

ABSOLUTE SUMMABILITY OF A FOURIER SERIES AND ITS DERIVED SERIES BY A PRODUCT METHOD

H. P. DIKSHIT

(Received 29 July 1970)

Communicated by B. Mond

1. Definitions and notations

Let Σa_n be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of constants, real or complex, and let us write $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n$; $P_{-1} = p_{-1} = 0$.

The sequence to sequence transformation

$$(1.1) \quad t_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} s_k / P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} a_k / P_n; \quad (P_n \neq 0)$$

defines the sequence $\{t_n\}$ of *Nörlund means* [11] of the sequence $\{s_n\}$, generated by the sequence of coefficients $\{p_n\}$. The series Σa_n is said to be *summable* (N, p_n) to the sum s if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n$ exists and is equal to s , and is said to be *absolutely summable* (N, p_n) or *summable* $|N, p_n|$, if the sequence $\{t_n\}$ is of bounded variation, that is, the infinite series $\sum_n |t_n - t_{n-1}| < \infty$ (symbolically, $\{t_n\} \in \text{BV}$) [10]. In the special case in which

$$(1.2) \quad p_n = \binom{n + \delta - 1}{\delta - 1} = \frac{\Gamma(n + \delta)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\delta)} \quad (\delta > -1)$$

the Nörlund mean reduces to the familiar (C, δ) mean. Thus the summability $|N, p_n|$ is the same as $|C, \delta|$ if $\{p_n\}$ is defined by (1.2).

The $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ mean of $\{s_n\}$ is defined as the (N, p_n) mean of the sequence of $(C, 1)$ means of $\{s_n\}$. We write t_n^1 for the $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ mean of $\{s_n\}$. Thus

$$t_n^1 = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{v=0}^k s_v.$$

The series Σa_n is said to be summable $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ to the sum t , if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n^1$ exists and is equal to t and is said to be *absolutely summable* $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ or *summable* $|N, p_n)(C, 1)|$, if $\{t_n^1\} \in \text{BV}$.

The conditions

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n/P_n = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=0}^n |p_k| = O(|P_n|), \ n \rightarrow \infty;$$

are necessary and sufficient for the regularity of the (N, p_n) mean, while the conditions:

$$(1.4) \quad \{P_n/P_{n+k}\} \in BV, \text{ for any } k \geq 1 \text{ and } p_{n-k}/P_n = o(1), \ n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some fixed } k;$$

are necessary and sufficient for its absolute regularity [9].

Let $f(t)$ be a periodic function with period 2π and integrable (L) over $(-\pi, \pi)$. We assume without any loss of generality that the constant term in the Fourier series of $f(t)$ is zero, so that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)dt = 0$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad f(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t).$$

The derived series of (1.5) is

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(b_n \cos nt - a_n \sin nt).$$

We write throughout:

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2}\{f(x+t) + f(x-t)\}; \ \psi(t) = \frac{1}{2}\{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\};$$

$$\Phi_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\alpha-1} \phi(u)du, \ \alpha > 0; \ \Phi_0(t) = \phi(t);$$

$$\phi_{\alpha}(t) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1)t^{-\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha}(t), \ \alpha \geq 0; \ g(t) = \psi(t)/t;$$

$$P_n^* = \sum_{k=0}^n |p_k|; \ R_n = (n + 1)p_n/P_n;$$

$$S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (k + 1)^{-1}P_k/P_n; \ S_n^* = \sum_{k=0}^n (k + 1)^{-1}|P_k|/P_n;$$

$$\Delta f_n = \Delta_n f_n = f_n - f_{n+1}; \ V_n = \sum_{k=0}^n k|\Delta p_{k-1}|/P_n;$$

$$\lambda_k(t) = \sum_{r=0}^k \sin(rt)/k(k + 1);$$

$[m]$ denotes the greatest integer not greater than m . In particular we write $\tau = [\pi/t]$.

