

CLAUDE RAWSON

## Introduction

This volume begins with Geoffrey Chaucer, whom Dryden called ‘the Father of *English* Poetry’.<sup>1</sup> Although there is a distinguished tradition of Old and Middle English poetry, from the eighth-century epic *Beowulf* to the anonymous chivalric romance of *Sir Gawain and the Green Knight* in Chaucer’s own time, Chaucer occupies a special place. He had, long before Dryden, been regarded (in the words of the sixteenth-century rhetorician George Puttenham) as holding ‘the first place’ and ‘as the most renowned’ of the earlier English poets.<sup>2</sup> He was one of the first to use the word ‘poete’ in English, applying it to the Italians Dante and Petrarch, as well as to the classical writers Virgil and Lucan. Though he did not use it of himself, or other English writers, he was called poet by others in the sense in which he himself spoke of the great foreign masters as poets. He is, in J. A. Burrow’s description, the first English poet with a distinct personality and a substantial body of work.<sup>3</sup>

Puttenham’s *Arte of English Poesie* (1589) appeared four years before Shakespeare’s first poem, *Venus and Adonis* (1593), from the same printer, Richard Field.<sup>4</sup> Like other poets of his time, Shakespeare wrote with a conscious awareness of the forms and devices codified in rhetorical handbooks like Puttenham’s, an example of the interplay between poetic expressiveness and a set of technical prescriptions which is part of the tension between creativity, convention, and constraint in the poetic process. The application of stylistic formulae and ‘rules’, as well as the ‘imitation’ of earlier poets, were seen as strengths in a way not always appreciated in a later intellectual climate, whose standards emphasize the importance of original genius (though Shakespeare is not often denied the possession of that faculty).

Even if the dates had made it possible, however, Shakespeare was unlikely to have been a candidate for extended discussion in Puttenham’s treatise, whose brief considerations of drama mention some Greek and Latin playwrights, but reflect a contemptuous view of popular entertainments, of ‘the

school of common players' and the 'lasciuious' matter 'vttered by ... buffons or vices in playes'.<sup>5</sup> The fact that the greatest poet in the language is chiefly admired by posterity for his plays, and that it is in these plays that his greatest poetry is to be found, would not have qualified Shakespeare for official recognition in a learned discussion of poetry. David Bevington points out that writing plays had something of the status of ephemeral scriptwriting for film or television today. It was done for money rather than reputation. Sir Thomas Bodley expressly excluded plays, as 'idle bookes, & riffe raffes', from the Bodleian Library at Oxford (founded 1602), so that a wealth of quartos was passed over in spite of an agreement of 1610 that one free copy of each book registered with the Stationers' Company would be deposited in the library.<sup>6</sup> Though his name on the title-page of a play was sometimes considered a marketable asset, Shakespeare did not attach much importance to the publication of his plays, and seems to have preferred to be regarded as a poet, not a playwright.<sup>7</sup>

Poetry, as the oldest of verbal arts, has always enjoyed a privileged status, above the more popular media. (This may seem paradoxical if we remember that poetry has often been linked with the earliest or most primitive forms of human expression.) When, three centuries after Shakespeare, Thomas Hardy was questioned about giving up novel-writing, he declared that he wanted 'to be remembered as a poet', a position provocatively reversed in Auden's remark in 'A Letter to Lord Byron' (1936) that 'novel writing is / A higher art than poetry altogether'.<sup>8</sup> Auden's witty contrariness tends if anything to confirm a prevailing sense of poetry's elite status, in a downbeat oracular mode to which he sometimes reverted ('poetry makes nothing happen').<sup>9</sup>

The example of Hardy's poems shows the large trace of narrative fiction in poetry, including lyric poetry, ever since the emergence of the novel as a major form of literary expression, a lowly Cinderella medium growing to princely status through creative evolution rather than, as in the case of Elizabethan drama, through a process of critical awakening. Much of English poetry since the eighteenth century, including lyrics by Hardy and Auden, and satirical scenes by Eliot, tell or imply a story with partially visible novelistic contours, in a manner quite distinct from the non-narrative verse of lyric or satiric poets before 1750. The same is true of the wry lyrics of Philip Larkin, the last poet treated in this book, who was also the author of two novels in his early years, and wanted to be a novelist rather than a poet, unlike his friend the novelist Kingsley Amis, who, reversing Auden's words, thought poetry the 'higher art'.<sup>10</sup>

Neither this fact, nor the roll-call of novelistic masters summoned up by Auden's 'Letter to Lord Byron', changes the reality of poetry's special place in the literature of all periods. Shakespeare's wish that his reputation should

rest on his poems, though triumphantly overridden by the plays, guided what we know of his chosen mode of self-promotion. The only two works he published with dedications that bear his signature were not his sonnets (now the most admired of his non-dramatic works) but his two Ovidian poems, *Venus and Adonis* and *The Rape of Lucrece* (1594).

*The Rape of Lucrece* was on a theme Chaucer had also treated, in *The Legend of Good Women*, and written in the stanza-form known as rhyme royal, which Chaucer used in *Troilus and Criseyde*, itself a source of Shakespeare's *Troilus and Cressida* (c.1602), his play set in the Trojan War. *The Rape of Lucrece* itself includes an extended account of a tapestry or painting (lines 1366–1568) depicting the Trojan War, which brings out the treachery of the Greek Sinon (lines 1520ff.) and portrays Helen as 'the strumpet that began this stir' (line 1471).<sup>11</sup> This in turn looks forward to the later description of Helen, in *Troilus and Cressida*, as 'a pearl / Whose price hath launched a thousand ships, / And turned crown'd kings to merchants' (II. ii. 80–2), sarcastically rephrasing a famous line in Marlowe's *Dr. Faustus*, which is itself probably echoing a Chorus from Aeschylus's *Oresteia*.<sup>12</sup> Shakespeare's critiques of the heroic ethos, expressed especially in his plays on classical themes, are often bitter. They do not constitute an unvarying rejection of grand styles or even heroic themes, but they put the latter under a degree of critical pressure which later made Shakespeare a model for plays by Jarry, Brecht, and Ionesco, creating a more ambivalent 'heroic' standard than the epics of Homer and Virgil had provided, until the eighteenth century, for writers portraying the decline of heroic values in modern times.

