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#### Abstract

SUMMARY In 1989-91 anti-measles vaccination campaigns were conducted in several Italian regions to vaccinate all children aged between 13 months and 10-12 years without a history of measles or measles vaccination. This study was conducted to evaluate serological status after the mass vaccination campaigns. In 1994, capillary blood samples were collected from randomly selected children, aged $2-14$ years, living in 13 local health units. Antibody titres were determined by ELISA. Blood spot samples were analysed for 4114 ( $75.6 \%$ ) of 5440 selected children. Among the 835 that reported measles before $1990,806(96 \cdot 5 \%)$ were immune and of the 2798 vaccinated, $2665(95 \cdot 2 \%)$ were immune. The Edmoston-Zagreb (E-Z) strain vaccine was associated with a lower level of immunity than the Schwarz (SW) strain. A history of measles identified almost all immune children. Vaccination with the SW strain conferred persistent immunity (at least 5 years) in $98 \%$ of vaccinees. The strategy was able to unite natural and induced immunity.


## INTRODUCTION

Vaccination against measles has been recommended in Italy since 1979, but its widespread use began in 1989. Measles epidemics occurred in 1981, 1984, 1985 and 1988. On average, in the period 1980-9, 49000 cases were reported annually: 86000 per year in the epidemic years and 22000 per year in the interepidemic years. The average incidence rate was 0.81 per 1000 per year, ranging from 0.39 to $1 \cdot 36$ [1]. About $75 \%$ of notified cases were in children aged less than 10 years.

Seroepidemiological investigations [2] enabled the level of underreporting and the median age at natural infection to be estimated by geographical area. In

[^0]Italy as a whole one tenth of the actual measles cases were estimated to be notified, but this ranged from $3 \%$ in the South of Italy to $30 \%$ in the North. In Southern Italy $50 \%$ of children achieved natural immunity by 36 months but in Northern Italy this level was not achieved until 5-6 years. Overcrowding and larger family size were associated with earlier median age of infection in the South of Italy.

Pilot mass vaccination campaigns conducted since 1980 showed that active offer of the vaccination by public health services, targeted at children aged 13 months to $8-12$ years, could interrupt circulation of measles. Interruption should persist if almost all children born subsequent were vaccinated in the second year of life [3]. The high positive predictive value of a history of measles [2,3] means that these children could be excluded from the active offer of vaccination.

SW and $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ strain vaccines have been available since 1979 and 1989, respectively and their com-
bination with Urabe 9 (SW) or Rubini mumps strains (E-Z) and the Wistar RA 27/3 rubella strain, since the second half of 1990 .

Mass vaccination campaigns were implemented in several local health units in 15 of the 20 Italian regions. Vaccine coverage ranged from 50 to $99 \%$ of the target population, depending on how actively measles vaccine was promoted. [4]. As a result, in 1994 only $34 \%$ of measles notifications were in children aged less than 10 years [1]. Measles notifications (per 1000 population) decreased in 1990-4, compared to 1980-9 from 4.47 to $1.91(-57.3 \%), 5.55$ to 2.50 $(-55.0 \%)$ and 1.64 to $1.39(-15.2 \%)$ in the age groups $0-4,5-9$ and $10-14$ years. The total population percentage decrease was $-49.4 \%$ (from 0.81 to 0.41 ) [1].

In the first 6 months of 1994 a serological investigation of children, from a sample of local health units that had participated in the mass vaccination campaign, was conducted to evaluate the level of immunity reached in the target population. The aim of this investigation was to confirm the validity of a history of measles as an identifier of immune children, and to evaluate the persistence of immunity induced by the two vaccine strains.

## METHODS

A sample of local health units was taken from those that had implemented mass vaccination campaigns in the years 1988-91. The sample was not random but designed to include different levels of implementation.
Group A: Ten out of 41 local health units of Emilia Romagna. In this region mass vaccination campaigns were implemented successfully everywhere (vaccine coverage ranged from 80 to $90 \%$ ) and residual circulation of measles was mainly in age groups older than 12 years.

