# EFFECTS OF AlooH-FeOOH SOLID SOLUTION ON GOETHITE-HEMATITE EQUILIBRIUM

### Key Words-Aluminum, Diaspore, Goethite, Hematite, Solid solution, Thermodynamic stability.

The relative thermodynamic stabilities of the common sedimentary minerals goethite and hematite are represented by the reaction: goethite = hematite + water. The thermodynamic relationship of these minerals has been the subject of considerable study (Posnjak and Merwin, 1919; Smith and Kidd, 1949; Schmalz, 1959; Berner, 1969; Pollack et al., 1970; Langmuir, 1971). The work of Langmuir (1971) represents a culmination of these studies, because it addresses the effect of particle size, as well as temperature and pressure on the equilibrium. Langmuir concluded that goethite cubes less than about  $0.1 \,\mu m$  on an edge are thermodynamically less stable than hematite under geologic conditions. Thus, the finely-divided goethite in soils (Schwertmann and Taylor, 1977) is regarded by Langmuir (1971) as thermodynamically unstable. Provided that the thermodynamic data employed by Langmuir are valid, this conclusion is correct for pure goethite, because purity was an implicit assumption in all the thermodynamic stability studies cited above.

However, the assumption of purity in natural goethites is not generally appropriate (Posnjak and Merwin, 1919). Norrish and Taylor (1961), Nahon et al. (1977), and Fitzpatrick and Schwertmann (1982), among others, have found that aluminum substitutes for iron in goethites in a variety of soils. The aluminum can be interpreted to be present as the diaspore component in a solid solution between the isomorphs goethite and diaspore. Norrish and Taylor (1961) found that the substituted aluminum (as AlOOH) constitutes as much as 29 mole % of the finely divided soil goethite with the higher concentrations of aluminum apparently occurring in the smallest particles. Fitzpatrick and Schwertmann (1982) measured an AlOOH content as high as 32 mole %. Franz (1978) and Lewis and Schwertmann (1979) described laboratory procedures for preparing Al-substituted goethite at 25°C to 70°C and one atm and confirmed that the Al substituted for Fe in the goethite structure.

The existence of solid solutions of diaspore in goethite in fine-grained goethite in soils has important implications for the stability of such goethite. These implications are evident in the following thermodynamic treatment of this solid solution.

#### THERMODYNAMIC MODEL

The relative stability of a goethite-diaspore solid solution compared to an  $Fe_2O_3$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solid solution can be represented as follows:

$$2X \text{ FeOOH} + 2(1 - X) \text{ AlOOH} = X \text{ Fe}_2 \text{O}_3 + (1 - X) \text{ Al}_2 \text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2 \text{O}_{(L)}, \quad (1)$$

where X = mole fraction of FeOOH in the goethite-diaspore solid solution. Although Fitzpatrick and Schwertmann (1982) did not observe more than about 32 mole % AlOOH in natural goethites, it will be assumed for simplicity that the goethitediaspore solid solution is ideal over the entire compositional range. The existence of  $Fe_2O_3$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solid solutions in soil hematites has been widely reported (e.g., Nahon *et al.*, 1977). This solid solution will also be presumed to be ideal. With these conditions the following expression is obtained:

