

## ANALYTICITY AND QUASI-BANACH VALUED FUNCTIONS

ANTONIO BERNAL AND JOAN CERDÀ

We compare the definitions of analyticity of vector-valued functions and their connections with the topological tensor products of non-locally convex spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider quasi-Banach valued functions that are defined on an open subset of the complex plane.

For such a function, there are several definitions for the concept of analyticity. Complex differentiability allows as analytic some functions that are too pathological (see [1, 4, 12]). Other definitions have been considered by several authors in [1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12], and the proof of the equivalence of these definitions is more or less implicit in these papers.

Our purpose is to give a unified presentation of the basic theory of analytic functions with values in quasi-Banach spaces and to prove the above mentioned equivalences in full detail.

Section 2 contains the preliminary definitions, notation and results on analyticity. In Section 3 we prove that the uniform limits of finite rank analytic functions have power series expansions. This fact has been shown by Kalton in [8] but here we give another proof that gives a more elementary appearance to the theorem.

In Sections 4 and 5 we complete the chain of equivalences for the different definitions of analyticity and we observe that the concept of ultra-uniform convergence on compact sets introduced by Etter in [4], which is known to be strictly stronger than the uniform convergence on compact sets, is equivalent to it when we consider analytic functions.

The proof of the result of Section 5 uses some facts about the theory of non locally convex tensor products as developed in the papers [2, 5, 6, 7, 13, 14, 15, 16].

Throughout the paper  $G$  represents a domain of the complex plane and  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  a complex quasi-Banach space. We refer to [2] and [10] for precise definitions and basic properties of quasi-Banach spaces. Throughout the paper we shall assume all the quasi-norms to be  $p$ -norms for a certain  $p$ ,  $0 < p \leq 1$ . This can be done since a theorem of

---

Received 16 November 1989

This research was partially supported by DGICYT, Grant PS87-0027.

---

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/90 \$A2.00+0.00.

Aoki and Rolewicz asserts that every quasi-norm on a linear space is equivalent to a  $p$ -norm with suitable  $p$ ,  $0 < p \leq 1$ .

We also make free use of the notations on the non locally convex tensor products  $X \widehat{\otimes}_p Y$  and  $X \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$ ,  $Y$  being a locally convex space with generating system of seminorms  $\{\|\cdot\|_\alpha\}_\alpha$  and basis of zero-neighbourhoods  $\{U_\beta\}_\beta$ .

Recall that  $X \widehat{\otimes}_p Y$  stands for the completion of the tensor product  $X \otimes Y$  with respect to the quasi-seminorms

$$\|\cdot\|_{\otimes_p} \|\cdot\|_\alpha(Z) = \inf \left( \sum \|x_n\|^p \|y_n\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad .$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite representations

$$Z = \sum x_n \otimes y_n.$$

Also,  $X \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon Y$  is the completion of  $X \otimes Y$  with respect to the quasi-seminorms

$$q_\beta(Z) = \sup \|(I_X \otimes \omega)(Z)\|,$$

the supremum being taken over all the continuous linear functionals  $\omega \in Y'$  which are in the polar of  $U_\beta$ .

## 2. DEFINITIONS OF ANALYTICITY

In this section we give several definitions for the concept of analyticity and we prove some relations between them.

Let  $f_n$  be a sequence of  $X$ -valued functions defined on  $G$ . In [4],  $f_n$  is said to be *ultra-uniformly convergent* to  $f: G \rightarrow X$  over a set  $A \subset G$  if to each zero-neighbourhood  $W$  on  $X$  there corresponds an index  $n_0$  so that

$$co[(f_n - f)(A)] \subset W$$

whenever  $n \geq n_0$ . Here "co" denotes the convex envelope of the set.

In [4], a function  $f: G \rightarrow X$  is said to be of class  $A$  if there is a sequence of finite rank analytic functions which is ultra-uniformly convergent to  $f$  over each compact subset of  $G$ .

From this definition an integration theory is developed in [4] such that the Cauchy integral formula holds for functions of class  $A$ . However, this integration theory gives no mean value inequality and, if  $\gamma$  is a rectifiable curve in  $G$ , no estimate for the quasi-norm of  $\int_\gamma f(z) dz$  can be obtained from the supremum of  $\|f(z)\|$  over  $\gamma$ .

