# THE RADON-NIKODYM THEOREM FOR MULTIMEASURES 

by LE VAN TU

(Received 14th March 1977)

## 1. Introduction

Let $(S, \mathcal{M})$ be a measurable space (that is, a set $S$ in which is defined a $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{M}$ of subsets) and $X$ a locally convex space. A map $M$ from $\mathcal{M}$ to the family of all non-empty subsets of $X$ is called a multimeasure iff for every sequence of disjoint sets $A_{n} \in \mathcal{M}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ with $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}=A$, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(A_{n}\right)$ converges (in the sense of (6), p. 3) to $M(A)$.

The concept of multimeasure with values in $\mathrm{R}^{n}$ was first introduced by Vind (15, $p$. 174 ) in order to solve some problems in economics. In (14, Théorème 23, p. 292), Valadier has proved the Radon-Nikodym theorem for multimeasures taking values in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (or $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ ) using the notion of scalar integrability of set-valued functions. (For further results in this aspect, see (3) and (11).)

In Section 2 of this paper, we shall define integrability for a special class of set-valued functions, which we shall call perfectly measurable multifunctions. Then we prove a theorem (Theorem 1) that serves as an example of a multimeasure. In Section 3 we prove the main result, the Radon-Nikodym theorem for multimeasures taking values in a locally convex space; this, however, is not a generalisation of Théorème 23 of (14), nor a consequence of that.

I should like to express my gratitude to Professor A. P. Robertson for suggesting this problem and for many helpful discussions.

## 2. Integrable multifunctions

Henceforth, $(S, \mathcal{M})$ is a measurable space, $\mu$ is a finite positive measure on $\mathcal{M}$ and $X$ is a Hausdorff locally convex space with (topological) dual $X^{\prime}$, except where otherwise specified.

Let $F$ be a map that assigns to each $t \in S$, a non-empty set $F(t) \subseteq X$. Then $F$ is called a multifunction (or a set-valued function) from $S$ to $X$. A point-valued function $f$ from $S$ to $X$ is called a selector for $F$ iff $f(t) \in F(t)$ for every $t \in S$. For any subset $B$ of $X$, we put

$$
F^{-1}(B)=\{t \in S: F(t) \cap B \neq \phi\} .
$$

The multifunction $F$ is called measurable iff $F^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{M}$ for each closed subset $B$ of $X$. We say that $F$ is perfectly measurable iff it is measurable and, for every closed subset $B$ of $X$, the multifunction $F_{B}$ (called the refinement of $F$ by $B$ ), defined on $F^{-1}(B)$ by $F_{B}(t)=F(t) \cap B$, has a measurable selector.

The following Lemma 1 that assures a measurable selector for $F$ is due to Leese
(7) (for other results on the existence of measurable selectors for a multifunction, we refer to (1), (4), (10) and (12)). Because this work does not yet seem to have been published, a brief proof is included.

Lemma 1. Suppose that $X^{\prime}$ contains a sequence $\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$, which separates the points of $X$. Then every compact-valued measurable multifunction $F$ from $S$ to $X$ has a measurable selector.

Proof. For each $t \in S$, let $F_{0}(t)=F(t)$ and define $F_{n}(t)(n=1,2, \ldots)$ inductively as follows

$$
F_{n}(t)=\left\{x \in F_{n-1}(t):\left\langle x, x_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle \text { maximal }\right\} .
$$

Then it can be shown that each $F_{n}$ is a compact-valued measurable multifunction from $S$ to $X$. Moreover, it is clear that $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}(t)$ consists of a single point, $f(t)$ say, and that for every closed set $B$ in $X$,

$$
f^{-1}(B)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}^{-1}(B)
$$

Therefore $f$ is a measurable selector for $F$.
Lemma 2. Suppose that $X^{\prime}$ contains a sequence which separates the points of $X$. Then every compact-valued measurable multifunction $F$ from $S$ to $X$ is perfectly measurable.

Proof. Let $B$ be a closed subset of $X$. Let $F_{B}$ be the refinement of $F$ by $B$, which is defined on $F^{-1}(B)$ by $F_{B}(t)=F(t) \cap B$. Then for every closed set $C$ in $X$,

$$
F_{B}^{-1}(C)=F^{-1}(B \cap C)
$$

which is measurable. Thus $F_{B}$ is a compact-valued measurable multifunction from $F^{-1}(B)$ to $X$. Hence, by Lemma $1, F_{B}$ has a measurable selector. Therefore $F$ is perfectly measurable.

