

## UNIQUENESS OF THE COEFFICIENT RING IN SOME GROUP RINGS

BY

M. PARMENTER AND S. SEHGAL<sup>(1)</sup>

1. Let  $\langle x \rangle$  be an infinite cyclic group and  $R_i \langle x \rangle$  its group ring over a ring (with identity)  $R_i$ , for  $i=1$  and  $2$ . Let  $J(R_i)$  be the Jacobson radical of  $R_i$ . In this note we study the question of whether or not  $R_1 \langle x \rangle \simeq R_2 \langle x \rangle$  implies  $R_1 \simeq R_2$ . We prove that this is so if  $Z_i$ , the centre of  $R_i$ , is semi-perfect and  $J(Z_i \langle x \rangle) = J(Z_i) \langle x \rangle$  for  $i=1$  and  $2$ . In particular, when  $Z_i$  is perfect the second condition is satisfied and the isomorphism of group rings  $R_i \langle x \rangle$  implies the isomorphism of  $R_i$ . The corresponding problem for polynomial rings was considered by Coleman and Enochs [2]. We like to thank the referee for pointing out that some of the techniques used in the proof of Theorem 1 were also used by Gilmer [3] in a different context.

### 2. Some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $G$  be a group. Then  $(R_1 \oplus R_2)G \simeq R_1G \oplus R_2G$*

**Proof.** Define  $\sigma: (R_1 \oplus R_2)G \rightarrow R_1G \oplus R_2G$  by

$$\sigma\left(\sum_i (r_i, s_i)g_i\right) = \left(\sum_i r_i g_i, \sum_i s_i g_i\right).$$

It is clear that  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism.

REMARK. We shall identify the two isomorphic rings of this lemma whenever it is convenient to do so.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $F$  and  $K$  be fields such that  $\sigma: F \langle x \rangle \rightarrow K \langle x \rangle$  is an isomorphism. Then  $\sigma(F) = K$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f \neq 0$ ,  $-1$  be an element of  $F$ . Since  $\sigma(f)$  is a unit of  $K \langle x \rangle$ , we have  $\sigma(f) = kx^i$ ,  $k \in K$ . Therefore,  $\sigma(1+f) = 1 + kx^i$ . Since  $1+f$  is a unit,  $i=0$  and  $\sigma(F) \subset K$ . By using  $\sigma^{-1}$ , we conclude that  $\sigma(F) = K$ .

LEMMA 3. *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be finite direct sums of fields such that  $\sigma: R \langle x \rangle \rightarrow S \langle x \rangle$  is an isomorphism. Then  $\sigma(R) = S$ .*

---

Received by the editors June 2, 1971 and, in revised form, November 19, 1971.

(<sup>1</sup>) This work is supported by National Research Council Grant A-5300.

**Proof.** Let  $R = F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F_n$ ,  $S = K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_m$ , direct sums of fields  $F_i$  and  $K_j$ . With the identification of Lemma 1,  $R\langle x \rangle = F_1\langle x \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus F_n\langle x \rangle$  and  $S\langle x \rangle = K_1\langle x \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus K_m\langle x \rangle$ . The only primitive idempotents in  $R\langle x \rangle$  are  $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  and similarly for  $S\langle x \rangle$ . Hence  $\sigma(F_i\langle x \rangle) = K_j\langle x \rangle$  for some  $j$  and  $m = n$ . By Lemma 2,  $\sigma(F_i) = K_j$ . Hence, it follows that  $\sigma(R) = S$ .

We need a few facts about the Jacobson radical of  $R\langle x \rangle$ . The proof of the next lemma is found in [1].

LEMMA 4 (Amitsur).  $J(R[x]) = N[x]$ , where  $N$  is a nil ideal of  $R$ .

LEMMA 5.  $J(R\langle x \rangle) \subseteq N\langle x \rangle$ , where  $N$  is the same ideal as in the last lemma.

