chi^{\prime \prime}\right) f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)\right)\right. \\
& +c_{2}\left(f\left(\beta_{4}\right), R\left(\sigma\left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), \beta_{2}, \sigma\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right) \chi^{\prime \prime}\right) f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We proceed in the same way as Case 2. $J\left(\chi^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to the image $R\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \chi^{\prime \prime}\right) I\left(\beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \chi^{\prime \prime}\right)$. It is irreducible and it is isomorphic to the one in Case 2.

CASE 4. $\tilde{\chi}: \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{3}=1, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{2} \neq 1, \tilde{\chi}_{6}=1$
From Proposition 3.5.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { residue } & =\operatorname{Res}_{\beta_{4}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) \\
& =c\left(f\left(\beta_{4}\right), R\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \tilde{\chi}\right)\left(f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)+R\left(\rho_{1}, \beta_{4}, \tilde{\chi}\right) f^{\prime}\left(\beta_{4}\right)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

So $J(\tilde{\chi})$ is isomorphic to the image $R\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \tilde{\chi}\right) I\left(\beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \tilde{\chi}\right)$. By inducing in stages, $I\left(\beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \tilde{\chi}_{v}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{1}}^{G} \exp \left(\beta_{4}, H_{P_{1}}()\right) \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_{B_{0}}^{G \mathrm{GL}_{2}} \rho_{4} \tilde{\chi}_{v}$, where $B_{0}$ is a Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$. $\pi_{\nu}=\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{0}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{2}} \rho_{4} \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}$ is irreducible. Therefore, $R_{\nu}\left(\rho_{4}, \beta_{4}, \rho_{4} \tilde{\chi}_{v}\right) I_{v}\left(\beta_{4}, \rho_{\nu} \tilde{\chi}_{\nu}\right)$ is the Langlands' quotient of $\left.\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{1}}^{G} \exp \left(\beta_{4}, H_{P_{1}}\right)\right) \otimes \pi_{\nu}$. So $J(\tilde{\chi})$ is irreducible.

We have proved
THEOREM 3.6.1. Let $J(\chi)$ be the subspace of $L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{(T, \chi)}$, which is K-finite, $K_{\infty}$-invariant. Then the $K$-finite, $K_{\infty}$-invariant subspace of $L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(A))_{T}$ is the direct sum of the following space:
(1) $J(1)=\pi_{0} \oplus \oplus_{S, \operatorname{card}(S) \neq 1} \pi^{S}$, where $\pi^{S}=\otimes_{v \notin S} \pi_{1 v} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} \pi_{2 v}, \pi_{1 v}$ is spherical.
(2) $J(\chi)=\oplus_{S} \pi^{S}$, where $\chi_{1}=1, \chi_{6}^{2}=1, \chi_{6} \neq 1 . \pi^{S}=\otimes_{v \notin S} \pi_{1 v} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} \pi_{2 v}$. If $\chi_{6 v}$ is not trivial, we set $\pi_{2 v}=0$.
(3) $J(\tilde{\chi})=$ the Langlands' quotient of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{1}}^{G} \exp \left(\beta_{4}, H_{P_{1}} O\right) \otimes\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{0}}^{\mathrm{GL}} \tilde{\chi}\right)$, where $\tilde{\chi}^{3}=$ $1, \tilde{\chi}_{1} \neq 1, \tilde{\chi}_{6}=1$.
3.7. Arthur Parameters. In this section, we give the Arthur parameters for the residual spectrum of $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{T}$. We say that a unipotent element $u$ is distinguished if all
maximal tori of $\operatorname{Cent}(u, G)$ are contained in the center of $G^{\circ}$, the connected component of the identity. This is equivalent to the fact that the unipotent orbit $O$ of $u$ does not meet any proper Levi subgroup of $G$ (Spaltenstein [Sp, p67]). (i.e., if $L$ is a Levi subgroup of a parabolic subgroup of $G$ and $u \in L$ for a $u \in O$, then $L^{\circ}=G^{\circ}$.)

Jacobson-Morozov Theorem. Suppose u is a unipotent element in a semi-simple algebraic group G. Then there exists a homomorphism $\phi: \mathrm{SL}_{2} \mapsto G$ such that $\phi\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)=u$.

Lemma ([B-V, Prop. 2.4]). Let u be a unipotent element and $\phi: \mathrm{SL}_{2} \mapsto G$ be a homomorphism such that $\phi\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)=u$. Let $S_{\phi}=\operatorname{Cent}(\operatorname{im} \phi, G) \subset S_{u}=\operatorname{Cent}(u, G)$ and $U^{u}$ be the unipotent radical of $S_{u}$. Then
(1) $S_{u}=S_{\phi} \cdot U^{u}$, a semi-direct product. $S_{\phi}$ is reductive.
(2) The inclusion $S_{\phi} \subset S_{u}$ induces an isomorphism between $S_{\phi} / S_{\phi}^{\circ} Z_{G}$ and $S_{u} / S_{u}^{\circ} Z_{G}$.

