

# ANZAI AND FURSTENBERG TRANSFORMATIONS ON THE 2-TORUS AND TOPOLOGICALLY QUASI-DISCRETE SPECTRUM

KAZUNORI KODAKA

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\phi_0$  be an Anzai transformation on the 2-torus  $\mathbf{T}^2$  defined by  $\phi_0(x, y) = (e^{2\pi i\theta}x, xy)$  and  $\phi_f$  a Furstenberg transformation on  $\mathbf{T}^2$  defined by  $\phi_f(x, y) = (e^{2\pi i\theta}x, e^{2\pi if(x)}xy)$  where  $\theta$  is an irrational number and  $f$  is a real valued continuous function on the 1-torus  $\mathbf{T}$ . In the present note we will show that  $\phi_f$  has topologically quasi-discrete spectrum if and only if  $\phi_f$  is topologically conjugate to  $\phi_0$ . Furthermore we will show that for any irrational number  $\theta$  there is a real valued continuous function  $f$  on  $\mathbf{T}$  such that  $\phi_f$  does not have topologically quasi-discrete spectrum but is uniquely ergodic.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\phi$  be a homeomorphism on a compact topological space  $X$ . We say that  $\phi$  is *minimal* if for any  $x \in X$  the orbit  $\{\phi^n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is dense in  $X$ . Hence it follows that if  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a continuous function and  $X$  is connected and if  $f \circ \phi = f$ , then  $f$  is constant. Two homeomorphisms  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  on  $X$  are said to be *topologically conjugate* if there is a homeomorphism  $\psi$  on  $X$  such that  $\psi \circ \phi_1 = \phi_2 \circ \psi$ .

Let  $C(X)$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of all complex valued continuous functions on  $X$ . For each homeomorphism  $\phi$  on  $X$  we consider the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(\phi) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } \phi \text{ and } |\lambda| = 1\}, \\ G_1(\phi) &= \{f \in C(X) : f \circ \phi = \lambda f \text{ for some } \lambda \in G_0(\phi) \text{ and } |f| = 1\}, \\ &\vdots \\ G_j(\phi) &= \{g \in C(X) : g \circ \phi = fg \text{ for some } f \in G_{j-1}(\phi) \text{ and } |g| = 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $j \geq 1$ .

Their union  $\bigcup_{j \geq 0} G_j(\phi)$  is known as the set of quasi-eigenfunctions of  $\phi$ . The homeomorphism  $\phi$  is said to *have topologically quasi-discrete spectrum* if the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by its quasi-eigenfunctions is  $C(X)$ . It is easy to see that the property of having a topologically quasi-discrete spectrum is invariant under topological conjugation.

Let  $\theta$  be an irrational number in  $(0, 1)$  and  $f$  a real valued continuous function on the 1-torus  $\mathbf{T}$ . Let  $\phi_0$  be an Anzai transformation on the 2-torus  $\mathbf{T}^2$  defined by

$$\phi_0(x, y) = (e^{2\pi i\theta}x, xy)$$

---

Received by the editors May 17, 1993.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 46L80; secondary: 46L40.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1995.

for any  $x, y \in \mathbf{T}$ . And let  $\phi_f$  be a Furstenberg transformation on  $\mathbf{T}^2$  defined by

$$\phi_f(x, y) = (e^{2\pi i\theta}x, e^{2\pi if(x)}xy)$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathbf{T}$ . By Rouhani [10]  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_f$  are minimal and  $\phi_0$  has a topologically quasi-discrete spectrum.

In [10] Rouhani proposed the following question: For any  $j = 1, 2$  let  $\phi_j$  be a Furstenberg transformation on  $\mathbf{T}^2$  and  $A(\phi_j)$  the associated crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(\mathbf{T}^2) \rtimes_{\phi_j} \mathbf{Z}$ . If  $A(\phi_1)$  is isomorphic to  $A(\phi_2)$  and if  $\phi_1$  has topologically quasi-discrete spectrum, does it necessarily follow that  $\phi_2$  has topologically quasi-discrete spectrum?

In this note we attempt to shed some light on this question.

**2. Topological conjugation.** Let  $f$  and  $\phi_0, \phi_f$  be as in Section 1.

LEMMA 1. *We suppose that there is a real valued continuous function  $g$  on  $\mathbf{T}$  such that*

$$g(x) - g(e^{2\pi i\theta}x) = f(x) - \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z) dz$$

for any  $x \in \mathbf{T}$ . Then  $\phi_f$  is topologically conjugate to  $\phi_0$ .