**Proof.** Let  $a = \sum a_i x^i \in J(R\langle x \rangle)$ . We can assume that  $a = a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$  by multiplying by a suitable power of  $x$ . Now  $a$  has a right quasi-inverse, say,  $b = \sum b_j x^j \in R\langle x \rangle$ . Since  $a + b + ab = 0$ , we have  $b_m = 0$  for  $m < 0$ . Thus  $b = \sum_0^s b_j x^j$ . Let  $A$  be the ideal of  $R[x]$  generated by  $a$ . Then  $A \subset J(R\langle x \rangle)$ ; so if  $y \in A$ , then  $y$  has a right quasi-inverse  $z$  in  $R\langle x \rangle$ . As above, we see that  $z \in R[x]$ . Hence  $A$  is a right quasi-regular ideal of  $R[x]$  and we have  $A \subseteq J(R[x]) = N[x]$ .

COROLLARY.  $J(R\langle x \rangle) \subseteq J(R)\langle x \rangle$ .

LEMMA 6. Let  $R$  be a perfect commutative ring. Then  $J(R\langle x \rangle) = J(R)\langle x \rangle$ .

**Proof.** Since  $R$  is perfect,  $J(R)$  is  $T$ -nilpotent. Since  $R$  is commutative,  $J(R)\langle x \rangle$  is nil. Hence  $J(R)\langle x \rangle \subseteq J(R\langle x \rangle)$ . Together with the corollary above, we have  $J(R)\langle x \rangle = J(R\langle x \rangle)$ .

REMARK. The above lemma can be proved for wider classes of rings but this is all we need.

The next lemma is proved in [4].

LEMMA 7. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with 1 such that  $R$  has no nontrivial nilpotent or idempotent elements. Then  $y$  is a unit of  $R\langle x \rangle \Leftrightarrow y = ux^i$ , where  $u$  is a unit of  $R$ .

### 3. Rings with semi-perfect centres.

THEOREM 1. Let  $R_i$  be a ring (with identity) for  $i = 1, 2$ . Suppose that

- (1)  $Z_i$ , the centre of  $R_i$ , is semi-perfect for  $i = 1, 2$ .
- (2)  $J(Z_i\langle x \rangle) = J(Z_i)\langle x \rangle$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

Then  $R_1\langle x \rangle \simeq R_2\langle x \rangle \Rightarrow R_1 \simeq R_2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\sigma: R_1\langle x \rangle \rightarrow R_2\langle x \rangle$  be the isomorphism. Then by restriction we have  $\sigma: Z_1\langle x \rangle \rightarrow Z_2\langle x \rangle$ . Due to the second condition of the hypothesis we have an induced isomorphism

$$\bar{\sigma}: \frac{Z_1}{J(Z_1)}\langle x \rangle \rightarrow \frac{Z_2}{J(Z_2)}\langle x \rangle.$$

By Lemma 3, it follows that  $\bar{\sigma}(Z_1/J(Z_1)) = Z_2/J(Z_2)$ . Also, Lemma 1 gives

$$\frac{Z_2}{J(Z_2)}\langle x \rangle = \bar{e}_1 \frac{Z_2}{J(Z_2)}\langle x \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \bar{e}_n \frac{Z_2}{J(Z_2)}\langle x \rangle,$$

where each  $\bar{e}_i$  is a primitive idempotent and each  $\bar{e}_i(Z_2/J(Z_2))$  is a field  $F_i$ . Then we see that

$$\bar{\sigma}(x) = (f_1x^{i_1}, \dots, f_nx^{i_n}) \text{ where } i_j = \pm 1, \quad 0 \neq f_j \in F_j \text{ for all } j.$$

This follows because  $\bar{\sigma}(Z_1/J(Z_1))$  and  $\bar{\sigma}(x)$  together must generate  $(Z_2/J(Z_2))\langle x \rangle$ .