Group B: Two local health units, one from Puglia and one from Campania. In these regions less than $50 \%$ of local health units implemented mass vaccination campaigns but in those chosen for the investigation vaccine coverage was over $95 \%$ and the circulation of indigenous measles has been interrupted since 1990.

Group C: One local health unit (from Basilicata), where vaccine coverage was about $50 \%$ and only reduced circulation of measles has occurred.

Parents completed a questionnaire on age, sex and residence of the child, mother's and father's educational level, and measles history and/or vaccination
status. Details on the dates of disease or vaccination, who diagnosed measles and who gave vaccine were requested. History of vaccination reported by parents was checked against vaccination registers. After obtaining the written consent of parents, capillary blood samples were collected from children aged 2-14 years randomly selected by systematic or proportional sampling from residence and/or school registers.

In groups A and C only five age groups were included in the investigation. To ensure that the sampling error should not (probability $95 \%$ ) exceed $10 \%$ of the estimated proportion immune in each age group and, considering the availability of local health units, 70,120 , and 100 children from each age group were samples in groups A, B and C, respectively.

Blood spot samples were processed and ELISA performed according the method of Novello and colleagues [5]; the threshold level for positivity was assumed to be $130 \mathrm{mIU} / \mathrm{ml}$.

Comparisons between groups were performed by Student's $t$ or ANOVA (antibody titres expressed as $\log \mathrm{mIU} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and $\chi^{2}$ (percent of positive) tests. Logistic regression was used to estimate the risk of susceptibility.

## RESULTS

Table 1 shows the data on target populations, sample size and response rate for each area group. Out of 5440 selected children questionnaires were completed for 4528 ( $83.2 \%$ ) and capillary blood samples obtained from 4114 ( $75.6 \%$ ). The educational level of parents completing the interview but refusing the blood test was higher than that of those who consented; no difference in reported immunity status was observed between those who submitted capillary blood samples and those who did not.

Most of the questionnaire non-responders could not be found at the time of investigation, so it was difficult to collect data to characterize them.

Figure 1 shows the percentage of seroimmune children by year of birth and by area group. The percentage of immune subjects in groups A and C increased from 85.3 and $70.6 \%$ for those born in the years 1988-9 to $89 \cdot 3$ and $96.9 \%$ for those born before 1982. In group B, percentage immunity was higher than $93 \%$ in all age groups, ranging from $93.3 \%$ in those born in the years $1986-7$ to $99 \cdot 1 \%$ in those born in the years 1982-3.

Table 2 shows serological results by history of measles or measles vaccination and by year of birth.

Table 1. Target population, sample size and response rate (\%)

|  |  |  | Collection of |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Group | Target |  | Capillary blood |  |
|  | population | Sample size | Questionnaires | samples |
| A (Emilia Romagna) | 72044 | 3500 | $2900(82 \cdot 8)$ | $2515(71 \cdot 9)$ |
| B (Galatina \& Atripalda) | 11586 | 1440 | $1138(79 \cdot 0)$ | $1129(78 \cdot 4)$ |
| C (Villa d'Agri) | 5470 | 500 | $490(98 \cdot 0)$ | $470(94 \cdot 0)$ |
| Total | 89100 | 5440 | $4528(83 \cdot 2)$ | $4114(75 \cdot 6)$ |



Fig. 1. Serological profile in the groups A, B and C.

