THE HARDY AND HEISENBERG INEQUALITIES IN MORREY SPACES

HENDRA GUNAWAN[⊠], DENNY IVANAL HAKIM, EIICHI NAKAI and YOSHIHIRO SAWANO

(Received 25 September 2017; accepted 12 December 2017; first published online 28 March 2018)

Abstract

We use the Morrey norm estimate for the imaginary power of the Laplacian to prove an interpolation inequality for the fractional power of the Laplacian on Morrey spaces. We then prove a Hardy-type inequality and use it together with the interpolation inequality to obtain a Heisenberg-type inequality in Morrey spaces.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: primary 42B20; secondary 42B35.

Keywords and phrases: imaginary power of Laplace operators, fractional power of Laplace operators, interpolation inequality, Hardy's inequality, Heisenberg's inequality, Morrey spaces.

1. Introduction

Inspired by the work of Ciatti *et al.* [1], we are interested in obtaining an estimate for the Morrey norm of the fractional power of the Laplacian, in order to prove Heisenberg's uncertainty inequality in Morrey spaces. Let $(-\Delta)^{z/2}$ be the complex power of the Laplacian, given by

$$[(-\Delta)^{z/2}f]\widehat{(\xi)} := |\xi|^z \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
(1.1)

for suitable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n , where the Fourier transform is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix\cdot\xi} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Our first aim is to show the following Morrey norm estimate for the imaginary power of the Laplacian:

$$\|(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \lesssim (1+|u|)^{n/2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q}, \quad f \in \mathcal{M}^p_q(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

for every $u \in \mathbb{R}$, provided that 1 .

© 2018 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

The first author was supported by the ITB Research and Innovation Program 2017. The second and third authors were supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No. 15H03621, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The fourth author is supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 16K05209, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, and Peoples' Friendship University of Russia.

For $1 \le p \le q < \infty$, the Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which

$$||f||_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} := \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} |B(a, r)|^{1/q - 1/p} \left(\int_{B(a, r)} |f(y)|^p \, dy \right)^{1/p}$$

is finite. We refer the reader to [15] for various function spaces built on Morrey spaces.

Based on [9], let us explain why $(-\Delta)^{iu/2}$ should be bounded on $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $1 , with bound <math>C(u) \le (1 + |u|)^{n/2}$. We define $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to be the closure of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or, equivalently, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the closure of $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [2]. We also establish in Lemma 2.1 that $\|(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p} \le C(u)\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}$ for $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [2]. We also establish in Lemma 2.1 that $\|(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p} \le C(u)\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}$ for $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, keeping in mind that $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that $(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f$ makes sense for $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by (1.1). This means that $(-\Delta)^{iu/2} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded (see Definition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3). From [11, Theorem 4.3], the space $\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the dual of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if 1/p + 1/p' = 1/q + 1/q' = 1. Here, $\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all functions $f \in L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j A_j, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^1$ and $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of functions supported on balls with $\|A_j\|_{L^{q'}} \leq 1$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The norm of $f \in \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}$ is defined by

$$||f||_{\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| : \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{ and } \{A_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{ satisfying the condition for } (1.2) \right\}.$$

The dual of $\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [17]. In general, the dual mapping of a bounded linear mapping *T* from a Banach space *X* to *Y* is bounded from *Y*^{*} to *X*^{*}. Since $(-\Delta)^{iu/2}$ is formally self-adjoint, we see that the boundedness of $(-\Delta)^{iu/2} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{q}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{q}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ established above entails that of $(-\Delta)^{iu/2} : \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Definition 2.4 and Lemma 2.5), which in turn entails the boundedness of $(-\Delta)^{iu/2} : \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Definition 2.6 and Proposition 2.7).

We note that $|\cdot|^{iu} \hat{f}$ does not make sense for some $f \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As indicated above, the operator $(-\Delta)^{iu/2}$ which is initially defined on $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is then defined on $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the duality relation

$$\langle (-\Delta)^{iu/2} f, g \rangle = \langle f, (-\Delta)^{-iu/2} g \rangle, \quad g \in \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

because the dual of $\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [17, Proposition 5] and Definition 2.4). We claim that this definition of $(-\Delta)^{iu/2} f$ coincides with the one given by the Fourier transform, whenever the Fourier transform of f makes sense. Indeed, we show that

$$\overline{\psi(\xi)}\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f](\xi) = \overline{\psi(\xi)}|\xi|^{iu}\mathcal{F}f(\xi)$$