Let $F$ be a number field and let $W_{F}$ be the global Weil group of $F$. For $G$ a split group of type $G_{2}$, we can take the dual group $G^{*}=G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. An Arthur parameter is a homomorphism

$$
\psi: W_{F} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto G^{*}
$$

defined modulo conjugacy, with the following properties: (The usual definition of Arthur parameter uses Langlands' hypothetical group $L_{F}$. But since we are only dealing with principal series, $W_{F}$ is enough.)
(1) $\psi\left(W_{F}\right)$ is bounded and included in the set of semi-simple elements of $G^{*}$.
(2) The restriction of $\psi$ to $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ is algebraic.

Let $S_{\psi}=\operatorname{Cent}\left(\operatorname{im} \psi, G^{*}\right)$ and

$$
C_{\psi}=S_{\psi} / S_{\psi}^{\circ} Z_{G^{*}}
$$

Now we recall Moeglin's reformulation of Arthur's conjecture ([M3]): For each place $v$ of $F$, we have local Arthur parameters $\psi_{v}=\psi \mid W_{F_{v}} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, as well as $S_{\psi_{v}}, C_{\psi_{v}}$. It is a part of local Arthur's conjecture that for each irreducible character $\eta_{\nu}$ of $C_{\psi_{v}}$, there exists an irreducible representation $\pi\left(\psi_{v}, \eta_{v}\right)$. For each $v$, let $\Pi_{\psi_{v}}$ be the set of $\pi\left(\psi_{v}, \eta_{v}\right)$.

We define the global Arthur packet $\left.\Pi_{\psi}=L^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(A))\right)_{\psi}$ to be the set of irreducible representations $\pi=\otimes_{\nu} \pi_{\nu}$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that for each $v, \pi_{\nu}$ belongs to $\Pi_{\psi_{\nu}}$ and for almost all $v, \pi_{\nu}$ is spherical.

Arthur's conjecture (Global). (1) The representations in the packet corresponding to $\psi$ may occur in the discrete spectrum if and only if $C_{\psi}$ is finite, i.e., $S_{\psi}^{\circ}=1$. We call such an Arthur parameter elliptic.
(2) For an elliptic Arthur parameter $\psi$, any $\pi \in \Pi_{\psi}$ occurs discretely in $L^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(A))$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in C_{\psi}} \prod_{v} \eta_{v}\left(x_{v}\right) \neq 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi=\otimes_{v} \pi\left(\psi_{v}, \eta_{v}\right), x=\left(x_{v}\right)$.
REmARK 3.7.1. If $C_{\psi}$ is abelian, then the above condition is equivalent to: the character $\left.\Pi_{\nu} \eta_{v}\right|_{C_{\psi}}$ of $C_{\psi}$ is trivial. This is what happens in split classical groups [M1]. However, it is not true in our $G_{2}$ case since $C_{\psi}$ is not abelian as we see below. It is $S_{3}$, the symmetric group on 3 letters.

Let $\Pi_{\text {res }}$, be the subset of $\Pi_{\psi_{v}}$, parametrizing the local components of the residual spectrum. We will find $\Pi_{\mathrm{res}_{v}}$ and verify (3.4) for a representation $\pi=\otimes_{\nu} \pi_{\nu}, \pi_{\nu} \in \Pi_{\text {res }}^{v}$ for all $v, \pi_{v}$ spherical for almost all $v$.

REMARK 3.7.2. A representation in $\Pi_{\psi_{v}}$ but not in $\Pi_{\text {res }}^{v}$ will appear as a local component of a cuspidal automorphic representation. Suppose we know the local packet $\Pi_{\psi_{v}}$ completely. Then it is a very difficult problem to determine when a representation $\pi \in \Pi_{\psi}$ is a cuspidal representation. Moeglin [M5] has a partial result on that in the case of split classical groups.
3.7.1. $\chi$ trivial.

The Arthur parameter is given by

$$
\psi: W_{F} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto G_{2}(\mathbb{C})
$$

where $\left.\psi\right|_{W_{F}} \equiv 1$ and $\psi: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ is given by a unipotent orbit of $G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. In order that $\psi$ be elliptic, the unipotent orbit has to be distinguished. There are two distinguished unipotent orbits of $G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, namely, $G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ and $G_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)([\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{p} 401])$.