For 20 children, information on immunity history was missing; for 35 with history of measles, date of disease was missing too. Of those that reported having had measles before 1990, 806 ( $96 \cdot 5 \%$ ) of 835 were immune, and in the period 1990-3 $80(87 \cdot 0 \%)$ of 92 were immune (OR $4 \cdot 2,95 \% 1 \cdot 9-8 \cdot 9$ ). For those vaccinated with SW and E-Z strain, $2190(97.6 \%)$ of 2244 and 475 ( $85 \cdot 7 \%$ ) of 554 were immune (OR $6 \cdot 8,95 \%$ CI $4 \cdot 6-9 \cdot 8$ ). Of 334 children without a history of measles or measles vaccination, $110(32.9 \%)$ were immune, ranging from $53.9 \%$ in those born in 1981 to $20.3 \%$ in those born in 1988-9 ( $\chi^{2}$ for trend, $P<0 \cdot 01$ ). Log GMT values were 3.83 in seropositive subjects with a history of measles before 1990 , and 3.85 for a history after 1990. The $\log$ GMT values were 3.22 and $2 \cdot 81$ for children vaccinated with SW and $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ respectively and 3.53 for those without a history of natural or induced immunity.

Figure 2 shows the distribution of seropositive children by antibody titre and by history of measles or measles vaccination. Among seroimmune children vaccinated with $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ strain, $29.2 \%$ had antibody titres equal to or higher than $3.0 \mathrm{Log} \mathrm{mIU} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $7.9 \%$ were higher than or equal to 3.5 compared to 73.9 and $22.7 \%$ after SW vaccination. Among seroimmune subjects with a history of measles before 1990, $98.6 \%$ had antibody titres higher than 3.0 and $91.2 \%$ higher than $3 \cdot 5$ compared to 98.8 and $92.5 \%$ for children with a history of measles since 1990 .

Table 3 reports the number of subjects, percentage positive and $\log$ GMT values by history of measles or vaccination and by area group. The percentage of children in groups A and B without a history of measles or vaccination, who were immune was significantly less than that in group C $(22 \cdot 5,24 \cdot 2$ and $57.7 \%, P<0.01$ ). The percentage of children in group

Table 2. Number of subjects, percentage positive and $\log G M T$ values by year of birth and history of measles or measles vaccination. Total sample