The fundamental property of functions of class  $A$  is the following *factorisation theorem* of [4].

**THEOREM 2.1.** *A function  $f: G \rightarrow X$  is of class  $A$  if and only if for each  $z \in G$  there is a disk  $D(z) \subset G$  centred at  $z$ , a Banach space  $L_z$ , a linear operator  $T_z: L_z \rightarrow X$  and a Banach-valued analytic function*

$$f_z: D(z) \rightarrow L_z$$

such that

$$f|_{D(z)} = T_z \circ f_z.$$

In [4] it is also proved that, if  $z_0 \in G$ , any function of class  $A$  in  $G$  has a power series expansion which is ultra-uniformly convergent on any closed disk  $\overline{D}(z_0) \subset G$ . From this fact it is natural to make the following definition:

A function  $f: G \rightarrow X$  will be said to be *analytic* when for each  $z_0$  there is a disk  $D(z_0) \subset G$  centred at  $z_0$  and a power series expansion

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z - z_0)^n a_n, \quad a_n \in X,$$

which is pointwise convergent on  $D(z_0)$ .

Thus, any function of class  $A$  is analytic.

Since  $X$  is a quasi-Banach space, the usual arguments work to prove that the above series is uniformly convergent on compact subsets of  $D(z_0)$ . We will see that the series (1) is ultra-uniformly convergent on compact sets and that the class  $A$  and the class of all analytic functions are the same.

Another definition has been given by Gramsch in [5]. For the moment we will call *locally holomorphic* a function  $f: G \rightarrow X$  if *locally belongs to  $X \widehat{\otimes}_p H^\infty$* . More explicitly: if for each  $z_0 \in G$  there is an open set  $U(z_0) \subset G$  containing  $z_0$ , vectors  $x_k \in X$  and bounded scalar-valued analytic functions  $f_k: U(z_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^p \|f_k\|_\infty^p < +\infty$$

and

$$(3) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(z)x_k$$

in  $U(z_0)$ . We remark that (2) implies the convergence of (3). At this moment we are not saying that the completed tensor products  $X \widehat{\otimes}_p H^\infty(U(z_0))$  are function spaces.

We observe that analytic functions, locally holomorphic functions and functions of class  $A$  are the same:

Any function of class  $A$  is analytic as we have seen. But analytic functions with values in very general spaces have been studied by Turpin in [12] and in the quasi-normed case the factorisation theorem is proved for such functions (the disk  $D(z)$  of Theorem 2.1 can be replaced by any open set  $U$  so that  $\bar{U}$  is a compact subset of  $G$ ) [12, Theorem 9.3.2]. Thus, by Theorem 2.1, any analytic function is of class  $A$ . Moreover, taking the power series expansions, it follows that analytic functions are locally holomorphic and in [5] the reverse implication has been proved.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *For a function  $f : G \rightarrow X$  the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f$  is of class  $A$ .
- (ii)  $f$  is analytic.
- (iii)  $f$  is locally holomorphic.

The factorisation property proved by Turpin for analytic functions allows us to prove local properties of analytic functions from the Banach-valued case. For example, it follows that analytic functions are  $C^\infty$  and the relations

$$a_k = \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(z_0)$$

hold for the coefficients of the power series (1), and the power series of  $f$  about  $z_0$  converges on any disk  $D(z_0) \subset G$  centred at  $z_0$ .

We remark that in the definition of local holomorphic functions we can use any  $p$  ( $0 < p \leq 1$ ) so that  $X$  is  $p$ -Banach, because it follows from the above proposition that the class of all locally holomorphic functions is independent of  $p$ .

We introduce two further definitions:

We shall say that  $f$  is *globally holomorphic* if there is a sequence  $f_k : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of scalar-valued analytic functions and a sequence of vectors  $x_k \in X$  such that, if  $K \subset G$  is compact, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^p \|f_k\|_K^p < +\infty$$

and

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(z) x_k$$

over  $G$ . Here  $\|f_k\|_K$  stands for the maximum of  $|f_k(z)|$  over  $K$ . This definition has been considered in [7].

We shall call  $f$  *holomorphic* if there is a sequence of analytic functions with finite rank  $f_n : G \rightarrow X$  uniformly convergent on compact sets of  $G$  to  $f$ . This definition is due to Peetre in [11].

