

ERRATUM

How Do Risk Preferences Affect Golf Course Superintendents' Adoption of Precision Irrigation Technologies? Implications from Prospect Theory – ERRATUM

Yang Wang, Chengyan Yue, Eric Watkins and Chase Straw

<https://doi.org/10.1017/aae.2023.28>. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Southern Agricultural Economics Association, 01 September 2023.

Keywords: Golf course superintendents; precision irrigation technology; prospect theory; water saving

Cambridge University Press apologises for errors in the above article. The authors' affiliations should have been given as follows:

1. Department of Applied Economics, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA
2. Department of Horticultural Science, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA
3. Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

Equation 2 on page 4 should have been printed as:

$$w(p) = \frac{1}{\exp[(\log(1/p))^\gamma]} \quad (2)$$

The original article has been revised to reflect the correct affiliations.

Reference

Wang, Y., C. Yue, E. Watkins, and C. Straw (2023). "How Do Risk Preferences Affect Golf Course Superintendents' Adoption of Precision Irrigation Technologies? Implications from Prospect Theory." *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics* 55, 516–535. <https://doi.org/10.1017/aae.2023.28>

Cite this article: Wang, Y., C. Yue, E. Watkins, and C. Straw (2024). "How Do Risk Preferences Affect Golf Course Superintendents' Adoption of Precision Irrigation Technologies? Implications from Prospect Theory – ERRATUM." *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics* 56, 184. <https://doi.org/10.1017/aae.2023.41>

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Southern Agricultural Economics Association. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.