# A TWO-POINT BOUNDARY PROBLEM FOR ORDINARY SELF-ADJOINT DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF FOURTH ORDER 

H. M. and R. L. STERNBERG

1. Introduction. The purpose of this note is to establish Theorem A below for the two-point homogeneous vector boundary problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[P_{0}(x) u^{\prime \prime}\right]^{\prime \prime}-\left[P_{1}(x) u^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+P_{2}(x) u=0,}  \tag{1.1}\\
u\left(x_{1}\right)=u^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=0=u^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)=u\left(x_{2}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the $P_{i}(x)$ are given real $m \times m$ symmetric matrix functions of $x$ with $P_{0}(x)$ positive definite and $P_{i}(x)$ of class $C^{2-i}$ on an infinite interval $[a, \infty)$, and where by a solution of (1.1) - (1.2) for $a \leqslant x_{1}<x_{2}<\infty$ we understand a real $m$-dimensional column vector $u=u(x)$ of class $C^{2}$ on $[a, \infty)$ which is such that $P_{i}(x) u^{(2-i)}$ is of class $C^{2-i}$ on $[a, \infty)$ and which satisfies (1.1) - (1.2) with the former a vector identity on $[a, \infty)$.

Theorem A. If for some real number $k_{0}>0$ each of the matrices $P_{0}(x)-k_{0} I$, $P_{1}(x)$ and $P_{2}(x)$ is negative semi-definite on $[a, \infty)$ and if there exists an $a_{0} \geqslant a$ such that for arbitrary $x_{1}, x_{2}$ satisfying $a_{0} \leqslant x_{1}<x_{2}<\infty$ the only solution $u=u(x)$ of (1.1) - (1.2) is the trivial solution $u(x) \equiv 0$ on $[a, \infty)$ then the improper matrix integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{\infty} P_{1}(x) d x, \quad \int_{a}^{\infty} x^{2} P_{2}(x) d x, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

exist and for each $m$-dimensional constant vector $\pi$ satisfying $\pi^{*} \pi=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left|x \pi^{*} \int_{x}^{\infty} P_{1}(t) d t \pi\right| \leqslant 2 k_{0}, \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left|x \pi^{*} \int_{x}^{\infty} t^{2} P_{2}(t) d t \pi\right| \leqslant 8 k_{0} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note in passing that Theorem A can be considered to be an analogue for the present problem of non-oscillation theorems of Hille (1) and Wintner (4) for an ordinary linear differential equation of the second order; see in particular ( $1, \S 1$ ). This analogy follows at once from the process employed in the proof below in which (1.1) is transformed into a vector differential system of the second order and twice the dimensionality for which the identical vanishing of the only solution of (1.1) - (1.2) corresponds to non-oscillation of the transformed system in the sense of Sternberg (3, §2). Theorem A is also a partial converse of a theorem essentially given by Kaufman and Sternberg

[^0](2, §§1 and 3) for a higher order homogeneous two-point boundary problem of which (1.1) - (1.2) is a special case.
2. Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $a_{0}>0$. It has been noted in effect in (2, $\S \$ 2$ and 3) that a solution $u=u(x)$ of (1.1) defines by the relations $y_{1}=u, y_{2}=u^{\prime}, \quad \mu=P_{1}(x) u^{\prime}-\left[P_{0}(x) u^{\prime \prime}\right]^{\prime}$ a solution $y=y(x) \equiv\left(y_{j}(x)\right),(j=1,2), \mu=\mu(x)$ of the system of $2 m+m$ ordinary linear differential equations
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
L[y, \mu] \equiv\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & P_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right)\binom{y_{1}}{y_{2}}^{\prime}+\binom{I}{0} \mu\right]^{\prime} \\
 \tag{2.1}\\
\quad-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P_{2}(x) & 0 \\
0 & P_{1}(x)
\end{array}\right)\binom{y_{1}}{y_{2}}+\binom{0}{-I} \mu\right]=0, \\
\Phi[y] \equiv(I 0)\binom{y_{1}}{y_{2}}^{\prime}+(0-I)\binom{y_{1}}{y_{2}}=0
\end{array}\right. \\
\hline
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