H. Gunawan et al.

for every $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $0 \notin \operatorname{supp} \psi$, where \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform. Observe that if $g \in \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi \mathcal{F}g] \in \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In fact,

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi\mathcal{F}g](x) = (2\pi)^n \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi * g(x) = (2\pi)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi(y)g(x-y)\,dy.$$

As a result,

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi\mathcal{F}g]\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p'}_{q'}} &\leq (2\pi)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi(y)| \, \|g(\cdot - y)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p'}_{q'}} \, dy \\ &\leq (2\pi)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi(y)| \, \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p'}_{q'}} \, dy = C \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p'}_{q'}} < \infty \end{split}$$

and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi \mathcal{F}g] \in \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It follows that

$$\langle (-\Delta)^{iu/2} f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi \mathcal{F}g] \rangle = \langle f, (-\Delta)^{-iu/2} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi \mathcal{F}g] \rangle$$

or, equivalently,

$$\langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\overline{\psi}\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f]],g\rangle = \langle f,(-\Delta)^{-iu/2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi\mathcal{F}g]\rangle$$

Since $g \in L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(-\Delta)^{-iu/2}\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi\mathcal{F}g] = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\cdot|^{-iu}\psi\mathcal{F}g].$$

Consequently,

$$\langle f, (-\Delta)^{-iu/2} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi \mathcal{F}g] \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\cdot|^{-iu} \psi \mathcal{F}g] \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\overline{\psi}|\cdot|^{iu} \mathcal{F}f], g \rangle$$

and therefore

$$\langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\overline{\psi}\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f]],g\rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\overline{\psi}|\cdot|^{iu}\mathcal{F}f],g\rangle.$$

Since g is arbitrary, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\overline{\psi}\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f]] = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\overline{\psi}| \cdot |^{iu}\mathcal{F}f]$, so that we obtain $\overline{\psi}\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f] = \overline{\psi}| \cdot |^{iu}\mathcal{F}f$, as claimed.

In the following sections, we prove the Morrey norm estimate for the imaginary power of the Laplacian and its consequence for the fractional power of the Laplacian. We also prove a Hardy-type inequality and use it together with the estimate for the fractional power of the Laplacian to obtain Heisenberg's uncertainty inequality in Morrey spaces.

2. Morrey norm estimates for the fractional power of the Laplacian

For each $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 \le p \le 2$, the operator $(-\Delta)^{iu/2}$ (defined by (1.1)) admits an integral kernel K_u given by

$$K_{u}(x) := \frac{\pi^{-n/2} \Gamma(\frac{n+iu}{2})}{2^{-iu} \Gamma(\frac{-iu}{2})} |x|^{-n-iu} = C(u) |x|^{-n-iu}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

(see [14, page 51]). Here, $\widehat{K_u}(\xi) = |\xi|^{iu}$ in the distribution sense. A close inspection of the above constant shows that

$$|C(u)| \leq (1+|u|)^{n/2}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As shown in [2, 13],

$$\|(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f\|_{L^p} \lesssim (1+|u|)^{|n/p-n/2|} \|f\|_{L^p} \lesssim (1+|u|)^{n/2} \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for every $u \in \mathbb{R}$, provided that 1 . By duality, the same inequality also holds for <math>2 .

Based on the discussion in Section 1, we shall now prove that the inequality above also holds in Morrey spaces (see [9] for similar results). We need several lemmas and definitions.

Lemma 2.1. Let $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 . Then, for every <math>f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^p_a} \le (1+|u|)^{n/2} \|f\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^p_a}.$

PROOF. To prove the inequality, it is sufficient to establish that

$$|B(a,r)|^{1/q-1/p} \left(\int_{B(a,r)} |(-\Delta)^{iu/2} f(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \lesssim (1+|u|)^{n/2} ||f||_{\mathcal{M}_q^l}$$

for all fixed balls B = B(a, r). To do so, we adopt the technique used in [6]. For a fixed ball B = B(a, r), we decompose $f := f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 := f\chi_{B(a,2r)}$ and $f_2 := f - f_1$. By the boundedness of $(-\Delta)^{iu/2}$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{split} |B(a,r)|^{1/q-1/p} \left(\int_{B(a,r)} |(-\Delta)^{iu/2} f_1(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq |B(a,r)|^{1/q-1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{iu/2} f_1(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim (1+|u|)^{n/2} |B(a,r)|^{1/q-1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\sim (1+|u|)^{n/2} |B(a,2r)|^{1/q-1/p} \left(\int_{B(a,2r)} |f(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim (1+|u|)^{n/2} |B|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}. \end{split}$$

