

A NOTE ON THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR GEODESIC RANDOM WALKS

GILLES BLUM

In this article we use a theorem of T.G. Kurtz to prove a central limit theorem for geodesic random walks.

0. Introduction

In [1] Jorgensen defines the concept of a random walk in a general Riemannian manifold and investigates the behavior in the limit of a sequence of such random walks. His approach to the problem in question is based upon the use of semigroup methods due to Trotter and Stone. The aim of this note is to give a new proof of some of Jorgensen's results using instead the following theorem of Kurtz and several ideas in [2].

THEOREM 0.1. *For $N = 1, 2, \dots$ let T_N be a linear contraction on a Banach space L_N , let ϵ_N be a positive number and put $A_N = (T_N - I)/\epsilon_N$. Assume that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_N = 0$. Let $\{T(t)\}$ be a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on a Banach space L with generator A and let D be a core for A . Let $\pi_N : L \rightarrow L_N$ be bounded linear operators such that $\sup_N \|\pi_N\| < \infty$. Then the following are equivalent:*

$$(a) \text{ for each } f \in L, \quad \left\| \int_{T_N}^{[t/\epsilon_N]} \pi_N f - \pi_N T(t) f \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } t$$

Received 13 March 1984.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/84
\$A2.00 + 0.00.

$t \geq 0$;

(b) for each $f \in D$ there exists $f_N \in L_N$ such that

$$\|f_N - \pi_N f\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \|A_N f_N - \pi_N A f\| \rightarrow 0 .$$

1. A limit theorem

Let M be a Riemann manifold of dimension n . The Brownian motion in M is a stochastic process $\left\{X_t^x : t \geq 0\right\}$ with continuous sample paths such that $X_0^x = x$ and

$$f\left(X_t^x\right) - f(x) + \int_0^t \frac{\alpha}{2} (\Delta f)\left(X_s^x\right) ds , \quad \alpha > 0 ,$$

is a martingale for every $f \in C_0^\infty(M)$. (See [2]).

Let $x \in M$, $T_x(M)$ be the tangent space of M at x , $S_x(M)$ the unit sphere of $T_x(M)$, $S(M)$ the bundle of tangent unit spheres. Let $\xi_x \in S_x(M)$ and $x(\cdot, \xi_x)$ be the unit speed geodesic starting from x in the direction ξ_x . Let $\{\tau(k) : k = 0, 1, \dots\}$ be identically independantly distributed in \mathbb{R}^+ with distribution P . Assume $E(\tau(k)) = 1$, $E(\tau^2(k)) = \alpha$, $E(\tau^3(k)) < \infty$. Let $\{Z(k) : k = 0, 1, \dots\}$ be the random variables in $S(M)$ such that

$$Z(0) = (x_0, \xi_0), \dots, Z(k) = (x_k, \xi_k)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= x_{k-1}(\tau(k-1), \xi_{k-1}) , \\ \xi_k &= \xi_{x_k} , \end{aligned}$$

and the conditional distribution of ξ_k given

$\{(x_0, \xi_0), \dots, (x_{k-1}, \xi_{k-1})\}$ is the uniform distribution on S_{x_k} denoted

by μ . The sequence $\{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$ is called a geodesic random walk with identically distributed steps. (See [1] and [2].)

THEOREM 1.1. For $N = 1, 2, \dots$ let $X_0^N = x$ and

$$X_k^N = X_{k-1}^N \left(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau(k-1), \xi_{k-1} \right) .$$

Assume the Ricci curvature of M is bounded from below. Then for every f in $C(M)$, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E \left[f \left(X_{[Nt]}^N \right) \right] = E \left[f \left(X_{t/n}^x \right) \right]$.

Proof. In the notations of Theorem 0.1, let $L_N = B(S(M))$,

$D = C_K^2(M)$. Let $P \cdot (x, \xi)$ be the parallel transport along the geodesic $X(\cdot, \xi)$. For $N = 1, 2, \dots$ let $\left\{ Z_N(k) = \left(X_k^N, \xi_k \right) : k = 0, 1, \dots \right\}$ and for $f \in L_N$ define

$$T_N f(x, \xi) = \iint f \left(x \left(\tau_1 N^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \xi \right), P_{N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau_1} (x, \xi)(\eta) \right) dP\mu(d\eta) ,$$

$$A_N = N(T_N - I) .$$

(Note that T_N is the linear contraction associated to the Markov chain Z_N in $S(M)$.)