| Condition | Serological results | Year of birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\leqslant 1981$ | 1982-3 | 1984-5 | 1986-7 | 1988-9 | $\geqslant 1990$ | Total |
| No history of measles/ vaccination | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \text { \% Pos. (CI) } \\ & \log \text { GMT (CI) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & 54(43-65) \\ & 3 \cdot 46(3 \cdot 30-3 \cdot 62) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 38(25-53) \\ & 3 \cdot 71(3.57-3 \cdot 85) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 28(17-41) \\ & 3 \cdot 53(3 \cdot 26-3 \cdot 80) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & 27(16-40) \\ & 3 \cdot 60(3 \cdot 36-3 \cdot 84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \\ & 20(11-32) \\ & 3 \cdot 35(3 \cdot 11-3 \cdot 60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 0(0-41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 334 \\ & 33(28-38) \\ & 3 \cdot 53(3 \cdot 43-3.62) \end{aligned}$ |
| History of measles < 1990 | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \text { \% Pos. (CI) } \\ & \log \text { GMT (CI) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 290 \\ & 96(94-98) \\ & \quad 3 \cdot 80(3 \cdot 77-3 \cdot 83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 281 \\ & 98(95-100) \\ & 3 \cdot 83(3 \cdot 80-3 \cdot 86) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 165 \\ & 97(93-100) \\ & 3 \cdot 85(3 \cdot 81-3 \cdot 89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 98(91-100) \\ & 3.87(3.82-3.93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 71(44-91) \\ & 3.92(3.79-4.06) \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 835 \\ & 97(95-98) \\ & 3 \cdot 83(3 \cdot 81-3 \cdot 85) \end{aligned}$ |
| History of measles $>1990$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \text { \% Pos. (CI) } \\ & \text { log GMT (CI) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 88(84-91) \\ & 3 \cdot 78(3 \cdot 61-3 \cdot 94) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 94(72-100) \\ & 3.78(3 \cdot 64-3 \cdot 92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 90(68-99) \\ & 3 \cdot 78(3 \cdot 60-3 \cdot 96) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 81(60-94) \\ & 3 \cdot 90(3 \cdot 80-4 \cdot 0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 85(62-97) \\ & 3 \cdot 97(3 \cdot 9-4 \cdot 04) \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 87(78-94) \\ & 3.85(3 \cdot 79-3 \cdot 91) \end{aligned}$ |
| History of SW vaccination | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \% \text { Pos. (CI) } \\ & \text { log GMT (CI) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 309 \\ & 97(94-99) \\ & \quad 3 \cdot 18(3 \cdot 14-3 \cdot 22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 430 \\ & 97(94-99) \\ & 3 \cdot 19(3 \cdot 16-3 \cdot 23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 448 \\ & 99(97-100) \\ & 3 \cdot 17(3 \cdot 14-3 \cdot 21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 508 \\ & 97(95-99) \\ & 3 \cdot 24(3 \cdot 20-3 \cdot 27) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 392 \\ & 98(96-100) \\ & 3 \cdot 27(3 \cdot 24-3 \cdot 31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 157 \\ & 98(94-100) \\ & 3 \cdot 38(3 \cdot 32-3 \cdot 44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2244 \\ & \quad 98(97-98) \\ & 3 \cdot 22(3 \cdot 20-3 \cdot 23) \end{aligned}$ |
| History of $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ vaccination | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \text { \% Pos. (CI) } \\ & \log \text { GMT (CI) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 82(67-92) \\ & 3 \cdot 02(2 \cdot 81-3 \cdot 24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 82(69-91) \\ & 2 \cdot 74(2 \cdot 60-2 \cdot 88) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 89(77-97) \\ & 2 \cdot 80(2 \cdot 68-2 \cdot 93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & 74(63-83) \\ & 2 \cdot 81(2 \cdot 70-2 \cdot 92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 225 \\ & 86(82-91) \\ & 2.75(2.70-2 \cdot 80) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 98(92-100) \\ & 2 \cdot 92(2 \cdot 84-3 \cdot 00) \end{aligned}$ | 554 $\begin{aligned} & 86(83-89) \\ & 2 \cdot 81(2 \cdot 77-2 \cdot 85) \end{aligned}$ |



Table 3. Number of subjects, percentage positive and $\log G M T$ values by history of measles or vaccination and by group

| Group | No history of measles/measles vaccination |  |  | History of measles |  |  |  |  |  | History of vaccination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | < 1990 |  |  | $\geqslant 1900$ |  |  | SW |  |  | E-Z |  |  |
|  | $n$ | \% | $\log$ GMT | $n$ | \% | $\log$ GMT | $n$ | \% | $\log$ GMT | $n$ | \% | $\log$ GMT | $n$ | \% | $\log$ GMT |
| A |  | 23 | $3 \cdot 42$ | 240 | 92 | $3 \cdot 80$ | 35 | 80 | 3.79 | 1579 | 98 | $3 \cdot 20$ | 431 | 82 | 2.78 |
| B | 33 | 24 | 3.32 | 365 | 98 | $3 \cdot 84$ | 7 | 86 | $3 \cdot 70$ | 578 | 98 | $3 \cdot 26$ | 123 | 98 | $2 \cdot 90$ |
| C | 97 | 58 | 3.64 | 230 | 98 | $3 \cdot 84$ | 50 | 92 | 3.91 | 87 | 94 | $3 \cdot 40$ |  |  |  |
| Comparison: among log GMT values* | $F=3.47, P=0.05$ |  |  | $F=1.53 \text { (n.s.) }$ |  |  | $F=3.70, P=0.05$ |  |  | $F=14.5, P \ll 0.001$ |  |  | $F=5.96, P=0.01$ |  |  |
| \% Positive $\dagger$ | $\chi^{2}=38 \cdot 1, P \ll 0 \cdot 001$ |  |  | $\chi^{2}=19 \cdot 8, P \ll 0 \cdot 001$ |  |  | $\chi^{2}=2 \cdot 62, P=0.27$ |  |  | $\chi^{2}=4 \cdot 30, P=0 \cdot 12$ |  |  | $\chi^{2}=19 \cdot 3, P \ll 0.001$ |  |  |

