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#### Abstract

This paper provides a study on the weak stability transition region in the framework of the planar elliptic restricted three-body problem. We define the lower boundary curve of the weak stability transition region and as a particular case, we determine this curve in the SunEarth system. The orbit of the Moon is near the lower boundary of the weak stability transition region.
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## 1. Introduction

The capture of small bodies by major planets is an important phenomenon in planetary systems. The phenomenon has applications to the study of comets, asteroids, irregular satellites of the giant planets and different types of low energy planetary transfers, as well.

Ballistic capture (or weak capture) is analytically defined for the n-body problem, and it monitors the sign of the Kepler energy with respect to a massive primary. Weak capture occurs in special regions of the phase space, namely around one of the two primaries (e.g. the Earth in the case of the Sun-Earth system), which are referred to as the Weak Stability Boundaries (WSB). These regions are the boundary of the stable regions, delimiting stable and unstable orbits.

WSB was first introduced by Belbruno (1987) to design low energy transfers to the Moon and it is rigorously defined in Belbruno (2004). García \& Gómez (2007) proposed a more general definition, which generalizes the concept of WSB given by Belbruno, and expanded the range of the WSB. The concept of WSB was extended in more accurate models by Belbruno, Topputo \& Gidea (2008); Belbruno, Gidea \& Topputo (2008); Belbruno, Gidea \& Topputo (2013), Topputo \& Belbruno (2009), Romagnoli \& Circi (2009). Ceccaroni, Biggs \& Biasco (2012) gave an analytical definition of the WSB. The effect of primaries' true anomaly on the structure of WSB was treated by Hyeraci \& Topputo (2013) and Makó et al. (2010) in the model of elliptic restricted three-body problem (ER3BP).

In these articles, dedicated to the study of WSB properties, certain parameters (for example, the true anomaly of Earth, the initial eccentricity of the test particle, the direction of velocity vector at the initial time of the test particle) are considered constant and the initial position $\mathbf{r}_{2}$ of the test particle relative to the primary $P_{2}$ is considered a variable parameter. The modulus of initial velocity $\mathbf{v}_{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)$ of the massless particle relative to the primary $P_{2}$ is determined, and then the weak stability of the orbit with the initial values $\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)\right)$ is examined. In these cases, the variable parameter is $\mathbf{r}_{2}$.
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The aim of this article is to study the properties of the transition zone where the weakly stable region switches over to weakly unstable region if $\mathbf{v}_{2}$ is considered as a variable parameter also. In Section 2 we recall the definition of the WSB. Section 3 contains the definition of the lower boundary curve of weak stability transition region. In Section 4, as a case study, we determine the lower boundary curve of the weak stability transition region around the Earth in the Sun-Earth system in the framework of ER3BP. We compare the position of the boundary curve to the Earth-Moon mean distance and to the radius of the Earth's Hill sphere. The concluding remarks are described in Section 5.

We choose the planar elliptic restricted three-body problem (PER3BP) model to study the dynamics, since even a small change of the eccentricity of the secondary influences the weak stability of the orbits. This model also takes into consideration the weak stability dependence on the true anomaly of the Earth.

In the PER3BP two massive primaries $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, with masses $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ revolve on elliptical orbits under their mutual gravitational attraction. Apart from these two bodies, the motion of a third, massless particle $P_{3}$ is investigated. The variation of the distance $R=\left\|P_{1} P_{2}\right\|$ with respect to the true anomaly $f$ of the primary $P_{2}$ is given by $R=a\left(1-e^{2}\right) /(1+e \cos f)$, where $a$ is the semi-major axis, $e$ is the eccentricity and $P$ is the orbital period of the elliptical orbit of $P_{2}$ around $P_{1}$. The motion of $P_{3}$ is restricted to the orbital plane of the primaries. The mass ratio is $\mu=m_{2} /\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)$, if $m_{1}>m_{2}$.