Obviously, any globally holomorphic function is analytic and any analytic function is holomorphic. We shall prove the converse of these two properties.

### 3. ANALYTICITY OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

In [8, Theorem 6.3] Kalton considers the space  $A_0(X)$  of all continuous functions  $f: \overline{\Delta} \rightarrow X$ ,  $\Delta$  being the unit disk, which are analytic on  $\Delta$ , and shows that  $A_0(X)$  is complete with respect to the quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max_{|z| \leq 1} \|f(z)\|.$$

Thus the uniform limit of a sequence of analytic functions is analytic and any holomorphic function is analytic.

The proof of the completeness of  $A_0(X)$  given in [8] is connected with the atomic theory of  $H^p$ . It uses a result by Coifman and Rochberg [3] on Bergman spaces.

We shall give another proof which uses a less involved concept of complex analysis: the maximum principle. Since the maximum principle is not valid in general quasi-Banach spaces (see [1] and [9]) we shall use the generalisation of the maximum principle given in [9].

The proof of this generalisation also uses the above mentioned techniques and so our proof is only apparently more elementary than that of [8]. Nevertheless, the class of all quasi-Banach spaces for which the maximum principle is valid contains important examples such as  $L^p$  and  $H^p$ . These spaces have been called "locally analytically pseudoconvex" by Peetre in [11], "locally holomorphic" by Aleksandrov in [1] and "A-convex" by Kalton in [9]. For an A-convex space our proof is actually more elementary than that of [9].

Recall that  $X$  is A-convex if it has an equivalent pluri-subharmonic quasi-norm. This property is characterised by the existence of a constant  $C$  such that, if  $f$  is an  $X$ -valued analytic function on  $\Delta$  which is continuous up to the boundary, then

$$(4) \quad \|f(0)\| \leq C \sup_{|z|=1} \|f(z)\|.$$

If  $X$  is A-convex, we take an equivalent  $p$ -norm  $|\cdot|$  and  $(X, |\cdot|)$  is a  $p$ -Banach space satisfying (4). According to [9, Theorem 3.7], we can suppose that the  $p$ -norm is pluri-subharmonic and in (4) we have  $C = 1$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $g: \overline{\Delta} \rightarrow X$  be continuous on  $\overline{\Delta}$  and holomorphic on  $\Delta$ . Let  $r$  satisfy  $0 < r < 1$ . Then there is a constant  $C = C(r, X)$  with*

$$\|f(0)\| \leq C \sup_{r \leq |z| \leq 1} \|g(z)\|.$$

PROOF: If  $g$  is analytic, this is [9, Theorem 5.2]. If not, we just make a limiting process approximating  $f$  on  $\overline{\Delta}$  by finite rank functions which are analytic on a neighbourhood of  $\overline{\Delta}$ . □

We remark that the last case of the proof will never happen because we shall prove that any holomorphic function is analytic.

Now take a holomorphic function  $f: G \rightarrow X$  and a sequence  $f_n: G \rightarrow X$  of analytic functions with finite rank uniformly convergent to  $f$  over the compact sets of  $G$ . Fix  $z_0 \in G$  and  $r_0 > 0$  so that  $\overline{D}(z_0, r_0) \subset G$ . We have the power series for the functions  $f_n$

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (z - z_0)^k a_k^{(n)}.$$

LEMMA 3.2. For each integer  $k \geq 0$  the sequence  $\{a_k^{(n)}\}_n$  is convergent.

PROOF: The result is obvious for  $k = 0$ . Suppose that

$$a_k^{(n)} \rightarrow a_k$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if  $0 \leq k < m$ . If  $z \in \overline{D}(z_0, r_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$  we write

$$\frac{f_n(z)}{(z - z_0)^m} = \frac{a_0^{(n)}}{(z - z_0)^m} + \frac{a_1^{(n)}}{(z - z_0)^{m-1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{m-1}^{(n)}}{z - z_0} + a_m^{(n)} + (z - z_0)a_{m+1}^{(n)} + \dots.$$