By ' $F(t) \in BV(a, b)$ ', we mean that $F(t)$ is a function of bounded variation in the interval (a, b) and by ' $\{f_n\} \in B$ ' that $\{f_n\}$ is a bounded sequence.

K denotes a positive constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

2. Introduction

Concerning the $|C|$ summability of the Fourier series (1.5), Bosanquet [2] has proved the following.

THEOREM A. *If $\phi_\alpha(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$, then the Fourier series of $f(t)$ at $t = x$, is summable $|C, \alpha + \delta|$ for every $\delta > 0$.*

A generalisation of Theorem A when $\alpha = 0$ to $|N, p_n|$ summability is the following result of Pati [12].

THEOREM B. *If $\phi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ and $\{p_n\}$ is a nonnegative monotonic non-increasing sequence such that $\{R_n\} \in BV$, $\{S_n\} \in BV$, then the Fourier series of $f(t)$, at $t = x$, is summable $|N, p_n|$.*

Theorem B for more general sequences $\{p_n\}$ has been obtained by Pati [13] Varshney [15], Dikshit [3], [5] and Si-Lei [14].

For the $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ method Astrachan [1] has proved the following.

THEOREM C. *The $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ method is K_α -effective ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$), provided the sequence $\{p_n\}$ satisfies the conditions (i) $\{R_n\} \in B$, (ii) $\{V_n\} \in B$ and (iii) $\{S_n^*\} \in B$.*

That the (N, p_n) mean used in Theorem C satisfies the regularity conditions (1.3), is apparent from the following.

$$\begin{aligned} P_n^* &= \sum_{k=0}^n |p_n + \sum_{\mu=k}^{n-1} \Delta p_\mu|^\dagger \\ &\leq (n+1) |p_n| + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\mu=k}^{n-1} |\Delta p_\mu| \\ &= |P_n R_n| + \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} (\mu+1) |\Delta p_\mu| \\ &= O(|P_n|), \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses (i) and (ii) of Theorem C, the former of which implies that $p_n/P_n = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus, Astrachan's use of the regularity conditions in his proof of Theorem C is justified. It may also be observed that the hypothesis ' $\{p_n\}$ is a regular sequence' may as well be dropped from the statements of some earlier results due to Hille and Tamarkin ([6], Theorem I) and Astrachan [1]. In [4] the present author has indicated and supplied a deficiency in the proof of Theorem C.

Theorem C implies *inter alia* that the Fourier series of $f(t)$ is summable

† Throughout the present paper Σ_a^b will be taken as zero if $a > b$.

$(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ at every point $t = x$, at which $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi_1(t) = f(x)$, provided the sequence $\{p_n\}$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem.

Since generally bounded variation is the property associated with absolute summability in the same sense in which continuity is associated with ordinary summability, it is natural to expect from Theorem C that the condition $\phi_1(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$, along with the bounded variation of sequences in (i), (ii) and (iii) may be sufficient to ensure the $|(N, p_n)(C, 1)|$ summability of the Fourier series of $f(t)$, at $t = x$. Further, since the $|(N, p_n)(C, 1)|$ with $\{p_n\}$ defined by (1.2) is the same as $|C, 1 + \delta|$, Theorem A and Theorem B also suggest that the condition $\phi_1(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ along with the hypotheses of Theorem B, concerning $\{p_n\}$ may lead to the $|(N, p_n)(C, 1)|$ summability of the Fourier series of $f(t)$, at $t = x$. That, this is indeed true for a more general sequence $\{p_n\}$ is established by our Theorem 1, which contains as a special case Theorem A for $\alpha = 1$, when we observe that the (C, δ) mean is a special case of the (N, p_n) mean and appeal to a result of Kogbetliantz [8].

In Theorem 2 we obtain a result for the $|(N, p_n)(C, 1)|$ summability of the derived series, which includes the following form of a result due to Hyslop [7].

THEOREM D. *If $g(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$, then the derived series of the Fourier series of $f(t)$, at $t = x$, is summable $|C, 1 + \delta|$ for every $\delta > 0$.*

3. Main results

We prove the following.