For each $x \in B$,

$$\begin{split} |(-\Delta)^{iu/2} f_2(x)| &\leq |C(u)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,r)} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^n} \, dy \leq |C(u)| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{B(x,2^{k+1}r) \setminus B(x,2^kr)} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^n} \, dy \\ &\lesssim |C(u)| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2^k r)^n} \int_{B(x,2^{k+1}r) \setminus B(x,2^kr)} |f(y)|^p \, dy \\ &\lesssim |C(u)| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(2^k r)^n} \int_{B(x,2^{k+1}r) \setminus B(x,2^kr)} |f(y)|^p \, dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim |C(u)| \, ||f||_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^k r)^{-n/q} \lesssim r^{-n/q} |C(u)| \, ||f||_{\mathcal{M}^p_q}. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} |B(a,r)|^{1/q-1/p} \left(\int_{B(a,r)} |(-\Delta)^{iu/2} f_2(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\ & \lesssim |B(a,r)|^{1/q-1/p} \left(\int_{B(a,r)} (r^{-n/q} |C(u)| \, ||f||_{\mathcal{M}^p_q})^p \, dy \right)^{1/p} \\ & = |B(a,r)|^{1/q} r^{-n/q} |C(u)| \, ||f||_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \\ & \sim |C(u)| \, ||f||_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \lesssim (1+|u|)^{n/2} ||f||_{\mathcal{M}^p_q}. \end{split}$$

Combining the two estimates, we obtain the desired inequality.

Using Lemma 2.1 and density, we give the following natural definition. DEFINITION 2.2. Given $f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{q}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$, we define

$$(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f := \lim_{j \to \infty} (-\Delta)^{iu/2} f_j,$$

where $f_j \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_j \to f$ in the \mathcal{M}_q^p -norm.

The next lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1 and Definition 2.2. LEMMA 2.3. Let $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 . Then, for every <math>f \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{q}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$,

$$\|(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^p_q} \lesssim (1+|u|)^{n/2} \|f\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^p_q}.$$

DEFINITION 2.4. For every $g \in \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define

$$\langle (-\Delta)^{iu/2}g,h\rangle = \langle g,(-\Delta)^{-iu/2}h\rangle$$
 for every $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

LEMMA 2.5. Let $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 . Then, for every <math>g \in \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|(-\Delta)^{iu/2}g\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p'}_{q'}} \lesssim (1+|u|)^{n/2} \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p'}_{q'}}.$$

PROOF. For every $h \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$|\langle (-\Delta)^{iu/2}g,h\rangle| = |\langle g, (-\Delta)^{-iu/2}h\rangle| \le ||g||_{\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}} ||(-\Delta)^{-iu/2}h||_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{q}^{p}} \le (1+|u|)^{n/2} ||g||_{\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}} ||h||_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{q}^{p}}.$$

Since $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_q^p})^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [17], we get the desired result.

We use Lemma 2.5 to give the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.6. For every $f \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define

$$\langle (-\Delta)^{iu/2} f, g \rangle = \langle f, (-\Delta)^{-iu/2} g \rangle$$
 for every $g \in \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 . Then, for every <math>f \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|(-\Delta)^{iu/2}f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p}_{a}} \lesssim (1+|u|)^{n/2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p}_{a}}.$$

[5]

PROOF. For every $g \in \mathcal{H}_{a'}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{split} |\langle (-\Delta)^{iu/2}f,g\rangle| &= |\langle f,(-\Delta)^{-iu/2}g\rangle| \le \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \|(-\Delta)^{-iu/2}g\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p'}_{q'}} \le (1+|u|)^{n/2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^{p'}_{q'}}. \\ \text{Since } (\mathcal{H}^{p'}_{q'})^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \mathcal{M}^p_q(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{ we get the desired result.} \end{split}$$

As a corollary of Proposition 2.7, we obtain the following result for the fractional power of the Laplacian, which is analogous to the interpolation inequality in [1]. See also [4] for further results on interpolation of Morrey spaces.