CASE 1. The unipotent orbit $G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$.
The unipotent orbit $G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ gives the constant which corresponds to the residue

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{\rho_{B}} \operatorname{Res}_{S_{6}} A\left(f, f^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) .
$$

CASE 2. The unipotent orbit $G_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$.
If $\psi$ is determined by the unipotent orbit $G_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$, then $C_{\psi}=C_{\psi_{v}}=S_{3}$, the symmetric group on 3 letters. There are 3 irreducible characters of $S_{3}$, namely, $\psi_{3}, \psi_{21}$ and $\psi_{111}$. Here $\psi_{111}$ is the sign character of $S_{3}$. They are class functions and the character table is given by

|  | $\psi_{3}$ | $\psi_{21}$ | $\psi_{111}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $C_{1}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| $C_{2}$ | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| $C_{3}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| Character table of $S_{3}$ |  |  |  |

Here $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ are the conjugacy classes in $S_{3}$, namely, $C_{1}=\{1\}, C_{2}=$ $\{(1,2),(1,3),(2,3)\}, C_{3}=\{(1,2,3),(1,3,2)\}$. From Section 3.6.1, we know that $R_{v}\left(\rho_{2}, \beta_{2}\right) I\left(\beta_{2}\right)=\pi_{1 v} \oplus \pi_{2 v}$, where $\pi_{1 v}$ is spherical. We attach $\pi_{1 v}$ to $\psi_{3}$ and $\pi_{2 v}$ to $\psi_{21}$. Therefore, in this case, $\Pi_{\mathrm{res}_{v}}=\left\{\pi_{1 v}, \pi_{2 v}\right\}$.

Then $\pi=\otimes_{v \notin S} \pi_{1 v} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} \pi_{2 v}$ appears in $L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathrm{~A}))$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\otimes_{v \notin S} \psi_{3}(1) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \in S} \psi_{21}(1)+2 \bigotimes_{v \notin S} \psi_{3}((1,2,3)) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \in S} \psi_{21}((1,2,3))  \tag{3.5}\\
=2^{s}+2(-1)^{s} \neq 0,
\end{gather*}
$$

i.e., $s \neq 1$, where $s=|S|$. This coincides with Moeglin-Waldspurger [M-W1, Appendix III]: In Moeglin-Waldspurger, there is an operator $E_{v}$ which acts on $\pi_{1 v}$ and $\pi_{2 v}$ (See Section 3.6.1). Since we attached $\pi_{1 v}$ to $\psi_{3}$ and $\pi_{2 v}$ to $\psi_{21}, E_{v}$ acts on the irreducible characters of $S_{3}$ as follows: $E_{v}\left(\psi_{3}\right)=1$ and $E_{v}\left(\psi_{21}\right)=-2$. Then we can see that $E_{\nu}(\eta)=\eta(1) \eta((123))$ for $\eta=\psi_{3}, \psi_{21}$. Therefore we can write (3.5) as follows:

$$
2 \underset{v \notin S}{\bigotimes} \psi_{3}((1,2,3)) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \in S} \psi_{21}((1,2,3))\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \underset{v \notin S}{\bigotimes} \bigotimes_{v \notin S} E_{v}\left(\psi_{3}\right) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \in S} E_{v}\left(\psi_{21}\right)\right) \neq 0
$$

i.e., $\pi=\otimes_{v} \pi_{v}$ appears in $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathrm{~A}))$ if and only if $\left(1+\frac{1}{2} E\right) \pi \neq 0$, where $E=\otimes E_{v}$.

Remark 3.7.3. According to Arthur's local conjecture, the sign character $\psi_{111}$ should give an irreducible representation which is a local component of a cuspidal automorphic representation. We do not know what it is.

REMARK 3.7.4. For $O$ a distinguished unipotent orbit, let $A(u)=C(u) / C(u)^{0}$, where $u \in O$ and $C(u)$ is the centralizer of $u$. Let $\operatorname{Springer}(O)$ be the set of irreducible characters of $A(u)$ which are in the image of the Springer correspondence which is an injective map from the set of irreducible characters of $W$ into the set of pairs $(O, \eta)$, where $O$ is a unipotent orbit and $\eta$ is an irreducible character of $A(u)=C(u) / C(u)^{0}$, where $u \in O$. We note that by [Ca, p427], Springer $\left(G_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)\right)=\left\{\psi_{3}, \psi_{21}\right\}$ in $G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore the local component $\Pi_{\text {res }}$ of the residual spectrum attached to the trivial character of the torus is parametrized by Springer $\left(G_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)\right)$. Moeglin [M1] showed that for split classical groups, the residual spectrum attached to the trivial character of the torus is parametrized by distinguished unipotent orbits $O$ and $\operatorname{Springer}(O)$. In other words, if the Arthur parameter $\psi$ is given by the distinguished unipotent orbit $O$, then $\Pi_{\text {res }}^{v}=\operatorname{Springer}(O)$ and the multiplicity formula (3.4) holds.