THEOREM 1. *If $\phi_1(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ and $\{p_n\}$ is any sequence such that $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$, $\{R_n\} \in BV$ and $\{S_n\} \in BV$, then the Fourier series of $f(t)$ at $t = x$, is summable $|(N, p_n)(C, 1)|$.*

THEOREM 2. *If $g(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ and $\{p_n\}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1, then the derived series (1.6), at $t = x$ is summable $|(N, p_n)(C, 1)|$.*

4. Some preliminary results

We require the following lemmas for the proof of our theorems

LEMMA 1. *If $\{p_n\}$ is any sequence such that $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$, $\{R_n\} \in BV$ and $\{S_n\} \in BV$, then $\{V_n\} \in B$.*

Lemma 1 is the same as Theorem 2 of [5].

LEMMA 2. *If $\{p_n\}$ satisfies the conditions $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$, $\{R_n\} \in BV$ and $\{S_n\} \in BV$, then uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$*

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (P_n p_k - p_n P_k) \frac{\sin(n-k+\frac{1}{2})t}{n-k+\frac{1}{2}} \right| \leq K.$$

PROOF. The lemma follows from Lemma 1, when one observes that the proof of (4.1) is similar to the proof of the following ([14], p. 284)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (P_n p_k - p_n P_k) \frac{\sin(n-k)t}{n-k} \right| \leq K.$$

LEMMA 3. If $\theta(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ and $\{p_n\}$ is any sequence such that $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$, $\{R_n\} \in BV$ and $\{S_n\} \in BV$, then the sequence $\{u_n\}$, where

$$u_n = \int_0^\pi \theta(t) \frac{\sin(n+1)t}{\sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt$$

is summable $|N, p_n|$.

PROOF. Following the proof of a theorem of Pati ([12], p.156), we observe that if $\theta(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$, then in order to prove the $|N, p_n|$ summability of $\{u_n\}$ it is sufficient to show that (4.1) holds, uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$. Thus Lemma 3 follows from Lemma 2.

LEMMA 4. Uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$ and for any positive integer n

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k(t) \right| \leq K.$$

PROOF. By a change of order of summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \sum_{v=1}^k \sin vt &= \sum_{v=1}^n \sin vt \sum_{k=v}^n \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{\sin vt}{v} - \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^n \sin vt. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from this when we observe that $\left| \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{\sin vt}{v} \right| \leq K$.

LEMMA 5. If $0 \leq v < n$, then uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^v P_k \lambda_{n-k}(t) \right| \leq KP_v^*.$$

PROOF. Applying Abel's transformation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^v P_k \lambda_{n-k}(t) \right| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} |p_{k+1}| \left| \sum_{r=0}^k \lambda_{n-r}(t) \right| + |P_v| \left| \sum_{r=0}^v \lambda_{n-r}(t) \right| \\ &\leq KP_v^*, \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of Lemma 4.

LEMMA 6. For any sequence $\{p_n\}$ such that $P_n^* = O(|P|)$, $\{S_n\} \in BV$ implies that $\{S_n^*\} \in B$.

Lemma 6 is the same as Lemma 2 of [5].

LEMMA 7. If $\{p_n\}$ is any sequence such that $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$, then $\{S_n\} \in BV$ implies that

$$|P_k| \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n|P_{n-1}|} \leq K,$$

where $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

PROOF. Since $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$, we have for an integer $M > k$

