# NEW LATTICE PACKINGS OF SPHERES 

E. S. BARNES AND N. J. A. SLOANE

1. Introduction. In this paper we give several general constructions for lattice packings of spheres in real $n$-dimensional space $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and complex space $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. These lead to denser lattice packings than any previously known in $\mathbf{R}^{36}, \mathbf{R}^{64}, \mathbf{R}^{80}, \ldots, \mathbf{R}^{128}, \ldots$ A sequence of lattices is constructed in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ for $n=24 m \leqq 98328$ (where $m$ is an integer) for which the density $\Delta$ satisfies $\log _{2} \Delta \approx-(1.25 \ldots) n$, and another sequence in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ for $n=2^{m}$ ( $m$ any integer) with

$$
\log _{2} \Delta \sim-\frac{1}{2} n \log _{2} \log _{2} n
$$

The latter appear to be the densest lattices known in very high dimensional space. (See, however, the Remark at the end of this paper.) In dimensions around $2^{16}$ the best lattices found are about $2^{131000}$ times as dense as any previously known.

Minkowski proved in 1905 (see [20] and Eq. (23) below) that lattices exist with $\log _{2} \Delta>-n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but no infinite family of lattices with this density has yet been constructed. Lattices with $\log _{2} \Delta \sim-\frac{1}{4} n \log _{2} n$ were given in [2] (see also 2.2 (e) below), nonlattice packings with $\log _{2} \Delta \sim-\frac{1}{2} n \log _{2} \log _{2} n$ were given in [14], [15], and nonlattices with $\log _{2} \Delta>-6 n+o(n)$ in [21]. The latter two families of packings were obtained by applying Construction $C$ of [15] to certain sequences of codes.

Our first construction, Construction D (see 2.1), resembles Construction $C$ in that it is also based on a sequence of codes, but differs in producing lattices provided only that the codes are binary, linear and nested. In this way we obtain new record densities for lattices in dimensions 36 , 64 , etc., and an infinite sequence of lattices with

$$
\log _{2} \Delta \sim-\frac{1}{2} n \log _{2} \log _{2} n
$$

(see 2.2 (f) and Table I). We also give a complex version of Construction D (2.3), which applies to codes over $G F(3)$ and $G F(4)$, and another version, Construction $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ (2.5), which defines a new lattice by congruences (obtained from the parity-check equations defining a nested family of codes) rather than by a set of generating vectors.

In a recent paper Bos [3] has generalized Construction $C$ so as to combine several copies of an $m$-dimensional lattice $\Lambda$ to produce what is

[^0]Table I Center density $\delta$ of $n$-dimensional lattice packing $B_{n}$ obtained from BCH codes. (*: equals old record; **: new record)

| $n$ | $\log _{2} \delta$ | $n$ | $\log _{2} \delta$ | $n$ | $\log _{2} \delta$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $-3^{*}$ | 256 | $250^{* *}$ | 16384 | $57819^{* *}$ |
| 8 | $-4^{*}$ | 512 | $698^{* *}$ | 32768 | $130510^{* *}$ |
| 16 | $-4^{*}$ | 1024 | $1817^{* *}$ | 65536 | $29098^{* *}$ |
| 32 | $0^{*}$ | 2048 | $4502^{* *}$ | 131072 | $642300^{* *}$ |
| 64 | 19 | 4096 | $10794^{* *}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 128 | 85 | 8192 | $25224^{* *}$ |  |  |

in general a nonlattice packing in dimension $m n$ (Construction $C$ is the case $m=1$ ). In Section 3 we give a new general construction which was inspired by Bos's, but differs from it in always producing lattices. Our construction also differs from his in making essential use of a certain linear transformation $T$ that maps the minimal vectors of $\Lambda$ into "deep holes" in that lattice, i.e., into points of $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ which are at maximal distance from the nearest point of $\Lambda$ (cf. [7], [10]). By means of this construction we are able to construct several new and very dense lattices having the same density as Bos's nonlattice packings (see 3.7 and Table II). Furthermore, using knowledge of the holes in the Leech lattice (see [7], [9], [10]), we use that lattice to construct the extremely good sequence of lattices in dimensions $24 m \leqq 98328$ mentioned in the opening paragraph (see 3.7 (d) and Table III). Finally, Table IV shows the quite spectacular improvement obtained in dimensions around $2^{16}$.