$$\ln a_w = X[\ln X] + (1 - X)[\ln(1 - X)] - AX - B, \quad (2)$$

where  $a_W =$  equilibrium activity of liquid water, X = mole fraction of FeOOH in the goethite-diaspore solid solution and the mole fraction of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the hematite-corundum solid solution, A = ( $\mu_H^{\circ} - \mu_C^{\circ} - 2\mu_G^{\circ} + 2\mu_D^{\circ}$ )/RT, B = ( $\mu_C^{\circ} + \mu_W^{\circ} - 2\mu_D^{\circ}$ )/RT, and  $\mu_i^{\circ}$  = standard state molar Gibbs free energy of formation of pure substance "i" from its constituent elements at one atm and some specified temperature. H = hematite, C = corundum, W = water, G = goethite, D = diaspore, R = gas constant, T = absolute temperature. Because no data are available on the effects of particle size on the  $\mu_1^{\circ}$ of the aluminum oxides, all  $\mu_1^{\circ}$  data employed in this work are for "coarse" particles at 25°C and one atm. With the exception of goethite, the data were obtained from Robie *et al.* (1978). The value of  $\mu_G^{\circ}$  for coarse-grained goethite was calculated from the reaction  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  data of Langmuir (1972) using the  $\mu_{H}^{\circ}$ and  $\mu_{W}^{\circ}$  values in Robie *et al.* (1978). These free energy data are in Table 1.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The calculated equilibrium activities of water at 25°C and one atm as a function of mole fraction FeOOH are listed in Table 2 and plotted in Figure 1. The salient feature of Figure 1 is the indication of the rapid drop in  $a_w$  that accompanies small degrees of substitution of aluminum into the goethite structure. In fact, at about 20 mole % AlOOH the equilibrium activity of liquid water is about an order of magnitude lower than for pure goethite (see Table 2). If water vapor is assumed to behave as an ideal gas, the values of aw in Table 2 and Figure 1 are numerically equal to relative humidity expressed as a decimal fraction. Thus, in the absence of liquid water, a relative humidity as low as 0.044 at 25°C is apparently sufficient to stabilize "coarse-grained" goethite containing 20 mole % AlOOH in ideal solution. This degree of substitution of Al into goethites in soils appears to be common (Norrish and Taylor, 1961; Fitzpatrick and Schwertmann, 1982).

The assumption of ideal solution over the entire compositional range in Figure 1 is probably not valid. However, a significant departure from ideality in the observed natural substitution range (mole fraction of AlOOH less than 0.33) is necessary to invalidate the general result evident in Figure 1 over this same range.

The exact effect of particle size variation on the result in Figure 1 is not known, but it seems reasonable to expect that the *general* consequences of Al substitution would not be affected. Thus, Al substitution might significantly lower the equilibrium  $a_w$  even for very finely divided particles.

The assumption that the range of  $Fe_2O_3$ - $AI_2O_3$  solid solution is the same as that between goethite and diaspore is probably not generally valid (Nahon *et al.*, 1977). However, the extreme effect of *no* solid solution between  $Fe_2O_3$  and  $AI_2O_3$  can be calculated. Such calculations are included in Table 2. Note that  $a_w$  is somewhat lower at any given value of X for no  $Fe_2O_3$ - $AI_2O_3$  solid solution than for the corresponding case of complete hematite-corundum miscibility. Thus, the AI-substituted goethite is even more stable in the former system. The en-

Table 1. Standard state molar Gibbs free energies of formation (from the elements) of the indicated pure substances at  $25^{\circ}$ C and 1 atm.<sup>1</sup>

Substance	$\mu^{\circ}$ (kcal/mole)	
Hematite (Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	-177.46	
Goethite (FeOOH)	$-117.3^{2}$	
H <sub>2</sub> O (Lig)	-56.67	
Diaspore (AlOOH)	-220.31	
Corundum (Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )	-378.07	

<sup>1</sup> All data from Robie *et al.* (1978) except as noted. <sup>2</sup> Calculated from data in Langmuir (1972). See text.

Copyright © 1983, The Clay Minerals Society

Table 2. Calculated activities of liquid water as a function of the mole fraction of FeOOH in an ideal goethite-diaspore solid solution in equilibrium with hematite and corundum.

X <sub>FeOOH</sub>	a <sub>w</sub> for ideal solution between hematite and corundum	aw for immiscible hematite-corundum products
1.00	0.45	0.45
0.98	0.34	0.31
0.96	0.27	0.22
0.94	0.21	0.17
0.92	0.16	0.12
0.90	0.13	0.095
0.85	0.075	0.049
0.80	0.044	0.027
0.70	0.016	0,0086
0.60	0.0060	0.0030
0.50	0.0023	0.0012
0.40	0.00096	0.00049
0.30	0.00041	0.00022
0.20	0.00018	0.00011
0.10	0.000088	0.000063
0.00	0.000049	0.000049

Mole fraction of hematite in hematite-corundum solid solution is presumed to be the same as the mole fraction of FeOOH in the goethite-diaspore solid solution.

