

SUBGROUPS OF LOCALLY FINITE PRODUCTS OF LOCALLY NILPOTENT GROUPS

BURKHARD HÖFLING*

Mathematisches Institut, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität, D-07740 Jena, Germany
e-mail: hoefling@mathematik.uni-jena.de

(Received 20 October, 1997)

Abstract. Let the locally finite group G be the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B , and assume that H is a subgroup of G belonging to a group class \mathfrak{F} . The question is considered whether there exists a subgroup X of G containing H which belongs to \mathfrak{F} and satisfies $X = (X \cap A)(X \cap B)$. Under various assumptions on G and \mathfrak{F} , necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of such a subgroup X are obtained.

A group G is the product of two subgroups A and B if G equals the set AB , that is, if every element $g \in G$ can be expressed as $g = ab$ with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. A subgroup H of G will be called *prefactorised* if H is the product of a subgroup of A and a subgroup of B , and in this case, $H = (H \cap A)(H \cap B)$. A prefactorised subgroup H of G is *factorised* if it contains $A \cap B$. If H is any subgroup of $G = AB$, then the *factoriser* X of H is defined as the intersection of all factorised subgroups of G which contain H . By [1, Lemma 1.1.2], the subgroup X is a factorised subgroup of G .

Here, we consider the following question. Suppose that the group G is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B , and let H be a subgroup of G belonging to a group class \mathfrak{F} . Does G possess a prefactorised or factorised subgroup which contains H and belongs to the same class of groups \mathfrak{F} ? For example, under various hypotheses on G , it can be shown that the unique maximal locally nilpotent normal subgroup of G is factorised; see [1] and [3]. Thus every *normal* locally nilpotent subgroup H of G is contained in a factorised locally nilpotent subgroup.

If the subgroup H in question is not normal (characteristic) in G , one cannot expect that all conjugates (Aut(G)-conjugates) of the \mathfrak{F} -group H are contained in prefactorised or factorised \mathfrak{F} -group; for example, it is easy to see that every finite product $G = AB$ of two nilpotent subgroups A and B has exactly one prefactorised Sylow p -subgroup for each prime p , namely $A_p B_p$, where A_p and B_p are the p -components of A and B , respectively. Moreover, these Sylow subgroups form a Sylow basis of G . More generally, if $G = AB$, where A and B are locally nilpotent, and G is a CC -group, satisfies $\text{min-}p$ for every prime p or is a \mathfrak{U} -group then the sets $A_p B_p$ are Sylow p -subgroups of G which form a Sylow generating basis of G ; see [5] and [16]. Here, \mathfrak{U} denotes the largest subgroup-closed class of locally finite groups G such that for every set π of primes, the maximal π -subgroups of G are conjugate. A group G is a CC -group if $G/C_G(x^G)$ is a Černikov group for every $x \in G$, where x^G denotes the smallest normal subgroup of G which contains x , and the group G satisfies $\text{min-}p$ if it has the minimal condition on p -subgroups for the prime p . The class of all periodic locally soluble nilpotent-by-finite groups, which is evidently a subclass of \mathfrak{U} , will play an important role in the sequel and will be denoted by $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$.

*This paper contains part of the author's doctoral thesis [14] at the University of Mainz, Germany.

Our main results, which improve the corresponding results about finite groups in [2] and also generalise the above-mentioned ones about Sylow p -subgroups, are Theorem 2.3, Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.1 below. They can be summarised as follows.

THEOREM. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a class of periodic locally soluble groups which is closed under taking subgroups and factor groups and assume that \mathfrak{D} is a class of CC-groups, of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -groups or groups satisfying min- p for all primes p . We assume that \mathfrak{F} is a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π . Let $G \in \mathfrak{D}$ be the product of two locally nilpotent groups A and B . If H is an \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G such that $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces into H , then H is contained in a prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G . If $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B) \subseteq \pi$, then the factoriser of H is an \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G .*

Note that, if G is an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -group (a CC-group) with Sylow generating basis $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ and H is a subgroup of G , then it is always possible to find an inner (locally inner) automorphism α such that $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces into H^α . Consequently, in this case every \mathfrak{F} -subgroup in the above theorem has a conjugate (local conjugate) which is contained in a prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G .

Here a Sylow generating basis $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of a group G reduces into a subgroup H of G if $\{G_p \cap H \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ is a Sylow generating basis of G . Definitions of Sylow generating bases and locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formations can be found in [7]; for the latter see also Section 2 below. The characteristic π of a class \mathfrak{F} of groups is the set of primes p such that \mathfrak{F} contains a group of order p .

In particular, if H is \mathfrak{F} -maximal in G in the above theorem, that is, if H is not properly contained in another \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G , then H is prefactorised if and only if $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces into H (see Corollary 3.3 and Corollary 4.2). As a consequence, G possesses exactly one prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -projector if \mathfrak{D} consists of groups satisfying min- p for all primes p , or if \mathfrak{D} is the class of all periodic locally soluble CC-groups (Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 4.7). Recall that if \mathfrak{F} is any class of groups, a subgroup H of G is an \mathfrak{F} -projector of G if HN/N is \mathfrak{F} -maximal in G/N for every normal subgroup N of G . A similar result about \mathfrak{F} -projectors also holds if \mathfrak{D} is contained in the class \mathfrak{U} (see Theorem 5.5).

Most of the above results are based upon the close examination of \mathfrak{S} -subgroups of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -groups G which are the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B , where \mathfrak{S} is an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class (for a definition see Section 1). The main result about \mathfrak{S} -subgroups of nilpotent-by-finite products is Theorem 1.6.

Our notation is standard and follows [1] and [7]. In particular, if G is a group and π is a set of primes, then a Sylow π -subgroup of G is just a maximal π -subgroup of G .

1. Factorisers of \mathfrak{S} -subgroups of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -groups. A class \mathfrak{S} of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -groups is an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class if \mathfrak{S} consists of all $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -groups whose finite primitive image belong to \mathfrak{S} and whose infinite semiprimitive images are the union of finite \mathfrak{S} -groups. A group is *semiprimitive* if it is the semidirect product of a finite subgroup M with trivial core and a divisible abelian normal subgroup D all of whose proper M -invariant subgroups are finite. Note that, in view of [23], this definition is equivalent with that in [15]; see also [24].

The following lemma further investigates the structure of certain semiprimitive groups.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let \mathfrak{S} be a Schunck class of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{C}^*$ -groups of characteristic π and suppose that $G = M \ltimes D$ is an infinite semiprimitive Černikov group, where D is a radicable abelian p -group for the prime p and M is finite and soluble. If G/D is an \mathfrak{S} -group and $p \in \pi$ but G is not an \mathfrak{S} -group, then M does not centralise any M -composition factor of D .*

Proof. Since $G \notin \mathfrak{S}$, the \mathfrak{S} -subgroup M is an \mathfrak{S} -projector of G by [15, Lemma 4.1]. Let U/V be an M -composition factor of D which is centralised by M . Then $MU/V = MV/V \times U/V$ and U/V is an elementary abelian p -group and $p \in \pi$. Now the class \mathfrak{S}^* of all finite groups in \mathfrak{S} is a Schunck class of finite groups, hence is closed with respect to finite direct products by [9, III, Corollary 6.2]. Therefore MU/V is an \mathfrak{S} -group. On the other hand, by [15, Corollary 4.7] MV/V is an \mathfrak{S} -projector of MU/V . This contradiction shows that M does not centralise any M -composition factor of D .

Next, we deduce a property of groups satisfying the hypotheses of the preceding Lemma 1.1 which will be needed in the sequel.

LEMMA 1.2. *Suppose that G is an infinite semiprimitive Černikov group which is a semidirect product of a radicable abelian normal p -group D and a finite soluble group M . Further, assume that M does not centralise any M -composition factor of D (of a given M -composition series of D). If M is not a p -group, then $N_D(M_{p'}) = 1$ for every Hall p' -subgroup $M_{p'}$ of M .*

Proof. Let

$$1 = D_0 \triangleleft D_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft D_\alpha = D$$

be an M -composition series of D , where α is an ordinal, whose factors are not centralised by M . Since D does not contain infinite M -invariant subgroups, we have $\alpha \leq \omega$, the least infinite ordinal number. Therefore it suffices to show that $N_{D_n}(M_{p'}) = 1$ for every integer n . We proceed by induction on n , assuming that $n > 0$ and $N_{D_{n-1}}(M_{p'}) = 1$.