Notation. (See [15], [17], [20], [22].) The norm of a vector $x$ is its squared length $x \cdot x$. The minimal norm $M$ of a lattice $L$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is min $\{x \cdot x \mid x \in L, x \neq 0\}$, its determinant $\operatorname{det} L$ is the volume of a fundamental parallelepiped, and the density of the packing is

$$
\Delta=\left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{M}\right)^{n} V_{n} / \operatorname{det} L
$$

where $V_{n}$ is the volume of a sphere of radius 1 in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. The center density $\delta=\Delta / V_{n}$.

An $[n, k, d]$ code over $G F(q)$ is a linear code containing $q^{k}$ codewords of length $n$ separated by a Hamming distance of at least $d$.

## 2. Constructions $D$ and $D^{\prime}$.

2.1 Construction D. This construction uses a nested family of binary codes to produce a lattice packing $L$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. It generalizes a construction of Barnes and Wall [2], and has some features in common with Construction C of [15], although differing from it in always producing lattice packings.

Let $\gamma=1$ or 2 , and let $C_{0} \supseteq C_{1} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq C_{a}$ be binary linear codes, where $C_{i}$ has parameters $\left[n, k_{i}, d_{i}\right]$, with $d_{i} \geqq 4^{i} / \gamma$ for $i=1, \ldots, a$,
and $C_{0}$ is the trivial $[n, n, 1]$ code $G F(2)^{n}$. Choose a basis $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ for $G F(2)^{n}$ such that $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k i}$ span $C_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, a$. Define the map $\sigma_{i}: G F(2) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $\sigma_{i}(x)=x / 2^{i-1}(x=0$ or 1$)$, for $i=1, \ldots, a$, and let the same symbol $\sigma_{i}$ denote the map $G F(2)^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ given by

$$
\sigma_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(\sigma_{i}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma_{i}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Also let $k_{a+1}=0$. Then the new lattice $L$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ consists of all vectors of the form
(1) $l+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k_{i}} \alpha_{j}{ }^{(i)} \sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right)$,
where $l \in(2 \mathbf{Z})^{n}$ and $\alpha_{j}{ }^{(i)}=0$ or 1 .
Theorem 1. $L$ is a lattice, with minimal norm at least $4 / \gamma$, determinant
(2) $\quad \operatorname{det}(L)=2^{n-\sum_{i=1}^{a} k_{i}}$
and hence center density
(3) $\delta \geqq \gamma^{-n / 2} 2^{\sum_{i=1}^{a} k_{i-n}}$.

This is a consequence of Theorem 3 below.
An integral basis for $L$ is given by the vectors $\sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots$, $a, j=k_{i+1}+1, \ldots, k_{i}$, plus $n-k_{1}$ vectors of the shape ( $0, \ldots, 0,2,0$, ..., 0).
2.2. Examples. (a) If $a=\gamma=1$ and $C_{1}$ has minimum distance 4, Construction D coincides with an important special case of Construction A of [15]. A typical example is obtained when $C_{1}$ is the [8, 4, 4] Hamming code (see [17]). We may take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=(1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0), \\
& c_{2}=(0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1), \\
& c_{3}=(1,1,0,0,1,1,0,0), \\
& c_{4}=(1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0), \\
& c_{5}=(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0), \\
& c_{6}=(0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0), \\
& c_{7}=(0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0), \\
& c_{8}=(0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $L$ is a version of the $E_{8}$ lattice (see [5], [10], [15]), is spanned by
the rows of the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and has minimal norm 4 , determinant $2^{4}$, and $\delta=2^{-4}$.
(b) When $a=\gamma=2, C_{1}$ is the trivial $[n, n-1,2]$ code, and $C_{2}$ has minimum distance 8 , Construction D coincides with an important special case of Construction B of [15]. For example if $C_{2}$ is the $[16,5,8]$ ReedMuller code (see [17]) we obtain Barnes and Wall's 16-dimensional lattice $\Lambda_{16}$ with center density $\delta=2^{-4}$ (see [2], [10], [15]).
(c) Whenever Construction C of [15] can be applied to codes which are linear and nested, Construction D produces a lattice packing with the same density.