$$\begin{aligned} & |P_k| \sum_{n=k+1}^M \frac{1}{n|P_{n-1}|} \\ & \leq K |P_k| \sum_{n=k+1}^M \frac{|P_{n-1}|}{n} \left(\frac{1}{P_{n-1}^*} \right)^2 \\ & \leq K |P_k| \sum_{n=k+1}^{M-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(P_{n-1}^*)^2} - \frac{1}{(P_n^*)^2} \right\} \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{|P_{v-1}|}{v} \\ & \quad + K |P_k| \left(\frac{1}{P_k^*} \right)^2 \sum_{v=1}^{k+1} \frac{|P_{v-1}|}{v} + K \frac{|P_k|}{(P_{M-1}^*)^2} \sum_{v=1}^M \frac{|P_{v-1}|}{v} \\ & \leq K |P_k| \sum_{n=k+1}^{M-1} \frac{(P_n^* + P_{n-1}^*)}{(P_n^*)^2 P_{n-1}^*} |p_n| S_{n-1}^* + K S_k^* + K S_{M-1}^* \\ & \leq K |P_k| \sum_{n=k+1}^{M-1} \frac{1}{P_n^* P_{n-1}^*} |p_n| + K \\ & = K |P_k| \sum_{n=k+1}^{M-1} \left(\frac{1}{P_{n-1}^*} - \frac{1}{P_n^*} \right) + K \leq K, \text{ as } M \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since by Lemma 6, $\{S_n^*\} \in B$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 8. For $k \geq 1$ and uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda_k(t) = \begin{cases} O(t), \\ O(k^{-2} \tau). \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

PROOF. Since $|\sin vt| \leq vt$, we have

$$|\lambda_k(t)| \leq t \sum_{v=1}^k \frac{v}{k(k+1)} \leq Kt,$$

which proves (4.2). (4.3) follows directly from the fact that $\sum_{v=1}^k \sin vt = O(\tau)$.

LEMMA 9. If $\theta(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ and $\{p_n\}$ is any sequence such that $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$, $\{R_n\} \in BV$ and $\{S_n\} \in BV$, then the sequence $\{v_n\}$, where

$$v_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \int_0^\pi \theta(t) \left\{ \sin \frac{1}{2}(n+1)t / \sin \frac{1}{2}t \right\}^2 dt$$

is summable $|N, p_n|$.

PROOF. We write

$$\begin{aligned} t_n - t_{n-1} &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{p_k}{P_n} - \frac{p_{k-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right) v_{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Delta_k v_{n-k} \sum_{v=0}^k \left(\frac{p_v}{P_n} - \frac{p_{v-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (P_n p_k - p_n P_k) \Delta_k v_{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left\{ \sin \frac{1}{2}(n+1)t / \sin \frac{1}{2}t \right\}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \sin(k + \frac{1}{2})t / \sin \frac{1}{2}t \right\},$$

we have by a well known identity due to Kogbetliantz [8]

$$\begin{aligned} v_k - v_{k-1} &= \frac{2}{k(k+1)} \int_0^\pi \theta(t) \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^k r \cos rt \right\} dt \\ &= -\frac{2}{k(k+1)} \int_0^\pi \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^k \sin rt \right\} d\theta(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$t_n - t_{n-1} = -2 \int_0^\pi \left\{ \frac{1}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (P_n p_k - p_n P_k) \frac{1}{(n-k)(n-k+1)} \sum_{r=1}^{n-k} \sin rt \right\} d\theta(t).$$

Since by hypothesis $\int_0^\pi |d\theta(t)| \leq K$, in order to show that $\sum_n |t_n - t_{n-1}| < \infty$, it is sufficient to demonstrate that uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$

$$(4.4) \quad \Sigma \equiv \sum_n \left| \frac{1}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (P_n p_k - p_n P_k) \lambda_{n-k}(t) \right| \leq K.$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &\leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| \frac{1}{(n+1)P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \{P_n p_k(k+1) - p_n(n+1)P_k\} \lambda_{n-k}(t) \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k(n-k) \lambda_{n-k}(t) \right| \\ &= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2, \end{aligned}$$

say.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_1 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)|P_{n-1}|} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (R_k - R_n) P_k \lambda_{n-k}(t) \right| \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)|P_{n-1}|} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_k \lambda_{n-k}(t) \sum_{v=k}^{n-1} \Delta R_v \right| \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)|P_{n-1}|} \left| \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \Delta R_v \sum_{k=0}^v P_k \lambda_{n-k}(t) \right| \\
 &\leq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)|P_{n-1}|} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta R_v| P_v^* \tag{by Lemma 5} \\
 &\leq K \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} |\Delta R_v| |P_v| \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)|P_{n-1}|} \\
 (4.5) \quad &\leq K \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} |\Delta R_v| \leq K,
 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypothesis $\{R_n\} \in BV$ and Lemma 7.