Therefore we believe that the same thing would happen for all split groups. We state this as follows:

Conjecture. Let $G$ be a split group over a number field $F$ and $T$ be a maximal torus of $G$. Then the residual spectrum attached to the trivial character of $T(\mathbb{A}) / T(F)$ is parametrized by distinguished unipotent orbits $O$ of $G^{*}(\mathbb{C})$, the $L$-group of $G$ and Springer $(O)$. More precisely, if the Arthur parameter $\psi: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto G^{*}(\mathbb{C})$ is given by the distinguished unipotent orbit $O$, then $\Pi_{\mathrm{res}}^{v}$ $=\operatorname{Springer}(O)$ and the multiplicity formula (3.4) holds.

We give an example of this conjecture in the case of split exceptional group $F_{4}$ and we hope to settle this example in the near future: Suppose the Arthur parameter $\psi$ : $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto$ $F_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ is given by the distinguished unipotent orbit $F_{4}\left(a_{3}\right)$. $\mathrm{By}[\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{p} 401], A(u)=S_{4}$, the
symmetric group on 4 letters. There are 5 irreducible characters of $S_{4}$, namely, $\psi_{4}=1$, $\psi_{31}, \psi_{22}, \psi_{211}$ and $\psi_{1111}$. By [Ca, p428], Springer $\left(F_{4}\left(a_{3}\right)\right)=\left\{\psi_{4}, \psi_{31}, \psi_{22}, \psi_{211}\right\}$. The character table of $S_{4}$ is given by

|  | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{4}$ | $C_{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\psi_{4}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\psi_{1111}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| $\psi_{211}$ | 2 | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\psi_{22}$ | 3 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 |
| $\psi_{31}$ | 3 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 1 |

Character table of $S_{4}$
Here $C_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 5$, are the conjugacy classes in $S_{4}$, with representatives $1,(12)(34)$, (123), (12) and (1234), respectively: $\left|C_{1}\right|=1,\left|C_{2}\right|=3,\left|C_{3}\right|=8,\left|C_{4}\right|=6$ and $\left|C_{5}\right|=$ 6. According to the conjecture, there will be 4 irreducible representations $\pi_{1 v}, \ldots, \pi_{4 v}$ attached to $\psi_{4}, \psi_{211}, \psi_{22}$ and $\psi_{31}$, respectively. We divide $\operatorname{Springer}\left(F_{4}\left(a_{3}\right)\right)$ as follows: Springer $\left(F_{4}\left(a_{3}\right)\right)=\Pi_{1} \cup \Pi_{2} \cup \Pi_{3}$, where $\Pi_{1}=\left\{\psi_{4}, \psi_{211}\right\}, \Pi_{2}=\left\{\psi_{4}, \psi_{22}\right\}$ and $\Pi_{3}=$ $\left\{\psi_{4}, \psi_{31}\right\}$. The residual spectrum factors through $\Pi_{i}$, i.e., it is the set of all $\pi=\otimes \pi_{v}$ such that there exists $i, \pi_{v} \in \Pi_{i}$ for all $v$. Let $S$ be a finite set of finite places and $s=|S|$. If $\pi=$ $\otimes_{\nu \notin S} \pi_{1 \nu} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} \pi_{2 v}$, it appears in $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ if and only if $2^{s}+3\left(2^{s}\right)+8(-1)^{s} \neq 0$, i.e., $s \neq 1$. If $\pi=\otimes_{v \notin S} \pi_{1 v} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} \pi_{3 v}$, it appears in $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ if and only if $3^{s}+3(-1)^{s}+6+6(-1)^{s} \neq 0$, i.e., $s \neq 1$. If $\pi=\otimes_{v \notin S} \pi_{1 v} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} \pi_{4 v}$, it appears in $L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathrm{~A}))$ if and only if $3^{s}+3(-1)^{s}+6(-1)^{s}+6 \neq 0$, i.e., $s \neq 1$.
3.7.2. $\chi$ non-trivial. In order to find Arthur parameters for non-trivial characters, we have to look for endoscopic groups of $G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, since Arthur parameters will factor through the endoscopic groups.

There are two equivalence classes of proper cuspidal endoscopic groups of $G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, that is, $\mathrm{SL}_{3}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) /\{ \pm 1\}([\mathrm{A} 1, \mathrm{p} 30])$. They are given as follows: Under the identification $M_{1} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$, by $(2.1), \beta_{4}^{\vee}(t)=\operatorname{diag}(t, t)$. Then by [Ca, p 93$], C\left(\beta_{4}^{\vee}(\omega)\right)$, the centralizer of $\beta_{4}^{\vee}(\omega)$ in $G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, where $\omega^{3}=1, \omega \neq 1$, is reductive and its root system is $\Phi_{1}=\left\{ \pm \beta_{1}, \pm \beta_{3}, \pm \beta_{5}\right\}$, i.e., $C\left(\beta_{4}^{\vee}(\omega)\right) \simeq \mathrm{SL}_{3}$. The other one is $C\left(\beta_{4}^{\vee}(-1)\right)$. $\mathrm{By}[\mathrm{Ca}$, p93], its root system is $\Phi_{1}=\left\{ \pm \beta_{1}, \pm \beta_{4}\right\}$, i.e., $C\left(\beta_{4}^{\vee}(-1)\right) \simeq \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) /\{ \pm 1\}$.