Shakespeare himself never wrote or attempted an epic, though his poems were reprinted more often than any of his plays, and he had a serious reputation as a non-dramatic poet in his lifetime. When Shakespeare dedicated *Venus and Adonis* to the Earl of Southampton, he promised that it would be followed 'with some graver labour', a gesture which might be thought to carry some suggestion of a future great work, possibly of the epic kind, though Shakespeare seems to have been alluding to *The Rape of Lucrece*.<sup>13</sup> (The *Sonnets*, 1609, nowadays regarded as his greatest non-dramatic achievement, may have been published without Shakespeare's cooperation.)<sup>14</sup> The Virgilian *cursus*, a poetic progress from the lowly genre of pastoral to the heights of poetic accomplishment in a great national epic, was not Shakespeare's pathway to greatness, though it was a common aspiration for Renaissance poets, and expressly and self-consciously adopted by both Spenser and Milton. As late as Pope, who made his literary debut by publishing four *Pastorals* in 1709, exactly a century after the publication of Shakespeare's *Sonnets*, the epic aspiration was visibly advertised, and

though Pope never completed an epic either, the ambition remained to the end of his life. In Pope's lifetime, it was Milton, the author of *Paradise Lost*, and not Shakespeare, who had the status of an honorary classic, named and used as a live poetic model alongside Homer and Virgil. At the time of his 'Preface to Shakespeare' (1765), Samuel Johnson thought Shakespeare was beginning, through the passage of time, to acquire 'the dignity of an ancient'.<sup>15</sup> As the classical hierarchy of literary genres gradually loosened, Shakespeare's reputation as the great national poet, not only of England but of humanity (if the paradox may be permitted), began to develop.

Milton was the last great European poet successfully to fashion his career on the Virgilian programme, though his way of doing so was characteristically conflicted, one of several intellectual and spiritual oppositions (between his Puritan religious convictions and a profoundly humanist outlook, or his political radicalism and a deep attachment to classical values and traditional literary forms) which mark Milton's imagination.<sup>16</sup> He expressed at the age of nineteen his ambitions as a poet, the ultimate or 'last' of which was to sing 'of Kings and Queens and Hero's old', on the model of the bard at King Alcinous's court in Homer's *Odyssey*, and spoke more than once of future epic plans, whether on a national (Arthurian) theme or a classical or scriptural subject.<sup>17</sup> When *Paradise Lost* (1667–74) appeared, the last great epic in the Homeric-Virgilian manner, it embodied a stinging attack on the epic for its celebration of martial valour and battlefield carnage. Milton's creation of an epic that rejected the epic was responding to a moment when anti-war sentiment, and a variety of other social and cultural forces, were making it impossible for good poets to write epics, as for example the great poets of the two succeeding generations, Dryden and Pope, also aspired, but unlike Milton failed, to do. Milton's War in Heaven in *Paradise Lost*, VI, where no one gets hurt in human terms because they are celestial beings 'incapable of mortal injurie', provided a blueprint for English mock-heroic, which tended to be more protective of the high epic, with its tarnished militarist reputation, than Milton was himself.<sup>18</sup>

Neither Dryden nor Pope completed an epic, however, and both displaced the epic impulse in translations of Virgil and Homer, writing epics as it were by proxy, or in mock-heroic poems in which high styles could to some extent be preserved behind a cordon sanitaire of irony. The mock-heroic, in *Mac Flecknoe* and later in Pope's *Dunciad*, attempts (as Dryden suggested in his 'Discourse Concerning Satire' of 1693) to retain the majesty of heroic originals even as it misapplies them to subheroic subjects.<sup>19</sup> Throughout its brief history as a dominant literary form, mock-heroic attempted to transcend the parodic joke that brought it into being, and never quite succeeded, though it achieved exceptional triumphs of its own. As Auden said, '*The Dunciad*

is not only a great poem but also the only poem in English which is at once comic and sublime.<sup>20</sup> The attempt to find a style commensurate with the epic, which could only be found in satirical imitations of the epic, coexisted with an urge to jettison the satiric note. This, in turn, could only be achieved when the epic impulse itself ceased to exercise its ambivalent and contending pressures. We see the result in Johnson's *Vanity of Human Wishes* and the learned allusive gravity of Eliot's *Waste Land*. The grim urban sublimities of Baudelaire's Paris and T. S. Eliot's London have a significant kinship with the lofty disorders of Dryden's Barbican and the London of the *Dunciad*, as Eliot himself recognized.<sup>21</sup>

The epic was a principal poetic repository for elevated styles, which sometimes imitated the grammatical inflections as well as the rhetorical formulae of the Greek and Latin poets. As the sustainability of epic style became problematic, an important resource of eloquence became increasingly unavailable to poets. Milton had every intention of retaining epic grandeur when he rejected epic morality, and he forged a style that accentuated a Latinized syntax and diction whose eccentric majesty proceeded from a combination of archaism, exotically elaborate syntax remote from colloquial usage, and an orchestration of emphases more natural to the periodic structure of Latin sentences than to the forms of English speech.

The opening sentence of *Paradise Lost* runs to sixteen lines:

Of Man's First Disobedience, and the Fruit  
Of that Forbidden Tree, whose mortal tast  
Brought Death into the World, and all our woe,  
With loss of *Eden*, till one greater Man  
Restore us, and regain the blissful Seat,  
Sing Heav'nly Muse, that on the secret top  
Of *Oreb*, or of *Sinai*, didst inspire  
That Shepherd, who first taught the chosen Seed,  
In the Beginning how the Heav'ns and Earth  
Rose out of *Chaos*: or if *Sion* Hill  
Delight thee more, and *Siloa's* Brook that flow'd  
Fast by the Oracle of God; I thence  
Invoke thy aid to my adventrous Song,  
That with no middle flight intends to soar  
Above th' *Aonian* Mount, while it pursues  
Things unattempted yet in Prose or Rhime. (I. 1-16)

This style, in which the conclusions or finalities are delayed by an intricate syntactical elaboration, has been compared to musical orchestrations. We are held in suspense for the first six lines as to what is to be done or said of man's first disobedience, until the Heav'nly Muse is instructed to 'sing' this

great theme. The capacious digressiveness of the entire sixteen-line passage, full of apparent diversions, fresh starts, and afterthoughts, is an extended preparation for the bold finality of ‘Things unattempted yet in Prose or Rhime’ (16). The claim itself is less an expression of poetic arrogance than an assertion of the grandeur of the poem’s theme. It is perhaps the last time such a claim would be made by a serious poet without irony, and it is arguable that Ariosto, the earlier Italian poet Milton was echoing almost word for word, had himself used the words with an extravagant and un-Miltonic flourish of self-irony (*Orlando Furioso*, I. ii. 2).