We next write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^n k p_{n-k} \lambda_k(t) \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{2\tau+1} \frac{1}{(n+1)|P_{n-1}|} \sum_{k=1}^n k |p_{n-k}| |\lambda_k(t)| \\
 (4.6) \quad &+ \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)|P_{n-1}|} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\tau} + \sum_{k=\tau+1}^{[n/2]} + \sum_{k=[n/2]+1}^n \right\} k |p_{n-k}| |\lambda_k(t)| \\
 &= \Sigma_{21} + \Sigma_{22} + \Sigma_{23} + \Sigma_{24},
 \end{aligned}$$

say.

Since by Lemma 8, $\lambda_k(t) = O(t)$, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \Sigma_{21} \leq Kt \sum_{n=1}^{2\tau+1} \frac{1}{|P_{n-1}|} \sum_{k=1}^n |p_{n-k}| \leq Kt \sum_{n=1}^{2\tau+1} 1 \leq K,$$

by virtue of the hypothesis that $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$.

We next note that, by the boundedness of $\{R_n\}$ and the assumption that $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$, we have for $1 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2}n$

$$(4.8) \quad |p_{n-k}| \leq K \frac{|P_{n-k}|}{n-k+1} \leq K \frac{P_{n-k}^*}{n} \leq K \frac{P_{n-1}^*}{n} \leq K \frac{|P_{n-1}|}{n}$$

By (4.2) and (4.8), we have

$$(4.9) \quad \Sigma_{22} \leq Kt \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\tau} k = K\tau \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \leq K.$$

Further, by (4.3) and (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_{23} &\leq K\tau \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{k=\tau+1}^{[n/2]} \frac{1}{k} \\
 &\leq K\tau \sum_{k=\tau+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=2k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \\
 (4.10) \quad &\leq K\tau \sum_{k=\tau+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \leq K.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since, for relevant values of the variables $1/k \leq 2/(n+1)$, it follows from (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_{24} &\leq K\tau \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} |P_{n-1}| \sum_{k=[n/2]+1}^n |p_{n-k}| \\
 (4.11) \quad &\leq K\tau \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \leq K,
 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the assumption that $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$.

Combining (4.4)–(4.7) and (4.9)–(4.11), we demonstrate that $\Sigma \leq K$, and this completes the proof of the Lemma.

LEMMA 10. *If $\{p_n\}$ is any sequence such that $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$, $\{R_n\} \in BV$ and $\{S_n\} \in BV$, then the (N, p_n) mean is absolutely regular.*

PROOF. In order to show that $\{P_n/P_{n+v}\} \in BV$, for any $v \geq 1$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma^* &\equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_n}{P_{n+v}} - \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_{n+v-1}} \right| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_n}{P_{n+v-1}} \right| \left| \frac{P_n}{P_n} - \frac{P_{n+v}}{P_{n+v}} \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{P_n}{P_{n+v-1}} \right| \sum_{k=n}^{n+v-1} \left| \Delta \left(\frac{R_k}{k+1} \right) \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\mu_n = |P_n/P_{n+v-1}|$ and $\delta_k = |\Delta\{R_k/(k+1)\}|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma^* &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n \sum_{k=n}^{n+v-1} \delta_k \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{v-1} \mu_n \left\{ \sum_{k=n}^{v-1} + \sum_{k=v}^{n+v-1} \right\} \delta_k + \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \mu_n \left\{ \sum_{k=v}^{n+v-1} - \sum_{k=v}^{n-1} \right\} \delta_k \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} \delta_k \sum_{n=0}^k \mu_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \sum_{k=v}^{n+v-1} \delta_k - \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \mu_n \sum_{k=v}^{n-1} \delta_k \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} \delta_k \sum_{n=0}^k \mu_n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{k+v} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \mu_n - \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \delta_k \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \mu_n
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} \left| \Delta \left(\frac{R_k}{k+1} \right) \right| \sum_{n=0}^k \left| \frac{P_n}{P_{n+v-1}} \right| \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \left| \Delta \left(\frac{R_k}{k+1} \right) \right| \sum_{n=k-v+1}^k \left| \frac{P_n}{P_{n+v-1}} \right| \\
 (4.12) \quad &= \Sigma_1^* + \Sigma_2^*,
 \end{aligned}$$