The center of $\operatorname{SL}_{3}(\mathbb{C})$ is $Z_{3}=\left\{\omega I_{3}, \omega^{3}=1\right\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and the center of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times$ $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) /\{ \pm 1\}$ is $Z_{2}=\left\{ \pm I_{2}\right\}$. Moreover, $S_{3}=Z_{3} \rtimes Z_{2}$.

CASE 1. The conjugacy class of $\chi: \chi_{1}=1, \chi_{6}^{2}=1, \chi_{6} \neq 1$
Under the identification $M_{1} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}, \chi=\chi(\mu, \mu), \mu^{2}=1, \mu \neq 1$, where $\mu$ is a grössencharacter of $F$. We have the embedding $\mathrm{SL}_{3}(\mathbb{C}) \subset G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$.

The Arthur parameter factors through $\mathrm{SL}_{3}(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$
\psi: W_{F} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \longmapsto \mathrm{SL}_{3}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow G_{2}(\mathbb{C})
$$

$\left.\psi\right|_{W_{F}}: w \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\mu(w) & & \\ & \mu(w) & \\ & & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\psi: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \mathrm{SL}_{3}(\mathbb{C})$ is determined by the principal unipotent orbit of $\mathrm{SL}_{3}(\mathbb{C})$. Here we note that under the embedding $\mathrm{SL}_{3}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$,
the principal unipotent orbit of $\mathrm{SL}_{3}(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the distinguished unipotent orbit $G_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$ in $G_{2}(\mathbb{C})([\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{p} 401])$. Then $S_{\psi}=Z_{2}, C_{\psi}=Z_{2}$ and $C_{\psi_{v}}=Z_{2}$ if $\mu_{v}$ is not trivial. $C_{\psi_{v}}=S_{3}$ if $\mu_{\nu}$ is trivial.
 any $\pi=\otimes_{\nu \notin S} \pi_{1 v} \otimes \otimes_{v \in S} \pi_{2 v}$ appears in $L_{d}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(A))$ since

$$
\bigotimes_{v \notin S} \psi_{3}(1) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \in S} \psi_{21}(1)+\bigotimes_{v \notin S} \psi_{3}(\tau) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \in S} \psi_{21}(\tau) \neq 0
$$

where $\tau$ is the non-trivial element in $Z_{2}$.
CASE 2. The conjugacy class of $\tilde{\chi}_{:} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{3}=1, \tilde{\chi}_{1} \neq 1, \tilde{\chi}_{6}=1$
Under the identification, $M_{1} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}, \tilde{\chi}=\chi(\mu, \nu), \mu^{3}=1, \mu \neq 1, \nu=\mu^{2}$. The Arthur parameter factors through $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) /\{ \pm 1\}$ :

$$
\psi: W_{F} \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) /\{ \pm 1\} \hookrightarrow G_{2}(\mathbb{C})
$$

where $\left.\psi\right|_{W_{F}}: w \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mu(w) & \\ & \mu^{-1}(w)\end{array}\right) \times\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\psi: \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ is determined by the principal unipotent orbits of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. We note that under the embedding $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) /\{ \pm 1\} \hookrightarrow G_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, the principal unipotent orbit of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \times$ $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C}) /\{ \pm 1\}$ corresponds to the unipotent orbit $A_{1}$ in $G_{2}(\mathbb{C})([\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{p} 401])$. Then $S_{\psi}=Z_{2}$, $C_{\psi_{v}}=Z_{2}$ for $\mu_{\nu}$ non-trivial and $C_{\psi_{v}}=1$ for $\mu_{\nu}$ trivial. In this case, $\Pi_{\text {res }}^{v}$ consists of the Langlands' quotient which corresponds to the trivial character of $C_{\psi_{v}}=Z_{2}$. Therefore $\Pi_{\mathrm{res}}$ consists of one element.

Remark 3.7.5. Arthur associated to an Arthur parameter $\psi$, an associated Langlands' parameter $\phi_{\psi}$ and conjectured that we could enlarge the $L$-packet $\Pi_{\phi_{\psi_{v}}}$ to $\Pi_{\psi_{v}}$. We note that in each of our cases, the associated Langlands' $L$-packet consists of only one element.
4. Decomposition of $\left.L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(A))\right)_{M_{1}}$. We have

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{P_{1}}^{*}=X\left(M_{1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}=\mathbb{R} \beta_{4}, a_{P_{1}}=\mathbb{R} \beta_{4}^{\vee}
$$