THEOREM 2.8. Let $\alpha \ge 0$. Then, for $0 \le \theta \le 1$,

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\alpha\theta/2}f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p}_{q}} \lesssim \|f\|^{1-\theta}_{\mathcal{M}^{p_{0}}_{q_{0}}}\|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}f\|^{\theta}_{\mathcal{M}^{p_{1}}_{q_{1}}}, \quad f \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{n}),$$
(2.1)

where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}$$

with $1 < p_0 \le q_0 < \infty$ *and* $1 < p_1 \le q_1 < \infty$ *.*

We remark that [12, Theorem 1.1] is a special case of Theorem 2.8. To prove Theorem 2.8, we use the following observation, which is based on [5].

LEMMA 2.9. Let $1 \le w \le \infty$, $0 \le v \le 1$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and let B be any ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Then, for every $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\alpha\nu/2}f\|_{L^w(B)} \le C$$

where the constant $C = C(n, \alpha, B, f)$ is independent of w and v.

PROOF. Let $N := \lfloor n + \alpha \rfloor + 1$. Then, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha\nu/2} f(x)| &\leq \int_{\{|\xi|<1\}} |\xi|^{\alpha\nu} |\hat{f}(\xi)| \, d\xi + \int_{\{|\xi|\geq1\}} |\xi|^{\alpha\nu} |\hat{f}(\xi)| \, d\xi \\ &\leq ||\hat{f}||_{L^{\infty}} |B(0,1)| + ||\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^{N} f]||_{L^{\infty}} \int_{\{|\xi|\geq1\}} |\xi|^{\alpha-2N} \, d\xi. \end{aligned}$$
(2.2)

Let $E := \operatorname{supp}(f)$. Observe that

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \|f\|_{L^{1}} \le \|f\|_{L^{\infty}} |E|$$
(2.3)

and

$$\|\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^{N}f]\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \|(-\Delta)^{N}f\|_{L^{1}} \le \|(-\Delta)^{N}f\|_{L^{\infty}}|E|.$$
(2.4)

Combining (2.2)–(2.4) and $\int_{\{|\xi| \ge 1\}} |\xi|^{\alpha-2N} d\xi = O(1/(2N - \alpha - n))$ gives

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\alpha\nu/2}f\|_{L^{\infty}(B)} \le C_{n,\alpha,f}$$

where

$$C_{n,\alpha,f} := \left(|B(0,1)|| |f||_{L^{\infty}} + \frac{D}{2N - \alpha - n} ||(-\Delta)^{N} f||_{L^{\infty}} \right) |E|$$

with $D \gg 1$. Consequently, for $1 \le w < \infty$,

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\alpha \nu/2} f\|_{L^{w}(B)} \le C_{n,\alpha,f} |B|^{1/w} \le C_{n,\alpha,f} \max(1, |B|),$$

as desired.

H. Gunawan et al.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.8. Let $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We prove (2.1) by showing that

$$\left(\int_{B} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha\theta/2} f(x)|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p} \lesssim |B|^{1/p-1/q} ||f||_{\mathcal{M}^{p_{0}}_{q_{0}}}^{1-\theta} ||(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f||_{\mathcal{M}^{p_{1}}_{q_{1}}}^{\theta}$$
(2.5)

for every fixed ball B = B(a, r). Let p'_0, p'_1 and p' be defined by

$$\frac{1}{p'_0} := 1 - \frac{1}{p_0}, \quad \frac{1}{p'_1} := 1 - \frac{1}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{p'} := 1 - \frac{1}{p},$$

respectively. Define $S := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \text{Re}(z) < 1\}$ and let \overline{S} be its closure. For every $z \in \overline{S}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define

$$G(z, x) := \begin{cases} 0, & g(x) = 0, \\ \operatorname{sgn}(g(x))|g(x)|^{p'((1-z)/p'_0 + z/p'_1)}, & g(x) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where g is an arbitrary simple function with $||g||_{L^{p'}(B)} = 1$. We shall apply the three lines theorem to the function F(z), defined by

$$F(z) := e^{z^2} \int_B (-\Delta)^{\alpha z/2} f(x) G(z, x) \, dx.$$

Note that *F* is continuous on \overline{S} and holomorphic in *S*. Let z = v + iu, where $v \in [0, 1]$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Define *w* by $1/w := 1 - (1 - v)/p'_0 - v/p'_1$. Then

$$|F(v+iu)| \leq e^{-u^2} (1+\alpha|u|)^{n/2} ||(-\Delta)^{\alpha v/2} f||_{L^w(B)} ||G(v+iu,\cdot)||_{L^{w'}(B)}.$$
 (2.6)

Here, we have used the boundedness of $(-\Delta)^{i\alpha u/2}$ on $L^w(B)$ and the fact that