PROOF. Let  $\psi$  be a homeomorphism on  $\mathbf{T}^2$  defined by

$$\psi(x, y) = (e^{2\pi i\eta}x, e^{2\pi ig(x)}y)$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathbf{T}$  where  $\eta = \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z) dz$ . Then by an easy computation we see that  $\phi_0 \circ \psi = \psi \circ \phi_f$ . ■

LEMMA 2. *We suppose that  $\phi_f$  is topologically conjugate to  $\phi_0$ . Then there is a real valued continuous function  $g$  on  $\mathbf{T}$  such that*

$$g(x) - g(e^{2\pi i\theta}x) = f(x) - \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z) dz$$

for any  $x \in \mathbf{T}$ .

PROOF. Since  $\phi_f$  is topologically conjugate to  $\phi_0$ , there is a homeomorphism  $\psi$  on  $\mathbf{T}^2$  such that  $\phi_0 \circ \psi = \psi \circ \phi_f$ . By the Homotopy Lifting Theorem we can write  $\psi$  as

$$\psi(x, y) = (x^{m_1}y^{n_1}e^{2\pi iF_1(x,y)}, x^{m_2}y^{n_2}e^{2\pi iF_2(x,y)})$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathbf{T}$  where  $m_j, n_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) are integers and  $F_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) are real valued continuous functions on  $\mathbf{T}^2$ . By a routine computation

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_0 \circ \psi)(x, y) &= \phi_0(x^{m_1}y^{n_1}e^{2\pi iF_1(x,y)}, x^{m_2}y^{n_2}e^{2\pi iF_2(x,y)}) \\ &= (e^{2\pi i\theta}x^{m_1}y^{n_1}e^{2\pi iF_1(x,y)}, x^{m_1+m_2}y^{n_1+n_2}e^{2\pi i\{F_1(x,y)+F_2(x,y)\}}), \\ (\psi \circ \phi_f)(x, y) &= \psi(e^{2\pi i\theta}x, e^{2\pi if(x)}xy) \\ &= (x^{m_1+m_2}y^{n_1}e^{2\pi i\{m_1\theta+n_1f(x)+F_1(\phi_f(x,y))\}}, x^{m_2+n_2}y^{n_2}e^{2\pi i\{m_2\theta+n_2f(x)+F_2(\phi_f(x,y))\}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi_0 \circ \psi = \psi \circ \phi_f$ , we obtain

$$(1) \quad x^{m_1} y^{n_1} e^{2\pi i\{\theta + F_1(x,y)\}} = x^{m_1+n_1} y^{n_1} e^{2\pi i\{m_1\theta + n_1 f(x) + F_1(\phi_f(x,y))\}},$$

$$(2) \quad x^{m_1+m_2} y^{n_1+n_2} e^{2\pi i\{F_1(x,y) + F_2(x,y)\}} = x^{m_2+n_2} y^{n_2} e^{2\pi i\{m_2\theta + n_2 f(x) + F_2(\phi_f(x,y))\}}.$$

By (1) we see that  $n_1 = 0$  and that

$$\theta + F_1(x, y) = m_1\theta + F_1(\phi_f(x, y)) + k_1(x, y)$$

where  $k_1$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -valued continuous function on  $\mathbf{T}^2$ . But since  $\mathbf{T}^2$  is connected,  $k_1$  is a constant number. Hence we obtain that

$$\theta + F_1(x, y) = m_1\theta + F_1(\phi_f(x, y)) + k_1.$$

Furthermore since  $\phi_f$  is measure-preserving,

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}^2} F_1(x, y) dy dx = \int_{\mathbf{T}^2} F_1(\phi_f(x, y)) dy dx.$$

Hence  $\theta = m_1\theta + k_1$ . Since  $\theta$  is irrational,  $k_1 = 0$  and  $m_1 = 1$ . Thus we obtain that

$$F_1(x, y) = F_1(\phi_f(x, y))$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathbf{T}$ . Since  $\phi_f$  is minimal and  $F_1$  is continuous,  $F_1 = c$ , a real constant number. Since  $m_1 = 1, n_1 = 0$  and  $F_1 = c$ , by (2) we see that  $n_2 = m_1 = 1$  and that

$$(3) \quad c + F_2(x, y) = m_2\theta + f(x) + F_2(\phi_f(x, y)) + k_2$$

where  $k_2$  is a constant integer. Since  $\phi_f$  is measure-preserving,

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}^2} F_2(x, y) dy dx = \int_{\mathbf{T}^2} F_2(\phi_f(x, y)) dx dy.$$