The origin $O$ of this system is considered to be the center of mass of the two massive primaries, where the $\tilde{\xi}$ axis is directed towards $P_{2}$, and the $\tilde{\xi} \tilde{\eta}$ coordinate-plane rotates with a variable angular velocity, in such a way that the two massive primaries are always on the $\tilde{\xi}$ axis and the period of the rotation is $2 \pi$. Beside the rotation, the system also pulsates in order to keep the primaries in fixed positions $\left(\tilde{\xi}_{1}=-\mu, \tilde{\eta}_{1}=0, \tilde{\xi}_{2}=1-\mu\right.$, $\left.\tilde{\eta}_{2}=0\right)$. To obtain a relatively simple set of equations, we use a nonuniform rotating and pulsating coordinate system (Szebehely (1967)).

To investigate the weak stability, we need a new coordinate system $P_{2} x y$, where the center $P_{2}$ is continuously moving and the axis $P_{2} x$ is always parallel with the initial direction of the axis $O \tilde{\xi}$. The connections between these two reference frames are given in (Makó et al. (2010)).

If the normalized units are $a(1-e)=1$ and $2 \pi / P=1$, then the Keplerian energy of the massless particle related to $P_{2}$ (Makó et al. (2010)) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{2} & =\frac{v_{2}^{2}}{2}-\frac{G m_{2}}{r_{2}}=\frac{v_{2}^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{(1-e)^{3}} \frac{\mu}{r_{2}}=\frac{(1+e \cos f)^{2}}{2(1+e)(1-e)^{3}} . \\
& {\left[\left(\tilde{\xi}^{\prime}+D(f)(\tilde{\xi}+\mu-1)-\tilde{\eta}\right)^{2}+\left(\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}+D(f) \tilde{\eta}+\tilde{\xi}+\mu-1\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{1+e \cos f} \cdot \frac{2 \mu}{\tilde{r}_{2}}\right], }
\end{aligned}
$$

where the derivatives are taken with respect to the true anomaly $f$ of the primary $P_{2}$, $D(f)=e \sin f /(1+e \cos f)$, and $\tilde{r}_{2}=\sqrt{(\tilde{\xi}+\mu-1)^{2}+\tilde{\eta}^{2}}$.

## 2. The algorithmic definition of WSB in PER3BP

We recall the construction procedure of WSB introduced by Belbruno (2004) and improved by García \& Gómez (2007) and Ceccaroni, Biggs \& Biasco (2012).

For a fixed initial value of the true anomaly $f=f_{0}$ of $P_{2}$, we consider the half-line $l\left(\alpha, f_{0}\right)$ starting from $P_{2}$ and making an angle $\alpha \in\left[0,360^{\circ}\right.$ ) with the axis $P_{1} P_{2}$ (see Fig. 1). The initial position of $P_{3}$ is on $l\left(\alpha, f_{0}\right)$. The initial eccentricity $e_{3}$ of the test particle $P_{3}$ is fixed. The direction of velocity vector of $P_{3}$ at the initial time is perpendicular to the line $l\left(\alpha, f_{0}\right)$. The initial distance between $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ in the coordinate system $P_{2} x y$ is $r_{2}$ and the semi-major axis is $a_{3}=r_{2} /\left(1-e_{3}\right)$.


Figure 1. Construction procedure of WSB.

The modulus of velocity vector of $P_{3}$ at the initial time with respect to the reference frame $P_{2} x y$ is

$$
v_{2}^{2}\left(r_{2}, e_{3}\right)=\frac{G m_{2}\left(1+e_{3}\right)}{r_{2}}=\frac{\mu\left(1+e_{3}\right)}{(1-e)^{3} \cdot r_{2}} \in\left[v_{c}^{2}\left(r_{2}\right), v_{e}^{2}\left(r_{2}\right)\right]
$$

where $v_{c}\left(r_{2}\right)=v_{2}\left(r_{2}, 0\right)$ is the circular initial velocity and $v_{e}\left(r_{2}\right)=v_{2}\left(r_{2}, 1\right)$ is the escape initial velocity with respect to primary $P_{2}$ in the context of the two-body problem.