We know that the power series  $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (z - z_0)^\nu a_{m+\nu}^{(n)}$  are pointwise convergent on a neighbourhood of  $\overline{D}(z_0, r_0)$  and with the usual arguments it follows that they are uniformly convergent on  $\overline{D}(z_0, r_0)$ . Let us call  $h_{nm}$  the functions defined on  $\overline{D}(z_0, r_0)$  by the above power series. They are holomorphic on  $D(z_0, r_0)$ . For  $z \in \overline{D}(z_0, r_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$  the following identity holds:

$$h_{nm}(z) = \frac{f_n(z)}{(z - z_0)^m} - \frac{a_0^{(n)}}{(z - z_0)^m} - \dots - \frac{a_{m-1}^{(n)}}{z - z_0}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis  $h_{nm}(z) \rightarrow g_m(z)$  pointwise on  $\overline{D}(z_0, r_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$ , where  $g_m$  is the function defined on  $\overline{D}(z_0, r_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$  by

$$g_m(z) = \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^m} - \frac{a_0}{(z - z_0)^m} - \dots - \frac{a_{m-1}}{z - z_0}.$$

Moreover, if  $0 < r < r_0$ , the convergence is uniform on the ring of centre  $z_0$  and radii  $r$  and  $r_0$ :

$$\|h_{nm} - g_m(z)\|^p \leq \frac{1}{r^m p} \|f_n(z) - f(z)\|^p + \dots + \frac{1}{r^p} \|a_{m-1}^{(n)} - a_{m-1}\|^p.$$

In particular, the sequence  $\{h_{nm}\}_n$  is uniformly Cauchy on the ring of radii  $r$  and  $r_0$ . From this and from Lemma 3.1 it follows that  $a_m^{(n)} = h_{nm}(z_0)$  is convergent in  $X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

We now have a candidate for power series of  $f$  about  $z_0$ , formally

$$(5) \quad f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (z - z_0)^\nu a_\nu$$

where, according to the above notation,  $a_\nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu^{(n)}$ . Before proving that the series (5) converges to  $f(z)$  on a neighbourhood of  $z_0$ , we state a technical lemma.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *The functions  $h_m$  defined on  $\overline{D}(z_0, r_0)$  by*

$$h_m(z) = \begin{cases} g_m(z) & \text{if } z \neq z_0 \\ a_m & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

are holomorphic.

**PROOF:** Since  $h_{nm}$  are analytic on  $D(z_0, r_0)$ , it is enough to prove that  $h_{nm} \rightarrow h_m$  uniformly on  $\overline{D}(z_0, r_0)$ .

As we have seen in the proof of Lemma 3.2,  $h_{nm} \rightarrow h_m$  uniformly on the ring of centre  $z_0$  and radii  $r_0$  and  $r_0/2$ .

From Lemma 3.1 plus a conformal mapping argument it follows that, if  $h: \overline{D}(z_0, r_0) \rightarrow X$  is continuous and holomorphic on  $D(z_0, r_0)$ , there is a constant  $C$  and a radius  $r$ ,  $0 < r < r_0$ , so that

$$\sup_{|z-z_0| \leq r_0/2} \|h(z)\| \leq C \cdot \sup_{r \leq |z-z_0| \leq r_0} \|h(z)\|.$$

From this observation it follows that the sequence  $\{h_{nm}\}_n$  is uniformly Cauchy on the disk  $\overline{D}(z_0, r_0/2)$ , but  $h_{nm} \rightarrow h_m$  pointwise on  $D(z_0, r_0)$ .  $\square$

We can now state the main result.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The series (5) is convergent to  $f(z)$  for all  $z$  in a certain neighbourhood of  $z_0$ . Thus (5) is also convergent on any disk contained in  $G$  centred at  $z_0$  and any holomorphic function is analytic.*

**PROOF:** If  $r_0/2 \leq |z - z_0| \leq r_0$ , it follows that

$$h_{nm}(z) - h_m(z) = \frac{f_n(z) - f(z)}{(z - z_0)^m} - \frac{a_0^{(n)} - a_0}{(z - z_0)^m} - \frac{a_1^{(n)} - a_1}{(z - z_0)^{m-1}} - \dots - \frac{a_{m-1}^{(n)} - a_{m-1}}{z - z_0}.$$