Thus

$$c = m_2\theta + \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(x) dx + k_2 = m_2\theta + \eta + k_2$$

where  $\eta = \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(x) dx$ . Let  $g(x) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} F_2(x, y) dy$ . Then  $g$  is a real valued continuous function on  $\mathbf{T}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{T}} F_2(\phi_f(x, y)) dy &= \int_{\mathbf{T}} F_2(e^{2\pi i\theta} x, e^{2\pi i f(x)} xy) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{T}} F_2(e^{2\pi i\theta} x, y) dy = g(e^{2\pi i\theta} x) \end{aligned}$$

since  $d(e^{2\pi i f(x)} xy) = dy$ . Therefore by (3) we obtain that

$$c + g(x) = m_2\theta + f(x) + g(e^{2\pi i\theta} x) + k_2.$$

Furthermore since  $c = m_2\theta + \eta + k_2$ , we see that

$$\eta + g(x) = f(x) + g(e^{2\pi i\theta} x).$$

Thus

$$g(x) - g(e^{2\pi i\theta} x) = f(x) - \eta$$

for any  $x \in \mathbf{T}$ . ■

Combining Lemmas 1 and 2 we obtain the following theorem;

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $f$  and  $\phi_0, \phi_f$  be as above. Then  $\phi_f$  is topologically conjugate to  $\phi_0$  if and only if there is a real valued continuous function  $g$  on  $\mathbf{T}$  such that*

$$g(x) - g(e^{2\pi i\theta}x) = f(x) - \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z) dz$$

for any  $x \in \mathbf{T}$ .

**3. Topologically quasi-discrete spectrum.** In this section we will show that  $\phi_f$  has topologically quasi-discrete spectrum if and only if  $\phi_f$  is topologically conjugate to  $\phi_0$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $\phi_f$  and  $\phi_0$  be homeomorphisms on  $\mathbf{T}^2$  defined in Section 1. We suppose that  $\phi_f$  is not topologically conjugate to  $\phi_0$ . Then  $\phi_f$  does not have topologically quasi-discrete spectrum.*

**PROOF.** By the proof of Rouhani [10, Theorem 2.1],

$$G_1(\phi_f) = \{au^k : k \in \mathbf{Z} \mid |a| = 1\}$$

where  $u(x, y) = x$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbf{T}$ .

Since the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $u$  is not all of  $C(\mathbf{T}^2)$ , to show  $\phi_f$  does not have topologically quasi-discrete spectrum it will suffice to check that there is no  $h \in C(\mathbf{T}^2)$  with  $|h| = 1$  satisfying that  $h \circ \phi_f = au^k h$ , where  $|a| = 1$  and  $k$  is a non-zero integer. (If  $k = 0$ , then  $h$  is just an eigenfunction.)

So we assume that for some  $k \neq 0$  there is a solution  $h \in C(\mathbf{T}^2)$  such that  $h \circ \phi_f = au^k h$  and  $|h| = 1$ . By the Homotopy Lifting Theorem we can write  $h$  as

$$h(x, y) = x^m y^n e^{2\pi i S(x, y)}$$

where  $m, n$  are integers and  $S$  is a real valued continuous function on  $\mathbf{T}^2$ . Then since  $h \circ \phi_f = au^k h$ , we see that  $n = k$  and that

$$e^{2\pi i\{S(\phi_f(x, y)) - S(x, y) + kf(x)\}} = ae^{-2\pi im\theta}.$$

Since the right hand side is constant, we obtain that

$$S(\phi_f(x, y)) - S(x, y) + kf(x) = c$$

where  $c$  is a real constant number. In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2, we see that

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} f(x) dx = \frac{c}{k}.$$

Furthermore for any  $x \in \mathbf{T}$  let

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{k} \int_{\mathbf{T}} S(x, y) dy.$$

Then  $g$  is a real valued continuous function and

$$g(e^{2\pi i\theta}x) - g(x) + f(x) = \frac{c}{k}.$$

Since  $\frac{c}{k} = \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z) dz$ , we obtain that

$$g(x) - g(e^{2\pi i\theta}x) = f(x) - \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z) dz.$$

By Theorem 3  $\phi_f$  is topologically conjugate to  $\phi_0$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $\phi_f$  does not have topologically quasi-discrete spectrum. ■

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let  $f$  and  $\phi_f, \phi_0$  be as above. Then  $\phi_f$  has topologically quasi-discrete spectrum if and only if  $\phi_f$  is topologically conjugate to  $\phi_0$ .*

**PROOF.** This is immediate by Lemma 4. ■

For  $j = 1, 2$  let  $\phi_j$  and  $A(\phi_j)$  be as in Section 1. If  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  have topologically quasi-discrete spectrum,  $A(\phi_1) \cong A(\phi_2)$  by Corollary 5.