There is no sign of self-irony in Milton’s righteous confidence in ‘the highth’ of his ‘great Argument’ (I. 23–4). Nor is there any self-doubt in the prefatory claim that his poetic ‘measure’, namely ‘English *Heroic Verse without Rime*, as that of Homer in Greek and of Virgil in Latin’, is an affirmation ‘of ancient liberty recover’d to Heroic Poem from the troublesom and modern bondage of Rimeing’ (‘The Verse’). Milton was experiencing irritation at the growing vogue of the heroic couplet which had acquired a revived currency, and a fresh allure of polished correctness, partly modelled on the urbanity of seventeenth-century France, which Milton regarded as a ‘jingling’ courtier foppery. Milton deplored this new correctness, which he identified with a post-Restoration Frenchified tyranny. His militant adoption of ‘English *Heroic Verse without Rime*’ for *Paradise Lost* was not only a return to classical decencies (Homer and Virgil, he reminds us, did not use rhyme), but a cry of freedom from a ‘troublesom and modern bondage’. Pope, referring some forty years later to two contemporaries of Milton who wrote couplets, spoke by contrast of the urbane freedom of the couplet, of ‘the *Easie Vigor* of a Line / Where *Denham’s* Strength, and *Waller’s* Sweetness join’.<sup>22</sup> The ‘easie vigour’ of couplets coexists with, and depends on, a tight metrical order. It differs from Milton’s rigorously controlled syntactical elaborations, but the verse of *Paradise Lost* displays an almost ostentatious fluidity of its own in avoiding the couplet’s end-stopped metre. The enjambment (or continuation of the sentence beyond the end of the line), a metrical looseness proscribed by French purists like Boileau and discouraged among the practitioners of ‘correct’ couplets in English, is used with determination from the start.

Pope subjected Milton’s practice of enjambment to genial loyalist parody. Describing his heroine Belinda’s two locks of hair, one of which is going to be ‘raped’ (stolen), he wrote:

This Nymph, to the Destruction of Mankind,  
Nourish’d two Locks, which graceful hung behind  
In equal Curls, and well conspir’d to deck  
With shining Ringlets the smooth Iv’ry Neck.

(*Rape of the Lock*, II. 19–22)

The trick is in contrast to the self-contained sense structures expected of the couplet, and exemplified here in the relaxed but disciplined closure of the fourth line. A more audacious mimicry occurs in the cloacal episode in Book II of the *Dunciad*, quoted below in another connection.<sup>23</sup> Neither *The Rape of the Lock* nor the *Dunciad* is attacking Milton, who is treated in both poems, alongside Homer and Virgil, as a primary model for a loyalist parody, deriding not the epic itself, but a lowered modern reality exposed by grandeurs of style which are no longer appropriate to it. But in mimicking the Miltonic trick, Pope is also signalling that his own correct couplets are a norm which he could playfully violate in affectionate parody, though it is only right to observe that when, at the very end of his life, Pope contemplated an epic on Brutus, the mythical founder of Britain, the few lines that survive of the attempt are in a Miltonizing blank verse, modified by some end-stopped unrhymed pentameters.<sup>24</sup>

Pope, the greatest master of the English couplet, rephrased Milton's claim to 'justify the ways of God to men' (*Paradise Lost*, I. 26) in the 1730s with all the tight syntactical, metrical, and rhyming symmetries Milton himself had deplored almost seventy years before:

Laugh where we must, be candid where we can;  
But vindicate the ways of God to Man.

(*Essay on Man*, I. 15–16)

Pope was being corrective rather than subversive of Milton. Milton's scorn of being 'tagged' in this manner would have matched all the arrogance of couplet superiority implied by Pope's practice.

Auden spoke of blank verse as the heroic couplet's 'only serious rival in English verse as a standard form'.<sup>25</sup> Shakespeare and Milton used both in varying degrees, and both are forms of the decasyllabic or ten-syllable line which has long been the normative metre in English poetry. T. S. Eliot once observed that much English verse, however 'free', is written in its shadow or played off against this presumptive norm.<sup>26</sup> Its notional iambic beat (in which each 'foot' is accented in the second syllable) exists, like all prescribed rhythms, in tension with the natural cadences of speech and the movement of feeling. This is true even (or perhaps especially) within the stricter constraints of the rhymed couplet, which seems first to have been used in the *Canterbury Tales*, but was brought to its most exquisite perfection by Pope. This tension becomes evident if we try to read Pope's couplets according to strict metronomic prescription, accenting each second syllable as below:

Know thén thysélf, presúme not Gód to scán;  
The próper stúdy óf Mankind is Mán.

(Pope, *Essay on Man*, II. 1–2)

No one with an ear for the English language would read the lines strictly according to the stresses indicated by the presumed iambic beat. At the same time no natural reading of the lines is free of an unspoken relation to this presumed beat, and the unceasing silent conversation between the prescribed and the actual or natural cadences is an essential element of the vitality of poetic expression.