For example let $C_{1}$ and $C_{3}$ be the trivial $[36,35,2]$ and $[36,1,36]$ codes, and let $C_{2}$ be the $[36,20,8$ ] code found by Rao and Reddy [19]. Then $L$ is a 36-dimensional lattice with $\delta=4$, a new record. Similarly one can obtain $\delta=\sqrt{2}, 2$ and $2 \sqrt{2}$ in dimensions 33,34 and 35 respectively. Bos [3] had used Construction $C$ with these codes to obtain nonlattice packings with the same densities.
(d) We obtain a new record of $\delta=2^{22}$ for lattice packings in $\mathbf{R}^{64}$ using the following sequence of extended cyclic codes: $[64,64,1] \supseteq[64,57,4]$〇 $[64,28,16] \supseteq[64,1,64]$ (cf. § 6.6 of $[\mathbf{1 5}]$ ).
(e) Generalizing Example (b), if the $C_{i}$ are all Reed-Muller codes of length $n=2^{m}$, we obtain a sequence of lattices found by Barnes and Wall [2]. Asymptotically these have $\log _{2} \Delta \sim-\frac{1}{4} n \log _{2} n$, and contact number

$$
\tau=(2+2)\left(2+2^{2}\right) \ldots\left(2+2^{m}\right) \sim 4.768 \ldots 2^{m(m+1) / 2}
$$

Nonlattice packings with the same parameters had been obtained in [15] using Construction C.
(f) Similarly the $2^{m}$-dimensional nonlattice packings $P 2^{m} b$ obtained from BCH codes in [15] may now be converted to equally dense lattice packings $B_{2^{m}}$. We have calculated their density by computer for $m \leqq 17$, and the results are shown in Table I. The BCH bound [17, Chapter 7] was used to estimate the dimension of the codes involved, so except in small dimensions the actual density of these packings may be slightly greater
than is shown in the table. (Tables of BCH codes of modest length are given in [17], [18].) In Tables I-III, a star indicates that the density equals the old record for a lattice packing, while two stars indicate a new record. Asymptotically the density of $B_{n}, n=2^{m}$, satisfies

$$
\log _{2} \Delta \sim-\frac{1}{2} n \log _{2} \log _{2} n
$$

(as was proved for the corresponding nonlattice packings in [15]). For $n \geqq 2^{17}$ these seem to be the densest lattices yet constructed, although for $500<n \leqq 98328$ we shall construct denser lattices in 3.7 (d). The contact number of $B_{2^{m}}$ is at present unknown.
2.3. A complex version of Construction D. Just as Constructions A and B of [15] can be generalized to complex lattices (see [23], [24]), so can Construction D. We shall give a version which is applicable to codes over $G F(3)$ and $G F(4)$, although since this has not yet led to any new lattices our treatment will be brief.

The lattices produced are $\mathbf{Z}[\omega]$-modules in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$, where $\omega=e^{2 \pi / / 3}$, or in other words they are closed under addition and under scalar multiplication by the Eisenstein integers $\mathbf{E}=\{a+b \omega \mid a, b \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. The construction works because $\theta=1+2 \omega=\sqrt{-3}$ and 2 are primes in $\mathbf{E}$ with $\mathbf{E} / \theta \mathbf{E} \cong$ $G F(3)$ and $\mathbf{E} / 2 \mathbf{E} \cong G F(4)$ (see [24]).

The complex Construction D. Let $\pi=\theta$ and $q=3$, or $\pi=2$ and $q=4$. Let $C_{0} \supseteq C_{1} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq C_{a}$ be linear codes over $G F(q)$, where $C_{i}$ has parameters $\left[n, k_{i}, d_{i}\right]$ and $C_{0}$ is the trivial $[n, n, 1]$ code. Choose a basis $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ for $G F(q)^{n}$ such that $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k i}$ is a basis for $C_{i}, i=0, \ldots, a$. Define $\sigma_{i}(x)=\pi^{-(i-1)} \cdot x$ and $k_{a+1}=0$. Then the new lattice $L$ in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ consists of all Eisenstein-integral combinations of the vectors $l \in \mathbf{E}^{n}$ and $\sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right)$, for $i=1, \ldots, a$ and $k_{i+1}+1 \leqq j \leqq k_{i}$.
2.4. Examples. (a) With $\pi=\theta$ and $a=1$, the trivial [3, 1, 3] ternary code produces a complex 3 -dimensional lattice for which the corresponding 6 -dimensional real lattice is the root lattice $E_{6}$ (cf. [24, § 5.8.2]). This is perhaps the simplest presentation of $E_{6}$.
(b) When $\pi=\theta, a=1$ and $C_{1}$ is the $[4,2,3]$ ternary code we obtain a complex 4-dimensional version of $E_{8}$ (cf. [24, § 5.8.3]).
(c) When $\pi=\theta, a=2$ and $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are the trivial $[6,5,2]$ and $[6,1,6]$ ternary codes, we obtain a complex 6 -dimensional version of the CoxeterTodd lattice $K_{12}$ (see [11], [24, § 5.8.5]).
(d) When $\pi=2, a=1$ and $C_{1}$ is the $[6,3,4]$ hexacode over $G F(4)$ we again get $K_{12}([\mathbf{2 4}, \S 5.7 .3])$.
2.5. Construction $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$. This construction generalizes another of the constructions in [2], and converts a set of parity-checks defining a family of codes into congruences for a lattice, in the same way that Construction D converts a set of generators for a family of codes into generators for a lattice.