We transform the initial position $\left(r_{2} \cos \alpha, r_{2} \sin \alpha\right)$ and initial velocity $\pm\left(-v_{2} \sin \alpha, v_{2} \cos \alpha\right)$ of $P_{3}$ (+ for direct, - for retrograde direction) from reference frame $P_{2} x y$ into the reference frame $O \tilde{\xi} \tilde{\eta}$, where $\left(\tilde{\xi}_{0}, \tilde{\eta}_{0}\right)$ is the initial position and $\left(\tilde{\xi}_{0}^{\prime}, \tilde{\eta}_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is the initial velocity of $P_{3}$ given by formulas (7) and (9) in Makó et al. (2010).

The motion of a particle is said to be weakly stable relative to $P_{2}$, under the PER3BP dynamics, if after leaving $l\left(\alpha, f_{0}\right)$ the particle $P_{3}$ makes a full cycle around $P_{2}$ without going near to $P_{1}$ or crashing into $P_{2}$ and returns to a point on $l\left(\alpha, f_{0}\right)$ with Kepler energy $H_{2}(\gamma(T)) \leq 0$ at the first return time $T$ to half-line $l\left(\alpha, f_{0}\right)$. Otherwise, the motion will be weakly unstable.

García \& Gómez (2007) show, that for each fixed $\left(\alpha, e_{3}\right) \in\left[0^{\circ}, 360^{\circ}\right) \times[0,1)$ there are many changes from weak stability to weak instability and that the set of weakly stable points is a Cantor set.

The García \& Gómez (2007) definition of WSB in the PER3BP model, taking into consideration Ceccaroni, Biggs \& Biasco (2012) is the following.
Definition 1. For all fixed $\left(f_{0}, \alpha, e_{3}\right) \in\left[0^{\circ}, 360^{\circ}\right) \times\left[0^{\circ}, 360^{\circ}\right) \times[0,1)$ we set
$S^{*}\left(f_{0}, \alpha, e_{3}\right)=\left\{r_{2}>0\right.$ : the orbit of test particle with initial condition $\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}, e_{3}\right)\right)$ is weakly stable and the angular velocity $\dot{\vartheta}(T)>0$ at the first return time $T$ to half-line $\left.l\left(\alpha, f_{0}\right)\right\}$.

Then the WSB for fixed value of $f_{0} \in\left[0^{\circ}, 360^{\circ}\right)$ and $e_{3} \in[0,1)$ is defined as:

$$
W\left(f_{0}, e_{3}\right)=\left\{\left(r_{2} \cos \alpha, r_{2} \sin \alpha\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \alpha \in\left[0,360^{\circ}\right) \text { and } r_{2} \in \partial S^{*}\left(f_{0}, \alpha, e_{3}\right)\right\} .
$$

The WSB lies in the transition zone from the connected part of the weakly stable region to the connected part of the weakly unstable region.

Definition 2. The weak stability transition region (WSTR) is the transition zone from the connected part of the weakly stable region to the connected part of the weakly unstable region in the fixed reference frame $P_{2} x y$.


Figure 2. Stable and unstable points for a given true anomaly $f_{0}$ and distance $r_{2}$ with respect to the value of initial velocities in the system $P_{2} x y$.

## 3. The lower boundary curve in the weak stability transition region

Let $f_{0}$ and $e_{3}$ be given, and $\alpha, r_{2}$ be the variable parameters. In the procedure of constructing the WSB, first, we calculate $v_{2}\left(r_{2}, e_{3}\right)$, the magnitude of the velocity and then we examine the weak stability of trajectories for initial values $\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{2}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}, e_{3}\right)\right)$. In this case, the variable parameter is $r_{2}$.

The question is: what are the properties of WSTR if $v_{2}$ is a variable parameter and belongs to an interval $\left[v_{2}^{\min }\left(r_{2}\right), v_{2}^{\max }\left(r_{2}\right)\right]$ ?

For a given $r_{2}$, the smallest value of $v_{2}$ is $v_{2}^{\min }\left(r_{2}\right)$. In Fig. 2 it can be observed that for a given true anomaly $f_{0}$ and distance $r_{2}$, as the velocity increases, the orbits are weakly stable for a while (green dots), and after reaching a certain value, the orbits become weakly unstable (red dots). We can also observe that neither the weakly stable domain nor the weakly unstable domain are connected. By increasing the velocity, in the weakly stable region, they will appear weakly unstable parts.