Eliot's view that metrical 'freedom is only truly freedom when it appears against the background of an artificial limitation' is put under acute pressure in the chapter on that poet.<sup>27</sup> Such sweeping pronouncements can never be absolute. Eliot occasionally contradicted himself on such issues. Nevertheless the principle retains a broad validity, even in relation to so-called 'free verse', whose rhythms, when not formally played against an identifiable traditional metre, are shaped by nuanced imitations of such metres, 'unheard' cadences that answer to the emphases of meaning and emotion. Eliot said in 1917 that any 'so-called *vers libre* which is good is anything but "free"'.<sup>28</sup> Eliot's own best poems, from 'The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock' to *The Waste Land*, show a constant dialogue between strict metrical forms and more variable and unprogrammed rhythms. Of the poets discussed in this volume, Lawrence, as Marjorie Perloff writes, was the most insistent in complaining that 'the constriction of fixed verse forms was inhibiting', but his own poems often accept the constrictions or are played off against them.<sup>29</sup>

The English couplet, in the form in which Pope perfected it, and stamped it as the dominant style for his age, had become fashionable in Milton's day, in the teeth of Milton's dislike of it, in the work of Waller, Denham, and Dryden. As Milton seems to have felt, it was to some extent a French import. Although the metre had existed since Chaucer, it acquired some of its formal allure (symmetry, antithesis, strictness of metre and rhyme) from the twelve-syllable alexandrine practised by Racine and Boileau, and served as a manifest icon of correctness, urbanity, and order. The famous sketch by Boileau of French poetic history (*Art Poétique*, I, 111–46) was rewritten with English examples by Dryden and Soame (1683). Boileau's announcement of the arrival of correctness ('Enfin Malherbe vint', I, 131) was changed in the English narrative to: '*Waller* came last, but was the first whose Art / Just Weight and Measure did to Verse impart'.<sup>30</sup>

In reality, the couplet differed from the alexandrine as much as it resembled it, and English attitudes towards the French model were ambivalent. It served as a cultural analogue, evoking order and politeness. But Dryden thought the French metre was intrinsically weaker than the English. The use of occasional alexandrines by English poets, including Dryden himself, as an ornamental flourish or as closure for sequences of pentameter couplets, was sometimes mocked.<sup>31</sup> Swift parodied the 'licentious Manner of modern



Poets' (meaning especially Dryden) for their use of rhyming 'triplets', in which 'the last of the three [lines], was two ... Syllables longer, called an *Alexandrian*'.<sup>32</sup> Adam Smith noted that the alexandrine, the official serious metre of French poetry, which is the French counterpart to the English heroic couplet, generally produces bathos in English verse, as the pentameter often does in French.<sup>33</sup>

There is a sense in which the Augustan couplet, for all its French allure, was thought of as an English metre. Samuel Wesley, who took pride in 1700 in belonging to 'our *Augustan* days', affirmed the superiority of the English metre: 'More *num'rous* the *Pentameter* and *strong*'.<sup>34</sup> By this he meant the modern refined and metrically stricter version. Like Pope and others, he found Chaucer's couplets unpolished.<sup>35</sup> The normative pressure of the pentameter in English has been such that other metres, both shorter and longer (the eight-syllable tetrameter, for example, as well as the alexandrine, or the eleven-syllable, hendecasyllabic, line often practised in English 'light' verse, notably by Swift),<sup>36</sup> have, with certain exceptions, tended to be restricted to less serious genres.<sup>37</sup> Roland Greene describes how, 'between the death of Chaucer *c.* 1400 and the publication of *Tottel's Miscellany* in 1557, iambic pentameter, the dominant line in English verse, was often unsettled as poets – knowingly or not – experimented with varying arrangements of stresses and syllables'. Wyatt, whom he describes as 'perhaps the last poet of that phase', seemed 'ignorant or clumsy' to contemporaries, and his poems were subject to metrical regularization in Tottel's anthology.<sup>38</sup>

In fact, the tug of war between metrical constraint and deregulation has never ceased or even stood still. The phase of regularization associated with Tottel was itself temporary, a living and properly unstable phase in a natural process. In the 1590s, when Donne composed his five 'Satyres' (not published until 1633), a much less regulated view of the iambic pentameter is in evidence. He is writing, in Satyre II, about poetry and poets:

One, (like a wretch, which at Barre judg'd as dead,  
 Yet prompts him which stands next, and cannot reade,  
 And saves his life) gives idiot actors meanes  
 (Starving himselfe) to live by 'his labor'd sceanes;  
 As in some Organ, Puppits dance above  
 And bellows pant below, which them do move.  
 One would move Love by rimes, but witchcrafts charms  
 Bring not now their old feares, nor their old harmes:  
 Rammes, and slings now are seely battery,  
 Pistolets are the best Artillerie.  
 And they who write to Lords, rewards to get,  
 Are they not like singers at doores for meat? (Satyre II, 11–22)<sup>39</sup>

The metre of the lines is so dislocated, the rhymes so deliberately ‘inexact’ (in a manner less like the comic rhyming of Swift or Byron than the painful dissonances of Wilfred Owen and other modern poets), the syntax so gnarled and tortuous, that it comes as a jolt to modern readers to realize that the formal metre, even of this poem, is the iambic pentameter, and indeed the rhyming couplet. Donne’s satiric manner was developed partly under the influence of a widespread notion (still subscribed to by Puttenham) that satire derived from the part-bestial woodland deities known as satyrs, who made up the chorus of Greek satyr plays (like Euripides’s *Cyclops*). But it was also largely modelled on the ‘difficult’ Roman poet Persius, known for a thrusting, dislocated, and cryptic style, as well as on the harshness of Juvenal.<sup>40</sup>

Donne’s decision to frame such stylistic purposes in a metrical form whose boundaries it restlessly defies or transgresses was itself overtaken throughout the ensuing century by a progressive tightening and purification of the rhyming couplet, culminating in Pope. Here is how Pope ‘translated’ Donne’s lines:

Here a lean Bard, whose wit could never give  
Himself a dinner, makes an Actor live:  
The Thief condemn’d, in law already dead,  
So prompts, and saves a Rogue who cannot read.  
Thus as the pipes of some carv’d Organ move,  
The gilded Puppets dance and mount above,  
Heav’d by the breath th’inspiring Bellows blow;  
Th’inspiring Bellows lie and pant below.

One sings the Fair; but Songs no longer move,  
No Rat is rhym’d to death, nor Maid to love:  
In Love’s, in Nature’s spite, the siege they hold,  
And soon the Flesh, the Dev’l, and all but Gold.

These write to Lords, some mean reward to get,  
As needy Beggars sing at doors for meat.