Let $H = MD_n$ and $C = C_H(D_n/D_{n-1})$. Put $K = C \cap MD_{n-1} = (C \cap M)D_{n-1}$ and observe that K is a normal subgroup of $H = CM$ because K/D_{n-1} is centralised by C and normalised by M . Since $D_n \cap K = D_{n-1}(D_n \cap M) = D_{n-1}$ by Dedekind’s modular law, the factor group D_n/D_{n-1} is H -isomorphic with $D_nK/K = (C \cap M)D_n/K = C/K$. It follows that C/K is a self-centralised minimal normal subgroup of H/K . Therefore $H/K = (MK/K)(C/K)$ is a primitive group by [9, A, Theorem 15.8 (b)]. Let $R/C = O_{p'}(H/C)$ and $Q = M_{p'} \cap R$, then Q is nontrivial because $C/K = O_p(H/K)$.

Since the p' -group $QK/K = O_{p'}(MK/K)$ cannot be normal in H/K and MK/K is a maximal subgroup of H/K , it follows that $MK = N_H(QK/K)$. Let $g \in N_H(M_{p'}K/K)$, then Q^gK is contained in $M_{p'}^gK \cap R^g = M_{p'}K \cap R = (M_{p'} \cap R)K = QK$ and so $g \in N_H(QK/K) = MK$. It follows that $N_H(M_{p'}) \leq N_H(M_{p'}K/K) \leq MK$. Therefore

$$N_{D_n}(M_{p'}) \leq MK \cap D_n = M(C \cap M)D_{n-1} \cap D_n = MD_{n-1} \cap D_n = D_{n-1},$$

and it follows that $N_{D_n}(M_{p'}) = N_{D_{n-1}}(M_{p'}) = 1$, as required.

By a result of Gross [12, Theorem 1], (see also [1, Lemma 2.5.2]), a finite primitive group G which is the product of two nilpotent subgroups A and B is either a p -group or A and B are a Sylow p -subgroup and a Hall p' -subgroup of G . The following is a weaker version for semiprimitive groups.

THEOREM 1.3. *Suppose that G is an infinite semiprimitive group with finite residual D and suppose that D is a p -group. If G is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B , then one of the groups $AO_p(G)/O_p(G)$ and $BO_p(G)/O_p(G)$ is a p -group and the other is a p' -group. In particular, A or B is a p -group.*

Proof. If G is a p -group, the statement is clear. Therefore suppose that G is not a p -group. Since $A_{p'}B_{p'}$ is a Sylow p' -subgroup of G , we must have $A_{p'} \neq 1$ or $B_{p'} \neq 1$. Assume without loss of generality that $B_{p'} \neq 1$. Then $D \cap B_{p'}^G$ is either finite or equals D . Assume first that $D \cap B_{p'}^G$ is finite. Then $D \cap B_{p'}^G$ is contained in $D_n = \{x \in D \mid x^{p^n} = 1\}$ for some integer n and so $D/D_n \cap B_{p'}^G D_n/D_n = 1$, and in particular, $B_{p'}$ is contained in $C_G(D/D_n)$. As in the proof of [24, Proposition 2.3 (ii)], there exists an isomorphism $G \rightarrow G/D_n$ mapping D to D/D_n , and so we have $D = C_G(D/D_n)$. But then $B_{p'}$ is contained in a p -group, contradicting $B_{p'} \neq 1$. This shows that we must have $D \leq B_{p'}^G$.

Now $O_{p'}(G)$ is contained in $C_G(D) = D$ and so $O_{p'}(G) = 1$. Therefore $[A_{p'}, D] \leq [A_{p'}^G, B_{p'}^G] = 1$ by Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.1 of [10]. But then $A_{p'}$ is contained in $C_G(D) = D$ and A is a p -group. Thus $B_p^G = B_p^A$ is contained in the Sylow p -subgroup AB_p of G . Consequently B_p^G is contained in $O_p(G)$ and so $BO_p(G)/O_p(G)$ is a p' -group.

Combining Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2 with Theorem 1.3, we obtain a first result about \mathfrak{S} -maximal subgroups of infinite semiprimitive Černikov groups which are the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups.

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let \mathfrak{S} be a Schunck class of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -groups and suppose that G is an infinite semiprimitive Černikov group. Further, assume that every finite image of G is an \mathfrak{S} -group and that G is not an \mathfrak{S} -group. If G is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B , then G possesses an \mathfrak{S} -projector which contains A or B , hence is factorised.*

Proof. Let D denote the finite residual of G , which is a radicable abelian p -group for a prime p . Suppose that B is not a p -group, then by Theorem 1.3, A is a p -group and $B_{p'}$ is a Sylow p' -subgroup of G . Let M be a complement of D in G which contains $B_{p'}$, then by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2, M contains $B \leq N_G(B_{p'})$ because B is locally nilpotent. Since M is an \mathfrak{S} -projector of G by [15, Lemma 4.1] and M contains B , it follows that M is factorised.

The following proposition shows that not only semiprimitive Černikov \mathfrak{S} -groups are the union of an ascending chain of \mathfrak{S} -groups.

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let \mathfrak{S} be a Schunck class of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -groups. Then a Černikov group G is an \mathfrak{S} -group if and only if it is the union of an ascending chain $\{G_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of finite \mathfrak{S} -groups.*

Proof. If G is the union of an ascending chain $\{G_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of finite \mathfrak{S} -groups, then $G \in \mathfrak{S}$ by [15, Lemma 3.1].

Conversely, suppose that the Černikov group G belongs to the class \mathfrak{S} and let D be the maximal radicable abelian normal subgroup of G and H a finite supplement of D in G . Let L be an \mathfrak{S} -projector of H , then $H = L(D \cap H)$ because $H/H \cap D \in \mathfrak{S}$. Therefore $G = LD$ and we may assume without loss of generality that $H \in \mathfrak{S}$.

Assume first that D does not have infinite G -invariant subgroups and let $N = \text{Core}_G(H)$. Then G/N is the union of a chain $\{G_i/N \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of finite \mathfrak{S} -groups: If $N \leq D$, the group G/N is semiprimitive, and this follows from the definition of a Schunck class. Otherwise, we obtain the same result by induction on $|G : D|$ and the fact that $G/N = (H/N)(DN/N)$. Since H is finite, we may assume without loss of generality that $H \leq G_i$ for every i . Hence $G_i = HD \cap G_i = H(D \cap G_i)$ by the modular law. As N is finite, it suffices to show that every G_i is an \mathfrak{S} -group.

Fix an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and let G_i/K be a finite primitive image of G_i with unique minimal normal subgroup $L/K = F(G_i/K)$. If $N \leq K$, we have $G_i/K \in \mathfrak{S}$, as required. Therefore assume that $L \leq NK$. Then $L = L \cap NK = (L \cap N)K$ by the modular law. Moreover, the abelian normal subgroup $(DK \cap G_i)/K$ of G_i/K is contained in $F(G_i/K) = L/K$. It follows that $G_i = HL = H(L \cap N)K$. Since N is contained in H , we even have $G_i = HK$ and so $G_i/K \cong H/H \cap K \in \mathfrak{S}$.

This shows that every primitive image of G_i is an \mathfrak{S} -group, and so $G_i \in \mathfrak{S}$ by the definition of a Schunck class. Thus G is the union of the finite \mathfrak{S} -groups $\{G_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Therefore suppose that D has a proper infinite G -invariant subgroup E . By induction on the rank of a maximal radicable abelian normal subgroup of G , the factor group G/E possesses an ascending chain $\{G_i/E \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of finite \mathfrak{S} -groups.

We may assume that each G_i contains the subgroup H , so that $G_i = H(G_i \cap D)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $H_0 = H$, and for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let H_i be an \mathfrak{S} -maximal supplement of $G_i \cap D$ in G_i containing H_{i-1} . Then the H_i form an ascending chain of \mathfrak{S} -groups: let L denote their union. Since H_i is an \mathfrak{S} -projector of G_i and $G_i/E \in \mathfrak{S}$, we have $G_i = H_iE$, and so $G = LE = LD$.

Hence $D \cap L$ is normal in G . Now $G/D \cap L = H_iE(D \cap L)/D \cap L$ is isomorphic with $H_iE/H_iE \cap D \cap L = H_iE/(H_i \cap D)(E \cap L)$. Since $G \in \mathfrak{S}$, this shows that $H_iE/(H_i \cap D)(E \cap L)$ also belongs to \mathfrak{S} .

Since H_i is an \mathfrak{S} -projector of $G_i = H_iE$, it follows that $G_i = H_iE = H_i(H_i \cap D)(E \cap L)$ is contained in L . Now this holds for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore $G = L$ is the union of the subgroups $H_i \in \mathfrak{S}$. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that the G_i are \mathfrak{S} -groups.