Let $\gamma=1$ or 2 , and let $C_{0} \supseteq C_{1} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq C_{a}$ be binary linear codes, where $C_{i}$ has parameters $\left[n, k_{i}, d_{i}\right]$ and $d_{i} \geqq \gamma \cdot 4^{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, a$. Let $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}$ be linearly independent vectors in $G F(2)^{n}$ such that, for $i=0, \ldots, a, C_{i}$ is defined by the $r_{i}=n-k_{i}$ parity-check vectors $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r i}$, and let $r_{-1}=0$. Considering the vectors $h_{j}$ as integral vectors in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, with components 0 or 1 , we define the new lattice $L^{\prime}$ to consist of those $x \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}$ that satisfy the congruences

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j} \cdot x \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{i+1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i=0, \ldots, a$ and $r_{a-i-1}+1 \leqq j \leqq r_{a-i}$.
Theorem 2. The minimal norm of $L^{\prime}$ is at least $\gamma \cdot 4^{a}$, and
(5) $\operatorname{det} L^{\prime}=2^{\sum_{i=0}^{n} r_{i}}$.

Proof. (5) holds because for each $i=0, \ldots, a$ the lattice satisfies $r_{a-i}-r_{a-i-1}$ independent congruences modulo $2^{i+1}$. The proof of the minimal norm is straightforward and is omitted.

By changing the scale and relabelling the codes, Construction D may be restated in such a way that the norms and determinants of $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ agree. Then if the $C_{i}$ are Reed-Muller codes, as in Example 2.2(e), the two lattices coincide. In general however the two constructions produce inequivalent lattices with the same density.
3. A new general construction. The following rather general construction includes Construction D as a special case. Starting with a lattice $\Lambda$ in $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ that satisfies certain conditions, we form a new lattice in $\mathbf{R}^{m n}$ from a union of cosets of $\Lambda^{n}=\Lambda \oplus \Lambda \oplus \ldots \oplus \Lambda$, the cosets being specified by a family of linear codes $C_{0} \supseteq C_{1} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq C_{a}$ over a field $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{b}\right)$. Construction D corresponds to the case $m=1, \Lambda=2 \mathbf{Z}$.
3.1. Conditions to be satisfied by $\Lambda$. We assume that $\Lambda$ satisfies four conditions.
(i) The minimal vectors of $\Lambda$ span $\Lambda$.
(ii) There is a linear map $T$ from $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ to $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ that sends all the minimal vectors of $\Lambda$ into elements of $\frac{1}{2} \Lambda$ which have norm $R^{2}$ and are at distance $R$ from $\Lambda$.
(iii) There is a positive integer $\nu$ dividing $m$ and an element $A \in \operatorname{Aut}$ ( $\Lambda$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{v}=\frac{1}{2} A \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(A^{2}-A\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} a_{i} T^{i}, \quad a_{i} \in \mathbf{Z} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $b=m / \nu$ and $q=2^{b}$.
(iv) $\Lambda \subseteq T \Lambda$ and
(8) $[T \Lambda: \Lambda]=q$.

It follows from (6) that $T=t P$ where $t=2^{-1 / \nu}$ and $P$ is an orthogonal transformation satisfying $P^{\nu}=A$. If $M$ is the minimal norm of $\Lambda$, we have $t=R / \sqrt{M}$, and from (8)
(9) $\quad t^{m}=|\operatorname{det} T|=2^{-b}=\frac{1}{q}$.