From this we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\frac{r_0}{2}\right)^m [h_{nm}(z) - h_m(z)] \right\|^p &\leq \|f_n(z) - f(z)\|^p + \|a_0^{(n)} - a_0\|^p \\ &\quad + \left\| \frac{r_0}{2} (a_1^{(n)} - a_1) \right\|^p + \dots \\ &\quad + \left\| \left(\frac{r_0}{2}\right)^{m-1} (a_{m-1}^{(n)} - a_{m-1}) \right\|^p \end{aligned}$$

and, applying Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(\frac{r_0}{2}\right)^m (a_m^{(n)} - a_m) \right\|^p \\ &\leq C \left( \|f_n - f\|^p + \|a_0^{(n)} - a_0\|^p + \dots + \left\| \left(\frac{r_0}{2}\right)^{m-1} (a_{m-1}^{(n)} - a_{m-1}) \right\|^p \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|f_n - f\|$  stands for the supremum of  $\|f_n(z) - f(z)\|$  over the disk  $\overline{D}(z_0, r_0)$ .

From the last inequality it follows by an inductive argument:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f_n - f\|^p + \|a_0^{(n)} - a_0\|^p + \dots + \left\| \left(\frac{r_0}{2}\right)^{m-1} (a_{m-1}^{(n)} - a_{m-1}) \right\|^p \\ &\leq (1 + C)^{m-1} \left( \|f_n - f\|^p + \|a_0^{(n)} - a_0\|^p \right) \end{aligned}$$

and we arrive at  $\|a_m^{(n)} - a_m\| \leq C^m \|f_n - f\|$ ,

where the constant  $C$  is independent of  $m$  and  $n$ .

The argument up to this point could have been used to obtain estimates for the Taylor coefficients of analytic functions, but the estimates in [8, Theorem 6.1] are more precise.

Now pick  $z_1$  such that  $|z_1 - z_0| < (2C)^{-1}$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C^{mp} \|f_n - f\|^p |z_1 - z_0|^{pm} < +\infty,$$

and so  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\| (z_1 - z_0)^m (a_m^{(n)} - a_m) \right\|^p \rightarrow 0$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We have proved that the series  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (z_1 - z_0)^m a_m$  has non null radius of convergence. Moreover, we know that

$$\|f_n(z_1) - f(z_1)\| \rightarrow 0$$

and  $\left\| f_n(z_1) - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (z_1 - z_0)^m a_m \right\| \rightarrow 0,$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof of the theorem. □

4. CONVERGENCE AND ULTRA-CONVERGENCE

In [5] an example is given of an  $I^p$ -valued function, with  $p = 1/3$ , that can be uniformly approximated by continuous functions with finite rank but fails to be integrable. Since ultra-uniform convergence preserves integrability of quasi-Banach valued functions as was proved in [4], it follows that the ultra-uniform convergence is a concept strictly stronger than uniform convergence. We prove next that, if we consider only analytic functions, both concepts are essentially equivalent.

If  $\{K_n\}_n$  is an exhaustive sequence of compact sets of  $G$  and  $f: G \rightarrow X$  is analytic, Etter [4] proves that the supremum

$$u_n(f) = \sup\{|\xi| : \xi \in \Gamma(f(K_n))\}$$

is finite, where  $\Gamma(A)$  stands for the set of all finite combinations  $\sum \lambda_i a_i$ , with  $a_i \in A$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\sum |\lambda_i| \leq 1$ . For a sequence of functions  $\{f_\nu\}_\nu$  in  $\mathcal{H}(G, X)$  the statements “ $u_n(f_\nu) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $n$ ”, and “ $\{f_\nu\}_\nu$  is ultra-uniformly convergent to zero over each compact subset of  $G$ ” are equivalent. The functionals  $u_n$  are  $p$ -norms that generate on  $\mathcal{H}(G, X)$  a locally  $p$ -convex metrisable topology whose convergence is the ultra-uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $G$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *The space  $\mathcal{H}(G, X)$  is complete with respect to the quasi-norms  $u_n$ .*

**PROOF:** Let  $\{f_n\}_n$  be a Cauchy sequence. Consider a subsequence  $f_{n_m}$  so that

$$\Gamma((f_{n_{m+1}} - f_{n_m})(K_m)) \subset B_X(0, 2^{-m/p}).$$

If  $m \geq 2$ , it follows that

$$f_{n_m} = f_{n_1} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}).$$

The series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k})$  is uniformly convergent over compact subsets of  $G$  to a function  $\psi: G \rightarrow X$ . If  $h$  is a positive integer and  $\epsilon > 0$ , pick an integer  $N$  so that

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} 2^{-k} < \epsilon^p \text{ and } K_h \subset K_N.$$

If  $m \geq N$  and  $z \in K_h$ , it follows that, denoting  $f = f_{n_1} + \psi$ ,

$$f(z) - f_{n_m}(z) = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} [f_{n_{k+1}}(z) - f_{n_k}(z)].$$

Then, for any  $y \in \Gamma[(f - f_{n_m})(K_h)]$ , it will follow that

$$y = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i [f_{n_{k+1}}(z_i) - f_{n_k}(z_i)],$$

with  $z_i \in K_h$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\sum |\lambda_i| \leq 1$ .

From this it follows that  $\Gamma(f - f_{n_m})(K_h) \subset B_X(0, \varepsilon)$ . We have proved that  $f_{n_m} \rightarrow f$  and  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , ultra-uniformly over compact subsets of  $G$ . □

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $f_n : G \rightarrow X$  be analytic. If  $\{f_n\}_n$  is uniformly convergent on compact sets of  $G$ , then  $\{f_n\}_n$  is also ultra-uniformly convergent on compact sets to the same limit.*

**PROOF:** According to the last proposition, the space  $\mathcal{H}(G, X)$  endowed with the  $p$ -norms  $\{u_k\}_k$  is a complete metrisable linear space. So it is with respect to the  $p$ -norms

$$p_n(f) = \sup_{z \in K_n} \|f(z)\|$$

according to Proposition 4.1. Since  $p_n \leq u_n$ , we can use the open mapping theorem. □

### 5. GLOBAL HOLOMORPHY OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

Let  $G$ ,  $\{p_n\}_n$ ,  $\{u_n\}_n$  and  $\{K_n\}_n$  be as in the preceding section. We can identify  $X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G)$  with the space of all finite rank  $X$ -valued analytic functions on  $X$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $\mathcal{H}(G, X)$  is the completion of  $X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G)$  with respect to the  $p$ -norms  $\{p_n\}_n$ .

Let  $U_n$  be the set of all scalar valued  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}(G)$  such that  $\sup_{z \in K_n} |\phi(z)| \leq 1$ , a basic zero-neighbourhood in  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ . Recall that the functionals  $q_n$  defined for  $g \in X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G)$  by

$$q_n(g) = \sup_{\omega \in U_n^0} \|(I_X \otimes \omega)(g)\|$$

define the inductive topology on  $X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G)$ . Also  $\delta_z \in U_n^0$  if  $z \in K_n$ . From this it follows that  $p_n(g) \leq q_n(g)$  for any  $g \in X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G)$ .

In the locally convex case the equality of  $p_n$  and  $q_n$  can be proved. Suppose that  $X$  is a Banach space and denote by  $\Delta_n$  the set of all  $\delta_z$  with  $z \in K_n$ . Then  $U_n = \Delta_n^0$  and

$$\overline{\Gamma \Delta_n} = U_n^0,$$

and the closure can be taken in the weak topology of the topological dual  $\mathcal{H}'(G)$ .

If  $\lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ , are scalars so that  $\sum |\lambda_i| \leq 1, z_i \in K_n, g = \sum x_i \otimes \phi_i \in X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G)$  and  $\omega = \lambda_1 \delta_{z_1} + \dots + \lambda_r \delta_{z_r}$ , then

$$(6) \quad \left\| \sum \langle \omega, \phi_i \rangle x_i \right\| \leq \sum |\lambda_j| \left\| \sum \phi_i(z_j) x_i \right\| \leq p_n(g).$$

If  $\omega \in U_n^0$ , we approximate by  $\omega_\alpha \in \Gamma(\Delta_n)$  and the same result follows. Thus  $q_n(g) \leq p_n(g)$ .

But in our case we do not have inequality (6). It is true however that the topologies generated in  $X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G)$  by  $\{p_n\}_n$  and  $\{q_n\}_n$  are the same:

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *The continuous extension*

$$\Phi: X \widehat{\otimes}_\epsilon \mathcal{H}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(G, X)$$

of the identity

$$X \otimes_\epsilon \mathcal{H}(G) \rightarrow X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G), \{p_n\}_n$$

is a topological isomorphism.

**PROOF:** This result has been proved in [7] using some considerations on the tensor product  $X \widehat{\otimes}_\epsilon \mathcal{C}(G)$ . We give a direct proof.