[^1]Table 4. Percentage positive (log GMT) by the interval between disease and blood sampling

| Special results | Interval between disease and blood sampling (years) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<3$ | 3-4 | $\geqslant 5$ | Total |
| $n$ | 19 | 72 | 836 | 927 |
| \% Positive* (CI) | 79 (61-97) | 89 (82-96) | 97 (95-98) | 96 (94-97) |
| $\log$ GMT (CI) | 3.95 (3.87-4.02) | $3 \cdot 83$ (3.76-3.90) | $3 \cdot 83$ (3•81-3.85) | $3 \cdot 83$ (3.82-3.85) |

* Test for trend was performed considering the percentage of positive serological result and interval (blood disease-sampling) $\chi_{\text {trend }}^{2}=21 \cdot 7, P \ll 0 \cdot 001$.

B and C with a history of measles before 1990, who were positive was significantly higher than that in group $\mathrm{A}(98.4,98.3$ and $92.1 \%, P<0.01)$. No significant difference between groups was observed in percentage positive in children with a history of measles since $1990(80 \cdot 0,85 \cdot 7$ and $92 \%, P=0 \cdot 27)$. Percentage positivity in those having a history of SW vaccination was $97 \cdot 7,97 \cdot 8$ and $94 \cdot 3 \%$ in groups $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{C}(P=0 \cdot 12)$. Compared to $82 \cdot 1$ and $98.4 \%$ in group A and $\mathrm{B}(P<0.01)$ for $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ vaccination.

Log GMT values were significantly higher in group $\mathrm{C}(3.64,3.91$ and 3.40$)$ than in group A (3.42, 3.79 and 3.20 ) and group $B$ ( $3.32,3.70$ and 3.26 ) for negative history of measles or measles vaccination ( $P=0.05$ ), history of measles since $1990(P=0.05)$ and history of SW vaccination $(P \ll 0 \cdot 01)$ respectively. No difference in log GMT values was observed between groups for history of measles before 1990. Finally, log GMT values were significantly higher in group $B$ than in group A ( $2 \cdot 90 \mathrm{vs} .2 \cdot 78, P=0.01$ ) for $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ vaccination.
Table 4 reports the number of subjects, percentage immunity and log GMT values according to the time interval between blood sampling and occurrence of measles. Whereas $\log$ GMT values do not differ significantly between the three intervals, there is a significant trend for the proportion of subjects immune: $78.9 \%$ for a time interval less than 3 years to $96.5 \%$ for a time interval greater than or equal to 5 years ( $\chi^{2}$ for trend, $P \ll 0.01$ ).

Table 5 reports the number of subjects, percentage positive and log GMT values by vaccine strain and by the time interval between blood sampling and vaccination. Information on the date of vaccination was missing for 9 children vaccinated with $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ and 23 with the SW strain. There is a clear trend in the proportion of immune subjects vaccinated with $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ strain $(P=0.07)$ but not among those vaccinated with SW strain $(P=0.63)$. For each time interval, the percentage immunity for $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ vaccinees is significantly lower than that observed in the SW vaccinees. Log

GMT values range from $3 \cdot 16$ to $3 \cdot 28$ for vaccination with SW strain and 2.76 to 2.92 for $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ strain. For each time interval, there is a significant difference in $\log$ GMT values between the SW and $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ group.

Table 6 shows the results of the logistic regression analysis. Those vaccinated with the E-Z strain and those with an unknown source of vaccination have a higher risk of being susceptible (OR 7.60, $95 \%$ CI $4 \cdot 66-12 \cdot 4$ and OR $2 \cdot 92,95 \%$ CI $1 \cdot 30-6 \cdot 51$, respectively, adjusted for time interval and parents' level of education).