(Pope, *Donne’s Second Satyre*, 13–26)

This version was written around 1713, and published with revisions a century after Donne’s, in 1735–40, as *The Second Satire of Dr. John Donne, ... Versified*. Pope had given the same treatment to the Fourth Satire (published 1733) and also published his friend Thomas Parnell’s version of the Third Satire in 1738, with the subtitle ‘Versified by Dr. PARNELLE. In Imitation of Mr. POPE’ added in 1739.<sup>41</sup> ‘Versified’ in all these subtitles expresses the full arrogance of a cultural superiority towards a poetic disorderliness which, though powerfully in control of its recording of turbulent doings and states of mind, appears to fall short of a newer conception of order

and politeness, precisely the phenomenon Milton was already deploring in 1668. Pope has introduced lucidities and symmetries of syntax and metre which Donne had no concern for, imposing summations and finalities where Donne renders the raw heavings of a restless human absurdity. Like Donne, Pope alludes to folk beliefs and satirical magic, but wants us to know with an even more emphatic aplomb that they no longer have their old force ('No Rat is rhym'd to death', a power attributed to Irish bards). The underlying formal evocation of a satyr's roughness has been ironed out, and the crabbed obscurities from Persius are homogenized to a contemporary English idea of Horatian urbanity (whose original model had been described, not without irony, by Persius himself, an irony that Dryden elaborated into a famous phrase about Horace's 'sly, insinuating Grace'; Persius, I. 116–18; Dryden, trs. I. 228–30).

For all Milton's status as a venerated model, the heroic couplet became established as the dominant style for serious English verse in the hands of Waller (1606–87) and Denham (1615–69), and then, more triumphantly, of Dryden and Pope. Pope especially made sure that it continued so, as part of the apparatus of his own publicized pre-eminence. When he wrote his *Imitations of Horace* in the 1730s, part of his agenda was to create continuities that established him, with precisely calibrated qualifications and adjustments, as a major poet in a great classical tradition. The genre of 'imitation' had become, especially since the Restoration, a regular mode of self-definition for modern poets by means of a sophisticated allusive dialogue with ancient predecessors.

Pope was simultaneously affirming an English tradition of correctness and polite letters. His perfecting of the couplet, from the brilliant discursive vitality of the *Essay on Criticism* (1711) to the triumphs of character observation in the satires of the 1730s and the sombre resonances of the dark negative epic of the *Dunciad*, is one of the high achievements of English poetry. The elements of cultural snobbery and self-serving reputation-management which are sometimes associated with it take little away from the substantive achievement. Nevertheless, Pope's standing went into a decline at the hands of the Romantic poets (excluding Byron), from which it has never fully recovered, though a revived understanding of his greatness was re-established in the last century.

The couplet, however, acquired a reputation for metronomic monotony which does little justice to the variety and delicacy of Pope's uses of that form. The sense of containment of unruly energies which it offers in the satiric portraits of Dryden and Pope has not answered to the demands of later outlooks. T. S. Eliot, whose *Waste Land* partly originally contained a pastiche of *The Rape of the Lock*, discarded (on Pound's advice) as an

unsuccessful attempt at Popeian couplets, offers an interesting and conflicted case history.<sup>42</sup> In 1917, five years before the publication of *The Waste Land*, Eliot wrote that ‘the heroic couplet has lost none of its edge since Dryden and Pope laid it down’, and that the form was only awaiting ‘the coming of a Satirist – no man of genius is rarer’.<sup>43</sup> What was in Milton’s day assumed to be the default metre for serious verse, to the extent of provoking Milton’s statement of defiance, had now been reshaped in Pope’s shadow, as a metre proper to satire in a high sense (though Eliot was to become uneasy at acquiring a reputation as a satirist himself, a charge subsequently levelled at him in Yeats’s *Oxford Book of Modern Verse*).<sup>44</sup>

Eliot understood that the adoption of the couplet for the rather badly executed Popeian pastiche in *The Waste Land* was misguided, though he thought it ‘an excellent set of couplets’ at the time. Recollecting that episode in 1928, Eliot remarked ‘that the man who cannot enjoy Pope as poetry probably understands no poetry’.<sup>45</sup> But in 1933, having presumably taken Pound’s comments to heart, he also said that a ‘writer to-day who was genuinely influenced by Pope would hardly want to use that couplet at all’.<sup>46</sup> At all events, the continuing versatility of the couplet has always been manifest in some surprising ways, including the fact that it is the almost ‘invisible’ metre of Donne’s satires, as well as of Pope’s ‘versified’ versions. Two and a half centuries after Donne, as J. Hillis Miller points out, the couplet accommodates both the gnarled and obscure energies of Browning’s *Sordello* and the insolent conversational bravura of his ‘My Last Duchess’. Couplets are used by Eliot himself, often with witty and tactical finesse, throughout the metrically varied ‘Portrait of a Lady’. By the same token, Eliot’s pronouncement in ‘Reflections on *Vers Libre*’ (1917) that traditional forms like the sonnet were no longer available to modern poets seems, as Edward Mendelson observes, to have provoked Auden into writing sonnets and indeed sonnet-sequences.<sup>47</sup>

As the epic lost its status as the highest poetic form, the value and even the possibility of the long poem itself came to be questioned, notably by Coleridge, whose oracular pronouncement that ‘a poem of any length neither can be, or ought to be, all poetry’ was elaborated, in a notorious extended statement, by the American poet Edgar Allan Poe. Poe’s argument was that poetic ‘intensity’ could only be achieved in short bursts, and that a long poem like *Paradise Lost* was in reality a series of short lyrics held together by a connective tissue of versified prose. He also argued that the intensities of *Paradise Lost* might in fact be revealed in varying places in separate readings, so that the realization of a truly poetic moment might be the product of a reader’s experience, and not solely of the process of composition or of the poem’s intrinsic character. Poe even attempted an arithmetical computation

for what he thought of as the optimal length of a poem, coming up with a hundred or so lines, which happened to correspond to the ‘hundred and eight’ lines of his own poem ‘The Raven’, the making of which was the subject of his essay ‘The Philosophy of Composition’ (1846).<sup>48</sup>