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha z/2} = (-\Delta)^{i\alpha u/2} (-\Delta)^{\alpha v/2}$$

Combining (2.6), Lemma 2.9 and

$$\|G(\nu+iu,\cdot)\|_{L^{w'}(B)} = \||g|^{p'((1-\nu)/p'_0+\nu/p'_1)}\|_{L^{w'}(B)} = \|g\|_{L^{p'}(B)}^{p'/w'} = 1$$

yields $\sup_{z \in \overline{S}} |F(z)| < \infty$, that is, F is bounded on \overline{S} . Next, we observe that

$$\begin{split} |F(iu)| &\lesssim e^{-u^2} ||(-\Delta)^{i\alpha u/2} f||_{\mathcal{M}_{q_0}^{p_0}} |B|^{1/p_0 - 1/q_0} ||G(iu, \cdot)||_{L^{p'_0}(B)} \\ &\lesssim e^{-u^2} (1 + \alpha |u|)^{n/2} ||f||_{\mathcal{M}_{q_0}^{p_0}} |B|^{1/p_0 - 1/q_0} ||g|^{p'/p'_0} ||_{L^{p'_0}(B)} \\ &\lesssim ||f||_{\mathcal{M}_{q_0}^{p_0}} |B|^{1/p_0 - 1/q_0} \end{split}$$

and similarly

$$|F(1+iu)| \lesssim \|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_1}_{q_1}} |B|^{1/p_1 - 1/q_1}.$$

It thus follows from the three lines theorem that

$$|F(\theta)| \leq \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |F(\theta + iu)| \leq \left(\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |F(iu)|\right)^{1-\theta} \cdot \left(\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |F(1 + iu)|\right)^{\ell}$$
$$\lesssim ||f||_{\mathcal{M}_{q_0}^{p_0}}^{1-\theta} ||(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f||_{\mathcal{M}_{q_1}^{p_1}}^{\theta} ||B|^{1/p-1/q}$$

for $0 \le \theta \le 1$. Accordingly,

$$\left| \int_{B} (-\Delta)^{\alpha \theta/2} f(x) g(x) \, dx \right| = e^{-\theta^2} |F(\theta)| \lesssim ||f||_{\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_{q_0}}^{1-\theta} ||(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f||_{\mathcal{M}^{p_1}_{q_1}}^{\theta} ||B|^{1/p-1/q}.$$

Since g is any simple function with $L^{p'}(B)$ -norm 1, we conclude that (2.5) holds. \Box

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972717001216 Published online by Cambridge University Press

3. A Hardy-type inequality and a Heisenberg-type inequality

We shall now prove a Hardy-type inequality and Heisenberg's uncertainty inequality in Morrey spaces. According to [10],

$$\|W \cdot (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \lesssim \|W\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_v} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{M}^p_q(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{3.1}$$

where $0 < \alpha < n, 1 < p \le q < n/\alpha, u = np/\alpha q$ and $v = n/\alpha$. This inequality goes back to Olsen [8], so we call it Olsen's inequality. Note that the inequality follows from Hölder's inequality and the boundedness of the fractional integral operator $I_{\alpha} :=$ $(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}$ from $\mathcal{M}_{t}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ to $\mathcal{M}_{t}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ for $0 < \alpha < n, 1 < p \le q < n/\alpha, 1/s = 1/p - \alpha q/np$ and s/t = p/q (see also [3]). Note that through its Fourier transform, one may recognise $(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}$ as the convolution operator whose kernel is a multiple of $|\cdot|^{\alpha-n}$, which is initially defined on $C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ (see [14]).

The next proposition is a consequence of the inequality (3.1).

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let $1 and <math>0 < \alpha < n/q$. Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{M}_{q}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$,

$$\||\cdot|^{-\alpha}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_a} \lesssim \|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_a}.$$
(3.2)

REMARK 3.2. The inequality (3.2) may be viewed as a Hardy-type inequality in Morrey spaces.