$\rho_{P_{1}}$ is the half sum of roots generating $N_{1}$. Then $\rho_{P_{1}}=\frac{5}{2} \beta_{4}$.
Let $\tilde{\alpha}=\beta_{4}$ and identify $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $s \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{c}}^{*}$. Let $\pi=\otimes \pi_{v}$ be a cusp form on $M_{1}=\mathrm{GL}_{2}$. Given a $K$-finite function $\varphi$ in the space of $\pi$, we shall extend $\varphi$ to a function $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $G$ and set

$$
\Phi_{s}(g)=\tilde{\varphi}(g) \exp \left\langle s+\rho_{P_{1}}, H_{P_{1}}(g)\right\rangle
$$

Define an Eisenstein series

$$
E\left(s, \tilde{g}, g, \rho_{1}\right)=\sum_{\gamma \in P_{1}(F) \backslash G(F)} \Phi_{s}(\gamma g) .
$$

It is known that $E\left(s, \tilde{\varphi}, g, \rho_{1}\right)$ converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$ and extends to a meromorphic function of $s$ in $\mathbb{C}$, with a finite number of poles in the plane $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$, all simple and on the real axis.

It is also known that $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{M_{1}}$ is spanned by the residues of the Eisenstein series for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$. We know that the poles of the Eisenstein series coincide with those of its constant terms. So it is enough to consider the constant term along $P_{1}$, which is

$$
E_{0}\left(s, \tilde{\varphi}, g, P_{1}\right)=\sum_{w \in \Omega} M(s, \pi, w) f(g)
$$

where $\Omega=\left\{1, \rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6}\right\}$ and

$$
M(s, \pi, w) f(g)=\int_{N_{w}^{-}} f\left(w^{-1} n g\right) d n
$$

where

$$
N_{w}^{-}=\prod_{\substack{\alpha>0 \\ w^{-1} \alpha<0}} U_{\alpha}
$$

$U_{\alpha}$ is the one parameter unipotent subgroup and $\left.f \in I(s, \pi)=\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{1}}^{G} \pi \otimes \exp \left(s, H_{P_{1}}\right)\right)$.
We note that for each $s$, the representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ on the space of $\Phi_{s}$ is equivalent to $I(s, \pi)$.

Then

$$
M(s, \pi, w)=\bigotimes M\left(s, \pi_{v}, w\right), \quad M\left(s, \pi_{v}, w\right) f_{v}(g)=\int_{N_{w}\left(F_{v}\right)} f_{v}\left(w^{-1} n g\right) d n
$$

where $f=\otimes f_{v}, f_{v}$ is the unique $K_{v}$-fixed function normalized by $f_{v}\left(e_{v}\right)=1$ for almost all $v$.

Let ${ }^{L} M_{1}=\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ be the $L$-group of $M_{1}$. Denote by $r$ the adjoint action of ${ }^{L} M_{1}$ on the Lie algebra ${ }^{L} \mathfrak{n}_{1}$ of ${ }^{L} N_{1}$, the $L$-group of $N_{1}$.

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
r=r_{1} \oplus r_{2} \\
r_{1}=r_{3}^{0}, \quad r_{2}=\wedge^{2} \rho_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $r_{3}^{0}=r_{3} \otimes\left(\wedge^{2} \rho_{2}\right)^{-1}$ is the adjoint cube representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ (See [S4]). Here $r_{3}$ is the symmetric cube representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\rho_{2}$ is the standard representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$.

Then it is well-known ([S3]) that for $w=\rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6}$

$$
M(s, \pi, w) f=\bigotimes_{v \in S} M\left(s, \pi_{v}, w\right) f_{v} \otimes \bigotimes_{v \notin S} \rightarrow \tilde{f}_{v} \times \frac{L_{S}\left(s, \pi, r_{1}\right) L_{S}\left(2 s, \pi, r_{2}\right)}{L_{S}\left(1+s, \pi, r_{1}\right) L_{S}\left(1+2 s, \pi, r_{2}\right)}
$$

where $S$ is a finite set of places of $F$, including all the archimedean places such that for every $v \notin S, \pi_{v}$ is a class 1 representation and if $f=\otimes_{v} f_{v}$, for $v \notin S$, $f_{v}$ is the unique $K_{v}$-fixed function normalized by $f_{v}\left(e_{v}\right)=1$. $\tilde{f}_{v}$ is the $K_{v}$-fixed function in the space of $I\left(-s, w\left(\pi_{\nu}\right)\right)$.

Finally, $L_{S}\left(s, \pi, r_{i}\right)=\Pi_{\nu \notin S} L\left(s, \pi_{v}, r_{i}\right)$, where $L\left(s, \pi_{\nu}, r_{i}\right)$ is the local Langlands’ $L$ function attached to $\pi_{v}, r_{i}$.
(1) Analysis of $L_{S}\left(s, \pi, r_{1}\right)$

We know ([S4]) that $L_{S}\left(s, \pi, r_{1}\right)$ is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$ and hence has no zero there. It is expected ([S4, Bu-G-H, Ik]) that the completed $L$-function $L\left(s, \pi, r_{1}\right)$ has a pole for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$ if and only if $s=1, \omega_{\pi}^{2}=1, \omega_{\pi} \neq 1$ and $\pi$ is the monomial representation corresponding to the quadratic character $\omega_{\pi}$, where $\omega_{\pi}$ is the central character of $\pi$. We assume this fact.