Before going on, let us recall that a (point-valued) function $f$ from ( $S, \mathcal{M}, \mu$ ) to $X$ is called scalarly integrable iff for every $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, the function $\left\langle x^{\prime}, f\right\rangle=x^{\prime} \circ f$ is integrable. Then, for any $A \in \mathcal{M}$, we denote by $\int_{A} f d \mu$ the linear form on $X^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\left\langle x^{\prime}, \int_{A} f d \mu\right\rangle=\int_{A}\left\langle x^{\prime}, f\right\rangle d \mu .
$$

A measurable function $f$ from ( $S, \mathcal{M}, \mu$ ) to $X$ is said to be integrable iff $f$ is scalarly integrable and $\int_{A} f d \mu \in X$ for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$ (see for example (9)).

Now let $F$ be a multifunction from $(S, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ to $X$ and let $\mathscr{S}(F)$ denote the set of all measurable selectors of $F$. The multifunction $F$ is called integrable iff $F$ is perfectly measurable and every $f \in \mathscr{S}(F)$ is integrable. We denote, for any $A \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$
\int_{A} F d \mu=\left\{\int_{A} f d \mu: f \in \mathscr{S}(F)\right\}
$$

which is a subset of $X$. Note that we require $F$ to be perfectly measurable so that
every refinement of $F$ (by any closed subset $B$ of $X$ ) contributes to the integral (of course provided that $A \cap F^{-1}(B)$ has a non-zero measure). Otherwise, it may happen that $F(t)=G(t) \cup\{x\}$ for each $t \in S$, where $G(t)$ is contained in a fixed closed subset $B$ of $X, G$ has no measurable selector, and $x \in X \backslash B$. In such a case, the integral of $F$ does not reflect the full range of values taken by $F$ at all (For the basic properties of integrable multifunctions, see (13)).

Theorem 1. Let $F$ be an integrable multifunction from $S$ to $X$. Then the set-valued map $M$ from $\mathcal{M}$ to $X$, defined by

$$
M(A)=\int_{A} F d \mu \quad(A \in \mathcal{M})
$$

is a multimeasure.
Proof. Let $\left(A_{n}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$, be a sequence of disjoint sets in $\mathcal{M}$ and let $A=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$. We prove that

$$
M(A)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(A_{n}\right) .
$$

For each $n$, let $x_{n} \in M\left(A_{n}\right)$. Then there exist $f_{n} \in \mathscr{C}(F)$ such that $x_{n}=\int_{A_{n}} f_{n} d \mu$, $n=1,2, \ldots$ Let us define a function $f$ by

$$
f=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{n} \text { on } A_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \\
f_{1} \text { on } S \backslash A .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Certainly $f \in \mathscr{S}(F)$, hence $f$ is integrable and $x_{n}=\int_{A_{n}} f d \mu$. Now, for every $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ and every positive integer $N$,

$$
\left\langle x^{\prime}, \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n}\right\rangle=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{A_{n}}\left\langle x^{\prime}, f\right\rangle d \mu,
$$

which converges, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, to

$$
\int_{A}\left\langle x^{\prime}, f\right\rangle d \mu=\left\langle x^{\prime}, \int_{A} f d \mu\right\rangle
$$

This means that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}$ converges weakly to $x=\int_{A} f d \mu$, and a similar property holds for every subseries of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}$. Hence, by the Orlicz-Pettis Theorem (see for example (6), p. 4), the series $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}$ converges (unconditionally) to $x$, which belongs to $M(A)$. Thus we have proved that the series $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(A_{n}\right)$ is (unconditionally) convergent and is contained in $M(A)$.

To prove the reverse inclusion let $x \in M(A)$; then $x=\int_{A} f d \mu$ for some $f \in \mathscr{P}(F)$. Then, as before, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_{n}} f d \mu$ converges to $x$. This shows that $x \in$ $\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} M\left(A_{n}\right)$, and completes the proof.

## 3. The Radon-Nikodym theorem

Let $K$ be a convex closed subset of $X$ and let $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. We write $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, K\right)=$ $\sup \left\{\left\langle x^{\prime}, x\right\rangle: x \in K\right\}$. Following Meyer (8, p. 32), we denote by $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(S, \mathcal{M}$ ) (resp. $\left.\mathscr{L}^{\prime}(S, \mathcal{M}, \mu)\right)$ the vector space of all measurable bounded (resp. integrable) real-valued
functions on $S$ and by $L^{\infty}(S, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ (resp. $L^{1}(S, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ ) the associated quotient space under the relation of equality $\mu$-almost everywhere.