To prove the proposition, we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 3.3. Let $0 < \alpha < n$. If $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}g(x)| \lesssim \min(1, |x|^{-\alpha-n}).$$

In particular, $f = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

PROOF. We have already seen that $|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}g(x)| \leq 1$ in the proof of Lemma 2.9. Now let $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\chi_{B(1)} \leq \psi \leq \chi_{B(2)}$, where B(r) denotes the ball of radius *r* centred at the origin. Define $\varphi_i(\xi) = \psi(2^{-j}\xi) - \psi(2^{-j+1}\xi)$. We decompose

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}g(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\cdot|^{\alpha}(1-\psi)\mathcal{F}g](x) + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{0}\mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\cdot|^{\alpha}\varphi_{j}\mathcal{F}g](x).$$

Since $h = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\cdot|^{\alpha}(1-\psi)\mathcal{F}g]$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we only need to handle the second term. Using a crude estimate, $\mathcal{F}g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and so

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\cdot|^{\alpha}\varphi_{j}\mathcal{F}g](x)| \leq 2^{j\alpha}||\,|2^{-j}\cdot|^{\alpha}\varphi_{j}\mathcal{F}g||_{L^{1}} \sim 2^{j(\alpha+n)}.$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large. Then, as before,

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^{2N} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[| \cdot |^{\alpha} \varphi_{j} \mathcal{F}g](x)| &= |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\Delta^{N}[| \cdot |^{\alpha} \varphi_{j} \mathcal{F}g]](x)| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\beta \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^{n}, \, |\beta| = 2N} ||\partial^{\beta}[| \cdot |^{\alpha} \varphi_{j} \mathcal{F}g]||_{L^{1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and below let β be such that $|\beta| = 2N$. Then

$$|\partial^{\beta}[|\xi|^{\alpha}\varphi_{j}(\xi)\mathcal{F}g(\xi)]| \lesssim \sum_{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}=\beta} |\partial^{\beta_{1}}[|\xi|^{\alpha}]| |\partial^{\beta_{2}}\varphi_{j}(\xi)| |\partial^{\beta_{3}}\mathcal{F}g(\xi)|.$$

Since $\varphi_i(\xi)$ vanishes outside $\{2^{j-2} \le |\xi| \le 2^{j+2}\},\$

$$\partial^{\beta_1}[|\xi|^{\alpha}] = \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{\alpha-|\beta_1|}), \quad \partial^{\beta_2}\varphi_j(\xi) = \mathcal{O}(|\xi|^{-|\beta_2|}), \quad |\partial^{\beta_3}\mathcal{F}g(\xi)| \le 1 \le 2^{-j|\beta_3|}$$

as $\xi \to 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{split} |\partial^{\beta}[|\xi|^{\alpha}\varphi_{j}(\xi)\mathcal{F}g(\xi)]| &\lesssim \sum_{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}=\beta} |\xi|^{\alpha-|\beta_{1}|} |\xi|^{-|\beta_{2}|} 2^{-j|\beta_{3}|} \chi_{\{2^{j-2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+2}\}}(\xi) \\ &\lesssim 2^{j(\alpha-2N)} \chi_{\{|\xi| \leq 2^{j+2}\}}(\xi) \end{split}$$

and hence

$$\|\partial^{\beta}[|\cdot|^{\alpha}\varphi_{j}\mathcal{F}g]\|_{L^{1}} = \mathcal{O}(2^{j(\alpha+n-2N)})$$

as $j \to -\infty$. As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}g(x)| &\lesssim |x|^{-\alpha-n} + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{0} \min(|x|^{-2N}2^{j(\alpha+n-2N)}, 2^{j(\alpha+n)}) \\ &\le |x|^{-\alpha-n} + |x|^{-\alpha-n} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \min(|x|^{\alpha+n-2N}2^{j(\alpha+n-2N)}, |x|^{\alpha+n}2^{j(\alpha+n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \min(|x|^{\alpha+n-2N} 2^{j(\alpha+n-2N)}, |x|^{\alpha+n} 2^{j(\alpha+n)})$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=-\infty, 2^{j}|x| \le 1}^{\infty} (2^{j}|x|)^{\alpha+n} + \sum_{j=-\infty, 2^{j}|x|>1}^{\infty} (2^{j}|x|)^{\alpha+n-N}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j=-\infty, 2^{j}|x| \le 1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j}|x|}^{2^{j+1}|x|} t^{\alpha+n-1} dt + \sum_{j=-\infty, 2^{j}|x|>1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j-1}|x|}^{2^{j}|x|} t^{\alpha+n-N-1} dt$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{2} t^{\alpha+n-1} dt + \int_{1/2}^{\infty} t^{\alpha+n-N-1} dt \le 1$$

and we conclude that $|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}g(x)| \leq |x|^{-\alpha-n}$, as desired.

LEMMA 3.4. Let
$$1 \le p \le q < \infty$$
 and $0 < \alpha < n$. For $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define $f := (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}g$
Then $f \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}f = g$ pointwise.