These conditions are quite restrictive, but as we shall see there are several lattices which satisfy them. Condition (7) is imposed only to ensure that the new packing is a lattice, and the construction (see 3.6) may still be successful even if (7) fails. In most of our examples $A=I$ and (7) is trivially satisfied. The construction may be generalized to allow $T$ to map $\Lambda$ into $(1 / p) \Lambda$ for a prime $p>2$, although we shall not discuss this in the present paper.
3.2. Examples. In these examples $R$ is the covering radius of $\Lambda$ and $T$ maps the minimal vectors of $\Lambda$ into deep holes in $\Lambda$ (cf. [7], [10]).
(a) Take $\Lambda=2 \mathbf{Z}, T=\frac{1}{2} I$, where $I$ denotes the identity map; then $m=\nu=1, t=\frac{1}{2}, A=I$ and $q=2$.
(b) Let $\Lambda$ be the root lattice $D_{4}$ (see [1], [5], [8], [15]), in the version in which the minimal vectors have the shape $\left( \pm 2^{1}, 0^{3}\right)$ and $\left( \pm 1^{4}\right)$, and let

$$
T=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

then $T$ does map the minimal vectors of $D_{4}$ into the 24 deep holes with coordinates of the shape $\left( \pm 1^{2}, 0^{2}\right)$ and $m=4, \nu=2, t=1 / \sqrt{2}, A=I$ and $q=4$.
(c) Let $\Lambda$ be the version of $E_{8}$ constructed in Example 2.2(a), with minimal vectors of the shape $\left( \pm 2^{1}, 0^{7}\right)$ and $\left( \pm 1^{4}, 0^{4}\right)$, and let

$$
T=\frac{\frac{1}{4}}{4}\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

then $m=8, \nu=2, t=1 / \sqrt{2}, A=I$ and $q=2^{4}$. Again it is easy to check that $T$ maps minimal vectors into deep holes (cf. [8], [10]).
(d) Let $\Lambda$ be the Leech lattice $\Lambda_{24}([6],[13],[15])$ in Miracle Octad Generator (or MOG) coordinates (see [7], [10]), with det $\Lambda=1, M=4$, and $R=\sqrt{2}$. We take $T=\frac{1}{2}(I+i)$, where $i$ is the element of the Conway group Aut $\left(\Lambda_{24}\right)=\cdot 0$ shown in Figure 1. This element satisfies


Figure 1. The element $i$ of Aut ( $\Lambda_{24}$ ) in MOG notation. In the first column the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ components are to be exchanged, and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$, and then the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ components are negated. Similarly for the other columns.
$i^{2}=-I$, and $T$ maps the minimal vectors of $\Lambda_{24}$ into deep holes of type $A_{1}{ }^{24}$ (see [7], [9]). We have $m=24, \nu=2, t=1 / \sqrt{2}, A=i$ and $q=2^{12}$. Equation (7) reads

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(i^{2}-i\right)=-T
$$

3.3. The lattices $\Lambda_{i}$. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ be minimal vectors of $\Lambda$ that span $\Lambda$. Then $T v_{1}, \ldots, T v_{m}$ span the lattice $T \Lambda$. From (8), $T \Lambda / \Lambda$ is an elementary abelian group of order $q=2^{b}$, so that there are $b$ vectors

$$
u_{1}^{(1)}=T v_{r_{1}}, \ldots, u_{b}^{(1)}=T v_{r_{b}}
$$

for appropriate $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{b}$, such that $T \Lambda / \Lambda$ is isomorphic to the modulo-2 span of $u_{1}{ }^{(1)}, \ldots, u_{b}{ }^{(1)}$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{i}=T^{i} \Lambda \\
& u_{j}^{(i)}=T^{i} v_{r_{j}} \in \Lambda_{i}, j=1, \ldots, b,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. The lattice $\Lambda_{i}$ has minimal norm $t^{2 i} M$, and
(10) dist $\left(u_{j}{ }^{(i)}, \Lambda_{i-1}\right) \geqq t^{i-1} R$.

The vectors in $\Lambda$ will be said to have level 0 , and those in $\Lambda_{i} \backslash \Lambda_{i-1}$ for some $i=1,2, \ldots$ to have level $i$.
3.4. The maps $\sigma_{i}$. Let $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{b}$ be generators for $G F\left(2^{b}\right)$ over $G F(2)$, so that a typical element of $G F\left(2^{b}\right)$ can be written as

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{b} \alpha_{j} \omega_{j}, \quad \alpha_{j}=0 \text { or } 1
$$

Define $\sigma_{i}: G F\left(2^{b}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda_{i}$ by

$$
\sigma_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{b} \alpha_{j} \omega_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{b} \alpha_{j} u_{j}^{(i)}
$$

where on the right-hand side $\alpha_{j}$ is regarded as a real number. We use the same symbol $\sigma_{i}$ for the map $G F\left(2^{b}\right)^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m n}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)=\left(\sigma_{i}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma_{i}\left(\xi_{n}\right)\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, for $\xi \in G F\left(2^{b}\right), \sigma_{i}(\xi)=0$ if and only if $\xi=0$; hence in (11) the number of nonzero components on the right-hand side is equal to the Hamming weight of ( $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$ ), and the norm of the right-hand side of (11) is at least

```
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3.5. The codes $C_{i}$. Let $C_{0} \supseteq C_{1} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq C_{a}$ be linear codes over $G F\left(2^{b}\right)$, where $C_{i}$ has parameters $\left[n, k_{i}, d_{i}\right.$ ] and $C_{0}$ is the trivial $[n, n, 1]$ code. Set $C_{i}=C_{0}$ for $i<0$. Choose vectors $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{b n}$ in $G F\left(2^{b}\right)^{n}$ such that

$$
C_{i}=\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{b k_{i}} \alpha_{j} c_{j} \mid \alpha_{j}=0 \text { or } 1\right\}
$$

for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.
3.6. The construction. Finally, after these preliminaries, we can give the construction. The new lattice $L$ in $\mathbf{R}^{m n}$ consists of all vectors of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=l+\sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b k_{i}} \alpha_{j}^{(i)} \sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l \in \Lambda^{n}$ and $\alpha_{j}{ }^{(i)}=0$ or 1 . If $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{i} \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$, we call the $x_{i}$ the components of $x$.
Theorem 3. Lis a lattice and is fixed under the transformation $\hat{A}$ which applies $A$ simultaneously to each component. The minimal norm of $L$ is (14) $\bar{M}=\min \left\{M, \frac{d_{i} R^{2 i}}{M^{i-1}}\right.$ for $\left.i=1, \ldots, a\right\}$,
and its determinant is
(15) $\operatorname{det} L=\frac{(\operatorname{det} \Lambda)^{n}}{\prod_{i=1}^{a} 2^{b k_{i}}}$.

The center density of $L$ is
(16) $\quad \bar{M}^{m n / 2} / 2^{m n} \operatorname{det} L$.

Proof. Let the level of a vector $x \in L$ of the form (13) be the largest level of any of the components of $x$. Then the $\alpha_{j}{ }^{(i)}$ in (13) are zero when $i$
exceeds the level of $x$. Let $L_{i}$ consist of all points in $L$ of level $\leqq i$, so that $L_{0}=\Lambda^{n}$, and

$$
L_{i}=\left\{y+\sum_{j=1}^{b k_{i}} \alpha_{j}^{(i)} \sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right) \mid y \in L_{i-1}, \alpha_{j}^{(i)}=0 \text { or } 1\right\}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, a$. We first prove that $L_{i}$ is a lattice fixed under $\hat{A}$, using induction on $i$. This is trivially true for $i=0$. For the inductive step we shall show that $-\sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right)$ and $\hat{A} \sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right)$ are in $L_{i}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, b k_{i}$.

Let $\hat{T}$ be the transformation which applies $T$ simultaneously to each component, so that $\hat{T}^{\nu}=\frac{1}{2} \hat{A}$. From the definition of $\sigma_{i}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right)=\hat{T}^{h} \sigma_{i-h}\left(c_{j}\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leqq j \leqq b k_{i}$ and $h=0,1,2, \ldots$. When $h=\nu$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right)=\hat{A} \sigma_{i-\nu}\left(c_{j}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& -\sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right)=\sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right)-\hat{A} \sigma_{i-\nu}\left(c_{j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (7) that

$$
2 \hat{T}^{\nu} \cdot \hat{T}^{\nu} \sigma_{i-\nu}\left(c_{j}\right)=\hat{T}^{\nu} \sigma_{i-\nu}\left(c_{j}\right)+\sum_{h=0}^{\nu-1} a_{h} \hat{T}^{h} \sigma_{i-\nu}\left(c_{j}\right)
$$

for $1 \leqq j \leqq b k_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$, or in other words
(20) $\hat{A} \cdot \sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right)=\sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right)+\sum_{n=0}^{\nu-1} a_{h} \sigma_{i+n-v}\left(c_{j}\right)$.

The identity (19) proves that $L_{i}$ is closed under subtraction (since, by induction, $\left.\hat{A} \sigma_{i-\nu}\left(c_{j}\right) \in L_{i-\nu} \subseteq L_{i-1}\right)$ and hence is a lattice; and (20) shows that $\hat{A}$ leaves $L_{i}$ invariant. Since $L_{a}=L$, this proves the first statement of the theorem.

It is easy to see that the representation (13) of a point $x$ is unique, and so $L$ is the union of $\prod_{i=1}^{a} 2^{b k_{i}}$ cosets of $\Lambda^{n}$. This implies (16).
Finally, to show that the minimal norm of $L$ is given by (14), let $x \neq 0$ be a point of level $i$. If $i=0$ then $N(x) \geqq M$ by the definition of $M$. If $i>0$ we can write $x=y+z$, where $y \in L_{i-1}$ and

$$
z=\sum_{j=1}^{b k_{i}} \alpha_{j}^{(i)} \sigma_{i}\left(c_{j}\right) \neq 0
$$

Since $T \Lambda \subseteq \frac{1}{2} \Lambda, 2 \sigma_{i}(\xi) \in \Lambda_{i-1}$ for all $\xi \in G F\left(2^{b}\right)$. Hence $x=y^{\prime}+z^{\prime}$ where $y^{\prime} \in L_{i-1}$ and $z^{\prime} \neq 0$ has level $i$. Also $z^{\prime}$ has at least $d_{i}$ components of level $i$, namely those in the same positions as the codeword

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{i k_{i}} \alpha_{j}^{(i)} c_{j}
$$

of $C_{i}$. From (12) the norm of $z$ (and therefore of $x$ ) is at least

$$
d_{i} t^{2 i-2} R^{2}=d_{i} R^{2 i} / M^{i-1} .
$$

3.7. Examples (continued). (a) (continued). When $\Lambda=2 Z$ we obtain Construction D. Theorem 1 then follows from Theorem 3.
(c) (continued). Using $\Lambda=E_{8}$ we obtain a number of good lattice packings in dimension $\leqq 136$. We take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}^{(1)}=(1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0), \\
& u_{2}^{(1)}=(1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0), \\
& u_{3}^{(1)}=(1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0), \\
& u_{4}^{(1)}=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $C_{i}$ be an $\left[n, k_{i}=n-2^{i}+1, d_{i}=2^{i}\right.$ ] maximal distance separable code over $G F\left(2^{4}\right)$ (see [17, Chapter 11, Theorem 9]). $C_{i}$ exists provided $2^{i} \leqq n \leqq 17$. The resulting lattices, which exist in dimensions $N=8 n$ for $n \leqq 17$, have the density shown in Table II. In dimensions $8,16,32$ and

Table II Center density $\delta$ of N -dimensional lattices obtained from $E_{8}$.

| $n$ | $N$ | $\log _{2} \delta$ | $n$ | $N$ | $\log _{2} \delta$ | $n$ | $N$ | $\log _{2} \delta$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8 | $-4^{*}$ | 7 | 56 | 12 | 13 | 104 | $60^{* *}$ |
| 2 | 16 | $-4^{*}$ | 8 | 64 | 20 | 14 | 112 | $68^{* *}$ |
| 3 | 24 | -4 | 9 | 72 | $28^{* *}$ | 15 | 120 | $76^{* *}$ |
| 4 | 32 | $0^{*}$ | 10 | 80 | $36^{* *}$ | 16 | 128 | $88^{* *}$ |
| 5 | 40 | $4^{*}$ | 11 | 88 | $44^{* *}$ | 17 | 136 | $100^{* *}$ |
| 6 | 48 | 8 | 12 | 96 | $52^{* *}$ |  |  |  |

40 this density agrees with the present record (see [10], [15]), in dimensions $24,48, \ldots, 72$ it is poor, but in dimensions $80,88, \ldots, 136$ these appear to have a greater density than any lattice previously known. Bos [3] had earlier found nonlattice packings with the same parameters.
(d) (continued). Here $\Lambda=\Lambda_{24}$ and we take $C_{i}$ to be an $\left[n, k_{i}=n\right.$ $\left.-2^{i}+1, d_{i}=2^{i}\right]$ maximal distance separable code over $G F\left(2^{12}\right) . C_{i}$ exists provided $2^{i} \leqq n \leqq 4097$. The construction gives a lattice $Q_{N}$ in dimension $N=24 n$, for $1 \leqq n \leqq 4097$, for which the center density $\delta$ satisfies
(21) $\log _{2} \delta=12\left((m-1) n-2^{m}+m+1\right)$
if $2^{m-1} \leqq n \leqq 2^{m}-1$. Some examples are shown in Table III. The case $n=2$ is the laminated lattice $\Lambda_{48}$ (see [10]). We see that while the density of $Q_{N}$ is poor for $N \leqq 150$, for $150 \leqq N \leqq 500$ it is quite respectable, and for $500<N \leqq 24 \cdot 4097=98328$ these are easily the densest (lattice or nonlattice) packings known. Thus when $n=2^{m}-1$ the unnormalized density $\Delta$ of $Q_{N} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{N}, N=24 n$, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{2} \Delta=-1.25 \ldots N+O\left(\log _{2} N\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table III Center density $\delta$ of $N$-dimensional lattices $Q_{N}$ obtained from the Leech lattice

| $n$ | $N$ | $\log _{2} \delta$ | $n$ | $N$ | $\log _{2} \delta$ | $n$ | $N$ | $\log _{2} \delta$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 24 | $0^{*}$ | 10 | 240 | 228 | 171 | 4104 | $11400^{* *}$ |
| 2 | 48 | 12 | 11 | 264 | 264 | 342 | 8208 | $26808^{* *}$ |
| 3 | 72 | 24 | 21 | 504 | $696^{* *}$ | 683 | 16392 | $61608^{* *}$ |
| 4 | 96 | 48 | 22 | 528 | $744^{* *}$ | 1366 | 32784 | $139488^{* *}$ |
| 5 | 120 | 72 | 43 | 1032 | $1896^{* *}$ | 2731 | 65544 | $311496^{* *}$ |
| 6 | 144 | 96 | 86 | 2064 | $4752^{* *}$ | 4097 | 98328 | $491832^{* *}$ |

from (21) (the coefficient of $N$ is $-\frac{1}{2} \log _{2}(48 / e \pi)$ ), which is not far off the Minkowski lower bound
(23) $\Delta \geqq \frac{\zeta(n)}{2^{n-1}}$
(see [20, p. 4]). Unfortunately the maximal distance separable codes needed to construct $Q_{N}$ have only been constructed for $n \leqq 4097$ (see [17, Chapter 11]). Beyond that one may use BCH codes over $G F\left(2^{12}\right)$ [17, Chapter 9], but the results are not so impressive. Table IV compares

Table IV Comparison of center densities in dimensions near 65536

| lattice | $\log _{2} \delta$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Barnes and Wall (in $\mathbf{R}^{65556}$ ) | 180224 |
| $B_{65536}$ | 290998 |
| $Q_{65520}$ | 311364 |
| $Q_{65544} \quad$ Bounds in R ${ }^{65536}$ : | 311496 |
| Minkowski lower bound <br> Levenshtein (1979) <br> $\quad$ upper bound | 324603 |
| Rogers upper bound | 353768 |

the various densities in dimensions around 65536. This table gives Barnes and Wall's lattice [2] (the old record for a lattice), the BCH lattice $B_{65536}$ (whose density is equal to the old record for a nonlattice packing), $Q_{N}$ for values of $N$ bracketing 65536, the Minkowski lower bound (23), the Rogers upper bound [15, p. 743], and Levenshtein's 1979 upper bound (see [16, Eq. (22)] and [4]). (The Kabatiansky and Levenshtein upper bound (see [12], [25]) appears to be weaker than the last two bounds in this dimension.)

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to A. Bos for allowing us to see a preprint of [3].

Added in proof. In a sequel to this paper even denser lattices have been constructed in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, for $n$ very large. See A. Bos, J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, Further lattice packings in high dimensions, Mathematika 29 (1982), 171-180.
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