We observe the following property of the WSTR (see Fig. 2).
Property A: There exist distances $r_{2}$ from $P_{2}$, such that the maximum velocity where the orbit remains weakly stable is strictly larger than the smallest velocity where the orbit becomes weakly unstable.

Next, for a fixed real anomaly $f_{0}$, we define the lower boundary curve of WSTR in the $P_{2} x y$ coordinate system.

Definition 3. The lower boundary curve $L B\left(f_{0}\right)$ of the WSTR is

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B\left(f_{0}\right)= & \left\{\left(r_{2}^{*} \cos \alpha, r_{2}^{*} \sin \alpha\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \alpha \in\left[0^{\circ}, 360^{\circ}\right) \text { and } r_{2}^{*}\right. \text { is the smallest } \\
& \text { distance from } \left.P_{2} \text { on half-line } l\left(\alpha, f_{0}\right), \text { where property A appears }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For a fixed value of $f_{0}$, the defined set $L B\left(f_{0}\right)$ is two dimensional in WSTR, and it has two components corresponding to the direct and retrograde motions.

The Hill sphere (or Roche sphere) of primary $P_{2}$ is the region where the gravitational attraction of $P_{2}$ dominates. In the model of PER3BP, with normalized unit $a(1-e)=1$, the radius of the Hill sphere of primary $P_{2}$ can be approximated (Hamilton \& Burns (1992)) by $R_{P_{2}}=\sqrt[3]{\mu /[3(1-\mu)]}$. The Hill circle $H B$ around $P_{2}$ is

$$
H B=\left\{\left(R_{P_{2}} \cos \alpha, R_{P_{2}} \sin \alpha\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \alpha \in\left[0^{\circ}, 360^{\circ}\right)\right\}
$$

## 4. Case study for Sun-Earth system

In this section, we investigated the weak stability in the PER3BP model of the SunEarth system. For the Sun-Earth system, the mass ratio is $\mu=3.003158242 \cdot 10^{-6}$ and the eccentricity of the elliptical orbit of Earth is $e=0.0167$.

First, we classify the weak stability of the orbits based on the initial distance $r_{2}$ and initial velocity $v_{2}$, where $f_{0}=0^{\circ}$ and $\alpha=45^{\circ}$ (Fig. 3). The initial velocities are directly guided. Initial conditions for weakly unstable orbits are marked with red, the initial values for weakly stable orbits with green. The yellow points give the initial values of the collision orbits with the Earth (the trajectories where the distance between the massless particle and Earth will be equal to the radius of the Earth at some time: $\left\|P_{3} P_{2}\right\|=R_{E}$ $=6378 \mathrm{~km}$ ). The second panel of Fig. 3 is an enlarged part of the first panel.


Figure 3. Weak stability in system $\left(r_{2}, v_{2}\right)$. Initial values for weakly unstable orbits are marked with the color red, the initial values for weakly stable orbits with the color green. The yellow points give the initial values of the collision orbits with the Earth. The second panel is the enlarged part of the first panel.


Figure 4. The lower boundary curve of WSTR in the Sun-Earth system for direct and retrograde initial velocities in $P_{2} x y$ coordinate system, where $f_{0} \in\left\{0^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right\}$. The points of $L B\left(f_{0}\right)$ are marked by color red. The inner circle is the orbit of the Moon and the outer circle plots the Hill circle. The curve near the red dots shows the best fitting lemniscate.

The two black curves represent the circular (C) and escape (E) velocity for a given distance in two body problem regarding to the planet Earth. The first vertical line (red line) indicates the position of boundary point corresponding to the angle $\alpha=45^{\circ}$ of $L B\left(0^{\circ}\right)$. At this distance $r_{2}^{*}$, the property A appears for the first time. The second vertical line indicates the position corresponding to the radius of the Hill sphere.