The inequality  $p_n \leq q_n$  extends to the completion

$$p_n(\Phi(u)) \leq \check{q}_n(u),$$

for each  $u \in X \widehat{\otimes}_\epsilon \mathcal{H}(G)$ .

We prove that  $\Phi$  is one to one. Let  $u \in X \widehat{\otimes}_\epsilon \mathcal{H}(G)$  be so that  $\Phi(u) = 0$ . We show that  $\check{q}_n(u) = 0$  for each  $n$ . The quasi-norms  $\check{q}_n$  have the representation

$$\check{q}_n(u) = \sup_{\omega \in U_n^0} \|I_X \check{\otimes} \omega(u)\|,$$

where  $I_X \check{\otimes} \omega$  denotes the extension to  $X \widehat{\otimes}_\epsilon \mathcal{H}(G)$  of the continuous linear mapping

$$I_X \otimes \omega: X \otimes_\epsilon \mathcal{H}(G) \rightarrow X.$$

See [7] or [2, Proposition 3.1].

Thus we have to prove that

$$I_X \check{\otimes} \omega(u) = 0$$

if  $\omega \in U_n^0 = \overline{\Gamma \Delta_n}$ . Consider first  $\omega \in \Gamma \Delta_n, \epsilon > 0, \omega = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \delta_{z_i}$ , with  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  so that  $\sum |\lambda_i| \leq 1$  and  $z_i \in K_n$ . Pick  $u' \in X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G)$  with  $\check{q}_n(u - u') < \epsilon(1 + \sum |\lambda_i|^p)^{-1/p}$ . Then

$$p_n(u') = p_n(\Phi(u - u')) \leq \check{q}_n(u - u') < \epsilon \left(1 + \sum |\lambda_i|^p\right)^{-1/p}$$

and

$$\|I_X \check{\otimes} \omega(u)\|^p \leq \check{q}_n(u - u')^p + \|I_X \otimes \omega(u')\|^p.$$

Now suppose that  $u' = \sum x_j \otimes \phi_j \in X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I_X \otimes \omega)(u')\|^p &= \left\| \sum_i \lambda_i \sum_j \phi_j(z_i) x_j \right\|^p \\ &\leq \sum_i |\lambda_i|^p \left\| \sum_j \phi_j(z_i) x_j \right\|^p \\ &\leq p_n(u')^p \sum_i |\lambda_i|^p < \varepsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|I_X \check{\otimes} \omega(u)\| = 0$  if  $\omega \in \Gamma\Delta_n$ .

If  $\omega \in U_n^0$ , we take again  $u'' \in X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G)$  with

$$\check{q}_n(u - u'')^p < \varepsilon.$$

With  $u''$  fixed, we take  $\omega' \in \Gamma\Delta_n$  with

$$\|I_X \otimes (\omega - \omega')(u'')\|^p < \varepsilon.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_X \check{\otimes} \omega(u)\|^p &\leq \|I_X \check{\otimes} \omega(u - u'')\|^p + \|I_X \check{\otimes} (\omega - \omega')(u'')\|^p \\ &\quad + \|I_X \check{\otimes} \omega'(u - u'')\|^p + \|I_X \check{\otimes} \omega'(u)\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

As we have seen before, the last term of the sum vanishes and we have ( $\omega, \omega' \in U_n^0$ ):

$$\|I_X \check{\otimes} \omega(u)\|^p < 3\varepsilon,$$

and the one-to-one character of  $\Phi$  is proved.

By the open mapping theorem, we only have to prove that the map

$$\Phi: X \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \mathcal{H}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(G, X)$$

is onto.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{H}(G, X)$ . We take a sequence  $f_n \in X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G)$  so that

$$\Gamma[(f - f_n)(K_n)] \subset B_X(0, 2^{-n/p}).$$

From this, it follows that, if  $n < m$ ,

$$\Gamma[(f_n - f_m)(K_n)] \subset B_X(0, 2^{-(n+1)/p}).$$

Now, if  $\omega \in \Gamma\Delta_n$ , we have that

$$(I_X \otimes \omega)(f_n - f_m) \in \Gamma(f_n - f_m)(K_n) \subset B_X(0, 2^{-(n+1)/p}).$$