REMARK. Ikeda [Ik] calculated the poles of the Rankin triple $L$-function $L(s, \pi \otimes \pi \otimes$ $\pi$ ) for $\pi$ cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$. It is related to the symmetric cube $L$-function of $\pi$ as follows:

$$
L(s, \pi \otimes \pi \otimes \pi)=L\left(s, \pi, r_{3}\right)\left(L\left(s, \pi \otimes \omega_{\pi}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

The symmetric cube $L$-function is given by

$$
L\left(s, \pi, r_{3}\right)=L\left(s, \pi \otimes \omega_{\pi}, r_{3}^{0}\right) .
$$

$L\left(s, \pi, r_{3}\right)$ has a pole at $s=1$ when $\omega_{\pi}^{6}=1$ and $\omega_{\pi}^{3} \neq 1$ and so $L\left(s, \pi, r_{3}^{0}\right)$ has a pole when $\omega_{\pi}^{2}=1$ and $\omega_{\pi} \neq 1 .{ }^{1}$
(2) Analysis of $L_{S}\left(s, \pi, r_{2}\right)$

For $v \notin S$,

$$
L\left(s, \pi_{v}, r_{2}\right)=L\left(s, \omega_{\pi_{v}}\right)=\left(1-\omega_{\pi_{v}}(\varpi) q_{v}^{-s}\right)^{-1}
$$

so $L_{S}\left(s, \pi, r_{2}\right)$ is the (partial) Hecke $L$-function. It has no zero for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$. The completed $L$-function $L\left(s, \pi, r_{2}\right)$ has a pole for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$ if and only if $s=1, \omega_{\pi}=1$.
(3) Analysis of $M\left(s, \pi_{v}, w\right)$ for $v \in S$.

For $\pi_{\nu}$ tempered, the local factors $L\left(s, \pi_{\nu}, r_{i}\right)$ and $M\left(s, \pi_{\nu}, w\right)$ are holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$. We show that for any $v \in S$,

$$
L\left(s, \pi_{v}, r_{1}\right)^{-1} L\left(2 s, \pi_{v}, r_{2}\right)^{-1} M\left(s, \pi_{v}, w\right)
$$

is holomorphic. It is enough to show it for $\pi_{\nu}$ complementary series. We follow [Ki]. Under the identification $M_{1} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$, by (2.1), for $\pi_{v}=\pi\left(\mu| |^{r}, \mu| |^{-r}\right), 0 \leq r<\frac{1}{2}$, complementary series of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{1}}^{G} \pi_{v} \otimes \exp \left(\left\langle s \tilde{\alpha}, H_{P_{1}} 0\right\rangle\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G} \chi(\mu, \mu) \otimes \exp \left(\left\langle\Lambda, H_{B}( \rangle\right\rangle\right)
$$

where $\Lambda=(2 r) \beta_{3}+(s-3 r) \beta_{4}$. From this we have our assertion.
Now we assume that $r<\frac{1}{6}$. Right now the best known result is $r<\frac{1}{5}$ due to Shahidi [S3]. Then $\Lambda$ is in the positive Weyl chamber for $s=\frac{1}{2}$ and $s=1$ and we have

LEMMA 4.1. For each $v$, the images of $M\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{v}, w\right)$ and $M\left(1, \pi_{v}, w\right)$ are irreducible.

[^1]Conclusion. $E\left(s, \tilde{\varphi}, g, P_{1}\right)$ has a pole in the half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$ if and only if
(1) $\omega_{\pi}=1, s=\frac{1}{2}, L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi, r_{3}^{0}\right) \neq 0$,
(2) $\omega_{\pi}^{2}=1, \omega_{\pi} \neq 1, s=1, \pi$ monomial representation attached to $\omega_{\pi}$.

Let $J_{1}\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ be the image of $M\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{v}, w\right)$ and $J_{2}\left(\pi_{v}\right)$, the image of $M\left(1, \pi_{v}, w\right)$. They are the unique irreducible quotients of $I\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{v}\right)$ and $I\left(1, \pi_{v}\right)$, respectively. Let $J_{1}(\pi)=$ $\otimes_{\nu} J_{1}\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ and $J_{2}(\pi)=\otimes_{v} J_{2}\left(\pi_{v}\right)$. We have proved

Theorem 4.2.

$$
L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{M_{1}}=\bigoplus_{\pi_{1}} J_{1}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{\pi_{2}} J_{2}\left(\pi_{2}\right)
$$

where $\pi_{1}$ runs over cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ with trivial central characters and $L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi, r_{3}^{0}\right) \neq 0$ and $\pi_{2}$ runs over monomial representations.
5. Decomposition of $L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{M_{2}}$.

