## ON A THEOREM OF CUTLER

Charles K. Megibben*

In [1] Cutler proved the following theorem.
THEOREM. If $G$ and $K$ are abelian groups such that $n G \cong n K$ for some positive integer $n$, then there are abelian groups $U$ and $V$ such that $U \oplus G \cong V \oplus K$ and $n U=0=n V$.

Cutler's proof is long and fairly involved. Walker [3] obtains the theorem rather elegantly as corollary of his results on $n$-extensions. We give here a proof that is extremely simple both in conception and execution. Our proof relies on the notion of p-basic subgroups introduced by Fuchs in [2]. Therefore we shall first recall certain pertinent facts from [2].

Let $p$ be a fixed prime. A subgroup $B$ of an abelian group $G$ is said to be a $p$-basic subgroup of $G$ if:
(1) $B$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups of infinite and p-power orders;
(2) $B$ is $p$-pure in $G$ (that is, $p^{n} G \cap B=p^{n} B$ for all positive integers $n$ );
(3) $G / B$ is $p$-divisible (that is, $p(G / B)=G / B$ ).

Fuchs [2] calls a family $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \wedge}$ of elements of $G$ p-pure independent if (i) the family is independent, (ii) the subgroup generated by the family is p-pure, and (iii) each $x_{\lambda}$ has either infinite or p-power order. He then shows (a) that every p-pure-independent family can be expanded to a maximal p-pure-independent family, (b) that the generators of a p-basic subgroup form a maximal p-pure-independent family and, conversely, (c) that the subgroup generated by a maximal p-pure-independent family is a p-basic subgroup. Although we do not require the fact, we mention that any two $p$-basic subgroups of $G$ are isomorphic. We need two very simple lemmas about $p$-basic subgroups.

LEMMA 1. If $B$ is a p-basic subgroup of $G$, then $p B$ is a p-basic subgroup of pG .

Proof. pB surely satisfies condition (1). $\mathrm{pG} / \mathrm{pB}$ is p -divisible since

[^0]$\mathrm{pG} / \mathrm{pB}=\mathrm{pG} / \mathrm{pG} \cap \mathrm{B} \cong \mathrm{pG}+\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{B}$. Finally, pB
is $p$-pure in $p G$ since
$$
p^{n}(p G) \cap p B \subseteq p^{n+1} G \cap B=p^{n+1} B=p^{n}(p B)
$$
for all positive integers $n$.
LEMMA 2. Suppose $C$ is a subgroup of the abelian group $G$ and that $C$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups of infinite and p-power orders. If $p C$ is a $p$-basic subgroup of $p G$, then there is a subgroup $A$ of $G$ such that $p A=0$ and $A$ is a $p$-basic subgroup of $G$.

Proof. To insure the existence of an $A$ such that $A \oplus C$ is a p-basic subgroup of $G$, it suffices by (a) and (c) to show that $C$ is a p-pure subgroup of $G$. Let $C=\Theta_{\mu \in M}\left\langle c_{\mu}\right\rangle$ and suppose $t_{1} c_{\mu_{1}}+\ldots+t_{n} c_{\mu} \in p G$. Since pC is a p -basic subgroup of $\mathrm{pG}, \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{\mu_{1}}+\ldots+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{c}_{\mu_{\mathrm{n}}}\right) \in \mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{G} \cap \mathrm{pC}=\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{C}$. Therefore each $t_{i}$ is divisible by $p$ and $t_{1} c_{\mu_{1}}+\ldots+t_{n} c_{\mu_{n}} \in p C$. For $\mathrm{n}>1$ we have

$$
p^{n} G \cap C \subseteq p^{n-1}(p G) \cap p C=p^{n-1}(p C)=p^{n} C
$$

since $p C$ is p-pure in $p G$. Now $A \oplus C$ is a $p$-basic subgroup of $G$, and $\mathrm{pA} \oplus \mathrm{pC}$ is a p-basic subgroup of pG by Lemma 1. But then (b) implies that $\mathrm{pA}=0$.

We now turn to the proof of Cutler's theorem. First, we observe, by iteration, that it suffices to prove the theorem in the case $n$ is an arbitrary prime $p$. Let $B$ be a $p$-basic subgroup of $G$ and let $\phi$ be an isomorphism of pG onto pK . Choose $C_{1}$ to be a direct sum of cyclic groups of infinite and $p$-power orders without a $p$-bounded summand and such that $p C_{1}=\phi(p B)$. Then clearly $\mathrm{pC}_{1}$ is a p -basic subgroup of pK and, by Lemma 2, there is a subgroup $A$ such that $p A=0$ and $C=A \oplus C_{1}$ is a p-basic subgroup of $K$. We can write $B=D \oplus B_{1}$, where $p D=0$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ contains no p -bounded direct summand. Then $\mathrm{pB} \mathrm{B}_{1}=\mathrm{pB}$ and there is obviously an isomorphism $\psi$ of $B_{1}$ onto $C_{1}$ that extends $\phi \mid p B$. Clearly then there exist p-bounded abelian groups $U$ and $V$ (one of which can be chosen to be 0 ) such that $U \oplus B \cong V \oplus C$ under an extension $\bar{\psi}$ of $\psi$. Then $U \oplus B$ and $V \oplus C$ are p-basic subgroups of $U \oplus G$ and $V \oplus K$ respectively. Since $U \Theta G=(U \Theta B)+p G$ and $V \oplus K=(V \Theta C)+p K$ and since $\bar{\psi}$ and $\phi$ agree on $(\mathrm{U} \oplus \mathrm{B}) \cap \mathrm{pG}=\mathrm{pB}$, there is an obvious isomorphism $\bar{\phi}$ of $U \oplus G$ onto $V \oplus K$ that extends both $\bar{\psi}$ and $\phi$.
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