If  $\omega \in U_n^0$ , we approximate it by  $\omega_\alpha \in \Gamma\Delta_n$  in the weak topology and we obtain

$$\|(I_X \otimes \omega)(f_n - f_m)\| \leq 2^{-(n+1)/p}.$$

So, if  $n < m$ , then

$$q_n(f_n - f_m) \leq 2^{-(n+1)/p}$$

and  $\{f_n\}_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X \otimes_\epsilon \mathcal{H}(G)$ . Let  $f_n \rightarrow f^*$  in  $X \widehat{\otimes}_\epsilon \mathcal{H}(G)$  and  $f_n = \Phi(f_n) \rightarrow \Phi(f^*)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(G, X)$ . Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\mathcal{H}(G, X)$ , the result is proved.  $\square$

Finally, we recall that  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  is a nuclear space and, by a result of Waelbroeck [16], the  $p$ -projective and the inductive topologies on  $X \otimes \mathcal{H}(G)$  coincide.

Thus, we have the following result:

**THEOREM 5.1.** *The spaces  $X \widehat{\otimes}_p \mathcal{H}(G)$ ,  $X \widehat{\otimes}_\epsilon \mathcal{H}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(G, X)$  are topologically isomorphic. Any analytic function is globally holomorphic, and the uniform convergence on compact sets, the ultra-uniform convergence on compact sets, and the convergence with respect to the functionals  $\tilde{q}_n$  and  $|\cdot|_{\widehat{\otimes}_p} \|\cdot\|_n$ , coincide, where*

$$\|\phi\|_n = \sup_{z \in K_n} |\phi(z)|, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{H}(G).$$

We remark that in [7] there is a proof of Theorem 5.1 that does not use nuclearity but only the approximation property of  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ . The inclusion

$$X \widehat{\otimes}_p \mathcal{H}(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}(G, X)$$

is a consequence of [7, Lemma 2.1] and Proposition 5.1. To obtain the equality, an argument involving projective limits is used.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.B. Aleksandrov, ‘Essays on non locally convex Hardy classes’, in *Complex Analysis and Spectral Theory: Lecture Notes in Math* 864, Editors V.P. Havin and N.K. Nikolskii (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1981).
- [2] A. Bernal and J. Cerdà, ‘On non locally convex tensor products’, (1989) (preprint).
- [3] R.R. Coifman and R. Rochberg, ‘Representation theorems for holomorphic and harmonic functions in  $L^p$ ’, *Astérisque* 77 (1980), 11–66.
- [4] D.O. Etter, ‘Vector-valued analytic functions’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 119 (1965), 352–366.

- [5] B. Gramsch, 'Integration und holomorphe funktionen in lokalbeschränkten Räumen', *Math. Annal.* **162** (1965), 190–210.
- [6] B. Gramsch, 'Tensorprodukte und integration vektorwertiger funktionen', *Math. Z.* **100** (1967), 106–122.
- [7] B. Gramsch and D. Vogt, 'Holomorphe funktionen mit Werten in nicht lokalkonvexen Vektorräumen', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **243** (1970), 159–170.
- [8] N.J. Kalton, 'Analytic functions in non-locally convex spaces and applications', *Studia Math.* **83** (1986), 275–303.
- [9] N.J. Kalton, 'Plurisubharmonic functions on quasi-Banach spaces', *Studia Math.* **84** (1986), 297–324.
- [10] N.J. Kalton, N.T. Peck and W. Roberts, 'An  $F$ -space sampler', *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* **89**. (Cambridge Univ. Press 1984).
- [11] J. Peetre, 'Locally analytically pseudo-convex topological vector spaces', *Studia Math.* **73** (1982), 252–262.
- [12] P. Turpin, 'Convexités dans les espaces vectoriels topologiques généraux', *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* **131** (1974).
- [13] P. Turpin, 'Produits tensoriels d'espaces vectoriels topologiques', *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **110** (1982), 3–13.
- [14] P. Turpin, 'Représentation fonctionnelle des espaces vectoriels topologiques', *Studia Math.* **73** (1982), 1–10.
- [15] D. Vogt, 'Integrationstheorie in  $p$ -normierten Räumen', *Math. Ann.* **173** (1967), 219–232.
- [16] L. Waelbroeck, 'The tensor product of a locally pseudo-convex and a nuclear space', *Studia Math.* **38** (1970), 101–104.

Department de Matemàtica Aplicada i Anàlisi  
Universitat de Barcelona  
08071 Barcelona  
Spain