say.

Now by assumption $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_1^* &\leq K \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} \left\{ \frac{|\Delta R_k|}{k+1} + \frac{|R_{k+1}|}{(k+1)^2} \right\} \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{P_n^*}{P_{n+v-1}^*} \\
 &\leq \frac{K}{P_{v-1}^*} \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} |\Delta R_k| P_k^* + \frac{K}{P_{v-1}^*} \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} \frac{|P_k|}{k+1} \\
 (4.13) \quad &\leq K \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} |\Delta R_k| + K S_{v-1}^* \leq K,
 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of Lemma 6 and the assumption that $\{R_n\} \in BV$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_2^* &\leq K \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{|\Delta R_k|}{(k+1)} + \frac{|R_{k+1}|}{(k+1)^2} \right\} \sum_{n=k-v+1}^k \frac{P_n^*}{P_{n+v-1}^*} \\
 (4.14) \quad &\leq K v \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta R_k|}{k+1} + K v \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \leq K,
 \end{aligned}$$

since $\{R_n\} \in BV$.

Combining (4.12)–(4.14), we prove that $\{P_n/P_{n+v}\} \in BV$, for any $v \geq 1$. Finally, since $P_n^* = O(|P_n|)$, we have as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$p_{n-v}/P_n = O[|P_{n-v}/\{(n-v+1)P_n\}|] = o(P_{n-v}^*/P_n^*) = o(1),$$

by virtue of the assumption that $\{R_n\} \in BV$.

Thus the conditions (1.4) are satisfied and the (N, p_n) mean is absolutely regular.

5. Proof of Theorem 1

Writing $\sigma_n(x)$ for the $(C, 1)$ mean of $\Sigma A_n(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_n(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi(n+1)} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \sum_{r=0}^n \{ \sin(r + \frac{1}{2})t / \sin \frac{1}{2}t \} dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi(n+1)} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \{ \sin \frac{1}{2}(n+1)t / \sin \frac{1}{2}t \}^2 dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(x) &= \frac{\Phi_1(\pi)}{\pi(n+1)} \{ \sin \frac{1}{2}(n+1)\pi \}^2 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\Phi_1(t)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}t} \frac{\sin(n+1)t}{\sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi(n+1)} \int_0^\pi \frac{\Phi_1(t)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}t} \cos \frac{1}{2}t \{ \sin \frac{1}{2}(n+1)t / \sin \frac{1}{2}t \}^2 dt \\ &= w_n + v_n + u_n, \end{aligned}$$

say.

Since the $(N, p_n)(C, 1)$ mean of $\Sigma A_n(x)$ is the (N, p_n) mean of $\{\sigma_n(x)\}$, in order to prove the theorem it is sufficient to demonstrate that the sequences $\{u_n\}$, $\{v_n\}$, $\{w_n\}$ are summable $|N, p_n|$ under the hypotheses of the theorem.

That $\{v_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ are summable $|N, p_n|$, follows directly from our Lemma 3 and Lemma 9, respectively, when we appeal to the hypothesis:

$$\Phi_1(t)/t = \phi_1(t) \in BV(0, \pi).$$

Next, we observe that

$$w_n - w_{n-1} = \alpha_n + \beta_n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= \frac{(-1)^n A}{n + \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{A \sin(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi}{n + \frac{1}{2}}; \\ \beta_n &= \begin{cases} \frac{-A}{2(n + \frac{1}{2})(n + 1)} & (n \text{ even}); \\ \frac{-A}{2n(n + \frac{1}{2})} & (n \text{ odd}); \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and $A = \Phi_1(\pi)/\pi$.