in the sense of (3, §2) and that this system is a special case of the system (2.1) of (3,§2), the elements $y_{1}=y_{1}(x), y_{2}=y_{2}(x)$ and $\mu=\mu(x)$ being $m$-dimensional column vectors. We now observe conversely that a solution $y=y(x)$, $\mu=\mu(x)$ of (2.1) in the sense of $(3, \S 2)$ defines by the relation $u=y_{1}$ a solution $u=u(x)$ of (1.1) in the sense stated earlier; moreover, from the form of (1.2) and the equation $\Phi[y]=0$ in (2.1) it follows that if for $a_{0} \leqslant x_{1}<x_{2}<\infty$ the only solution $u=u(x)$ of (1.1)-(1.2) is the trivial solution $u(x) \equiv 0$ then the system (2.1) is non-oscillatory on $\left[a_{0}, \infty\right)$ in the sense of (3, §2). Hence, under the hypotheses of Theorem A we have by Lemma 3.2 of $(3, \S 3)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left[\eta ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right] \equiv \int_{a_{0}}^{b_{0}}\left[\eta^{* \prime} G(x) \eta^{\prime}-\eta^{*} F(x) \eta\right] d x>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $b_{0}>a_{0}$ and arbitrary admissible variations $\eta=\eta(x) \equiv\left(\eta_{j}(x)\right) \not \equiv 0$, $(j=1,2)$ on $\left[a_{0}, b_{0}\right]$ satisfying $\eta\left(a_{0}\right)=0=\eta\left(b_{0}\right)$ and $\Psi[\eta] \equiv \psi(x) \eta^{\prime}=0$ where similarly as in (2, §2)

$$
\begin{align*}
G(x)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & P_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right), F(x) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-P_{2}(x), & -x P_{2}(x) \\
-x P_{2}(x), & -P_{1}(x)-x^{2} P_{2}(x)
\end{array}\right),  \tag{2.3}\\
\psi(x) & =(I x I),
\end{align*}
$$

and by definition in (3, §3) $\eta_{1}(x)$ and $\eta_{2}(x)$ are $m$-dimensional column vectors of class $D^{\prime}$, the equation $\Psi[\eta]=0$ being required to hold merely in a piecewise manner on $\left[a_{0}, b_{0}\right.$ ].

Next, employing the symmetry and negative semi-definiteness of the matrices $P_{1}(x)$ and $P_{2}(x)$ on $[a, \infty)$ to establish the existence of real $m \times m$ matrices $Q_{i}(x)$ such that $P_{i}(x)=-Q_{i}{ }^{*}(x) Q_{i}(x)$ on $[a, \infty)$ and, consequently, also such that

$$
F(x)=K^{*}(x) K(x), \quad K(x)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q_{2}(x) & x Q_{2}(x)  \tag{2.4}\\
0 & Q_{1}(x)
\end{array}\right) \text { on }[a, \infty),
$$

we note that the matrix $F(x)$ is positive semi-definite on $[a, \infty)$.

Now consider for $a_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}<x_{3}<x_{4}<b_{0}$ the admissible variation $\eta=\eta(x) \equiv\left(\eta_{j}(x)\right),(j=1,2)$ defined on $\left[a_{0}, b_{0}\right]$ for each $m$-dimensional constant vector $\pi$ satisfying $\pi^{*} \pi=1$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x^{2}-a_{0}{ }^{2}}{x_{1}-a_{0}}\right) \pi, \quad \text { on }\left[a_{0}, x_{1}\right],\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+a_{0}\right) \pi \text {, } \\
& \eta_{1}(x)= \begin{cases}-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+a_{0}\right) \pi+\left(\frac{x^{2}-x_{2}{ }^{2}}{x_{3}-x_{2}}\right) \pi, & \text { on }\left[x_{2}, x_{3}\right], \\
-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+a_{0}\right) \pi+\left(x_{3}+x_{2}\right) \pi, & \text { on }\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right],\end{cases} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}+a_{0}\right) \pi+\left(x_{3}+x_{2}\right) \pi-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x^{2}-x_{4}{ }^{2}}{b_{0}-x_{4}}\right) \pi, \quad \text { on }\left[x_{4}, b_{0}\right],  \tag{2.5}\\
& \eta_{2}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left(\frac{x-a_{0}}{x_{1}-a_{0}}\right) \pi, & \text { on }\left[a_{0}, x_{1}\right], \\
\pi, & \text { on }\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \\
\pi-2\left(\frac{x-x_{2}}{x_{3}-x_{2}}\right) \pi, & \text { on }\left[x_{2}, x_{3}\right], \\
-\pi, & \text { on }\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right], \\
\left(\frac{x-b_{0}}{b_{0}-x_{4}}\right) \pi, & \text { on }\left[x_{4}, b_{0}\right],
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

