ON THE ORDER OF MAGNITUDE OF JACOBSTHAL'S FUNCTION

by R. C. VAUGHAN (Received 5th January 1976)

Let n be an integer with n > 1. Jacobsthal (3) defines g(n) to be the least integer so that amongst any g(n) consecutive integers a + 1, $a + 2, \ldots, a + g(n)$ there is at least one coprime with n. In other words, if

$$G(j) = \min_{a} \sum_{\substack{m=a+1\\(m,n)=1}}^{a+j} 1,$$
 (1)

then

$$g(n) = \min_{G(j)>0} j.$$
 (2)

It is probably true that

$$g(n) \leqslant \omega(n)^{1+\epsilon} \tag{3}$$

where $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of different prime divisors of n, and Erdős (1) has pointed out that by the small sieve it is possible to show that there is a constant C such that

$$g(n) \leqslant \omega(n)^c. \tag{4}$$

The purpose of this short note is to show that in (4) C can be taken arbitrarily close to 2. Iwaniec (2, Theorem 2) has shown this in the special case when n is the product of the first r primes in order of magnitude. Any further substantial progress towards (3) probably requires a fundamental new idea. That 2 is the best that can be done by the method below is due to the usual inability of the one dimensional sieve to handle moduli larger than about the square root of the length of the interval under examination. Moreover, that any further improvement must lie very deep is indicated by the work of Kanold (4), (5) which shows that Linnik's celebrated theorem on the least prime in arithmetic progression follows easily from (4) with C < 2.

Theorem. Suppose that n > 1. Then

$$g(n) \ll \omega(n)^2 (\log 2\omega(n))^4$$

Proof. Write

$$r = \omega(n) \tag{5}$$

and let

$$h = [e^{2A} r^2 (\log 2r)^4]$$
(6)

(7)

(9)

of the

330

where A is a sufficiently large constant. Clearly it can be supposed that
$$r > r_0(A)$$
. The first step in the proof is to compare n with

 $X = h^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-A}$

$$P = P(X) = \prod_{p < X} p.$$
(8)

Let

and

 $T = \sum_{\substack{m=a+1\\(m,n)=1}}^{a+h} 1$ $S = \sum_{\substack{m=a+1 \ (m, P)=1}}^{a+h} 1.$ (10)

Consider those m coun r(m,n)=1or there exists a prime Hence, by (9),

theorem.

By (6), (7), (10) and the Corollary to Theorem 1 of Iwaniec (2) (the Rosser sieve),

 $S \gg Ah/\log^2 h$

 $T \ge S + O(h^{\frac{1}{2}}r e^{A})$

since A is sufficiently large. Hence, by (6), (7) and (11),

$$\gg Ah/\log^2 h$$

again using that A is sufficiently large. This completes the proof

REFERENCES

(1) P. ERDŐS, On the integers relatively prime to n and on a number-theoretic function considered by Jacobsthal, Math. Scand.10 (1962), 163-170.

(2) H. IWANIEC, On the error term in the linear sieve, Acta Arithmetic 19 (1971), 1-30.

$$+\sum_{\substack{p>X\\p|n}}\sum_{\substack{m=a+1\\p|m}}^{a+h} 1 \le T + \sum_{\substack{p>X\\p|n}} (h/p+1).$$

$$T \ge S - r(h/X + 1). \tag{11}$$

$$S \le T + \sum_{\substack{p > X \ p \mid n}} \sum_{\substack{m = a+1 \ p \mid m}} 1 \le T + \sum_{\substack{p > X \ p \mid n}} (h/p + 1).$$

$$T + \sum \sum_{i=1}^{a+h} 1 \le T + \sum (h/p+1).$$

$$\sum_{\substack{N \\ |p| m}} \sum_{\substack{m=a+1 \\ p \mid m}}^{a+h} 1 \le T + \sum_{\substack{p > X \\ p \mid n}} (h/p+1).$$

the number p such that
$$p|(m, n)$$
 a

$$T + \sum_{n \ge V} \sum_{m=n+1}^{a+h} 1 \le T + \sum_{n \ge V} (h/p + 1)$$

ted in (10). For each such m, by (8), eithe
number p such that
$$p|(m, n)$$
 and $p > X$.
 $T + \sum_{n=1}^{a+h} 1 \le T + \sum_{n=1}^{a+h} (h/p + 1).$

tim (10). For each such *m*, by (8), end
timber *p* such that
$$p|(m, n)$$
 and $p >$
 $f = \sum_{n \ge 1} \sum_{m=1}^{a+h} 1 \le T + \sum_{n \ge 1} (h/p + 1).$

(3) E. JACOBSTHAL, Über Sequenzen ganzer Zahlen von denen keine zu n teilerfremd ist, I-III, Norske Videnske. Selsk, Forh. Trondheim 33 (1960), 117-139, IV, ibid. 34 (1961), 1-7, V, ibid. 34 (1961), 110-115.

(4) H.-J. KANOLD, Über Primzahlen in arithmetischen Folgen, Math. Ann. 156 (1964), 393-395, II, ibid. 157 (1965), 358-362.

(5) H.-J. KANOLD, Über eine zahlentheoretische Funktion von Jacobsthal, Math. Ann. 170 (1967), 314-326.

IMPERIAL COLLEGE, London, S.W.7.