Poe’s influence on subsequent poetic theory was considerable, if only because the issue of the long poem, though not created by him, sometimes crystallized round his formulations. He made a powerful impression on some French poets, including Baudelaire and Mallarmé, whose limited knowledge of English may have led to an inflated sense of his quality, a view variously held by Yeats, Pound, and Eliot, whose contempt for Poe’s verse was stinging (though Eliot expressed the most nuanced view of the three, in an effort at sympathetic understanding of the French poets’ view of Poe).<sup>49</sup> But Poe’s questionings about the long poem hover insistently in the background of Ezra Pound’s oscillating views as to whether a long poem was possible, whether his *Cantos* might count as an epic, and whether the epic ambition was compatible with the sequence of high-intensity local effects he described as ‘luminous details’.<sup>50</sup> Even Poe’s concern with a permissible arithmetic in the lyric economy of a poem found an echo in the continued preoccupation of Pound and Eliot over the exact length, in pages and lines, of Eliot’s *Waste Land*. It is one of the progenitors of Pound’s comment that, after the poem had abolished its ‘superfluities’ and reached its eventual total of ‘19 pages’ (433 lines), *The Waste Land* was ‘the longest poem in the English language’, and must not be ‘prolonged’.<sup>51</sup>

Pound’s fluctuations on the subject of the long poem, and the allusive concentration and scope of *The Waste Land*, show the survival of the epic impulse, even as its forms and pretensions are seen to be unsustainable. Both *The Waste Land* and *Cantos* are self-consciously built on ‘fragments’, acknowledging poetical intensities of perception as having a force or truth denied to the classically ordered and completed work. As early as the middle of the eighteenth century, in fiction and poetry, as well as in antiquarian pseudo-mythologies like the poems of Ossian, the fragment had come to occupy an increasingly privileged place, in some ways comparable to that of lyric, which, by the time of Wordsworth and Coleridge, came to be regarded as among the highest forms of poetic expression. One of the implications of the *Lyrical Ballads*, as described by Wordsworth, is that they were poems in which the feelings gave ‘importance to the action and situation’, and not the other way round.<sup>52</sup> Not only were story or plot subordinated to ‘feeling’, but the word ‘lyric’, originally denoting something sung to the music of the lyre, itself came to imply emotional charge rather than musical accompaniment. The lyric, which occupied a modest place in the hierarchy of literary kinds, was now acquiring a dignity that challenged and overtook that of

the epic. As W. K. Wimsatt and Cleanth Brooks have pointed out, a genre which in the seventeenth century might not have rated the name of poem, being referred to perhaps as a mere 'paper of verses', was henceforward to be regarded as a norm of serious poetic expression.<sup>53</sup> When someone says they are writing or reading a poem today, the presumption is that they are referring to a short poem; in the seventeenth century, the associations of the term normally included one of the more formally dignified genres. Matthew Arnold, who fought a rearguard action in the middle of the nineteenth century to re-establish standards of classical form and heroic dignity, complained that English poetry had become corrupted by a brilliance of local effects and single lines, a vice to be identified with Keats among others, and which Arnold attributed largely to the influence of Shakespeare.

The old idea of a poetic progression culminating in the epic could not in a simple sense remain unchanged by these developments. If the centre of poetic value was to be found not in a traditionally ordained genre but in a truth to inner feeling, or if access to universal values was to come from an imagination 'whose fountains are within' (Coleridge, *Dejection*, 46), the growth of a poet's mind would be expected to follow changes in the inward make-up of the poet himself rather than a conventionally prescribed sequence. Growing older, more mature, more learned or more experienced, no longer offered natural pathways for poetic endeavour. From Wordsworth and Coleridge to Yeats, Wallace Stevens, and T. S. Eliot, the notion of a culturally sanctioned progression like that of the Virgilian *cursus* gave way to a model of self-renewal.

It is in the Romantic period that the theme of a crisis of imagination, attendant on the ageing process, or emotional disturbance or exhaustion, becomes a central preoccupation. The predicament of writer's block, treated satirically in Pope's *Dunciad* as a product of untalented pretension, becomes a poignant subject of self-exploration. Wordsworth's and Coleridge's experiences of the loss of the youthful powers of intensity, joy, or creativity threaten their existence as poets. Their poetic survival depends on the ability to find a new, sometimes chastened, voice to match a new inner reality, as in Wordsworth's 'Immortality Ode' (1807) or Yeats's 'The Tower' (1927). Renewal may seem less glamorous than the lost radiance of an earlier state, taking 'a sober colouring from an eye / That hath kept watch o'er man's mortality', altered but offering a new if greyer form of the 'vision splendid' ('Immortality Ode', 197–8, 73). Or it may fail to occur, as in Coleridge's 'Dejection', his counter-poem to Wordsworth's 'Immortality Ode', where the feeling is that poetry has been lost to the poet, giving way to the devitalized pursuits of abstract intellect. Coleridge despairingly called this 'abstruse research' (89). Yeats in 'The Tower' contemplated the possibility that his

imagination might become ‘content with argument and deal / In abstract things’. After affirming a more defiant resurgence into creativity, a rebellious counterthrust (‘I mock Plotinus’ thought / And cry in Plato’s teeth’), Yeats eventually settles for ‘compelling’ his soul ‘to study / In a learned school’, concluding that poetry could be made out of that too. In Yeats, whom James Longenbach describes as a ‘notorious remaker of himself’, the process was indeed a continuous one, including radical rewriting of old poems (‘The Sorrow of Love’, 1892, 1925), and poems in dialogue with alternative selves.<sup>54</sup>

The impossibility of writing a poem has become, since the time of Coleridge’s ‘Dejection’, a recurrent preoccupation of later poets, from Tennyson to Larkin.<sup>55</sup> It has been said that writer’s block became ‘a central theme in the early Romantic period’ (the phrase ‘writer’s block’, in its English form, seems to have been coined in the 1940s).<sup>56</sup> The epic inhibition, or awe of classical or Renaissance predecessors, may have seemed daunting to English Augustan writers, but also provided a sense that models existed for ‘imitation’, though in a scaled-down form, purified by ‘correctness’ or protected by irony. There is no sense of creative paralysis, a condition which Swift or Pope are quick to deride as the mark of the incompetent and insolvent scribbler, still less a sense of personal travail or defeat, which could itself be the subject of high poetic expression, as in Coleridge’s ‘Dejection’ or even Wordsworth’s *Prelude*.<sup>57</sup>

The sense that this experience, painful as it might be, is itself an honourable state, a fit subject for poems, contrasts markedly with the satirized figure of the hack who cannot write, the starving author of Swift’s *Tale of a Tub*, or Pope’s untalented Laureate, surrounded by the embryos and abortions of his starving Muse:

Swearing and supperless the Hero sate,  
Blasphem’d his Gods, the Dice, and damn’d his Fate.  
Then gnaw’d his pen, then dash’d it on the ground,  
Sinking from thought to thought, a vast profound!  
Plung’d for his sense, but found no bottom there,  
Yet wrote and flounder’d on, in mere despair.  
Round him much Embryo, much Abortion lay,  
Much future Ode, and abdicated Play.