PROOF. We have proved that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Consequently,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \le \|f\|_{L^q} \le \|f\|_{L^\infty}^{1-1/q} \|f\|_{L^1}^{1/q} < \infty.$$

(This justifies the right-hand side of (3.2).) Next, $|\cdot|^{\alpha}\widehat{g} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ and $f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\cdot|^{\alpha}\widehat{g}) \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$. Hence, $\widehat{f} = |\cdot|^{\alpha}\widehat{g}$ pointwise and so $|\cdot|^{-\alpha}\widehat{f} = \widehat{g}$ pointwise. Thus, $(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}f = g$ pointwise.

Now we come to the proof of Proposition 3.1.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1. Denote $u = np/\alpha q$ and $v = n/\alpha$. For $1 and <math>0 < \alpha < n/q$, it follows that u < v. By computing its Morrey norm directly, we see that $W(\cdot) := |\cdot|^{-\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}^u_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, for $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we take $f := (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}g$, which is a function in $\mathcal{M}^p_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Lemma 3.4. Moreover, $g = (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} f \in \mathcal{M}^s_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $1/s = 1/p - \alpha q/np$ and s/t = p/q, so that Olsen's inequality (3.1) gives

$$\||\cdot|^{-\alpha}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_a} \lesssim \|W\|_{\mathcal{M}^u_v} \|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_a}.$$

For $1 \le p = q < n/\alpha$, we use the fact that $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g = (-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} f \in wL^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1/t = 1/q - \alpha/n$ with $\|(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2} f\|_{wL^1} \le \|f\|_{L^q}$ (where $wL^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the weak Lebesgue space of exponent *t*). From [7, Proposition 4.1],

$$\||\cdot|^{-\alpha}g\|_{wL^{q}} = \|W(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}f\|_{wL^{q}} \lesssim \|W\|_{wL^{v}}\|(-\Delta)^{-\alpha/2}f\|_{wL^{t}} \lesssim \|W\|_{wL^{v}}\|f\|_{L^{q}}$$

(where $v = n/\alpha$). This inequality holds for every q with $1 \le q < n/\alpha$. By the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem,

$$\||\cdot|^{-\alpha}g\|_{L^{q}} \lesssim \|W\|_{wL^{\nu}} \|f\|_{L^{q}} = \|W\|_{wL^{\nu}} \|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}g\|_{L^{q}}$$

for $1 < q < n/\alpha$. This completes the proof.

As a corollary of Proposition 3.1, we obtain the following result (which is analogous to [1, Corollary 5.2]).

THEOREM 3.5. Suppose that $1 , <math>1 \le p_2 \le q_2 < \infty$, $\beta > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < n/q$. If $(\beta + \gamma)/p_0 = \beta/p + \gamma/p_2$ and $(\beta + \gamma)/q_0 = \beta/q + \gamma/q_2$, then

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_{q_0}} \lesssim \||\cdot|^{\beta}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_2}_{q_2}}^{\gamma/(\beta+\gamma)}\|(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{q}}^{\beta/(\beta+\gamma)}$$

for every $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

PROOF. Write $g(x) = [|x|^{\beta}g(x)]^{\gamma/(\beta+\gamma)}[|x|^{-\gamma}g(x)]^{\beta/(\beta+\gamma)}$. By Hölder's inequality and Proposition 3.1,

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_{0}}_{q_{0}}} \leq \||\cdot|^{\beta}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_{2}}_{q_{2}}}^{\gamma/(\beta+\gamma)}\||\cdot|^{-\gamma}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p}_{q}}^{\beta/(\beta+\gamma)} \lesssim \||\cdot|^{\beta}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_{2}}_{q_{2}}}^{\gamma/(\beta+\gamma)}\|(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p}_{q}}^{\beta/(\beta+\gamma)},$$

as desired.

Finally, we use our estimate for the fractional power of the Laplacian in Theorem 2.8 to prove the following Heisenberg uncertainty inequality (which is analogous to [1, Theorem 5.4]).