with $x_{4}=x_{3}+\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$ and $b_{0}=x_{4}+\left(x_{1}-a_{0}\right)$ and where $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are not to be confused with the $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ in the statement of the theorem. One readily verifies that for each $\pi$ as described the admissible variation $\eta=\eta(x)$ given by (2.5) satisfies the conditions set forth below (2.2). Hence, employing the positive semi-definiteness of the matrix $F(x)$ on $[a, \infty)$ to obtain from (2.2) the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \eta^{*} F(x) \eta d x \leqslant \int_{a_{0}}^{b_{0}} \eta^{*} F(x) \eta d x<\int_{a_{0}}^{b_{0}} \eta^{*} G(x) \eta^{\prime} d x \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we substitute (2.3) and (2.5) in (2.6) and use the negative semi-definiteness of the matrix $P_{0}(x)-k_{0} I$ on $[a, \infty)$ to establish the chain of relations

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leqslant-\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \pi^{*} P_{1}(x) \pi d x-\frac{1}{4} \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}}\left(2 x-x_{1}-a_{0}\right)^{2} \pi^{*} P_{2}(x) \pi d x \\
& <\int_{a_{0}}^{x_{1}} \frac{\pi^{*} P_{0}(x) \pi}{\left(x_{1}-a_{0}\right)^{2}} d x+4 \int_{x_{2}}^{x_{5}} \frac{\pi^{*} P_{0}(x) \pi}{\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right)^{2}} d x+\int_{x_{0}}^{b_{0}} \frac{\pi^{*} P_{0}(x) \pi}{\left(b_{0}-x_{4}\right)^{2}} d x  \tag{2.7}\\
& \leqslant \frac{k_{0}}{x_{1}-a_{0}}+\frac{4 k_{0}}{x_{3}-x_{2}}+\frac{k_{0}}{b_{0}-x_{4}}=\frac{2 k_{0}}{x_{1}-a_{0}}+\frac{4 k_{0}}{x_{3}-x_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $m$-dimensional constant vector $\pi$ satisfying $\pi^{*} \pi=1$. Since $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ are arbitrary numbers satisfying $a_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}<x_{3}$ it is clear that we may make $x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{3}-x_{2}$ as large as we please. Hence, employing the symmetry
and negative semi-definiteness of the matrices $P_{1}(x)$ and $P_{2}(x)$ on $[a, \infty)$ once more it follows from (2.7) that each of the improper matrix integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{1}}^{\infty} P_{1}(x) d x \text { and } \int_{x_{1}}^{\infty}\left(2 x-x_{1}-a_{0}\right)^{2} P_{2}(x) d x \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists and that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leqslant-\left(x_{1}-a_{0}\right) \pi^{*} \int_{x_{1}}^{\infty} P_{1}(x) d x \pi \leqslant 2 k_{0} \\
& 0 \leqslant-\left(x_{1}-a_{0}\right) \pi^{*} \int_{x_{1}}^{\infty}\left(2 x-x_{1}-a_{0}\right)^{2} P_{2}(x) d x \pi \leqslant 8 k_{0} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $\pi$ satisfying $\pi^{*} \pi=1$ as before. The conclusions of Theorem A now follow readily.
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