(*Dunciad*, 1743, I. 115–22)

What for Pope is a comic indignity would become a matter of huge pathos for Coleridge. Here as elsewhere, there is in Pope a satirical intuition of a predicament, viewed as in some sense damagingly ‘modern’, which was later revalued as a central and honourable element of the writer’s condition. (This

pessimistic foreshadowing of the modes of modern writing was probably acquired from Pope's old friend and collaborator Jonathan Swift, to whom the *Dunciad* is dedicated, and whose *Tale of a Tub* is purportedly composed by a scribbler who writes about nothing when he has nothing to say. This scribbler's subject-matter is the fact that he is starving in his garret, writing of the present moment in which he is writing, an image of egocentric garrulousness whose trivial ephemerality was soon to be revalued and redefined as the creative immediacy of writing 'to the Moment' in both fiction and poetry.)<sup>58</sup>

The *Dunciad*'s Miltonic abyss of mental vacuity, which for Pope translates into bottomless stupidity, is also a measure of depths of despair, for which the sufferings of Milton's Satan provided a Titanic prototype, variously assimilated by Romantic poets in portrayals of their own interior states. Pope's *Dunciad* is about the disintegration of a culture, not personal defeat (its great descendant, Eliot's *Waste Land*, was to combine the two themes). The Laureate who can't write is the culpable symptom of this disintegration, not an innocent victim, still less a morally victorious martyr. In the generation of Swift and Pope, poverty and poetry had none of the honorific flavour bestowed on the conjunction by the Bohemian cultures of the nineteenth century, when that high place of poets, the garret, was 'boasted of', in Yeats's words, by young men 'in Paris and in London', who 'claimed to have no need of what the crowd values'.<sup>59</sup> In Swift's *Tale of a Tub* or Pope's *Dunciad*, the high place is a low place, the site of deserved humiliation for hacks who write for money, but have none because they can't write. In the next generation, Samuel Johnson, in the *Life of Savage*, and Fielding, in *The Author's Farce* and *Joseph Andrews*, wrote with sympathy of the struggling writer driven to poverty and debt by the unscrupulousness of patrons and publishers. But the idea of a proud poetic fraternity, wearing its poverty as a badge of integrity and independence, rests on a conception of the artist as adversarial to the prevailing culture, rather than complicit with it, which did not develop fully until the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, and has in its way remained with us ever since.

## NOTES

- 1 Dryden, Preface to *Fables* (1700), in *The Works of John Dryden*, eds Edward Niles Hooker, H. T. Swedenberg Jr, et al., 20 vols (Berkeley, Los Angeles, and London: University of California Press, 1956–2000), VII, p. 33. Hereafter *CE*.
- 2 George Puttenham, *The Arte of English Poesie* (1589), I. xxxi; eds Gladys Doidge Willcock and Alice Walker (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1936), p. 61.
- 3 See below, p. 22.



- 4 William Shakespeare, *The Complete Sonnets and Poems*, ed. Colin Burrow, The Oxford Shakespeare (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002), pp. 6, 12.
- 5 Puttenham, *Arte of English Poesie*, I. viii, xi; III. v (pp. 16, 25, 148) on classical playwrights; II. ix, xiv (pp. 83–4, 127) on popular entertainments.
- 6 *Letters of Sir Thomas Bodley to Thomas James*, ed. G. W. Wheeler (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1926), pp. 219, 222.
- 7 David Scott Kastan, *Shakespeare and the Book* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001), pp. 14–49, esp. 20, 31–40.
- 8 Hardy, see below, p. 440; Auden, ‘Letter to Lord Byron’ (1936), in *Collected Poems*, ed. Edward Mendelson (New York: Vintage, 1991), p. 83.
- 9 Auden, ‘In Memory of W. B. Yeats’ (1939), in *Collected Poems*, p. 248.
- 10 Philip Larkin, ‘The Art of Poetry XXX’ (interview), *Paris Review* 84 (1982), 11–12; Dale Salwak, ‘An Interview with Kingsley Amis’, *Contemporary Literature* 61.1 (1975), 16.
- 11 Shakespeare, *Complete Sonnets and Poems*, pp. 315–26.
- 12 Christopher Marlowe, *Dr. Faustus*, V. i. 91–2; Aeschylus, *Agamemnon*, 681ff.
- 13 See *Complete Sonnets and Poems*, p. 173 n. 12.
- 14 See the discussion in *Complete Sonnets and Poems*, pp. 91–103.
- 15 Samuel Johnson, ‘Preface to Shakespeare’ (1765), in *Johnson on Shakespeare*, ed. Arthur Sherbo, 2 vols (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1968), I, p. 61.
- 16 On some of these oppositions, see below, pp. 154ff.
- 17 ‘At a Vacation Exercise’ (1628), 47–52; ‘Mansus’ (1638), 78–84; also ‘Epitaphium Damonis’ (1639–40), 161–71; Book II of *The Reason of Church-Government* (1642), in *The Riverside Milton*, ed. Roy Flannagan (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1998), pp. 922–3.
- 18 *Paradise Lost*, VI. 434. See Claude Rawson, ‘Mock-Heroic and English Poetry’, in *The Cambridge Companion to the Epic*, ed. Catherine Bates (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010), pp. 170–3.
- 19 John Dryden, ‘Discourse Concerning Satire’, in *CE*, IV, p. 84.
- 20 W. H. Auden, ‘Introduction’, in *Poets of the English Language III: Milton to Goldsmith*, eds W. H. Auden and Norman Holmes Pearson (London: Eyre and Spottiswoode, 1952), p. xxv.
- 21 On this, see Rawson, ‘Mock-Heroic and English Poetry’, pp. 187–8.
- 22 Pope, *Essay on Criticism* (1711), 360–1.
- 23 See below, p. 243.
- 24 See below, p. 235.
- 25 Auden, *Poets of the English Language III*, p. xviii.
- 26 T. S. Eliot, ‘Reflections on *Vers Libre*’ (1917), in *Selected Prose*, ed. Frank Kermode (New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich/Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 1975), p. 33.
- 27 Eliot, ‘Reflections on *Vers Libre*’, p. 35; see below, pp. 491, 496.
- 28 ‘Reflections on *Vers Libre*’, p. 32; see also Eliot’s ‘Introduction’ to Ezra Pound, *Selected Poems* (1928) (London: Faber and Faber, 1956), p. 8.
- 29 See below, p. 478.
- 30 Dryden, in *CE*, II, p. 128. See Claude Rawson, ‘Poetry in the First Half of the Eighteenth Century: Pope, Johnson, and the Couplet’, in *The Cambridge History of English Poetry*, ed. M. S. C. O’Neill (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010), pp. 333–57, esp. 333–6.