That $\Sigma \alpha_n$ is summable $|N, p_n|$, follows as a special case of Lemma 2, while the $|N, p_n|$ summability of $\Sigma \beta_n$, follows from its absolute convergence, when we appeal to Lemma 10.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

6. Proof of Theorem 2

If $s_n^1(x)$ denotes the n th partial sum of the derived series (1.6), then

$$s_n^1(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \{ \sin(n + \frac{1}{2})t / \sin \frac{1}{2}t \} dt$$

and therefore the $(C, 1)$ mean of $\{s_n^1(x)\}$ is

$$\sigma_n^1(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi(n+1)} \int_0^\pi \psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \{ \sin \frac{1}{2}(n+1)t / \sin \frac{1}{2}t \}^2 dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\psi(t)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}t} \{\sin(n+1)t/\sin \frac{1}{2}t\} dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\pi(n+1)} \int_0^\pi \frac{\psi(t)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}t} \cos \frac{1}{2}t \{\sin \frac{1}{2}(n+1)t/\sin \frac{1}{2}t\}^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Following the technique of proof of Theorem 1, we observe that the hypothesis $\psi(t)/t = g(t) \in \text{BV}(0, \pi)$ is sufficient to ensure the $|N, p_n|$ summability of $\{\sigma_n^1(x)\}$, by virtue of Lemma 3 and Lemma 9.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

References

- [1] Max Astrachan, 'Studies in the summability of Fourier series by Nörlund means', *Duke Math. J.* 2 (1936), 543–569.
- [2] L. S. Bosanquet, 'The absolute Cesàro summability of a Fourier series', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 41 (1936), 517–528.
- [3] H. P. Dikshit, 'Absolute summability of a Fourier series by Nörlund means', *Math. Z.* 102 (1967), 166–170.
- [4] ———, 'A note on a theorem of Astrachan on the (N, p_n) $(C, 1)$ summability of a Fourier series', *Math. Student* 33 (1964), 71–79.
- [5] ———, 'On the absolute Nörlund summability of a Fourier series and its conjugate series', *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* 20 (1968), 448–453.
- [6] E. Hille and J. D. Tamarkin, 'On the summability of Fourier series I', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 34 (1932), 757–783.
- [7] J. M. Hyslop, 'On the absolute summability of the successively derived series of Fourier series and its allied series', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 46 (1940), 55–80.
- [8] E. Kogbetliantz, 'Sur les séries absolument sommable par la méthode des moyennes arithmétique', *Bull. des Sc. Math.* 49 (1925), 234–256.
- [9] B. Kwee, 'Absolute regularity of the Nörlund means', *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 5 (1965), 1–7.
- [10] F. M. Mears, 'Some multiplication theorems for the Nörlund means', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 41 (1935), 875–880.
- [11] N. E. Nörlund, 'Sur une application des fonctions permutables', *Lunds Univ. Årss.*, 16 (1919), No. 3.
- [12] T. Pati, 'On the absolute Nörlund summability of a Fourier series', *J. London Math. Soc.* 34 (1959), 153–160; Addendum: *J. London Math. Soc.* 37 (1962), 256.
- [13] ———, 'On the absolute summability of a Fourier series by Nörlund means', *Math. Z.* 88 (1965), 244–249.
- [14] W. Si-Lei, 'On the absolute Nörlund summability of a Fourier series and its conjugate series', *Acta Math. Sinica* 15 (1965), 281–295.
- [15] O. P. Varshney, 'On the absolute Nörlund summability of a Fourier series', *Math. Z.* 83 (1964), 18–24.

Department of Post-Graduate Studies and Research in Mathematics
 University of Jabalpur
 Jabalpur (India)