## DISCUSSION

This study shows that during the 1989-91 Italian mass vaccination campaign, $96.5 \%$ of unvaccinated children with a history of measles (before 1990) were immune, compared to only $87.0 \%$ of those reporting measles since 1990. This confirms [6] the higher risk of a false diagnosis of measles after a large reduction in measles circulation (group A) and in the absence of indigenous measles (group B), compared to areas with only a small reduction in measles circulation (group C).

The high positive predictive value of history of measles as diagnosed by a physician without any standard case definition (before the mass vaccine campaign) is interesting. Our results stress the importance of an active surveillance system to identify the chain of transmission of measles cases after a mass vaccination campaign.

Among those vaccinated with SW vaccine $97.7 \%$ were immune, irrespective of time interval between vaccination and serological testing. In contrast, $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ vaccine induced immunity in only $85.5 \%$, and this declined as the time interval from vaccination increased. The $\log$ GMT value was 3.8 in those immune as a result of a history of measles and 3.2 and 2.8 among those vaccinated with SW or $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$. Since
Table 5. Number of subjects, percentage positive and $\log G M T$ values by vaccine strain and by the interval between vaccination and blood sampling

| Serological results | Interval between vaccination and blood sampling (years) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<3$ | 3-4 | 5-6 | 7-8 | $\geqslant 9$ | Total |
| SW |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $n$ | 291 | 799 | 539 | 406 | 186 | 2221 |
| \% Positive | 96 (93-98) | 99 (97-100) | 98 (96-100) | 98 (96-100) | 96 (92-99) | 98 (97-98) |
| $\log$ GMT | $3 \cdot 28(3 \cdot 23-3 \cdot 33)$ | $3 \cdot 25(3 \cdot 22-3 \cdot 27)$ | $3 \cdot 20(3 \cdot 18-3 \cdot 24)$ | $3 \cdot 16(3 \cdot 13-3 \cdot 20)$ | $3 \cdot 23$ (3•18-3.28) | $3 \cdot 22(3 \cdot 20-3 \cdot 23)$ |
| $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $n$ | 284 | 226 | 35 | - | - | 545 |
| \% Positive | 88 (84-92) | 83 (79-88) | 80 (63-92) | - | - | 86 (83-2.89) |
| log GMT | $2 \cdot 83$ (2.78-2.89) | $2 \cdot 76$ (2.70-2.81) | $2 \cdot 92$ (2.74-3.09) | - | - | $2 \cdot 80(2 \cdot 77-2 \cdot 84)$ |
| Comparison | $t=11 \cdot 2, P \ll 0 \cdot 001$ | $t=16 \cdot 1, P \ll 0.001$ | $t=3 \cdot 2, P<0.01$ | - | - | $t=18.98, P \ll 0.001$ |
| Between log GMT values \% Positive | $\chi^{2}=12 \cdot 0, P<0 \cdot 001$ | $\chi^{2}=95 \cdot 6, P \ll 0.001$ | $\chi^{2}=25 \cdot 7, P \ll 0 \cdot 001$ | - | - | $\chi^{2}=145, P \ll 0 \cdot 001$ |

[^2]Table 6. Result of logistic regression analysis.
Adjusted odds ratio for risk of susceptibility in vaccinees

|  | $n$ | Adjusted OR* | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & \mathrm{CI} \dagger \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strain |  |  |  |
| SW | 2190 | 1 | - |
| E-Z | 541 | $7 \cdot 60$ | 4.66-12.4 |
| Interval between blood sampling and vaccination |  |  |  |
| $<1$ year | 165 | 1 | - |
| 2-3 years | 920 | $1 \cdot 17$ | 0.61-2.25 |
| 4-5 years | 836 | 1.09 | 0.50-2.39 |
| 6-7 years | 442 | 1.23 | 0.49-3.05 |
| $\geqslant 8$ years | 368 | 1.37 | 0.52-3.61 |
| Applicant |  |  |  |
| Public Health Service | 2280 | 1 | - |
| Private physician | 375 | 1.28 | 0.78-2.10 |
| Not known | 76 | $2 \cdot 92$ | 1.30-6.51 |
| Parents' level of education |  |  |  |
| Low | 1653 | 1 | - |
| High | 1078 | $1 \cdot 19$ | 0.81-1.72 |