hanced stability of goethite-diaspore solid solutions has been suggested by Nahon *et al.* (1977) and Fitzpatrick and Schwertmann (1982) based on studies of naturally occurring soil goethites. In particular, Nahon *et al.* (1977) observed that Al-substituted hematite had been converted to Al-substituted goethite. Nahon (1976) performed some calculations which illustrate the



Figure 1. Plot of the calculated activity of liquid water in equilibrium with goethite-diaspore and  $Fe_2O_3$ - $Al_2O_3$  ideal solid solutions as a function of the mole fraction of FeOOH in the goethite. The actual range of  $X_{\text{FeOOH}}$  over which this curve is likely to be valid for natural samples is about 0.68 to 1.00 (see text).

effect of goethite-diaspore solid solution on the free energy change of the reaction: goethite = hematite + water for *pure* liquid water. Nahon's results incorporated somewhat different thermodynamic data, but his trends are consistent with the equilibrium results presented herein for the varying activity (or relative humidity) of the environmental water (as liquid or vapor).

In summary, the solid solution of AlOOH in goethite can increase the thermodynamic stability of goethite with respect to hematite. Consequently, even very fine-grained, Al-bearing goethite particles in soils may in fact be thermodynamically stable rather than unstable as suggested by Langmuir (1971).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported, in part, by a grant from Sandia National Laboratories. I thank J. A. Grambling for helpful discussion. Critical comments of F. A. Mumpton and two anonymous reviewers improved this communication.

Department of Geology University of New Mexico

CRAYTON J. YAPP

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131

## REFERENCES

- Berner, R. A. (1969) Goethite stability and the origin of red beds: Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 33, 267–273.
- Fitzpatrick, R. W. and Schwertmann, U. (1982) Al-substituted goethite—an indicator of pedogenic and other weathering environments in South Africa: Geoderma 27, 335–347.
- Franz, E. D. (1978) Synthetic solid solutions between goethite and diaspore: *Min. Mag.* 42, p. 159.
- Langmuir, D. (1971) Particle size effect on the reaction goethite = hematite + water: Amer. J. Sci. 271, 147–156.
- Langmuir, D. (1972) Correction: particle size effect on the reaction goethite = hematite + water: Amer. J. Sci. 272, p. 972.
- Lewis, D. G. and Schwertmann, U. (1979) The influence of aluminum on the formation of iron oxides. IV. The influence of [Al], [OH], and temperature: *Clays & Clay Minerals* 27, 195–200.
- Nahon, D. (1976) Cuirasses ferrugineuses et encroutements calcaires au Sénégal occidental et en Mauritanie systèmes évolutifs: Sciences Géologiques, Mem. 44, 112-116.
- Nahon, D., Janot, C., Karpoff, A. M., Paquet, H., and Tardy, Y. (1977) Mineralogy, petrography and structures of iron crusts (ferricretes) developed on sandstones in the western part of Senegal: *Geoderma* 19, 263–277.
- Norrish, K. and Taylor, R. M. (1961) The isomorphous replacement of iron by aluminum in soil goethites: J. Soil Sci. 12, 294–306.
- Pollack, J. B., Pitman, D., Khare, B. N., and Sagan, C. (1970) Goethite on Mars: a laboratory study of physically and chemically bound water in ferric oxides: J. Geophys. Res. 75, 7480-7490.
- Posnjak, E. and Merwin, H. E. (1919) The hydrated ferric oxides: Amer. J. Sci. 47, 311-348.
- Robie, R. A., Hemingway, B. S., and Fisher, J. R. (1978) Thermodynamic properties of minerals and related substances at 298.15°K and 1 bar (10<sup>5</sup> Pascals) pressure and at higher temperatures: U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull. 1452, 456 pp.
- Schmalz, R. F. (1959) A note on the system  $Fe_2O_3$ -H<sub>2</sub>O: J. Geophys. Res. 64, 575-579.
- Schwertmann, U. and Taylor, R. M. (1977) Iron oxides: in Minerals in Soil Environments, J. B. Dixon and S. B. Weed, eds., Agronomy Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin, 145–180.
- Smith, F. G. and Kidd, D. J. (1949) Hematite-goethite relations in neutral and alkaline solutions under pressure: Amer. Mineral. 35, 403–412.

(Received 13 September 1982; accepted 18 November 1982)