Since the G_i are Černikov groups, by induction on the rank of a maximal radicable abelian normal subgroup, each G_i possesses an ascending chain $\{G_{i,j} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of finite \mathfrak{S} -groups. We define an ascending chain $\{G_i^* \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of finite \mathfrak{S} -groups satisfying $G_i^* \leq G_i$ for every positive integer i : firstly, let $G_1^* = G_{1,1}$. Now let $n > 1$. Since G_n is the union of the subgroups $\{G_{n,j} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$, there exists an integer m such that the \mathfrak{S} -group $G_{n,m} = G_n^*$ contains the (finite) subgroups $G_{1,n-1}, G_{2,n-2}, \dots, G_{n-2,2}, G_{n-1,1}$ and G_{n-1}^* of G_n . By construction, $\{G_n^*\}$ is an ascending chain of \mathfrak{S} -groups and $G_{i,j} \leq G_{i+j}^*$ for every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore G is the union of the chain $\{G_n^* \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of finite \mathfrak{S} -groups, as required.

Recall that by [5] (see also [16]) every \mathfrak{U} -group G which is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B possesses a Sylow generating basis of the form $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$. Now if G is a \mathfrak{U} -group with Sylow generating basis $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ and H is a subgroup of G , then by [13, Lemma 2.1] and [11, Theorem 2.10], there exists a $g \in G$ such that $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces into H^g . Thus, with the notation of the following

theorem, every \mathfrak{S} -subgroup possesses a conjugate H into which the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces.

THEOREM 1.6. *Let \mathfrak{S} be an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class of characteristic π and suppose that the $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -group G is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B . Further, let H be an \mathfrak{S} -subgroup of G into which the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces.*

- (a) *If π contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$, then the factoriser of H is an \mathfrak{S} -group.*
- (b) *If H is a π -group, then the factoriser of H in $A_\pi B_\pi$ is an \mathfrak{S} -group. Hence H is contained in a prefactorised \mathfrak{S} -subgroup of G .*

Proof. (a) Let X denote the factoriser of H . Since the Sylow generating basis

$$\{(X \cap A_p)(X \cap B_p) \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\} = \{X \cap A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$$

of X reduces into H , we may assume without loss of generality that $G = X$. Therefore it remains to show that $G \in \mathfrak{S}$. As \mathfrak{S} is a Schunck class and our hypotheses are inherited by factor groups, it suffices to consider the cases when G is a finite primitive group or an infinite semiprimitive Černikov group.

Suppose first that G is finite and primitive. Then by [12, Theorem 1], either $G = A = B$ is a cyclic p group for some prime p , or A is a Sylow p -subgroup of G and B is a Hall p' -subgroup of G . In the first case, we have $p \in \pi$ and so $G \in \mathfrak{S}$. Otherwise, the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into H , and so $H = (H \cap A_p B_p)(H \cap A_{p'} B_{p'}) = (H \cap A)(H \cap B)$ is factorised. Hence $G = X = H \in \mathfrak{S}$.

If G is an infinite semiprimitive Černikov group, we have $G = M \rtimes D$, where D is a radicable abelian p -group for the prime p and M is finite. Since every primitive image of G/D belongs to \mathfrak{S} , we have $M \cong G/D \in \mathfrak{S}$ because \mathfrak{S} is a Schunck class. Now suppose that $G \notin \mathfrak{S}$. Then, by Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 1.4, without loss of generality, A is a p -group containing D and $B \leq M$ is finite. Thus $MD_n = MD_n \cap AB = (MD_n \cap A)B$ is factorised for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $D_n = \{x \in D \mid x^{p^n} = 1\}$. Since $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} MD_n$, this shows that every finite subgroup U of G is contained in a finite factorised subgroup of G . In particular, the factoriser of every finite subgroup of G is finite.

By Proposition 1.5, the Černikov group H is the union of an ascending chain $\{H_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of finite \mathfrak{S} -groups. Since $H \cap D$ has finite index in H , we may assume without loss of generality that $H = H_1(H \cap D)$. Since G is a \mathfrak{U} -group, there is a $g \in G$ such that the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into H_1^g . Replacing H_i by H_i^g for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we may assume that the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into H_1 . Now $H_i = H_1(H_i \cap D)$ by the modular law, and so by [15, Proposition 2.3(d)], the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p\}$ reduces into every H_i . Therefore the factorisers X_i of the H_i are \mathfrak{S} -groups by the finite case. The union U of the factorisers of the H_i is clearly a factorised subgroup of G which contains H , and so $G = U$. It follows that $\{X_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an ascending chain of finite \mathfrak{S} -subgroups of the semiprimitive group G , and so $G \in \mathfrak{S}$ by the definition of a Schunck class.

(b) Since H is a π -group and the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into H , we have $H \leq A_\pi B_\pi$. Applying (a) to the group $A_\pi B_\pi$, we obtain that the factoriser of H in $A_\pi B_\pi$ is an \mathfrak{S} -group, as required.

From this theorem, we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for an \mathfrak{S} -maximal subgroup of G to be factorised.

COROLLARY 1.7. *Let \mathfrak{S} be an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class of characteristic π and suppose that the $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -group G is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B . Let H be an \mathfrak{S} -maximal subgroup of G*

- (a) *If π contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$, then H is prefactorised if and only if the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into H . Thus an \mathfrak{S} -maximal subgroup of G is prefactorised if and only if it is factorised.*
- (b) *If H is a π -group, then H is prefactorised if and only if the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into H .*

Proof. If H is any prefactorised subgroup of G , then by [16, Theorem 4.7], the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into H . This shows the necessity of our conditions.

Conversely, if π contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$ and the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into H , then the factoriser X of H is an \mathfrak{S} -group, by Theorem 1.6. Hence $H = X$ by the \mathfrak{S} -maximality of H , and so H is factorised.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.6, statement (b) now follows by considering the Sylow π -subgroup $A_\pi B_\pi$ instead of G .

Since every subgroup of an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -group possesses a conjugate into which a given Sylow generating basis of G reduces, we also have the following result.

COROLLARY 1.8. *Let \mathfrak{S} be an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class of characteristic π and suppose that the $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -group G is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B .*

- (a) *If π contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$, then every \mathfrak{S} -maximal subgroup of G has a factorised conjugate.*
- (b) *Every \mathfrak{S} -maximal subgroup of G which is a π -group has a prefactorised conjugate.*

Proof. Let H be an \mathfrak{S} -maximal subgroup of G ; then, by [13, Lemma 2.1], a Sylow generating basis of H can be extended to a Sylow generating basis $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G . Therefore, by [11, Theorem 2.10], there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $\{G_p^g \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\} = \{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$. Thus $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces into $H^{g^{-1}}$. The result now follows from Corollary 1.7.

Since \mathfrak{S} -projectors are in particular \mathfrak{S} -maximal subgroups, we also obtain

COROLLARY 1.9. *Let \mathfrak{S} be an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class of characteristic π and suppose that the $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -group G is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B . If π contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$ or an \mathfrak{S} -projector of G is a π -group, then G possesses a unique \mathfrak{S} -projector H which is prefactorised. If π contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$, then H is even factorised.*

Proof. By [15 Corollary 5.2], the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into a unique \mathfrak{S} -projector H of G . Therefore the statements follow from Corollary 1.7.

The above results can also be applied to trifactorised groups.

COROLLARY 1.10. *Let \mathfrak{S} be an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class and suppose that the group $G \in \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ has subgroups A, B and C such that $G = AB = AC = BC$, where A and B are locally nilpotent and $C \in \mathfrak{S}$. If $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$ is contained in the characteristic of \mathfrak{S} , then $G \in \mathfrak{S}$.*

Proof. In view of [15, Lemma 3.1], we may assume without loss of generality that C is an \mathfrak{S} -maximal subgroup of G . Hence C has a factorised conjugate by Corollary 1.8, and $G = C$ by [2, Lemma 1].

2. Factorisers of \mathfrak{F} -subgroups of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -groups. The results of the preceding section can also be applied to \mathfrak{F} -subgroups, where \mathfrak{F} is locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation for some ϱs -closed subclass \mathfrak{D} of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$. In order to accomplish this, we will prove that every locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation \mathfrak{F} can be obtained by intersecting an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class \mathfrak{S} with \mathfrak{D} .

We briefly recall the definition of a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation given in [7]. Let \mathfrak{D} be a class of periodic locally soluble groups which is ϱ -closed and s -closed, that is, closed under taking factor groups and subgroups. Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups contained in \mathfrak{D} , and for every group $G \in \mathfrak{D}$, let $C_G(\mathfrak{X}, p)$ denote the intersection of the centralisers of all p -principal factors U/V such that $G/C_G(U/V)$ belongs to \mathfrak{X} . The subclass \mathfrak{X} of \mathfrak{D} is a (\mathfrak{D}, p) -preformation if it is ϱ -closed and for every $G \in \mathfrak{D}$, the factor group $G/C_G(\mathfrak{X}, p)$ belongs to \mathfrak{X} .