* OR, odds ratio.
$\dagger$ CI confidence interval ( $95 \%$ ).
$92 \cdot 1 \%$ of immune subjects vaccinated with the $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ strain had log antibody titres less than $3 \cdot 5$, compared to only $8 \cdot 8 \%$ of immune children with a history of measles, the assumption that almost all vaccinees were susceptible before vaccination is reasonable. Seroconversion rates after vaccination observed in this study are comparable to those found elsewhere when vaccine strain, quality of the vaccination practice (public or private), age at vaccination and effect of booster infection are considered [7-9].

The E-Z strain vaccine appears to have lower persistent immunogenicity than SW strain which could reduce the possibility of interrupting the circulation of measles.

Seroimmunity profiles of the three groups are consistent with residual circulation of measles after 1989. In group B, where mass vaccination campaigns were particularly successful (vaccine coverage in all age groups was $95-99 \%$ ) and in which high coverage has been maintained in subsequent birth cohorts [4], only imported measles cases were identified by the active surveillance system. This implies that an immune profile of $95 \%$ or more, without spatial or age clusters of susceptibility, could guarantee the interruption of measles circulation [10].

In the region of Emilia Romagna, the mass vaccination campaign was equally successful among the local health units that participated in the sero-
logical investigation (group A) as among those that did not. For this region it is possible to evaluate the effect of the programme on measles notifications by comparing the 10 years 1980-9 with the 5 years 1990-4. In this latter period the average notified measles incidence (per thousand, per year) has dropped from 10.5 to $1 \cdot 8(-82.9 \%)$, from 13.3 to 2.3 $(-82.5 \%)$, from 3.9 to $2.3(-40.3 \%)$ in the age groups $0-4,5-9,10-14$ years, and from 1.4 to 0.5 $(-67.8 \%)$ in the general population [1]. Given that the introduction of an active surveillance system should reduce the under-reporting of cases, the reduction in the number of cases observed after the vaccination programmes is probably an underestimate of the true reduction. How much the underreporting has changed in Italy as a whole and in the different geographical areas is a question for further research.

Because of the strong reduction (group A) or interruption (group B) of measles circulation in the period 1990-4, and that effect of booster infection on antibody levels can be considered negligible, it is reasonable to conclude that persistence of immunity induced by SW strain vaccines is long lasting. However, this is not the case for the $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ strain, as found in other studies [11, 12]. The higher level of immunity observed in group B compared to group A may be explained by the fact that, $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ vaccines were used in group B (only in Galatina district) since 1993, whereas in group A it has been used since it became available.

Even if the absence of detectable antibodies in $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ vaccinees does not imply an absence of protection in all cases $[13,14]$, the suboptimal and declining immunity could be critical since a high level of herd immunity needs to be maintained to interrupt the circulation of measles in the community. It is an open question [15] if a second dose of measles vaccine is needed: our results suggest that it is more convenient to make sure that all susceptible subjects receive a single dose and, only subsequently, to consider the need of a second dose to achieve the elimination of measles [16].
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[^1]:    * Analysis of variance was performed between groups A, B and C.
    $\dagger$ Heterogeneity of percent of positive among groups $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(\chi^{2}\right)$.

[^2]:    E-Z: $\chi_{\text {trend }}^{2}=3.36, P=0.07$.
    SW: $\chi_{\text {ten }}^{2}=0.23, P=0.63$.