- 31 Dryden, 'Dedication of the *Aeneis*' (1697), in *CE*, V, p. 322.
- 32 Swift, Note to 'A Description of a City Shower' (1710), lines 61–3.
- 33 Adam Smith, *Theory of Moral Sentiments* (1759), V. i. 6.
- 34 Samuel Wesley, *An Epistle to a Friend, Concerning Poetry*, 1700, lines 621, 466.
- 35 Wesley, *An Epistle to a Friend*, lines 446–7.
- 36 See 'A Note on Rhythm and Rhyme', in Jonathan Swift, *Complete Poems*, ed. Pat Rogers (Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1983), pp. 37–40.
- 37 Rogers, 'A Note on Rhythm and Rhyme', p. 38.
- 38 See below, pp. 49–50.
- 39 John Donne, *Satires, Epigrams and Verse Letters*, ed. W. Milgate (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1987), p. 7.
- 40 Robert C. Elliott, *The Power of Satire: Magic, Ritual, Art* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1960), pp. 102ff.; Raman Selden, *English Verse Satire 1590–1765* (London: George Allen and Unwin, 1978), pp. 43, 50–3, 59.
- 41 Pope, *Imitations of Horace*, in the Twickenham Edition of the Poems of Alexander Pope, eds J. Butt *et al.*, 11 vols in 12 (London: Methuen, 1939–69), IV, pp. xli–xliii, 23–49, 129–45; Thomas Parnell, *Collected Poems*, eds Claude Rawson and F. P. Lock (Newark: University of Delaware Press, 1989), pp. 312–19, 586–7.
- 42 T. S. Eliot, *The Waste Land: A Facsimile and Transcript of the Original Drafts Including the Annotations of Ezra Pound*, ed. Valerie Eliot (London: Faber and Faber, and New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1971), pp. 22–7, 38–41, 127n.; 'Introduction' to Pound, *Selected Poems*, p. 18.
- 43 Eliot, 'Reflections on *Vers Libre*', p. 36.
- 44 W. B. Yeats, 'Introduction' to *The Oxford Book of Modern Verse* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1936), pp. xxi, xxii.
- 45 Eliot, 'Introduction' to Pound, *Selected Poems*, p. 18.
- 46 Eliot, *The Use of Poetry and the Use of Criticism* (London: Faber and Faber, 1959), p. 39.
- 47 See below, pp. 508–9; Eliot, *Selected Prose*, p. 36.
- 48 Coleridge, *Biographia Literaria* (1817), ch. 14; eds James Engell and W. J. Bate, 2 vols (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1983), II, p. 15; Poe, 'The Philosophy of Composition' (1846), 'The Poetic Principle' (1850), in *Essays and Reviews*, ed. G. R. Thompson (New York: Library of America, 1984), pp. 15–16, 71–94.
- 49 See for example W. B. Yeats to W. T. Horton, 3 September 1899, in *Collected Letters of W. B. Yeats. Vol. 2: 1896–1900*, ed. John Kelly (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1997), pp. 447–8; Pound, 'The Renaissance' (1914), in *Literary Essays*, ed. T. S. Eliot (London: Faber and Faber, 1954), p. 218; Eliot, 'From Poe to Valéry' (1948), in *To Criticize the Critic* (London: Faber and Faber, 1965), pp. 27–42.
- 50 Ezra Pound, *Selected Prose 1909–1965*, ed. William Cookson (London: Faber and Faber, 1973), pp. 21–3.
- 51 Ezra Pound to T. S. Eliot, 24 January 1922, in *Letters of T. S. Eliot. Vol. I: 1898–1922*, ed. Valerie Eliot and Hugh Haughton, rev. edn (London: Faber and Faber, 2009), p. 626; see also Eliot to Scofield Thayer, 20 January 1922, and to John Quinn, 25 June 1922, pp. 623, 681.
- 52 Wordsworth, 'Preface' to *Lyrical Ballads*, in *Poems*, ed. John O. Hayden, 2 vols (Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1977), I, p. 872.

## Introduction

- 53 W. K. Wimsatt, Jr, and Cleanth Brooks, *Literary Criticism: A Short History* (New York: Knopf, 1957), p. 197 and n. 4.
- 54 See below, pp. 457–65, 471.
- 55 See below, p. 319.
- 56 Zachary Leader, *Writer's Block* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1991), pp. ix, 254 n. 4.
- 57 On the *Prelude* as a response to writer's block, and to the poet's despair that his great project of *The Recluse* would 'ever be completed', see Leader, *Writer's Block*, pp. 184–5.
- 58 Jonathan Swift, *A Tale of a Tub* (1704), Preface and 'Conclusion', ed. Herbert Davis (Oxford: Blackwell, 1965), pp. 27, 133; the phrase 'to the Moment' comes from the Preface to Samuel Richardson's *Sir Charles Grandison* (1753).
- 59 W. B. Yeats, *Per Amica Silentia Lunae* (London: Macmillan, 1918), p. 93.