A class \mathfrak{F} is a \mathfrak{D} -formation if there exists a set of primes π and a function f assigning to every $p \in \pi$ a (\mathfrak{D}, p) -preformation $f(p)$, such that $G \in \mathfrak{D}$ belongs to \mathfrak{F} if and only if G is a π -group and for every prime p , the group G belongs to the class $\mathfrak{S}_p \mathfrak{S}_p f(p)$. For equivalent definitions, see for example [7, Corollary 6.2.5]. Here, $\mathfrak{S}_p \mathfrak{S}_p f(p)$ is the class of all periodic locally soluble groups G having normal subgroups M and N such that $G/M \in f(p)$, M/N is a p -group and N is a p' -group.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a ϱ -closed class of finite soluble groups and suppose that \mathfrak{X} is a subclass of \mathfrak{D} such that every \mathfrak{D} -group has an \mathfrak{X} -projector.*

- (a) *There exists an \mathfrak{S}^* -Schunck class \mathfrak{S} such that $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{D}$.*
- (b) *Let X be an \mathfrak{X} -projector of the \mathfrak{D} -group G . Then X is an \mathfrak{S} -projector of G if $L \in \mathfrak{D}$ for every subgroup L of G with $X \leq L$ which contains a G -invariant subgroup N such that $L/N \in \mathfrak{S}$.*

Proof. (a) Let \mathfrak{S} be the class of all finite soluble groups whose primitive factor groups belong to \mathfrak{X} . Since every \mathfrak{X} -group has \mathfrak{X} -projectors, \mathfrak{X} is ϱ -closed, and so $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{D}$. Now let $G \in \mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{D}$ and suppose that X is an \mathfrak{X} -projector of G . If $G \notin \mathfrak{X}$, then X is contained in a maximal subgroup M of G . But then $X \text{Core}_G(M)/\text{Core}_G(M) \leq M/\text{Core}_G(M)$ is a proper subgroup of the \mathfrak{X} -group $G/\text{Core}_G(M)$. This contradiction shows that $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{D}$.

(b) Assume that X is not an \mathfrak{S} -projector of G , then there exists a normal subgroup N of G such that XN/N is properly contained in an \mathfrak{S} -group L/N . Let M be a maximal subgroup of L containing XN , then $X \text{Core}_L(M)/\text{Core}_L(M) \leq M/\text{Core}_L(M)$ is a proper subgroup of $L/\text{Core}_L(M) \in \mathfrak{S}$. But since $L/\text{Core}_L(M)$ is primitive, it belongs to \mathfrak{X} . This contradicts the fact that X is an \mathfrak{X} -projector of L .

Note that condition (b) above holds in particular if the class \mathfrak{D} is subgroup-closed, or if the \mathfrak{X} -projectors of every \mathfrak{D} -group G are \mathfrak{X} -covering subgroups of G , that is, if an \mathfrak{X} -projector X of G is an \mathfrak{X} -projector of L for every subgroup L of G which contains X . Observe also that condition (b) is necessary in the following sense: if X is an \mathfrak{H} -projector of G , then by [9, III, Theorem 3.21], X is an \mathfrak{H} -covering subgroup of G , and since $X \in \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$, it follows that X is also an \mathfrak{X} -projector of L for every subgroup L of G which contains X .

However Lemma 2.1 (b) does not hold for $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck classes: let p be a prime, \mathfrak{X} the class of all quasicyclic p -groups and \mathfrak{D} be the class of all cyclic and quasicyclic p -groups. Then every \mathfrak{D} -group has an \mathfrak{X} -projector. But every $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class which contains \mathfrak{X} also contains \mathfrak{D} . This example even shows that there does not exist an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class \mathfrak{H} such that the \mathfrak{X} -projectors of a \mathfrak{D} -group coincide with its \mathfrak{H} -projectors. However, locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formations are still induced from $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck classes.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a qs -closed subclass of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation. Then there exists a $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class \mathfrak{H} such that $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{H}$.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{H}_0 be the class of all finite soluble groups whose primitive images belong to \mathfrak{F} and let \mathfrak{H} denote the class of all $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -groups G such that every finite primitive and every infinite semiprimitive factor group is the union of an ascending chain of \mathfrak{H}_0 -groups. By [15, Proposition 3.3], \mathfrak{H} is a $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class satisfying $\mathfrak{H}^* = \mathfrak{H}_0$.

Assume that f is a preformation function for \mathfrak{F} and let $G \in \mathfrak{F}$. In order to show that $G \in \mathfrak{H}$, it clearly suffices to show that every infinite semiprimitive image of G is the union of finite \mathfrak{F} -groups. Thus we may assume that G is an infinite semiprimitive group. In particular, $G = M \rtimes D$, where M is finite, $\text{Core}_G(M) = 1$ and D is an abelian p -group. Therefore $O_p(G) = 1$ and hence $G \in \mathfrak{S}_p f(p)$. It follows that $M \in \mathfrak{S}_p f(p)$, and so also $MD_n \in \mathfrak{S}_p f(p)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $D_n = \{x \in D \mid x^{p^n} = 1\}$. Similarly, if q is a prime $\neq p$, then $G \in \mathfrak{S}_q \mathfrak{S}_q f(q)$, and so also M and the subgroups MD_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) belong to $\mathfrak{S}_q \mathfrak{S}_q f(q)$. Thus $MD_n \in \mathfrak{F}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} MD_n \in \mathfrak{H}$. Thus $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$.

Now let $G \in \mathfrak{H} \cap \mathfrak{D}$ and let F be an \mathfrak{F} -projector of G . If $G \notin \mathfrak{F}$, then F is contained in a major subgroup M of G . By [23], $G/\text{Core}_G(M)$ is either finite and primitive or infinite and semiprimitive, and $M/\text{Core}_G(M)$ is finite. Thus in both cases $F\text{Core}_G(M)/\text{Core}_G(M)$ is a proper finite subgroup of $G/\text{Core}_G(M)$. Since the latter is the union of an ascending chain of finite \mathfrak{H} -groups and $\mathfrak{F}^* = \mathfrak{H}^* \cap \mathfrak{D}$, the subgroup $F\text{Core}_G(M)/\text{Core}_G(M)$ cannot be \mathfrak{F} -maximal in $G/\text{Core}_G(M)$. This contradiction shows that $G \in \mathfrak{F}$.

If \mathfrak{F} is a locally defined formation of characteristic π , then every \mathfrak{F} -group is a π -group. This shows that the hypothesis of Theorem 1.6.(b) is always satisfied if $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{F}$ is a locally defined formation. Thus we obtain:

THEOREM 2.3. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a qs -closed class of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -groups and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π . Further, suppose that the \mathfrak{D} -group G is the product of two locally nilpotent groups A and B . If H is an \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G into which the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces, then H is contained in a prefactorised*

\mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G . If $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B) \subseteq \pi$, then H is even contained in a factorised \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G .

Proof. By the definition of \mathfrak{F} , the \mathfrak{F} -group H is a π -group. Hence H is contained in the Sylow π -subgroup $A_\pi B_\pi$ of G . Moreover, by Proposition 2.2, there exists an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class \mathfrak{H} such that $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{H}$. Now it follows from Theorem 1.6 that the factoriser X of H in $A_\pi B_\pi$ is an \mathfrak{H} -group, hence an \mathfrak{F} -group. Since $A_\pi B_\pi$ is a prefactorised subgroup of G , the subgroup X is the required prefactorised subgroup of G . If $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B) \subseteq \pi$, then $A \cap B$ is a π -group and so $A \cap B = A_\pi \cap B_\pi$ is contained in X . Hence X is a factorised subgroup of G .

Note that Corollary 1.7, Corollary 1.8, Corollary 1.9 and Corollary 1.10 can also be formulated in terms of locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formations, where \mathfrak{D} is a $\mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{S}$ -closed subclass of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$.

3. Factorisers of \mathfrak{F} -subgroups of FC - and CC -groups. Since the concept of Schunck classes has not yet been extended to the class of all periodic locally soluble CC -groups, we formulate our theorems for locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formations of periodic locally soluble CC -groups only. Recall that a group G is an FC -group (a CC -group), if $G/C_G(x^G)$ is finite (is a Černikov group). Note also that FC -groups are CC -groups, so that our results hold in particular for locally defined formations of periodic locally soluble FC -groups.

First, we show that, as in the case of $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -groups, every \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of a CC -group G is contained in an \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of G .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a $\mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{S}$ -closed class of periodic locally soluble CC -groups and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π . Moreover, let G be a \mathfrak{D} -group.*

- (a) *The group G is an \mathfrak{F} -group if and only if G is a π -group and $G/C_G(x^G) \in \mathfrak{F}$ for every $x \in G$.*
- (b) *The class \mathfrak{F} is closed with respect to unions of chains of subgroups.*

Proof. (a) If G is an \mathfrak{F} -group, then clearly every factor group of G belongs to \mathfrak{F} . Conversely, suppose that $G/C_G(x^G) \in \mathfrak{F}$ for every $x \in G$. Since $Z(G) = \bigcap_{x \in G} C_G(x^G)$, we have $G/Z(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$ by [7, Lemma 6.2.8], and so it follows from the definition of a locally defined formation that also $G \in \mathfrak{F}$.

