# A NOTE ON SUMS OF PRIMES

#### ΒY

## ANDREW GRANVILLE

ABSTRACT. Under the assumption of the prime *k*-tuplets conjecture we show that it is possible to construct an infinite sequence of integers, such that the average of any two is prime.

Recently Pomerance, Sárközy and Stewart [2] constructed sets of integers A and B for which every element of A + B is prime; and a set of odd integers A for which  $\frac{1}{2}(a + a')$  is prime for any  $a \neq a'$  in A. These sets were chosen from  $\{1, 2, ..., N\}$  so as to make them as large as possible. A natural question that arises is whether we can construct *infinite* sets with these properties, and we do this here under the assumption of Hardy and Littlewood's

PRIME k-TUPLETS CONJECTURE. Suppose that  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k, b_1, b_2, ..., b_k$  are integers such that each  $(a_j, b_j) = 1$  and, for each prime  $p \le k$ , there exists an integer x for which none of  $a_1x+b_1, ..., a_kx+b_k$  are divisible by p. Then there are arbitrarily large integers x for which each of  $a_1x + b_1, ..., a_kx + b_k$  is prime.

Actually we will prove a considerable (though technical) generalization of the above questions:

THEOREM. Let  $c_1, c_2, ..., c_N$  be given positive integers and suppose that the prime ktuplets conjecture is true. We can construct infinite sets  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_N$  of distinct odd prime numbers such that every element of the set  $\frac{1}{d} \{c_1A_1 + ... + c_NA_N\}$  is prime, where  $g = gcd(c_1, c_2, ..., c_N)$  and  $d = gcd(2g, c_1 + c_2 + ... + c_N)$ .

REMARK: The set  $\{c_1A_1 + \ldots + c_NA_N\}$  is defined to be the set whose elements are the sum of any  $c_1$  elements of  $A_1$ , any  $c_2$  elements of  $A_2$ , ..., and any  $c_N$  elements of  $A_N$ . Note that the element  $c_1a_1 + \ldots + c_Na_N$  of  $c_1A_1 + \ldots + c_NA_N$  must be divisible by d.

By taking N = 2,  $c_1 = 1$ ,  $c_2 = 2$ ,  $A = A_1$  and  $B = \{2a : a \in A_2\}$  in the Theorem above, we have constructed infinite sets of integers A and B for which every element of A + B is prime. By taking N = 1 and  $c_1 = 2$  we have constructed an infinite set of integers A for which  $\frac{1}{2}(a + a')$  is prime for any  $a, a' \in A$ .

Before the Theorem we prove

LEMMA. For any given B > 0 we can find, under the same hypothesis as in the Theorem, distinct primes  $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ , each greater than B, such that  $\frac{1}{d}(c_1a_1 + \ldots + c_Na_N)$  is prime.

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume that g = 1. Let D be the product of the odd primes dividing  $c_1c_2...c_N$ . We shall choose integers  $r_1,...,r_N$  which satisfy the following congruences:  $r_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for each prime p = q dividing D, and also

452

Received February 16, 1990.

AMS subject classification: 10J15.

<sup>(</sup>c)Canadian Mathematical Society 1990.

#### for p = 4 and q = 2, for each *i*, *unless*

p divides  $c_1 + c_2 + \ldots + c_N$  and i is the smallest index for which q does not divide  $c_i$ , in which case we take  $r_i \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Such integers exist because of the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

We now choose  $a_2, \ldots, a_N$  to be distinct primes, greater than *B*, with each  $a_i \equiv r_i \pmod{4D}$ , which is certainly possible by Dirichlet's Theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions. We are thus left with having to find an arbitrarily large integer *x* such that both  $a_1 = 4Dx + r_1$  and  $2Dc_0x + e$  are prime, where  $c_0 = 2c_1/d$  and  $e = (c_1r_1 + c_2a_2 + \ldots + c_Na_N)/d$ . However, by the choice of the  $r_i$ 's, we know that both  $r_1$  and e are coprime with  $2Dc_0$  and so, by the prime *k*-tuplets conjecture, arbitrarily large such *x* exist.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM: By construction. The first elements of each set are given by taking  $B = e^{c_1+c_2+\ldots+c_N}$  in the Lemma. We then continue to construct the sets by adding one new prime to each set in turn, starting  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_N, A_1, \ldots$  etc.

We now show how to select a suitable prime p to add to the set  $F_j$ , once we have already constructed the subsets  $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_N$  of  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_N$  in this way:

Suppose that q was the last prime added to  $F_j$  and let m be the product of the primes  $\langle q/2 \rangle$ . We shall be choosing p of the form p = q + mx for some sufficiently large choice of x, so that p is larger than any prime previously chosen and also larger than  $3c_i \prod_{i=1}^{n} (a_i + 1)^{c_i}$ , where  $a_i$  is the cardinality of  $F_i$ .

Let  $G_j = F_j \cup \{p\}$  and  $G_i = F_i$  otherwise. An element of  $\frac{1}{d} \{c_1G_1 + \ldots + c_NG_N\}$  that contains p in its sum can be seen to be equal to an element of  $\frac{1}{d} \{c_1F_1 + \ldots + c_NF_N\}$  that contains q in its sum plus some integer multiple of mx/d: Therefore it can be written in the form

(\*) 
$$r + tmx/d$$

for some t in the range  $1 \le t \le c_j$ , where r is a prime, with r > q/2. By definition (r, tm/d) = 1, and so each such integer (\*) is free of prime factors less than q/2.

There are  $k-1 \leq c_j \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{c_i} (\langle q/3)$  such elements (\*), and none of them is divisible by any prime  $\leq k$  when we take x = 0. Therefore, by the prime *k*-tuplets conjecture, there are infinitely many integers *x* such that q + mx and all of the integers (\*) are prime. Hence, by choosing a sufficiently large such integer *x*, we can ensure that *p* is a suitable element to be added to  $F_j$ .

### ANDREW GRANVILLE

#### REFERENCES

1. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, Some problems of Partitio Numerorum III: On the expression of a number as a sum of primes, Acta Math. 44 (1922) 1–70.

2. C. Pomerance, A. Sárközy, C. L. Stewart, On divisors of sums of integers III, Pacific J. Math. 133 (1988) 363-379.

Department of Mathematics University of Toronto Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A1

Current address:

School of Mathematics Institute for Advanced Study Princeton, New Jersey 08540, U. S. A.

454