Since  $Z_2$  is semi-perfect, we can lift the idempotents  $\bar{e}_i$  of  $Z_2/J(Z_2)$  to primitive orthogonal idempotents  $e_i$  in  $Z_2$  such that  $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n$ . Then

$$R_2\langle x \rangle = e_1R_2\langle x \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus e_nR_2\langle x \rangle.$$

Define an  $R_2$ -algebra automorphism  $\beta: R_2\langle x \rangle \rightarrow R_2\langle x \rangle$  by

$$\beta(x) = (x^{i_1}, x^{i_2}, \dots, x^{i_n}).$$

It is not too difficult to check that  $\beta$  is indeed an automorphism and therefore induces an automorphism  $\bar{\beta}$  of  $Z_2/J(Z_2)\langle x \rangle$ . Notice that

$$\bar{\beta}\bar{\sigma}(x) = (f_1x, \dots, f_nx) = (f_1, \dots, f_n)x = ux$$

where  $u$  is a unit in  $Z_2/J(Z_2)$ . Since  $J(Z_2\langle x \rangle) = J(Z_2)\langle x \rangle$ , it follows from Lemma 5 that  $J(Z_2)$  is a nil ideal. Hence we see that

$$\beta\sigma(x) = u_1x + \sum_{i \neq 1} a_i x^i$$

where  $u_1$  is a unit of  $Z_2$  and  $a_i$  are nilpotent elements of  $Z_2$ .

We now claim that  $R_2\langle \beta\sigma(x) \rangle = R_2\langle x \rangle$ . We may assume that  $u_1 = 1$ . Note that

$$(\beta\sigma(x))^{-1} = x^{-1} \left( 1 + \sum_{i \neq 0} a_{i+1}x^i \right)^{-1} = x^{-1}(1 - r + r^2 - \cdots + (-1)^s r^s),$$

where  $r = \sum_{i \neq 0} a_{i+1}x^i$  and  $r^{s+1} = 0$ . We proceed by induction on the index of nilpotency of  $A$ , the ideal of  $R_2$  generated by  $\{a_i\}$ . If this index is one then  $\beta\sigma(x) = x$  and we are finished. Now we can suppose that this index is greater than one. Observing that

$$(\beta\sigma(x))^i = x^i + \sum_j b_j x^j, \quad b_j \in A$$

we obtain

$$\beta\sigma(x) - \sum_{i \neq 1} a_i (\beta\sigma(x))^i = x - \sum a_i b_j x^j, \quad a_i, b_j \in A.$$

Since  $A$  is nilpotent,

$$\beta\sigma(x) - \sum_{i \neq 1} a_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i = vx - \sum_{j \neq 1} a_j b_j x^j$$

where  $v$  is a unit in  $Z_2$  and  $a_i b_j \in A^2$  which is of smaller index of nilpotency than  $A$ . Hence,

$$R_2 \langle \beta\sigma(x) - \sum_{i \neq 1} a_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i \rangle = R_2 \langle x \rangle$$

and therefore,

$$R_2 \langle \beta\sigma(x) \rangle = R_2 \langle x \rangle.$$

Thus we have proved that the  $R_2$ -homomorphism  $\alpha: R_2 \langle x \rangle \rightarrow R_2 \langle x \rangle$  defined by  $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} \beta\sigma(x)$  is an epimorphism.

To see that  $\alpha$  is one to one, we must show that  $\sum c_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i = 0 \Rightarrow c_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Now let  $\sum_i c_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i = 0$ . In  $R_2/A \langle x \rangle$ , we have

$$\sum \overline{c_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i} = 0.$$

However,  $\overline{\beta\sigma(x)} = \overline{u_1 x}$  and therefore  $\overline{c_i u_1^i} = 0$ . Since  $u_1$  is unit it follows that  $\overline{c_i} = 0$  and  $c_i \in A$  for all  $i$ . Assume that  $c_i \in A^k$ ,  $k \geq 1$  for all  $i$ . Then in  $R_2/A^{k+1} \langle x \rangle$

$$\sum \overline{c_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i} = 0.$$