(b) Let $\{G_i\}$ be a chain of \mathfrak{F} -subgroups of the \mathfrak{D} -group G and assume without loss of generality that $G = \bigcup G_i$. If $x \in G$, then $G/C_G(x^G)$ is a Černikov group. Since $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ is obviously a locally defined $(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*)$ -formation, by Proposition 2.2, there exists an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class \mathfrak{H} such that $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^* = \mathfrak{H} \cap \mathfrak{D}$. Hence it follows from [15, Lemma 3.1] that the factor groups $G/C_G(x^G)$ are \mathfrak{H} -groups for every $x \in G$. Since every factor group of G is a \mathfrak{D} -group, it follows that $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ by (a).

Now we can prove an analogue of Theorem 2.3 for periodic CC -groups which are the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a $\mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{S}$ -closed class of periodic locally soluble CC -groups and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π . Further, suppose that the*

\mathfrak{D} -group G is the product of two locally nilpotent groups A and B . If H is an \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G into which the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces, then H is contained in a prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G . If $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B) \subseteq \pi$, then the factoriser of H is an \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G .

Proof. Suppose first that $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B) \subseteq \pi$ and let X denote the factoriser of H in G . By [16, Theorem 4.7], the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into X . Therefore we may assume without loss of generality that $G = X$. Hence it remains to show that $G \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Let $x \in G$, then $G/C_G(x^G)$ is a Černikov group. Moreover, the Sylow generating basis

$$\{A_p B_p C_G(x^G)/C_G(x^G) \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$$

of $G/C_G(x^G)$ reduces into the group $HC_G(x^G)/C_G(x^G)$. Since $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ is a locally defined $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -formation, by Theorem 2.3 the factoriser $Y/C_G(x^G)$ of the \mathfrak{F} -group $HC_G(x^G)/C_G(x^G)$ is also an \mathfrak{F} -group. Now Y is a factorised subgroup of G containing H , and so $G = Y$ and $G/C_G(x^G) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Therefore $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ by Lemma 3.1 (a).

As in the case of Theorem 1.6, we deduce a number of useful consequences, whose proofs are similar to the corresponding results about nilpotent-by-finite groups. First, we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for an \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of G to be factorised.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a qs -closed class of periodic locally soluble CC -groups and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π . Further, suppose that the \mathfrak{D} -group G is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B and let H be an \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of G .*

- (a) *The subgroup H is prefactorised if and only if the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into H .*
- (b) *If π contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$, then the subgroup H is factorised if and only if the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into H .*

Since the Sylow bases of a periodic locally soluble CC -groups are locally conjugate by [19, Theorem 4.3], the following lemma shows that in Theorem 3.2, every \mathfrak{F} -subgroup H has a local conjugate into which the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces. Recall that an automorphism α of a group G is called *locally inner* if, for every finite subset X of G , there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $x^\alpha = x^g$ for every $x \in X$. Two Sylow bases $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ and $\{H_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G are locally conjugate if there exists a locally inner automorphism α such that $G_p^\alpha = H_p$ for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let G be a periodic locally soluble CC -group and H a subgroup of G . Then every Sylow generating basis of H can be extended to a Sylow generating basis of G .*

Proof. Let $\{H_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ be a Sylow generating basis of H . For every prime p , put

$$H_{p'} = \langle H_q \mid q \in \mathbb{P}, q \neq p \rangle.$$

Moreover, let $G_{p'}$ be a Sylow p' -subgroup of G which contains $H_{p'}$. Define

$$G_p = \bigcap_{q \in \mathbb{P}, q \neq p} G_{q'}$$

then $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ is a Sylow generating basis of G by [19, Lemma 4.2]. Since H_p is contained in G_p for every prime p , the Sylow generating basis $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces into H .

For \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroups, this has the following consequence.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a \mathcal{QS} -closed class of periodic locally soluble CC -groups and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π . Suppose that the CC -group G is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B . Then:*

- (a) *Every \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of G is locally conjugate to a prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of G .*
- (b) *If π contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$, then every \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of G is locally conjugate to a factorised \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of G .*

To prove that a periodic locally soluble CC -group which is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups has at most one prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -projector, we need the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a \mathcal{QS} -closed class of periodic locally soluble CC -groups and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π . If the \mathfrak{D} -group G possesses an \mathfrak{F} -projector, then every Sylow generating basis of G reduces into a unique \mathfrak{F} -projector of G . Thus the \mathfrak{F} -projectors of G are locally conjugate.*

Proof. Let H be an \mathfrak{F} -projector of G , then by Lemma 3.4, there exists a Sylow generating basis $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G which reduces into H . Now assume that L is another \mathfrak{F} -projector into which $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces. Let $x \in G$, then the Sylow generating basis

$$\{G_p C_G(x^G)/C_G(x^G) \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$$

of $G/C_G(x^G)$ reduces into both $HC_G(x^G)/C_G(x^G)$ and $LC_G(x^G)/C_G(x^G)$. Therefore

$$HC_G(x^G)/C_G(x^G) = LC_G(x^G)/C_G(x^G)$$

by [15, Corollary 5.2]. Put $H^* = \bigcap_{x \in G} HC_G(x^G)$, then

$$H^* C_G(x^G)/C_G(x^G) = HC_G(x^G)/C_G(x^G) \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

Thus by [7, Lemma 6.2.8], $H^*/Z(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$, and it follows from the definition of a locally defined formation that $H^* \in \mathfrak{F}$. Since H^* contains both H and L , it follows from the \mathfrak{F} -maximality of H and L that $H = H^* = L$.

Now let H and H^* be arbitrary \mathfrak{F} -projectors of G and suppose that $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ and $\{G_p^* \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ are Sylow bases of G reducing into H and H^* , respectively. Since the Sylow bases of G are locally conjugate by [19, Theorem 4.3], there exists a locally

inner automorphism ϕ of G such that $G_p^\phi = G_p^*$ for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$. The Sylow generating basis $\{G_p^* \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces into H^ϕ and H^* , and so we have $H^* = H^\phi$ by the first part.

The next theorem shows that a result similar to Corollary 1.9 holds for $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{S}$ -closed classes of periodic locally soluble CC -groups if they admit projectors. Note that \mathfrak{F} -projectors exist (and are locally conjugate) if \mathcal{D} is the class of all periodic locally soluble CC -groups [20], or if \mathcal{D} is a $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{S}$ -closed class of periodic locally soluble FC -groups [21]; see also [22].

THEOREM 3.7. *Let \mathcal{D} be a $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{S}$ -closed class of periodic locally soluble CC -groups. Suppose that \mathfrak{F} is a locally defined \mathcal{D} -formation of characteristic π , where \mathcal{D} is either the class of all periodic locally soluble CC -groups, or a $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{S}$ -closed class of FC -groups. If G is a \mathcal{D} -group which is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B , then G has at most one prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -projector. If G has \mathfrak{F} -projectors, then G possesses a unique \mathfrak{F} -projector which is prefactorised. If π contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$, then this \mathfrak{F} -projector is factorised.*

Despite the fact the Sylow bases of a periodic locally soluble CC -group need not be conjugate, also a result similar to Corollary 1.10 can be obtained.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let \mathcal{D} be a $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{S}$ -closed class of periodic locally soluble CC -groups and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathcal{D} -formation of characteristic π . Moreover, suppose that the \mathcal{D} -group G has subgroups A, B and C such that $G = AB = AC = BC$. If A and B are locally nilpotent, $C \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$ is contained in π , then $G \in \mathfrak{F}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in G$, then $G/C_G(x^G)$ is a Černikov group. By Proposition 2.2, there exists an $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$ -Schunck class \mathfrak{H} such that $\mathfrak{H} \cap \mathcal{D} = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{S}^*$. Therefore $G/C_G(x^G) \in \mathfrak{H} \cap \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ by Corollary 1.10 and $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ by Lemma 3.1 (a).

4. Factorisers of \mathfrak{F} -subgroups of groups with min- p for all primes p . Since periodic locally soluble groups satisfying the minimal condition on p -subgroups for every prime p are residually Černikov groups by [18, Theorem 3.17], the methods applied to periodic CC -groups which are the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups yield essentially the same results for periodic locally soluble groups satisfying min- p for every prime p . The main difficulties are due to the fact that Sylow bases of the latter class of groups are not so well-behaved as in the case of CC -groups.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let \mathcal{D} be a $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{S}$ -closed class of periodic locally soluble groups satisfying min- p for every prime p and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathcal{D} -formation of characteristic π . Further, suppose that the \mathcal{D} -group G is the product of two locally nilpotent groups A and B . If H is an \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G into which the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces, then H is contained in a prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G . If $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B) \subseteq \pi$, then H is even contained in a factorised \mathfrak{F} -subgroup of G .*

Proof. Let X denote the factoriser of H in $A_\pi B_\pi$, then we may assume without loss of generality that $G = X$. Since by [18, Theorem 3.17], the factor group

$G/O_\sigma(G)$ is a Černikov group for every finite set σ of primes, an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 3.2 shows that $G/O_\sigma(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Now the intersection of all subgroups $O_\sigma(G)$, where σ is a finite set of primes, is trivial, and we have $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ by [7, Lemma 6.2.8].

For \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroups, this has the following consequence.

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a qs -closed class of periodic locally soluble groups satisfying min- p for every prime p and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π . Further, suppose that the \mathfrak{D} -group G is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B and let H be an \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of G .*

- (a) *The subgroup H is prefactorised if and only if the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into H .*
- (b) *If π contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$, then the subgroup H is factorised if and only if the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into H .*

The proof of the next theorem does not use the above results about periodic locally soluble products satisfying min- p . Instead, it relies on the nilpotent-by-finite case.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a qs -closed class of periodic locally soluble groups satisfying min- p for all primes p and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π . Further, suppose that the \mathfrak{D} -group G has a triple factorisation $G = AB = AC = BC$ by three subgroups A , B and C , where A and B are locally nilpotent and $C \in \mathfrak{F}$. If $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B) \subseteq \pi$, then $G \in \mathfrak{F}$.*

Proof. Let σ be a finite set of primes. By [18, Theorem 3.17], the factor group $G/O_\sigma(G)$ is a Černikov group and so by Corollary 1.10, we have $G/O_\sigma(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$ for every finite set σ of primes. Since the intersection of the subgroups $O_\sigma(G)$, where σ is a finite set of primes, is trivial, we have $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ by [7, Lemma 6.2.8].

The following result is probably well known.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a qs -closed class of periodic locally soluble groups and assume that \mathfrak{F} is a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation. Further, let H be an \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of the \mathfrak{D} -group G and assume that \mathcal{N} is a set of normal subgroups of G such that the intersection of all $N \in \mathcal{N}$ is trivial. Then*

$$H = \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}} HN.$$

Proof. Let $L = \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{N}} HN$, then $LN = HN$ for every $N \in \mathcal{N}$. This shows that $L/L \cap N \cong LN/N = HN/N \in \mathfrak{F}$. Therefore by [7, Lemma 6.2.8], we have $L \in \mathfrak{F}$. Since H is contained in L and H is \mathfrak{F} -maximal, we have $H = L$, as required.

The next proposition will be used to show that a periodic locally soluble group satisfying min- p for every prime p which is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups has at most one prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -projector.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a \mathcal{QS} -closed class of periodic locally soluble groups satisfying min- p for every prime p and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation. If the \mathfrak{D} -group G has an \mathfrak{F} -projector, then every Sylow generating basis of G reduces into at most one \mathfrak{F} -projector of G .*

Proof. Let H and L be \mathfrak{F} -projectors of G into which the Sylow generating basis $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces. Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$, then the Sylow generating basis

$$\{G_q O_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G) \mid q \in \mathbb{P}\}$$

of $G/O_{p'}(G)$ reduces into $HO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$ and $LO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$. Thus by [15, Corollary 5.2], we have $HO_{p'}(G) = LO_{p'}(G)$. Since $\bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{P}} O_{p'}(G) = 1$, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that $H = L$.

Although the Sylow bases of a periodic locally soluble group G satisfying min- p for every prime p are locally conjugate by [8], G may have $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{N}$ -projectors into which no Sylow generating basis reduces [6, Section 5], even if G is countable. Therefore our next result might also be of independent interest. Recall that a group G is *co-Hopfian* if it does not contain a proper subgroup isomorphic with G . In particular, every periodic radical group satisfying min- p is co-hopfian, see [4].

PROPOSITION 4.6. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a \mathcal{QS} -closed class of countable locally finite-soluble group satisfying min- p for all primes p . If $G \in \mathfrak{D}$ and the locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation \mathfrak{F} is a class of co-Hopfian groups, then every Sylow generating basis of G reduces into a unique \mathfrak{F} -projector of G .*

Proof. Let $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ be a Sylow generating basis of G and let $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ denote the set of all primes in their natural order. Set $N_i = O_{\{p_{i+1}, p_{i+2}, \dots\}}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then G/N_i is a Černikov group by [18, Theorem 3.17]. Hence it has an \mathfrak{F} -projector H_i/N_i into which the Sylow generating basis $\{G_p N_i/N_i \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G/N_i reduces. Let $H = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_i$, then by [15, Proposition 2.3 (a)], the Sylow generating basis $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ also reduces into H . Continuing as in the proof of [6, Theorem 3.4], H is an \mathfrak{F} -projector of G . The uniqueness statement now follows from Proposition 4.5.

Thus we obtain the following result about projectors of groups which are the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups and satisfy min- p for every prime p .

THEOREM 4.7. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a \mathcal{QS} -closed class of periodic locally soluble groups satisfying min- p for every prime p and \mathfrak{F} a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π . Then every \mathfrak{D} -group G which is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B has at most one prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -projector. If \mathfrak{F} is a class of co-Hopfian groups, then G possesses a unique \mathfrak{F} -projector which is prefactorised. If, in addition, π contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$, then this \mathfrak{F} -projector is factorised.*

Proof. Suppose that H is a prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -projector of G . By [16, Theorem 5.7], the group G is countable with Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ which reduces into H . Thus by Proposition 4.5, G possesses at most one prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -projector.

Now assume that \mathfrak{F} is a class of co-hopfian groups. Since G is countable, we may clearly suppose that \mathfrak{D} and \mathfrak{F} consist of countable groups. Thus by Proposition 4.6, G possesses an \mathfrak{F} -projector L into which $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces. Thus the second statement of the theorem follows from Corollary 4.2.

5. Projectors in soluble and hypoabelian \mathfrak{H} -groups. Let \mathfrak{F} be a locally defined \mathfrak{H} -formation. Although we have not been able to prove the existence of prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroups of a \mathfrak{H} -group G which is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups, we have nevertheless obtained positive results for the most important class of \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroups of G , namely for \mathfrak{F} -projectors of G . As a first step, we consider periodic locally soluble groups which are the extension of a p -group by an \mathfrak{F} -group.

Let G be a group and suppose that \mathfrak{F} is any class of groups. Then $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ denotes the intersection of all normal subgroups N of G such that $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$. Observe that if \mathfrak{F} is a \mathfrak{D} -formation for some \mathcal{Q} -closed class \mathfrak{D} of groups, then $G/G^{\mathfrak{F}} \in \mathfrak{F}$.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Suppose that \mathfrak{F} is a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π for some \mathcal{Q} -closed class \mathfrak{D} of locally finite groups. Let G be a \mathfrak{D} -group such that $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a p -group for some $p \in \pi$ and suppose that H is an \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of G which satisfies $G = HG^{\mathfrak{F}}$. Then:*

- (a) $H = N_G(O_p(H))$.
- (b) If the Sylow p' -subgroups of every subgroup S of G are conjugate in S , then every Sylow p' -subgroup of G reduces into at most one conjugate of H .
- (c) If $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ is abelian, then H complements $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$.
- (d) If $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ is abelian, then every Sylow p' -subgroup of G reduces into at most one complement of $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$.

Proof. (a) Let $Q = O_p(H)$ and set $L = N_G(Q)$, then clearly, $H \leq L$. We will show that $L \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then the desired result will follow from the \mathfrak{F} -maximality of H . If $q \neq p$ is a prime, then $G/N \in \mathfrak{S}_{q'} \mathfrak{S}_q(q)$ by hypothesis, where $N = G^{\mathfrak{F}}$, and so also $L/L \cap N$ belongs to that class. Since N is a q' -group, this shows that $L \in \mathfrak{S}_{q'} \mathfrak{S}_q(q)$ for every prime $q \neq p$.

Now $L = L \cap HN = H(L \cap N)$ and $(H \cap N) \cap Q(L \cap N) = Q(H \cap N)$ by the modular law, and so

$$L/Q(L \cap N) = H(L \cap N)/Q(L \cap N) \cong H/Q(H \cap N) \in \mathfrak{S}_p f(p)$$

because $H/Q \in \mathfrak{S}_p f(p)$. Therefore also $L/Q \in \mathfrak{S}_p f(p)$ and consequently $L \in \mathfrak{S}_p \mathfrak{S}_p f(p)$. Since G is a π -group contained in \mathfrak{D} , the same is true for L , and we have $L \in \mathfrak{F}$ by the definition of a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation. Therefore $H = L = N_G(O_p(H))$.

(b) Suppose that the Sylow p' -subgroup $G_{p'}$ reduces into H and H^g . Then $G_{p'}^{g^{-1}}$ reduces into H . Let H_p be a Sylow p -subgroup of H , then $H = (H \cap G_{p'})H_p$ by [11, Lemma 2.1]. Therefore $G_{p'} = G_{p'} \cap HN = G_{p'} \cap (H \cap G_{p'})H_p N = (H \cap G_{p'})(G_{p'} \cap H_p N) = (H \cap G_{p'})$ is a Sylow p' -subgroup of H , and by the same argument, also $G_{p'}^{g^{-1}}$ is a Sylow p' -subgroups of H . Since H is a \mathfrak{H} -group, it follows that $G_{p'}^{g^{-1}} = G_p^h$ for some $h \in H$. Therefore $gh \in N_G(G_{p'})$. Since $G_{p'}$ is contained in H , we clearly have

$N_G(G_{p'}) \leq N_G(O_{p'}(H))$ and so $gh \in H$ by (a). This shows that $g \in H$, proving that $H = H^g$.

(c) Put $N = G^{\delta}$ and $Q = O_{p'}(H)$ and observe that NQ is a normal subgroup of G . Therefore also $K = [N, Q] = [N, NQ]$ is normal in G .

First, we show that $G/K \in \mathfrak{F}$. Since N/K is a p -group, we have $G/K \in \mathfrak{S}_{q'}\mathfrak{S}_{q'}f(q)$ for every prime $q \neq p$. Now $G/NQ \in \mathfrak{S}_{p'}f(p)$ as in the proof of (a). Since $Q^N = Q[Q, N] = QK$ and $Q \trianglelefteq H$, the subgroup QK is normalised by $NH = G$ and so QK is a normal subgroup of G . Moreover, QN/QK is a p -group, and so also $G/QK \in \mathfrak{S}_{p'}f(p)$. But then $G/K \in \mathfrak{S}_{p'}\mathfrak{S}_{p'}f(p)$, and so $G/K \in \mathfrak{F}$. Therefore we have $N = G^{\delta} \leq K$ and so $N = [N, Q]$.

Next, we show that $C_N(Q) = 1$. Let $x \in C_N(Q)$. Since $x \in N$, we have $x = \prod_{i=1}^n [y_i, q_i]$, where $y_i \in N$ and $q_i \in Q$. Let $Q_0 = \langle q_1, \dots, q_n \rangle \leq Q$ which is a finitely generated subgroup of Q , hence is finite, and so also $Y = \langle x, y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle^{Q_0} \leq N$ is finite. Applying [17, III.13.4] to the finite group Q_0Y , we obtain that $Y = [Y, Q_0] \times C_Y(Q_0)$. In particular, we have $x \in [Y, Q_0] \cap C_Y(Q_0) = 1$ and so $C_N(Q) = 1$.

Now the normal p -subgroup $H \cap N$ of H centralises $Q = O_{p'}(H)$ and so $H \cap N = 1$, as required.

(d) Suppose that the Sylow p' -subgroup $G_{p'}$ of G reduces into H and H^* . Since both H and H^* complement $N = G^{\delta}$ by (c), we have $O_{p'}(H)N/N = O_{p'}(G/N) = O_{p'}(H^*)N/N$. So $O_{p'}(H^*) = G_{p'} \cap NO_{p'}(H) = O_{p'}(H)$ and thus $H = H^*$ by (a).

Our next lemma is the key to finding prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -projectors.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let π be a set of primes and suppose that the group G is the product of two subgroups A and B . Further, assume that A and B have Sylow subgroups $A_{\pi}, A_{\pi'}, B_{\pi}$ and $B_{\pi'}$ respectively such that $A = A_{\pi} \times A_{\pi'}$ and $B = B_{\pi} \times B_{\pi'}$. If $A_{\pi}B_{\pi}$ is a Sylow π -subgroup of G and N is a normal π' -subgroup of G such that $L/N = O_{\pi}(G/N)$ is a prefactorised subgroup of G/N , then $L \cap A_{\pi}B_{\pi}$ is a prefactorised Sylow π -subgroup of L .*

Proof. By hypothesis, we have $L/N = (L/N \cap AN/N)(L/N \cap BN/N)$ and so

$$L = (L \cap AN)(L \cap BN) = (L \cap A)N(L \cap B)$$

by the modular law. Since L/N is a π -group, it follows that $A_{\pi'} \cap L \leq N$ and $B_{\pi'} \cap L \leq N$. Since $A = A_{\pi} \times A_{\pi'}$, we have $L \cap A = (L \cap A_{\pi}) \times (L \cap A_{\pi'})$, and hence we obtain $L = (L \cap A_{\pi})(L \cap B_{\pi})N$. Now the set $(L \cap A_{\pi})(L \cap B_{\pi})$ is clearly contained in $L \cap A_{\pi}B_{\pi}$ which is a π -group. Put $A^* = (L \cap A_{\pi})N$ and $B^* = (L \cap B_{\pi})N$, then [5, Lemma 2], applied to $L = A^*B^*$, shows that $(L \cap A_{\pi})(L \cap B_{\pi})$ is a Sylow π -subgroup of L , and so $L \cap A_{\pi}B_{\pi} = (L \cap A_{\pi})(L \cap B_{\pi})$, as required.

Recall that a group is hypoabelian if it has a descending series with abelian factors. Hence every soluble group is hypoabelian. Note also that the following theorem does not claim that \mathfrak{F} -projectors or Sylow generating bases do exist in the group G or, in case they exist, that any Sylow generating basis of G reduces into an \mathfrak{F} -projector of G .

THEOREM 5.3. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a qs -closed class of periodic locally soluble groups and suppose that \mathfrak{F} is a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation. Assume that $G \in \mathfrak{D}$ and that H is an*

\mathfrak{F} -projector of G . If G is hypoabelian or a \mathfrak{U} -group, then every Sylow generating basis of G reduces into at most one \mathfrak{F} -projector of G .

Proof. Suppose that $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ is a Sylow generating basis of G and that H and L are \mathfrak{F} -projectors of G into which $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces.

Since G is hypoabelian or a \mathfrak{U} -group, there exists an ordinal α such that G possesses a descending series

$$G = N_0 \triangleright N_1 \triangleright \cdots \triangleright N_\alpha = 1$$

whose factors $N_\beta/N_{\beta+1}$ are p -groups for some prime p depending on $\beta < \alpha$. In case G is hypoabelian, we may also assume that every factor $N_\beta/N_{\beta+1}$ is abelian. Let $\beta < \alpha$, then by [15, Proposition 2.3 (b)] the Sylow generating basis $\{G_p N_\beta/N_\beta \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces into the \mathfrak{F} -projectors HN_β/N_β and LN_β/N_β of G/N_β , and so by transfinite induction, we have $HN_\beta = LN_\beta$ for all $\beta < \alpha$. Thus if α is a limit ordinal, then we have

$$H = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} HN_\beta = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} LN_\beta = L,$$

by Lemma 4.4.

Otherwise, α has a predecessor $\alpha - 1$. Then $N_{\alpha-1}$ is a p -group for a prime p , and $HN_{\alpha-1} = LN_{\alpha-1}$. Now H and L are \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroups of $HN_{\alpha-1}$ and $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ reduces into $HN_{\alpha-1}$ by [15, Proposition 2.3 (d)]. In particular, if $G_{p'} = \langle G_q \mid q \in \mathbb{P}, q \neq p \rangle$, then $G_{p'}$ reduces into $HN_{\alpha-1}$, H and L . The result now follows from Proposition 5.1 (b) if $G \in \mathfrak{U}$ and from Proposition 5.1 (d) if G is hypoabelian.

Since every \mathfrak{U} -group G possesses \mathfrak{F} -projectors by [11] and by [13, Lemma 2.1], there exists a Sylow generating basis of G reducing into a given subgroup of G , we have:

COROLLARY 5.4. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a \mathfrak{QS} -closed class of \mathfrak{U} -groups and suppose that \mathfrak{F} is a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation. If $G \in \mathfrak{D}$, then every Sylow generating basis of G reduces into exactly one \mathfrak{F} -projector of G .*

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 5.5. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a \mathfrak{QS} -closed class of \mathfrak{U} -groups and suppose that \mathfrak{F} is a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π . Moreover, let the \mathfrak{D} -group G be the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B . If G has a normal subgroup N such that $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$ and N has a hypoabelian Sylow π -subgroup, then G has a unique pre-factorised \mathfrak{F} -projector H , and this \mathfrak{F} -projector contains $A_\pi \cap B_\pi$. Thus if the characteristic π of \mathfrak{F} contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$, then H is factorised.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.4, there exists a unique \mathfrak{F} -projector H of G into which the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces, and by [16, Theorem 4.7], this is the only \mathfrak{F} -projector of G which may be pre-factorised.

Since every \mathfrak{F} -group is a π -group, H is contained in the Sylow π -subgroup $A_\pi B_\pi$ of G . Since H is also an \mathfrak{F} -projector of $A_\pi B_\pi$ by [11, Theorem 5.4], it will suffice to

show that H is a factorised subgroup of $A_\pi B_\pi$. Since $N \cap A_\pi B_\pi$ is hypoabelian, we may assume without loss of generality that $G = A_\pi B_\pi$ and that N is hypoabelian.

Now let

$$N = N_1 \triangleright N_2 \triangleright \dots \triangleright N_\alpha = 1$$

be a descending normal series of N with abelian factors which are p -groups for suitable primes p . Clearly, we may assume that $\alpha > 1$. Let $\beta < \alpha$, then the Sylow generating basis

$$\{A_p B_p N_\beta / N_\beta \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$$

of G/N_β reduces into the \mathfrak{F} -projector HN_β/N_β of G/N_β and hence by induction on α , the subgroup HN_β is factorised for all $\beta < \alpha$. If α is a limit ordinal, then by Lemma 4.4,

$$H = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} HN_\beta$$

and so H is factorised. Therefore assume that α has a predecessor. Now the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces into the factorised subgroup $HN_{\alpha-1}$, and consequently it suffices to consider the case when $G = HN_{\alpha-1}$ and $N = N_{\alpha-1}$. Since $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$ and N is an abelian p -group, also the \mathfrak{F} -residual $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ of G is an abelian p -group. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that $N = G^{\mathfrak{F}}$. Then H complements N by Proposition 5.1 (c), and so $O_{p'}(G/N) = O_{p'}(H)N/N$. Since $O_{p'}(G/N)$ is a prefactorised subgroup of G/N by [16, Theorem 5.3], it follows from Lemma 5.2 that $O_{p'}(H) = A_{p'} B_{p'} \cap O_{p'}(H)N$ is prefactorised. Moreover, $A_{p'} \cap O_{p'}(H)N = A_{p'} \cap O_{p'}(H)$ is a normal subgroup of $A_{p'}$, hence of A , and similarly, $B_{p'} \cap O_{p'}(H)$ is a normal subgroup of B . Therefore by [26, Hilfssatz 7] (see also [1, Lemma 1.2.2]), the normaliser $N_G(O_{p'}(H))$ of $O_{p'}(H) = (A_{p'} \cap O_{p'}(H))(B_{p'} \cap O_{p'}(H))$ is factorised. Since we have $H = N_G(O_{p'}(H))$ by Proposition 5.1 (a), it follows that H is factorised.

Since by [25, Theorem A1], every periodic locally soluble linear group is a soluble \mathfrak{L} -group, we also have:

COROLLARY 5.6. *Let \mathfrak{D} be a qs -closed class of periodic locally soluble linear groups and suppose that \mathfrak{F} is a locally defined \mathfrak{D} -formation of characteristic π . Moreover, let the \mathfrak{D} -group G be the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups A and B . Then G has a unique prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -projector, and this \mathfrak{F} -projector contains $A_\pi \cap B_\pi$. Thus if the characteristic π of \mathfrak{F} contains $\pi(A) \cap \pi(B)$, then this \mathfrak{F} -projector is factorised.*

6. System normalisers and Carter subgroups of \mathfrak{L} -groups. Let G be a \mathfrak{L} -group which is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups. If G is not hypoabelian, the techniques used in the last section to prove the existence of a prefactorised \mathfrak{F} -projector of G cannot be applied any more. This is mainly due to the fact that then Proposition 5.1 (c) does not hold if $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a nonabelian p -group. However, we have a positive result about Carter subgroups of \mathfrak{L} -groups. Recall that a Carter subgroup is

simply an $L\mathcal{N}$ -projector, where $L\mathcal{N}$ denotes the class of all locally nilpotent groups. Also, if G is a group with Sylow generating basis $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$, then the subgroup $H = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{P}} N_G(G_p)$ is the *system normaliser of G associated with the Sylow generating basis $\{G_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$* .

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Suppose that the \mathfrak{U} -group G is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups. Then G has a factorised system normaliser.*

Proof. Let $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ be the Sylow generating basis of G consisting of pre-factorised Sylow subgroups of G . Then for each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, A_p and B_p are normal subgroups of A and B , respectively, and so by [26, Hilfssatz 7], $N_G(A_p B_p)$ is factorised. Therefore also the system normaliser $D = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{P}} N_G(A_p B_p)$ is factorised.

The preceding result about system normalisers can now be used to prove the existence of a unique factorised Carter subgroup.

THEOREM 6.2. *Suppose that the \mathfrak{U} -group G is the product of two locally nilpotent subgroups. Then G has a unique pre-factorised Carter subgroup, and this Carter subgroup is factorised.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.4, there exists a unique Carter subgroup C of G into which the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G reduces. Therefore by [16, Theorem 4.7], this is the only Carter subgroup of G which may be pre-factorised.

Let n denote the length of the Hirsch-Plotkin series of G . If $n \leq 2$, the Carter subgroups of G coincide with its system normalisers [11, Theorem 5.1]. So in this case, the result follows from Proposition 6.1. Therefore assume that $n \geq 3$ and let R denote the Hirsch-Plotkin radical of G . Then CR/R is a Carter subgroup of G/R into which the Sylow generating basis $\{A_p B_p R/R \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ of G/R reduces. Thus by induction on n , the subgroup CR of G is factorised. Since C is also a Carter subgroup of CR and $n(CR) = 2 < n$, the subgroup C is factorised in CR , hence in G .

REFERENCES

1. B. Amberg, S. Franciosi and F. de Giovanni, *Products of groups* (Oxford University Press, 1992).
2. B. Amberg and B. Höfling, On finite products of nilpotent groups, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **63** (1994), 1–8.
3. B. Amberg, Some results and problems about factorized groups, *Infinite Groups '94*, Edited by F. de Giovanni and M. Newell (de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995).
4. R. Baer, Lokal endlich-auflösbare Gruppen mit endlichen Sylowuntergruppen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **239/240** (1970), 109–144.
5. N. S. Černikov, Sylow subgroups of factorized periodic linear groups (Russian), in *Subgroup characterization of groups* (Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR, Inst. Mat., Kiev 1982), 35–58.
6. M. Dixon, Formation theory in locally finite groups satisfying min- p for all primes p , *J. Algebra* **76** (1982), 192–204.
7. M. Dixon, *Sylow theory, formations and Fitting classes in locally finite groups* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).
8. M. R. Dixon and M. J. Tomkinson, The local conjugacy of some Sylow bases in a class of locally finite groups, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **21** (1980), 225–228.
9. K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, *Finite soluble groups* (de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992).

10. S. Franciosi, F. de Giovanni and Y. P. Sysak, On locally finite groups factorized by locally nilpotent subgroups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **106** (1996), 45–56.
11. A. D. Gardiner, B. Hartley and M. J. Tomkinson, Saturated formations and Sylow structure in locally finite groups, *J. Algebra* **17** (1971), 177–211.
12. F. Gross, Finite groups which are the product of two nilpotent subgroups, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **9** (1973), 267–274.
13. B. Hartley, \mathfrak{F} -abnormal subgroups of certain locally finite groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **23** (1971), 128–158.
14. B. Höfling, *Locally finite products of two locally nilpotent groups* (Doctoral Dissertation, Mainz 1996).
15. B. Höfling, Schunck classes and projectors of periodic soluble nilpotent-by-finite groups, *J. Algebra* **194** (1997), 415–428.
16. B. Höfling, Periodic radical products of two locally nilpotent subgroups, *Glasgow Math. J.* **40** (1998), 241–255.
17. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967).
18. O. Kegel and B. A. F. Wehrfritz, *Locally finite groups* (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973).
19. J. Otal and J. M. Peña, Sylow theory of CC -groups, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **85** (1991), 105–118.
20. J. Otal and J. M. Peña, Fitting classes and formations of locally soluble CC -groups, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A* (7) **10** (1996), 461–478.
21. M. J. Tomkinson, Formations of locally soluble FC -groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **19** (1969), 675–708.
22. M. J. Tomkinson, *FC-groups* (Pitman, London, 1984).
23. M. J. Tomkinson, Major subgroups of nilpotent-by-finite groups, *Ukrain. Mat. Zh.* **44** (1992), 853–856.
24. M. J. Tomkinson, Schunck classes and projectors in a class of locally finite groups, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **38** (1995), 511–522.
25. B. A. F. Wehrfritz, Soluble periodic linear groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **18** (1968), 141–157.
26. H. Wielandt, Über Produkte von nilpotenten Gruppen, *Illinois J. Math.* **2** (1958), 611–618.