We first prove the following lemma.
Lemma 3. Suppose that $X$ is semireflexive. Let $\rho$ be a real-valued function, defined on $X^{\prime}$, satisfying:
(i) $\rho\left(x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\rho\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ and $\rho\left(\lambda x^{\prime}\right)=\lambda \rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ for $\lambda \geqslant 0$,
(ii) for every $\epsilon>0, \rho^{-1}((-\infty, \epsilon))$ is a neighbourhood of 0 in $X^{\prime}$.

Then $\rho$ is $\sigma\left(X^{\prime}, X\right)$-lower semicontinuous.
Proof. Let $\alpha \in R$; we prove that the set

$$
A=\left\{x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}: \rho\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \alpha\right\}
$$

is $\sigma\left(X^{\prime}, X\right)$-closed. Since $X$ is semireflexive and $A$ is convex, it is sufficient to prove that $A$ is strongly closed. Let $y^{\prime} \in \bar{A}$ and let $\epsilon>0$. By (ii), there exists a balanced neighbourhood $U$ of 0 in $X^{\prime}$ such that $z^{\prime} \in U$ implies $\rho\left(z^{\prime}\right)<\epsilon$. Then there is $x^{\prime} \in y^{\prime}+U$ such that $\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \alpha$. It follows that

$$
\rho\left(y^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \rho\left(y^{\prime}-x^{\prime}\right)+\rho\left(x^{\prime}\right)<\epsilon+\alpha
$$

Therefore $y^{\prime} \in A$, which completes the proof.
Theorem 2. Let $(S, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a probability space (i.e. $\mu(S)=1)$ and $X$ a locally convex space that is semireflexive. Assume that $X^{\prime}$ contains a sequence which separates the points of $X$. Also let $M$ be a convex compact-valued multimeasure from $\mathcal{M}$ to $X$. Suppose that there exist a convex compact metrizable subset $K$ of $X$ and $a$ positive measure $\nu \leqslant \mu$ such that for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$
M(A) \subseteq \nu(A) K
$$

Then there is a convex compact-valued integrable multifunction $F$ from $S$ to $X$ such that

$$
M(A)=\int_{A} F d \mu
$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$.
Proof. We may suppose that $K$ is balanced without loss of generality. For every $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, we define for each $A \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$
\mu_{x^{\prime}}(A)=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, M(A)\right)
$$

Then each $\mu_{x^{\prime}}$ is a real-valued bounded measure and these measures satisfy the following properties:
(i) $\mu_{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}} \leqslant \mu_{x^{\prime}}+\mu_{y^{\prime}}$,
(ii) $\mu_{\lambda x^{\prime}}=\lambda \mu_{x^{\prime}}$ for $\lambda \geqslant 0$.

Moreover, for each $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, we have $\mu_{x^{\prime}} \ll \mu$; hence there is $\psi_{x^{\prime}} \in L^{1}(S, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ such that for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$
\mu_{x^{\prime}}(A)=\int_{A} \psi_{x^{\prime}} d \mu
$$

Certainly the functions $\psi_{x^{\prime}}$ satisfy the conditions similar to (i) and (ii).
Now we want to find, for each $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, a function $\Psi_{x^{\prime}}$ in the class $\psi_{x^{\prime}}$ such that for every $t \in S$, the map $x^{\prime} \rightarrow \Psi_{x^{\prime}}(t)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3. Let $\theta$ be the density function of $\nu$ with respect to $\mu$. For every $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ and every $A \in \mathcal{M}$, since $M(A) \subseteq \nu(A) K$, we have

$$
\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, M(A)\right) \leqslant \varphi\left(x^{\prime}, \nu(A) K\right)=\nu(A) \varphi\left(x^{\prime}, K\right)
$$