It is natural that we consider the following question: Let  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_f$  be as in Section 1. Let  $A(\phi_0) = C(\mathbf{T}^2) \times_{\phi_0} \mathbf{Z}$  and  $A(\phi_f) = C(\mathbf{T}^2) \times_{\phi_f} \mathbf{Z}$  be the associated crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras. Is there a Furstenberg transformation  $\phi_f$  satisfying that  $A(\phi_f)$  is not isomorphic to  $A(\phi_0)$ ?

In the next section we will see that many Furstenberg transformations are not conjugate to Anzai transformations.

**4. Furstenberg transformations without quasi-discrete spectrum.** In [10] Rouhani constructed a Furstenberg transformation which does not have topologically quasi-discrete spectrum but is uniquely ergodic for a Liouville number  $\theta$ .

In this section we will construct a Furstenberg transformation  $\phi_f$  which does not have topologically quasi-discrete spectrum but is uniquely ergodic for any irrational number  $\theta$ .

Since  $\theta$  is irrational, we can choose a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of positive integers such that

$$|e^{2\pi i n_j \theta} - 1| < \frac{1}{j} \quad \text{for } j \geq 1.$$

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  be the sequence defined by

$$a_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{j}(1 - e^{2\pi i n_j \theta}) & \text{if } n = n_j \\ \frac{1}{j}(1 - e^{-2\pi i n_j \theta}) & \text{if } n = -n_j \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

For any  $x \in \mathbf{T}$  let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty a_n x^n$ . Then for  $n = \pm n_j$ .

$$|a_n| = \frac{1}{j} |1 - e^{2\pi i n_j \theta}| < \frac{1}{j^2}.$$

Hence the series  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty a_n x^n$  converges uniformly and  $f$  is a real valued continuous function on  $\mathbf{T}$ . We note that  $\int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z) dz = 0$  since  $a_0 = 0$ .

**LEMMA 6.** *Let  $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  and  $f$  be as above. We consider the equation*

$$g(x) - g(e^{2\pi i \theta} x) = f(x) \quad (x \in \mathbf{T}).$$

*Then the above equation has a real valued  $L^2(\mathbf{T})$ -solution  $g$  but no real valued  $C(\mathbf{T})$ -solution.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\{b_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  be the sequence defined by

$$b_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{j} & \text{if } n = \pm n_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any  $x \in \mathbf{T}$  let  $g(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n x^n$ . Then the series  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n x^n$  converges with respect to the  $L^2$ -norm. Hence  $g$  is a real valued function in  $L^2(\mathbf{T})$ . And by a direct computation

$$g(x) - g(e^{2\pi i\theta} x) = f(x) \quad (\text{a.e. } x \in \mathbf{T}).$$

Furthermore in the same way as in the proof of Rouhani [10, Lemma 2.3] the above equation has no real valued  $C(\mathbf{T})$ -solution. ■

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $f$  be as in Lemma 6. Let  $\phi_f$  be the homeomorphism on  $\mathbf{T}^2$  defined by*

$$\phi_f(x, y) = (e^{2\pi i\theta} x, e^{2\pi i f(x)} xy)$$

*for any  $x, y \in \mathbf{T}$ . Then  $\phi_f$  does not have topologically quasi-discrete spectrum but is uniquely ergodic.*

**PROOF.** It is clear that  $\phi_f$  is uniquely ergodic by Rouhani [10, Proposition 2.5] and Lemma 6. Moreover by Theorem 3, Corollary 5 and Lemma 6, we see that  $\phi_f$  does not have topologically quasi-discrete spectrum. Therefore we obtain the conclusion. ■

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Baggett and K. Merrill, *On the cohomological equivalence of a class of functions under an irrational rotation of bounded type*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **111**(1991), 787–793.
2. E. G. Effros and F. Hahn, *Locally compact transformation groups and  $C^*$ -algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **75**(1967).
3. H. Furstenberg, *Strict ergodicity and transformation of the torus*, Amer. J. Math. **83**(1961), 573–601.
4. W. H. Gottschalk and G. A. Hedlund, *Topological Dynamics*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **36**(1955).
5. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1979.
6. K. Kodaka, *Diffeomorphisms of irrational rotation  $C^*$ -algebras by non-generic rotations II*, J. Operator Theory, to appear.
7. S. Lang, *Introduction to Diophantine Approximations*, Addison-Wesley, 1966.
8. W. Parry, *Topics in Ergodic Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1981.
9. G. K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -Algebras and their Automorphism Groups*, Academic Press, 1979.
10. H. Rouhani, *A Furstenberg transformation of the 2-torus without quasi-discrete spectrum*, Canad. Math. Bull. **33**(1990), 316–322.
11. ———, *Quasi-rotation  $C^*$ -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **148**(1991), 131–151.

*Department of Mathematics  
College of Science  
Ryukyu University  
Nishihara-cho, Okinawa  
903-01 Japan*