THEOREM 3.6. Suppose that $1 < p_1 \le q_1 < \infty$, $1 \le p_2 \le q_2 < \infty$ and $\beta, \delta > 0$. If the conditions $(\beta + \delta)/p_0 = \beta/p_1 + \delta/p_2$ and $(\beta + \delta)/q_0 = \beta/q_1 + \delta/q_2$ hold, then

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_{q_0}} \lesssim \||\cdot|^{\beta}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_2}_{q_2}}^{\delta/(\beta+\delta)} \|(-\Delta)^{\delta/2}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_1}_{q_1}}^{\beta/(\beta+\delta)}$$

for every $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

H. Gunawan et al.

PROOF. The idea of the proof is the same as in [1]. If $\delta < n/q_1$, we do not have to do anything because the inequality is the same as in Theorem 3.5. Otherwise, we set $\gamma = \delta\theta$ and apply the interpolation inequality

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\delta\theta/2}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_q} \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_{q_0}}^{1-\theta}\|(-\Delta)^{\delta/2}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^p_{q_1}}^{\theta}$$

for $0 < \theta < n/\delta q_1$, so that the inequality in Theorem 3.5 becomes

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_{q_0}} \lesssim \||\cdot|^{\beta}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_2}_{q_2}}^{\gamma/(\beta+\gamma)}\|(-\Delta)^{\delta/2}g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_1}_{q_1}}^{\beta\theta/(\beta+\gamma)}\|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{p_0}_{q_0}}^{\beta(1-\theta)/(\beta+\gamma)}.$$

Rearranging the expression gives the desired inequality.

Remark 3.7. Note that the value of δ in the above proposition can be as large as possible. This is the benefit we obtain from the interpolation inequality for the fractional power of the Laplacian.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for very helpful comments.

References

- P. Ciatti, M. G. Cowling and F. Ricci, 'Hardy and uncertainty inequalities on stratified Lie groups', *Adv. Math.* 277 (2015), 365–387.
- [2] H. Gunawan, 'Some weighted estimates for the imaginary powers of Laplace operators', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **65** (2002), 129–135.
- [3] H. Gunawan and Eridani, 'Fractional integrals and generalized Olsen inequalities', *Kyungpook Math. J.* 49 (2009), 31–39.
- [4] Y. Lu, D. Yang and W. Yuan, 'Interpolation of Morrey spaces on metric measure spaces', *Canad. Math. Bull.* 57 (2014), 598–608.
- [5] A. Meskhi, H. Rafeiro and M. A. Zaighum, 'Interpolation on variable Morrey spaces defined on quasi-metric measure spaces', J. Funct. Anal. 270(10) (2016), 3946–3961.
- [6] E. Nakai, 'Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators, and the Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces', *Math. Nachr.* 166 (1994), 95–103.
- [7] E. Nakai, 'Pointwise multipliers on several function spaces—a survey', *Linear Nonlinear Anal.* 3(1) (2017), 27–59.
- [8] P. A. Olsen, 'Fractional integration, Morrey spaces and a Schrödinger equation', *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 20 (1995), 2005–2055.
- [9] R. Rosenthal and H. Triebel, 'Calderón–Zygmund operators in Morrey spaces', *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 27 (2014), 1–11.
- [10] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano and H. Tanaka, 'Generalized fractional integral operators and fractional maximal operators in the framework of Morrey spaces', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 363(12) (2011), 6481–6503.
- [11] Y. Sawano and H. Tanaka, 'The Fatou property of block spaces', J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 22 (2015), 663–683.
- [12] Y. Sawano and H. Wadade, 'On the Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequality in the critical Sobolev– Morrey space', J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 19(1) (2013), 20–47.
- [13] A. Sikora and J. Wright, 'Imaginary powers of Laplace operators', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), 1745–1754.
- [14] E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970).

[11]

[12]

- 491
- [15] W. Yuan, W. Sickel and D. Yang, *Morrey and Campanato Meet Besov, Lizorkin and Triebel*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2005 (Springer, Berlin, 2010).
 - [16] W. Yuan, W. Sickel and D. Yang, 'Interpolation of Morrey–Campanato and related smoothness spaces', *Sci. China Math.* 58 (2015), 1835–1908.
 - [17] C. T. Zorko, 'Morrey space', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 98 (1986), 586–592.

HENDRA GUNAWAN, Department of Mathematics, Bandung Institute of Technology, Bandung 40132, Indonesia e-mail: hgunawan@math.itb.ac.id

DENNY IVANAL HAKIM, Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami Ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan e-mail: dennyivanalhakim@gmail.com

EIICHI NAKAI, Department of Mathematics, Ibaraki University, Mito, Ibaraki 310-8512, Japan e-mail: eiichi.nakai.math@vc.ibaraki.ac.jp

YOSHIHIRO SAWANO, Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami Ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan and RDUN, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, Miklukho-Maklaya str. 6, 117198 Moscow, Russia

e-mail: ysawano@tmu.ac.jp