For f in $C_K^2(S(M))$, $\xi \in S_x(M)$, $\eta \in S_x(M)$ let

$$u(t, x, \xi, \eta) = f(X(t, \xi), P_t(x, \xi)(\eta)) .$$

Then clearly

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} (0, x, \xi, \eta) = \xi^i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - \Gamma_{j,k}^i \xi^j \eta^k \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_i} .$$

Let $f \in D$, $h = D_\xi f$, $k = (a/2)D_{\xi\xi} f$, $f_N = f + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}h + N^{-1}k$ and $A = -(a/2n)\Delta f$. We show that $\|A_N f_N - A f\| \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Using (1.1) we have the following Taylor expansions for f and h (we replace $\tau(1)$ by τ_1 and denote $\partial u / \partial t$ by $D_\xi^\eta f$ and D_ξ^ξ by D_ξ) ,

$$(1.2) \quad \left| f\left(x\left(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau_1\right)\right) - f(x) - N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau_1 D_\xi f(x) - N^{-1}\tau_1^2 D_\xi D_\xi f(x) \right| < KN^{-3/2}\tau_1^3 ,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \left| h\left(x\left(N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau_1, \xi\right), P_{N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau_1}(x, \xi)(\eta)\right) - h(x, \eta) - N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tau_1 D_\xi^\eta f(x, \eta) \right| < KN^{-1}\tau_1^2 .$$

For $g \in C(S(M))$ let B be defined by

$$(1.4) \quad Bg(x, \xi) = \int_{S_x(M)} g(x, \eta) \mu(d\eta) - g(x, \xi) .$$

By (1.2), (1.3) and the definition of T_N there exists a constant K depending on M only such that

$$(1.5) \quad N \left| T_N f(x, \xi) - f(x) - N^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_\xi f(x) - (a/2) N^{-1} D_\xi D_\xi f(x) \right| \leq N^{-\frac{1}{2}} K E \left[\tau_1^3 \right] ,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \bar{N} \left| T_N h(x, \xi) - h(x, \xi) - B h(x, \xi) - N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int D_\xi^\eta h(x, \eta) \mu(d\eta) \right| \leq N^{-\frac{1}{2}} a K ,$$

$$(1.7) \quad \left| T_N k(x, \xi) - k(x, \xi) - B k(x, \xi) \right| < N^{-\frac{1}{2}} (a/2) K .$$

Using then a triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |A_N f_N(x, \xi) - A f(x)| &\leq N^{-\frac{1}{2}} K \left[E \left[\tau_1^3 \right] + (3/2) a \right] + |B h(x, \xi) + D_\xi f(x)| N^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left| B k(x, \xi) + (a/2) D_\xi D_\xi f(x) + \int D_\xi^\eta f(x, \eta) \xi(d\eta) - A f(x) \right| . \end{aligned}$$

To conclude the proof we have to show that

$$(1.8) \quad B h(x, \xi) + D_\xi f = 0 ,$$

$$(1.9) \quad \int D_\xi^\eta h(x, \eta) \xi(d\eta) = 0 ,$$

$$(1.10) \quad B k(x, \xi) = A f(x) - (a/2) D_\xi D_\xi f(x) .$$

Since $\int \eta^i \xi(d\eta^i) = 0$,

$$B h(x, \xi)_i = \int \eta^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \xi(d\eta^i) - \xi^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = -\xi^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} ,$$

and (1.8) follows. (1.9) follows from the fact that

$$D_{\xi}^n h(x, \eta) = \xi^i \eta^k \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} - \Gamma_{j,k}^i \xi^j \eta^k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}$$

and

$$\int D_{\xi}^n h(x, \eta) \xi(d\eta) = \left(\xi^i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} (x) - \Gamma_{j,k}^i \xi^j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \right) \int \eta^k \mu(d\eta^k) = 0 .$$

For (1.10) see [2], p. 209. Since clearly $\|f_N - f\| \rightarrow 0$ Theorem 0.1 (a) is satisfied and Theorem 1.1 follows easily.

References

- [1] Erik Jorgensen, "The central limit problem for geodesic random walks", *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 32 (1975), 1-64.
- [2] Mark Pinsky, "Stochastic Riemannian geometry", *Probabilistic analysis and related topics*, 199-236 (Academic Press, New York and London, 1978).
- [3] T.G. Kurtz, "Extensions of Trotter's operator semigroup approximation theorems", *J. Funct. Anal.* 3 (1969), 111-132.

Department of Mathematics,
 Case Western Reserve University,
 Cleveland,
 Ohio 44106,
 USA.