In this case $\mathfrak{a}_{P_{2}}^{*}=X\left(M_{2}\right) \otimes \mathbb{R}=\mathbb{R} \beta_{3}, \mathfrak{a}_{P_{2}}=\mathbb{R} \beta_{3}^{\vee}, \rho_{P_{2}}=\frac{3}{2} \beta_{3}$.
Let $\tilde{\alpha}=\beta_{3}$ and identify $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $s \tilde{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$. In this case, for $\pi$ cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$, the constant term of Eisenstein series is given by

$$
E_{0}\left(s, \tilde{\varphi}, g, P_{2}\right)=\sum_{w \in \Omega} M(s, \pi, w) f(g)
$$

where $\Omega=\left\{1, \rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1}\right\}$.
The adjoint action $r$ of ${ }^{L} M_{2}$ on ${ }^{L} \mathfrak{n}_{2}$ is given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =r_{1} \oplus r_{2} \oplus r_{3} \\
r_{1}=\rho_{2}, r_{2} & =\wedge^{2} \rho_{2}, r_{3}=\rho_{2} \otimes \wedge^{2} \rho_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore for $w=\rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1} \rho_{6} \rho_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(s, \pi, w) f= & \bigotimes_{v \in S} M\left(s, \pi_{v}, w\right) f_{v} \otimes \bigotimes_{v \in S} \tilde{f}_{v} \\
& \times \frac{L_{S}\left(s, \pi, r_{1}\right) L_{S}\left(2 s, \pi, r_{2}\right) L_{S}\left(3 s, \pi, r_{3}\right)}{L_{S}\left(s+1, \pi, r_{1}\right) L_{S}\left(2 s+1, \pi, r_{2}\right) L_{S}\left(3 s+1, \pi, r_{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S$ is the same as in the case $L_{\text {dis }}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{M_{1}}$.
Here

$$
\begin{gathered}
L\left(s, \pi_{v}, r_{1}\right)=L\left(s, \pi_{v}\right), \text { the standard } L \text {-function for } \mathrm{GL}_{2} \text {. } \\
L\left(s, \pi_{v}, r_{3}\right)=L\left(s, \pi_{\nu} \otimes \omega_{\pi_{v}}\right), \text { twisted by the central character. } \\
L\left(s, \pi_{v}, r_{2}\right)=L\left(s, \omega_{\pi_{v}}\right), \text { Hecke } L \text {-function. }
\end{gathered}
$$

We know that $L_{S}(s, \pi \otimes \theta)$ is absolutely convergent for Res $>1$ for any grössencharacter $\theta$. So it has no zero there. We know also that the completed $L$-function $L(s, \pi \otimes \theta)$ is entire for any $\theta$. Under the identification $M_{2} \simeq \mathrm{GL}_{2}$, by (2.2), for $\pi_{\nu}=\pi\left(\mu| |^{r}, \mu| |^{-r}\right)$ complementary series of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{2}}^{G} \pi_{\nu} \otimes \exp \left(\left\langle s \tilde{\alpha}, H_{P_{2}}()\right\rangle\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{B}^{G} \chi(\mu, \mu) \otimes \exp \left(\left\langle\Lambda, H_{B}()\right\rangle\right)
$$

where $\Lambda=(s-3 r) \beta_{3}+6 r \beta_{4}$. Therefore, for any $v \in S$,

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{3} L\left(i s, \pi_{v}, r_{i}\right)^{-1} M\left(s, \pi_{v}, w\right)
$$

is holomorphic. Also if we assume $r<\frac{1}{6}, \Lambda$ is in the positive Weyl chamber and the image of $M\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{\nu}, w\right)$ is irreducible. Therefore, $E\left(s, \tilde{\varphi}, g, P_{2}\right)$ has a pole in the half plane Res $>0$ if and only if $\omega_{\pi}=1, s=\frac{1}{2}$ and $L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi, r_{1}\right) \neq 0$.

Let $J\left(\pi_{\nu}\right)$ be the image of $M\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{\nu}, w\right)$ and $J(\pi)=\otimes_{\nu} J\left(\pi_{\nu}\right)$. Then we have
Theorem 5.1.

$$
L_{\mathrm{dis}}^{2}(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{M_{2}}=\bigoplus_{\pi} J(\pi)
$$

where $\pi$ runs over cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ with trivial central characters and $L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi\right) \neq 0$.

Remark 5.1. The referee suggested the problem of finding a connection between Arthur's conjecture and the non-vanishing of $L$-functions at $s=\frac{1}{2}$. Arthur [A1] did it for the group $P \mathrm{Sp}_{4}$. It would be interesting to do so in the above case.
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