Hence, putting $k_{x^{\prime}}=\left|\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, K\right)\right|$, we have $\left|\mu_{x^{\prime}}\right|(A) \leqslant \nu(A) k_{x^{\prime}}$, for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$. Therefore, for every $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$,

$$
\left|\psi_{x^{\prime}}\right| \leqslant k_{x^{\prime}} \theta .
$$

Let us choose a non-negative member $\Theta$ in the class $\theta$ and put, for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$,

$$
S_{n}=\{t \in S: n \leqslant \Theta(t)<n+1\} .
$$

Thus each $S_{n} \in \mathcal{M}$ and the $S_{n}$ form a partition for $S$. For each $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ let $\psi_{x^{\prime}, n}$ be the restriction of $\psi_{x^{\prime}}$ on $S_{n}$ and define $\mathcal{M}_{n}, \mu_{n}$ analogously. Then $\psi_{x^{\prime}, n} \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(S_{n}, \mathcal{M}_{n}, \mu_{n}\right)$. Therefore, by the Lifting Theorem (8, Théorème 12, p. 195), each $\psi_{x^{\prime}, n}$ can be lifted to a function $\Psi_{x^{\prime} \cdot n} \in \mathscr{L}^{\infty}\left(S_{n}, \mathcal{M}_{n}\right)$ (note that the lifting map is linear, positive and isometric). We obtain the function $\Psi_{x^{\prime}}$ by gluing the functions $\Psi_{x^{\prime}, n}$ together. It is clear that $\Psi_{x^{\prime}} \in \mathscr{L}^{1}(S, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ and
(iii) $\Psi_{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}} \leqslant \Psi_{x^{\prime}}+\Psi_{y^{\prime}}$,
(iv) $\Psi_{\lambda x^{\prime}}=\lambda \Psi_{x^{\prime}}$ for $\lambda \geqslant 0$.

Now, let $t$ be chosen and fixed in $S$; then $t \in S_{n}$ for some $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ For every $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, since $\left\|\psi_{x^{\prime}, n}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant k_{x^{\prime}}(n+1)$, we have $\left\|\Psi_{x^{\prime}, n}\right\| \leqslant k_{x^{\prime}}(n+1)$ and hence

$$
\left|\Psi_{x^{\prime}}(t)\right| \leqslant k_{x^{\prime}}(n+1)
$$

According to Hörmander (5, Théorème 7), the function $x^{\prime} \rightarrow k_{x^{\prime}}$ is (strongly) continuous. Hence the function $x^{\prime} \rightarrow \Psi_{x^{\prime}}(t)$ is continuous at 0 . This fact, combined with (iii) and (iv), implies that the function $x^{\prime} \rightarrow \Psi_{x^{\prime}}(t)$ is $\sigma\left(X^{\prime}, X\right)$-lower semicontinuous (Lemma 3). Therefore (by Théorème 5 of (5)), there is a convex closed subset $F(t)$ of $X$ such that

$$
\Psi_{x^{\prime}}(t)=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, F(t)\right)
$$

for every $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. Moreover if $x^{\prime} \in K^{\circ}$, the polar set of $K$, then $k_{x^{\prime}} \leqslant 1$. It follows that

$$
F(t) \subseteq(n+1) K^{\infty}=(n+1) K
$$

Hence $F(t)$ is compact for each $t \in S$.
Next, we prove that the multifunction $F$ is integrable. Note first that for every $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, the function $t \rightarrow \varphi\left(x^{\prime}, F(t)\right)$ is measurable and that $F(t)$ is contained in the convex compact metrizable set $(n+1) K$ whenever $t \in S_{n}$. Thus (by Proposition 8 of (14)), the restriction of $F$ on each $S_{n}(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ is measurable. Therefore $F$ is measurable. Then, by Lemma 2, $F$ is perfectly measurable. Now let $f \in \mathscr{P}(F)$; then for every $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$,

$$
-\Psi_{-x^{\prime}} \leqslant\left\langle x^{\prime}, f\right\rangle \leqslant \Psi_{x^{\prime}}
$$

This shows that $f$ is scalarly integrable. Furthermore, for each $n=0,1,2, \ldots, f\left(S_{n}\right) \subseteq$
$(n+1) K$ which is convex compact and balanced. Therefore (by Théorème 1 of (2)), $f$ is integrable (that is, $\int_{A} f d \mu \in X$ for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$ ). This means that $F$ is integrable.

Finally, since $X$ is semireflexive and because every scalarly measurable selector of $F$ is measurable, we obtain from (2, Théorème 2)

$$
\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, \int_{A} F d \mu\right)=\int_{A} \varphi\left(x^{\prime}, F(\cdot)\right) d \mu
$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$ and every $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$. Yet the right-hand side is the same as

$$
\int_{A} \Psi_{x^{\prime}} d \mu=\int_{A} \psi_{x^{\prime}} d \mu=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}, M(A)\right) .
$$

Therefore, by Théorème 1 of (5),

$$
M(A)=\int_{A} F d \mu
$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$. This completes the proof.
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