Fig. 4 illustrates the lower boundary curve $L B\left(f_{0}\right)$ in coordinate system $P_{2} x y$, when $f_{0} \in\left\{0^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}\right\}$ and the initial conditions give direct or retrograde motions. The points of $L B\left(f_{0}\right)$ are marked by color red. The inner circle is the orbit of the Moon and the outer circle plots the Hill circle.

Remark 4. In Fig. 4 it can be observed, that the approximation of the lower boundary curve in analytical form can be obtained by fitting a quadratic plane curve to the determined points (red dots). Moreover, in the case of direct motion, the red dots are more

Table 1. The optimal fitting parameters

|  | $f_{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{L}$ | $\boldsymbol{L}$ | $\boldsymbol{L}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| inf |  |  |  |  |

dispersed for $f_{0}=0^{\circ}$ than for $f_{0}=180^{\circ}$. In the case of retrograde motion, the boundary curves become blurred (with greater dispersion). The points of the $L B\left(f_{0}\right)$ are very important in the design of ballistic escape trajectories in the Sun-Earth system. In the graph of direct motion on Fig. 4, we observe weakly unstable points (red dots) around the Moon's orbit in the vicinity of $\alpha=45^{\circ}$.

The $L B\left(f_{0}\right)$ is approximated by a Bernoulli lemniscate (Fig. 4). Thus, an analytical formula is obtained to estimate the lower bound of WSTR. If we fit the lemniscate of Bernoulli : $r^{2}=L^{2} \cos ^{2}(\alpha-\delta)$ to the points of $L B\left(f_{0}\right)$ then we obtain the optimal fitting parameters reported in Tab. 1. When $d$ is the half-distance between the focal points $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ of the lemniscate then $L=d \sqrt{2}$. The $\delta$ is the angle between the line $F_{1} F_{2}$ and axis $O x$.

The first column of Table 1 indicates that the motion is direct (D.) or retrograde (R.). In the second column the value of the true anomaly and in the third column the length ( $L[\mathrm{~km}]$ ) of the best-fitting lemniscate are given. The fourth and fifth columns give the upper and lower limits of the confidence interval of $L$ at a $95 \%$ confidence level. The sixth column gives the width of the confidence interval in km .

The seventh column gives the angle $\delta\left[^{\circ}\right]$ between the semi major-axis of the lemniscate and the axis $O x$. The eighth and ninth columns represent the upper and lower limits of the confidence interval of $\delta$ at $95 \%$ confidence level. The last column gives the width of the confidence interval of $\delta$ in degrees.

## 5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, the velocity is also considered as a variable parameter in the study of weak stability. The lower boundary curve is defined in the weak stability transitionregion (WSTR). As an application, the lower boundary curve of WSTR is numerically determined in the PER3BP model of the Sun-Earth system. The location of the lower boundary curve is compared to the Earth-Moon mean distance. We show that the lower boundary curve can be approximated by a Bernoulli lemniscate.

The analysis shows that, if we ignore the mean inclination of Moon's orbit from the ecliptic $\left(i=5.15^{\circ}\right)$, the orbit of the Moon is near to the lower boundary of the WSTR in the system $P_{2} x y$ (the first two panel of Fig. 4). The orbit of the Moon intersects $L B\left(f_{0}\right)$ at four points, approximately: $\alpha_{1}=41^{0}, \alpha_{2}=45^{0}, \alpha_{3}=221^{0}, \alpha_{4}=225^{\circ}$. If the true anomaly of the Moon relative to Earth is approximately in $\left[41^{0}, 45^{\circ}\right] \cup\left[221^{0}, 225^{0}\right]$, then the Moon is below the lower boundary of the WSTR (i.e. the motion is weakly stable), otherwise, it is in the weak stability transition region.

Another interesting situation is when the angle between the directions of Sun-Earth and Earth-test particle is $135^{\circ}$. In this case, the weakly unstable points are very close to Earth. From these points escape trajectories can be designed even if the initial velocity is smaller than the escape velocity.

The simplicity and enough precise estimation of the lower boundary curve raises the question on whether the equation of the lower boundary curve can be analytically derived in the ER3BP or CR3BP model.
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