However,  $c_i(\beta\sigma(x))^i \equiv c_i u_1^i x^i \pmod{A^{k+1} \langle x \rangle}$  and we have

$$0 = \sum \overline{c_i u_1^i x^i}.$$

Again it follows that  $\overline{c_i} = 0$  and  $c_i \in A^{k+1}$  for all  $i$ . Since  $A$  is nilpotent,  $c_i = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $\alpha$  is one to one. We have a ring isomorphism,  $\alpha^{-1}\beta\sigma: R_1 \langle x \rangle \rightarrow R_2 \langle x \rangle$  such that  $(\alpha^{-1}\beta\sigma)(x) = x$  and therefore  $(\alpha^{-1}\beta\sigma): \Delta(R_1 \langle x \rangle) \rightarrow \Delta(R_2 \langle x \rangle)$ , where  $\Delta$  denotes the augmentation ideal. We have

$$R_1 \simeq \frac{R_1 \langle x \rangle}{\Delta(R_1 \langle x \rangle)} \simeq \frac{R_2 \langle x \rangle}{\Delta(R_2 \langle x \rangle)} \simeq R_2.$$

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $R_1, R_2$  be rings with perfect centres. Then*

$$R_1 \langle x \rangle \simeq R_2 \langle x \rangle \Rightarrow R_1 \simeq R_2.$$

**Proof.** Lemma 6 and Theorem 1.

Since a left artinian ring is left perfect we also have the following.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $R_1, R_2$  be rings with artinian centres. Then*

$$R_1 \langle x \rangle \simeq R_2 \langle x \rangle \Rightarrow R_1 \simeq R_2.$$

Of a somewhat different nature is the next theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R_1$  be a ring with identity such that its centre  $Z_1$  has no nontrivial idempotent or nilpotent elements. Suppose that all units of  $Z_1$  are algebraic over the prime subring of  $Z_1$ . Then*

$$R_1\langle x \rangle \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\simeq} R_2\langle x \rangle \Rightarrow R_1 \simeq R_2.$$

**Proof.** We first remark that since  $Z_1\langle x \rangle$  has no nontrivial nilpotent or idempotent elements the same holds for  $Z_2\langle x \rangle$ . Here  $Z_2$  is the centre of  $R_2$ . By Lemma 7, the units of  $Z_i\langle x \rangle$  are of the form  $ux^j$ ,  $u \in Z_i$  for  $i=1, 2$ . If  $z_1$  is a unit in  $Z_1$ , then we have that  $\sum a_i z_1^i = 0$  for some  $a_i$  in the prime subring of  $Z_1$ . Let  $\sigma(z_1) = ux^j$  for some unit  $u \in Z_2$ . Then  $\sum a_i (ux^j)^i = 0$ . Since  $u$  is a unit, this implies that  $j=0$  and hence that  $\sigma(z_1) \in Z_2$ . It follows that  $\sigma(x) = vx^l$  for some  $v \in Z_2$ ,  $l = \pm 1$ . Define  $\tau: R_2\langle x \rangle \rightarrow R_2\langle x \rangle$  by

$$(i) \quad \tau(x) = u^{-l} x^l$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \tau(\sum a_j x^j) = \sum a_j \tau(x)^j.$$

Then  $\tau$  is an  $R_2$ -algebra automorphism and  $\tau\sigma(x) = x$ . Also,

$$\tau\sigma(\Delta(R_1\langle x \rangle)) = \Delta(R_2\langle x \rangle)$$

which implies that  $R_1 \simeq R_2$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur, *Radicals of polynomial rings*, *Canad. J. Math.* **8** (1956), 355–361.
2. D. B. Coleman and E. E. Enochs, *Isomorphic polynomial rings*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27** (1971), 247–252.
3. R. Gilmer, *R-automorphisms of  $R[x]$* , *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **18** (1968), 328–336.
4. S. K. Sehgal, *Units in commutative integral group rings*, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **14** (1970), 135–138.

MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND,  
ST. JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND